



Need for Feed-In Tariff (FIT) to stimulate Wholesale Distributed Generation (WDG) in California

Craig Lewis

VP of Governement Relations

GreenVolts

415-963-4249 office

craig.lewis@greenvolts.com

03-RPS-1078

DOCKET

08-IEP-1

DATE

OCT 01 2008

RECD. OCT 16 2008

1 October 2008

Urgent RPS Challenges



- 20% of retail electricity sales by 2010
 - Contracts do not satisfy the requirement
 - Achieving 20% by 2010 will guide the way to 33% by 2020
- Transmission represents a 7-8 year delay to most transmission-interconnected projects
- There is a significant programmatic gap in support for renewables in California
 - CSI/SGIP support 1MW-and-under, behind-the-meter
 - RPS is geared around large transmission-interconnected projects
 - No viable support for wholesale distribution-interconnected

20% by 2010

The most urgent RPS challenge is achieving 20% of sales by 2010



greenvolts confidential 2008

WDG: The Big Opportunity



- WDG = Wholesale Distributed Generation
 - Wholesale
 - 20MW-and-under
 - Distribution-interconnected
- WDG provides significant Locational Benefits (LBs) value
 - On average in California, distribution-interconnected generation has a value boost of more than 35% over transmission-interconnected
 - Per detailed analysis from the CPUC-commissioned E3 Cost-Effectiveness Model
- RETI indicates hundreds of GWs of WDG available in California
 - RETI draft Phase 1B report identified 27.5GW of PV with significant constraints: 20MW PV projects only, co-located with distribution substations that had ample non-sensitive land available (160 acres per project)

WDG provides an average value boost of at least 35% over MPR



greenvolts confidential 2008

Programmatic Coverage Gap



Program Effectiveness Gap in CSI/SGIP Prog Programm& ic **RPS Program** Net metering Large transmission-**Support** behind-the-meter interconnected projects **WDG** 1MW-to-20MW **RPS AB1969 FIT** 'small) SCE SPVP **SCE Biomass** 20 MW-and-above 1MW-and-under Program **Project Size**

10

1.5

20

(MW, quasi-logarithmic)

The Solution = FIT for WDG



- Pricing that is fair to ratepayers and to developers
 - Value-based: MPR + Locational Benefits (LBs)
 - Cost-based: 10% IRR unlevered, pre-tax return at 70% resource level
- Standard-offer, must-take contract
 - This will save \$1 million in parasitic transaction costs per project by avoiding solicitation/proposing, negotiating, and contracting costs for both the developer and the utility
- Preempt mixing with CSI/SGIP
 - FIT facilities use a dedicated meter that is separate from a retail meter

FIT for WDG

The perfect solution to the urgent RPS challenges





Reference



Value boost of LBs to 2008 MPR



Value boost of Locational Benefits to MPR (\$/MWh)

(20-1 car mr 14 starting m 2003)								
Issue	<u>Fixed</u>	<u>Variable</u>	Total	Increase*	% Change	Cumulative		
Adopted 2007 MPR	\$27	\$70	\$97	NA	NA	NA		
Proposed 2008 MPR with GreenVolts Locational Adjustments								
Avoided Distribution Line Losses (prima	\$29	\$73	\$102	\$ 5	5%	5%		
Avoided Distribution Investment	\$29	\$89	\$118	\$16	17%	22%		
Avoided Transmission Investment	\$29	\$102	\$131	\$13	13%	35%		
Avoided Tranmission Congestion	(to be determined based on MRTU values)							

Notes:

- Increase in T&D avoided costs is based upon average of E3 model values for Edison's service territory. Solar profile values range from \$12.89 to \$13.27 per MWh for Transmission, and from \$9.79 to \$23.84 per MWh for Distribution.
- Project assumptions include lifespan from 2008 2027, 2.5% inflation, 8.93% discount rate, and 2008 \$.
- 3) Avoided T&D are based on a solar photovoltaic (PV) output profile from a south-facing flat-plate PV system at a 38.5 degree tilt located in Sacramento, CA.
- 4) For SCE, primary voltages are 2kV to 50kV, and a QF interconnecting at primary voltage receives the primary WDAT loss factor, regardless of the specific location that it interconnects; as the loss factor is an average number over the entire primary distribution system. There is no calculation to determine exactly how a specific primary distribution loss compare to the average.

On average, in California, Distribution-interconnected generation is worth at least 35% more than Transmission-interconnected generation



Locational Benefits: Avoided T&D



E3 Model T&D Values (Levelized 20-year in 2008\$)

Transmission +
Distribution Transmission Distribution

		Distributi	OII			Hansins	01011			Distributi	VIII		
Utility	Division	Baseload	Profile	Solar Pr	ofile	Baseload	Profile	Solar F	Profile	Baseload	d Profile	Solar P	rofile
		\$/kW-year	\$/MWh	\$/kW-year	\$/MWh	\$/kW-year	\$/MWh	\$/kW-year	\$/MWh	\$/kW-year	\$/MWh	\$/kW-year	\$/MWh
PG&E	Central Coast	\$46.07	\$5.26	\$35.70	\$24.60	\$ 1.55	\$0.18	\$1.20	\$0.83	\$44.51	\$5.08	\$34.50	\$23.77
	De Anza	\$58.67	\$6.70	\$46.95	\$32.35	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.24	\$0.86	\$57.11	\$6.52	\$45.71	\$31.49
	Diablo	\$55.62	\$6.35	\$44.51	\$30.67	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.24	\$0.86	\$54.06	\$6.17	\$43.27	\$29.81
	East Bay	\$11.57	\$1.32	\$8.97	\$6.18	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.20	\$0.83	\$10.02	\$1.14	\$7.77	\$5.35
	Fresno	\$48.24	\$5.51	\$37.08	\$25.55	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.19	\$0.82	\$46.68	\$5.33	\$35.89	\$24.72
	Kern	\$30.87	\$3.52	\$23.73	\$16.35	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.19	\$0.82	\$29.32	\$3.35	\$22.54	\$15.53
	Los Padres	\$46.82	\$5.34	\$37.47	\$25.81	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.24	\$0.86	\$45.26	\$5.17	\$36.23	\$24.96
	Mission	\$70.36	\$8.03	\$54.53	\$37.57	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.20	\$0.83	\$68.80	\$7.85	\$53.32	\$36.74
	North Bay	\$47.46	\$5.42	\$36.78	\$25.34	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.21	\$0.83	\$45.90	\$5.24	\$35.57	\$24.51
	North Coast	\$64.43	\$7.35	\$40.41	\$27.84	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$0.97	\$0.67	\$62.87	\$7.18	\$39.43	\$27.17
	North Valley	\$80.30	\$9.17	\$63.33	\$43.63	\$ 1.55	\$0.18	\$1.23	\$0.84	\$78.74	\$8.99	\$62.10	\$42.78
	Peninsula	\$20.90	\$2.39	\$16.19	\$11.16	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.20	\$0.83	\$19.34	\$2.21	\$14.99	\$10.33
	Sacramento	\$60.93	\$6.96	\$48.05	\$33.11	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.23	\$0.84	\$59.37	\$6.78	\$46.83	\$32.26
	San Francisco	\$16.89	\$1.93	\$13.09	\$9.02	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.20	\$0.83	\$15.34	\$1.75	\$11.89	\$8.19
	San Jose	\$44.65	\$5.10	\$35.74	\$24.62	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.24	\$0.86	\$43.10	\$4.92	\$34.49	\$23.76
	Sierra	\$66.84	\$7.63	\$52.71	\$36.32	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.23	\$0.84	\$65.29	\$7.45	\$51.49	\$35.47
	Stockton	\$69.90	\$7.98	\$55.94	\$38.54	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.24	\$0.86	\$68.34	\$7.80	\$54.69	\$37.68
	Yosemite	\$42.73	\$4.88	\$34.20	\$23.56	\$1.55	\$0.18	\$1.24	\$0.86	\$41.18	\$4.70	\$32.96	\$22.70
SCE	Dominguez Hills	\$45.91	\$5.24	\$32.93	\$22.69	\$26.09	\$2.98	\$18.71	\$12.89	\$19.82	\$2.26	\$14.21	\$9.79
	Foothills	\$59.90	\$6.84	\$42.96	\$29.59	\$26.09	\$2.98	\$18.71	\$12.89	\$33.80	\$3.86	\$24.24	\$16.70
	Santa Ana	\$55.19	\$6.30	\$39.58	\$27.27	\$26.09	\$2.98	\$18.71	\$12.89	\$29.10	\$3.32	\$20.87	\$14.38
	SCE Rural	\$72.95	\$8.33	\$53.87	\$37.11	\$26.09	\$2.98	\$19.27	\$13.27	\$46.86	\$5.35	\$34.60	\$23.84
	Ventura	\$57.57	\$6.57	\$41.29	\$28.45	\$26.09	\$2.98	\$18.71	\$12.89	\$31.48	\$3.59	\$22.58	\$15.56
SDG&E	SDG&E	\$114.15	\$13.03	\$84.35	\$58.11	\$13.84	\$1.58	\$10.23	\$7.05	\$100.31	\$11.45	\$74.12	\$51.07

Note: assumes 2008 - 2027 project lifespan, 2.5% inflation, 8.93% discount rate, and 2008 \$



Example MPR & TOD



Adopted 2007 Market Price Referents ¹ (Nominal - dollars/kWh)				
Resource Type	10-Year	15-Year	20-Year	
2008 Baseload MPR	0.09271	0.09383	0.09572	
2009 Baseload MPR	0.09302	0.09475	0.09696	
2010 Baseload MPR	0.09357	0.09591	0.09840	
2011 Baseload MPR	0.09412	0.09696	0.09969	
2012 Baseload MPR	0.09518	0.09844	0.10139	
2013 Baseload MPR	0.09605	0.09965	0.10275	
2014 Baseload MPR	0.09722	0.10107	0.10430	
2015 Baseload MPR	0.09872	0.10274	0.10606	
2016 Baseload MPR	0.10053	0.10466	0.10804	
2017 Baseload MPR	0.10269	0.10685	0.11143	
2018 Baseload MPR	0.10478	0.11016	0.11489	
2019 Baseload MPR	0.10818	0.11370	0.11720	
2020 Baseload MPR	0.11172	0.11603	0.11954	

2007 MPR (CPUC)

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Note: using 2008 as the base year, Staff calculates MPRs for 2008-2020 that reflect different project online dates. $\overline{\Gamma}$

2007 TOD (SCE)

IIME of Use Periods ("TOU Periods")						
TOU Period	Summer Jun 1 st – Sep 30 th	Winter Oct 1 st – May 31 st	Applicable Days			
On-Peak	Noon – 6:00 p.m.	Not Applicable.	Weekdays except Holidays.			
8:00 a.m. – Noon Mid-Peak 6:00 p.m. – 11:00 p.m.		The state of the s	Weekdays except Holidays.			
		8:00 a.m 9:00 p.m.	Weekdays except Holidays.			
	11:00 p.m. – 8:00 a.m.	6:00 a.m. – 8:00 a.m.	Weekdays except Holidays.			
Off-Peak	11.00 p.m. – 6.00 a.m.	9:00 p.m. – Midnight	Weekdays except Holidays.			
	Midnight – Midnight	6:00 a.m. – Midnight	Weekends and Holidays			
Super-Off-Peak	Not Applicable.	Midnight – 6:00 a.m.	Weekdays, Weekends and Holidays			

Time of Lice Periods ("TOLL Periods")



2007 MPR Formula



Table 5 – 2007 MPR in Simple Terms (20-year contract starting in 2008)

Line	Component	Cost	Calculation
1	Levelized Gas Price	8.64 / MMBtu	
2	Effective Heat Rate	6,964 Btu/kWh	
3	Fuel Cost	\$60.19 / MWh	1 x 2
4	Variable O&M	\$2.87 / MWh	
5	Line Loss Factor	98.0%	
б	Variable Cost at Load Center	\$64.33 per MWh	(3+4)/5
7	Collateral Requirement	\$0.34 per MWh	
8	Greenhouse Gas Mitigation	\$4.26 per MWh	
9	Total Variable Cost (including externalities)	\$68.94 per MWh	6+7+8
10	Fixed Cost	\$26.79 per MWh	
11	Total MPR Price	\$95.73 per MWh	9+10

2007 MPR = \sim \$95 per MWh x TOD factor (\sim 1.4 for GV profile on SCE)

