

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE

IVANPAH SOLAR ELECTRIC

GENERATING SYSTEM

DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-5

DOCKET

07-AFC-5

DATE OCT 29 2008

RECD. OCT 29 2008

REVISED COMMITTEE SCHEDULING ORDER

On October 31, 2007, the Application for Certification (AFC) filed by Solar Partners, LLC (Applicant), was deemed data-adequate for the twelve-month review process prescribed in Public Resources Code section 25540.6.

The U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is concurrently deciding whether to issue a right-of-way (ROW) grant and amend the 1980 California Desert Conservation Area Plan to allow the Applicant to use the proposed site, which is on federal lands. The BLM and Commission staff are preparing a joint environmental analysis to satisfy both the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), applicable to the Energy Commission's decision, and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), which applies to the BLM's decisions. The BLM's process requires longer public review periods than those applicable to the Commission's proceedings. The information required for each process differs in some respects, which necessitates additional time for discovery.

While the time to a decision will likely be longer than a typical Energy Commission review, the total time to receipt of all permits necessary to begin construction will be reduced by this coordinated process. Further, the possibility of inconsistent environmental conclusions, mitigation strategies, or conditions, any of which could add additional review or re-review time, is significantly reduced. As the first of perhaps many solar projects to go through this coordinated process, there have been some inevitable learning experiences which we hope will inform and streamline future reviews.

The Committee conducted a public informational hearing on January 25, 2008, to discuss the schedule and other issues of concern. On January 31, 2008, we issued a Committee Schedule which called for the filing of the joint Final Staff Assessment and Environmental Impact Statement by September 10, 2008. In its September 12, 2008, Status Report, Commission staff projected January 20, 2009, as the filing date for a Preliminary Staff Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Statement. On September 26, 2008, following review of Staff's and other status reports, we issued a revised schedule generally based on Staff's proposal. Finding that schedule unsatisfactory, the

Applicant petitioned for its review. On October 15 and 27, 2008, we held a Committee Conference to review the status and schedule to complete this proceeding.

While the parties were able to narrow the differences in their proposed schedules, they were not able to find a mutually satisfactory schedule. We are encouraged, however, by the degree of cooperation between the parties and their interest, which is shared by the Committee, in completing the analysis of the proposed project and reaching a final decision in the most expeditious manner possible.

The parties have agreed to an approach in which the Commission staff's Final Staff Assessment will serve as the BLM's Draft Environmental Impact Statement and the Final Environmental Impact Statement will coincide (using a combination of documents to be decided later) with the Committee's Presiding Member's Proposed Decision. This is a change from the process contemplated in the Memorandum of Understanding between Commission staff and BLM. We agree that is a sound approach in these circumstances. It will allow public review and discussion of most of the topic areas to commence sooner and may reduce the total time to a final decision.

In setting the attached schedule, we are mindful of the Applicant's desire to obtain a timely decision on its application as well as the resource constraints on the staffs and the practical aspects of consulting with the several state and federal agencies involved in the review of this project. We have advanced the Staff-proposed date for publication of the Preliminary Staff Assessment by ten days to allow additional time for review by the Applicant and public. The scheduling of the PSA workshop is within the parties' discretion; we show it in early January 2009 out of deference for the holiday season.

As to the remainder of the schedule, the key event is the publication of the Final Staff Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (FSA/DEIS). Our Evidentiary Hearings cannot begin until after its release, nor can the BLM's 90-day public comment period start. BLM staff is firm in its belief that, in large part due to the need to resolve the adequacy of the biological assessment, the FSA/DEIS cannot be published sooner than March 3, 2009, which they describe as the "best case." We respect that judgment. A fully considered and complete FSA/DEIS is critical to the completion of the remaining tasks. Premature publication might add significant time to the overall process. Nonetheless, we encourage the Commission and BLM staffs to make their best efforts to publish prior to March 3, 2009.

In scheduling the Committee's and full Energy Commission's work, we intend to affect a final Commission Decision, including the running of the 30-day period to petition for judicial review, before or at the equivalent time in the BLM's process.

¹ Biology appears to be the key topic for this project, which will affect six square miles of habitat for at least one, and perhaps more, protected or endangered species, and may indirectly affect others. This topic requires careful coordination among the various state and federal agencies to assure that a single comprehensive plan for mitigating biological impacts is applied to the project, which unfortunately takes time. The biological assessment was submitted by the Applicant in September 2008. We are told that this assessment is not yet adequate for consultation and eventual preparation of a Biological Opinion.

The revised schedule is attached. It contains both expected dates and formulaic intervals between the key events. Should it be possible to advance the publication of the FSA/DEIS, for example, the subsequent steps would be correspondingly advanced. Again, we encourage the parties, where possible, to complete the milestones ahead of the specified dates.

The Committee may modify the schedule at any time upon either our own motion or that of a party. [Cal. Code Regs., tit. 20, § 1709.7(c).] The frequency of Status Reports is increased to six week intervals to aid in our monitoring of progress.

Dated October 29, 2008, at Sacramento, California.

Original Signed By:

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Presiding Member Ivanpah AFC Committee

Original Signed By:

JAMES D. BOYD Vice Chair and Associate Member Ivanpah AFC Committee

REVISED COMMITTEE SCHEDULE

FOR THE IVANPAH SOLAR ELECTRIC GENERATING SYSTEM (07-AFC-5)

DATE	EVENT - Related BLM Actions are Shown for Convenience		
October 31, 2007	AFC data adequate		
January 4, 2008	Staff conducts data response/issue resolution workshop		
January 25, 2008	Site Visit and Informational Hearing		
February 28, 2008	Local, State and Federal Agency draft determinations, including air district's Preliminary Determination of Compliance (PDOC) filed		
Late March, 2008	Staff conducts data response/issue resolution workshop		
Winter – Summer, 2008	Data exchanged among parties		
September 22, 2008	Applicant Files Data Responses required by Staff to complete its preliminary analysis		
October 15 & 27, 2008	Committee Conference		
December 5, 2009	Staff publishes Preliminary Staff Assessment		
When all concerned agencies' concerns are addressed	Formal consultation on Biological Assessment initiated		
December 5, 2008 and every six (6) weeks thereafter	Parties file status reports		
December 30, 2008	Local, State and Federal Agency final comments and determinations, including air district's Final DOC filed		
Early January, 2009	Staff conducts PSA workshops		
March 3, 2009	Staff files Final Staff Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (FSA/DEIS)		
March 3, 2009	BLM Notice of Availability (NOA) of DEIS published		
March 18, 2009	15 days after FSA filed	Prehearing Conference	
April 2, 2009	15 - 20 days after prehearing conference	Evidentiary Hearings	
May 28, 2009	8 weeks after Evidentiary Hearings close	Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD) issued for 30-day comment period	
June 1, 2009	90 days after NOA published	BLM DEIS public comment period ends	
June 17, 2009 or sooner		Federal Biological Opinion issued	
June 29, 2009	Appx. 25 days after PMPD issued	PMPD comment hearing	

REVISED COMMITTEE SCHEDULE (CONTINUED)

DATE	EVENT - Related BLM Actions are Shown for Convenience	
July 9, 2009	35 days after PMPD issued	Revised PMPD issued* for 15-day review period*
August - September, 2009	As FEIS final approaches publication	Energy Commission Decision adoption hearing
October 2, 2009		BLM NOA of FEIS published
September – October, 2009	30 days after Commission adoption hearing	Judicial review period for Energy Commission Decision ends
December 2, 2009	60 days after NOA of FEIS published	Governor's consistency review period ends (this schedule assumes the Governor finishes his review in 30 days)
November 3, 2009	30 days after NOA of FEIS published	FEIS protest period ends
November 3, 2009		BLM issues Record of Decision, Right of Way grant and Plan Amendment

^{* =} if necessary

Issued: October 29, 2009



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 - WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE IVANPAH SOLAR ELECTRIC
GENERATING SYSTEM

DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-5

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 7/14/08)

INSTRUCTIONS: All parties shall 1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies OR 2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the web address below, AND 3) all parties shall also send a printed OR electronic copy of the documents that shall include a proof of service declaration to each of the individuals on the proof of service:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-5 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Solar Partners, LLC
John Woolard, Chief Executive Officer
Alicia Torre, Project Manager
1999 Harrison Street, Suite #500
Oakland, CA 94612
ATorre@BrightSourceEnergy.com

Steve De Young Ivanpah Solar Electric Generating System Director, Environmental, Safety and Health 1999 Harrison Street, Ste. 2150 Oakland, CA 94612 sdeyoung@brightsourceenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

John L. Carrier, J. D. 2485 Natomas Park Dr. #600 Sacramento, CA 95833-2937 jcarrier@ch2m.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jeffrey Harris Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P. Attorneys at Law 2015 H Street Sacramento, CA 95814-3109 jdh@eslawfirm.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

*California ISO P.O. Box 639014 Folsom, CA 95763-9014 e-recipient@caiso.com

Tom Hurshman, Project Manager Bureau of Land Management 2465 South Townsend Ave. Montrose, CO 81401 tom_hurshman@blm.gov

Sterling White, Field Manager Bureau of Land Management Needles Field Office 1303 South Highway 95 Needles, CA 92363 Sterling White@blm.gov Becky Jones
California Department of Fish & Game
36431 41st Street East
Palmdale, CA 93552
dfgpalm@adelphia.net

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy ("CURE")
Tanya A. Gulesserian
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Presiding Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us JAMES D. BOYD Commissioner and Associate Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer Hearing Officer pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

Che McFarlin Project Manager Cmcfarli@energy.state.ca.us

Dick Ratliff Staff Counsel dratliff@energy.state.ca.us

*Elena Miller
Public Adviser
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>RoseMary Avalos</u>, declare that on <u>October 29, 2008</u>, I deposited copies of the attached <u>Revised Committee</u> <u>Scheduling Order</u> in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento</u>, <u>CA</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

<u>OR</u>

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Bose Mary Avalor
RoseMary Avalos

Attachments