		•	
1		CALIFORNIA	
2	ENERGY RESOURC	CES CONSERVATION ORIGINAL	
3	AND DEVELOPM	ENT COMMISSION	
4			
5	CALIFORNIA LIVING & ENERGY (a division of William Lilly & Associates,	Docket Number 08-CRI-01	
6	Inc.) and DUCT TESTERS, INC.,	ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS MASCO	
7	Complainants,	CORPORATION AND ENERGYSENSE, INC. TO	
8	VS.	COMPLAINT/RÉQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION	
9	MASCO CORPORATION and	DOCKET	
10	ENERGYSENSE, INC.,	08-CRI-1	
11	Respondents.	DATE	
12		RECDAUG 2 9 2008	
	Demondente Massa Comparation and Fra	new Canada In a Champing the national to as "Masses"	
13		rgySense, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Masco"	
14	or "EnergySense," respectively, or "respondents") hereby respond to the complaints filed by		
15	California Living & Energy and Duct Testers, Inc. (hereinafter "complainants") as follows:		
16	1. Respondents admit that EnergySense is a subsidiary of Masco Corporation, but deny		
17	that the relationship violates the relevant California Code of Regulations, including but not		

limited to Title 20, sections 1670-1673.
2. Respondents deny that Masco, EnergySense, and/or any other Masco subsidiary are in violation of California Code of Regulations, Title 20, sections 1670-1673 and Title 24, Chapter 7

of the ACM Manual.

3. Respondents deny that Masco and/or its subsidiaries violated the requirements of the 2005 Residential ACM Manual as alleged in part III, paragraphs A through F of the complaint filed by California Living & Energy (respondents understand that Duct Testers, Inc. has simply joined in the complaint filed by California Living & Energy; accordingly, respondents' answer does not specifically address the joinder letter filed by Duct Testers, Inc.).

To the extent not specifically admitted or denied above, respondents hereby deny each and
every remaining material allegation alleged in the complaint.

-1-

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

First Affirmative Defense:

The complainants are entitled to no relief under the facts alleged because the complaint fails to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

Second Affirmative Defense:

The complaint is without merit because all of respondents' alleged actions were lawful and in full compliance with all applicable codes and statutes, including but not limited to California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Section 1673.

Specifically, to the extent EnergySense, Inc. has inspected and/or tested installation work performed by other Masco companies, it has done so within the parameters of the relevant statutes. Section 1673(i) requires a rater to be an "independent entit[y]" from the builder or contractor. An "independent entity" is defined as "having no financial interest in, and not advocating or recommending the use of any product or service as a means of gaining increased business with," a builder or subcontract installer. Cal. Code of Regs. § 1671. A "financial interest" is defined as "an ownership interest, debt agreement, or employer/employee relationship. . . ." Cal. Code of Regs. § 1671.

EnergySense has performed no inspection or testing work directly for Masco Corporation. Rather, EnergySense has inspected and tested installation work for builders through contracts it has entered into with separate Masco subsidiaries, Builder Services Group, Inc. ("BSG"), American National Services, Inc. ("ANS"), and Masco Contractor Services of California, Inc. ("MCS of CA"). Consistent with the terms of the relevant statutes, EnergySense has no financial interest in and operates independently of those Masco subsidiaries:

- There is no direct or indirect ownership or subsidiary relationship between EnergySense and BSG, ANS or MCS of CA.
- EnergySense has no debt agreements with BSG, ANS or MCS of CA.
- EnergySense shares no employees with BSG, ANS or MCS of CA.
- Although EnergySense, BSG, ANS and MCS of CA are fully owned by Masco Corporation, the parent company is a holding company that provides

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT/REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION

1	administrative and high-level corporate governance support.			
2	Moreover, the business relationship between EnergySense and the other Masco			
3	subsidiaries is governed by contract and not by Masco Corporation:			
4	• The contracts EnergySense has entered into with BSG, ANS and MCS of CA			
5	establish EnergySense as an independent contractor.			
6	• Under the terms of the contracts, EnergySense is accountable directly to the			
7	builders for the services it provides.			
8	• The contracts between EnergySense and each of the other three Masco			
9	subsidiaries are not exclusive and provide that neither company is obligated to			
10	use the other.			
11	• The contracts prohibit EnergySense from recommending or referring work to the			
12	other three Masco subsidiaries.			
13	Finally, the thoroughness, accuracy and independence of the rating services performed			
14	by EnergySense are demonstrated and assured by:			
15	The EnergySense raters' accountability to HERS provider California Home			
16	Energy Efficiency Rating Services ("CHEERS") to maintain their individual			
17	certifications.			
18	• Routine monitoring by CHEERS of the testing and inspection results of			
19	EnergySense's raters.			
20	• The actions taken by EnergySense raters to reject installation work performed by			
21	other Masco subsidiaries that failed to meet Title 24 standards.			
22	Third Affirmative Defense:			
23	The complaint is without merit because the actions of the raters employed by			
24	EnergySense were lawful and in full compliance with all applicable codes and statutes,			
25	including but not limited to California Code of Regulations, Title 20, Section 1673. Consistent			
26	with the relevant statutes, the raters are independent entities from the builders and from			
27	contractors such as BSG, ANS or MCS of CA. The raters have no financial interest in the			
28	builders or contractors, including subcontractor installers, and do not advocate the use of any			
	-3-			
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT/REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION			

.

.

2

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 525 MARKET STREET, 26<sup>Th</sup> FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000

•

П

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

product or service as a means of gaining increased business with a builder or contractor.

## Fourth Affirmative Defense:

Respondents currently have insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to whether they may have additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Respondents reserve the right to assert additional defenses in the event that they would be appropriate.

## **REQUEST FOR HEARING**

Pursuant to Article IV, Title 20, Section 1234 of the California Code of Regulations, and in order to protect respondents' due process rights, respondents hereby request a hearing before the Commission of sufficient duration to allow respondents ample opportunity to present and cross-examine witnesses. In order to adequately prepare for the hearing, respondents respectfully request that the hearing commence on or after October 6, 2008. Respondents anticipate the hearing will last several days.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, respondents pray that the Commission:

- Deny complainants' complaint; 1.
- 2. Dismiss the present action with prejudice; and
- 3. Grant such other and further relief as the Commission deems just and proper.

By

Dated: August , 2008

SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

IVOR E. SAMSON (State Bar No. 52767)

JESSICA WOELFEL (State Bar No. 226939) SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 525 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2708 Telephone: (415) 882-5000 Facsimile: (415) 882-0300

Attorneys for Respondents MASCO CORPORATION and ENERGYSENSE, INC.

	1	DECLARATION				
	2	1, DANIO R. BELL, declarc as follows:				
	3	I have read the "Answer of Respondents Masco Corporation and EnergySense, Inc to				
	4	Complaint/Request for Investigation" and know its contents. I declare to the best of my knowledge and under penalty of perjury to the truth and accuracy of all factual allegations				
	5					
	6	contained in this Answer.				
	7	Date: 20/20/06 Dail Refs :Date:				
	8	David R. Bell				
	9	President, EnergySense Inc.				
	10					
	11					
208	12					
FLOOR 94105-2	13					
ET, 26 <sup>th</sup> FLOOR FORMA 94105-270 -5000	14					
	15					
MARKET STRU UNCISCO, CALI (415) 88	16					
525 SAM FRU	17					
	18					
	19					
	20					
	21					
	22 23					
	23 24					
	24 25					
	25 26					
	20 27					
	27					
	20					
		-6- ANSWER TO COMPLAINT/REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION				

	1	DECLARATION		
	2	I, John G. Sznewajs, declare as follows:		
	3	I have read the "Answer of Respondents Masco Corporation and EnergySense, Inc to		
	4	Complaint/Request for Investigation" and know its contents. I declare to the best of my		
	5	knowledge and under penalty of perjury to the truth and accuracy of all factual allegations		
	6	contained in this Answer.		
	7	N Y		
	8	Date: August 28, 2008		
	9	Vice President – Corporate Development,		
	10	Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer		
	11			
708	12			
4105-2	13			
S000	14			
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-2708 (415) 882-5000	15			
NCISCO, (41	16			
AN FRA	17			
Ś	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
		-6- ANSWER TO COMPLAINT/REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION		

•

1	DDOOF	OF SERVICE		
		PROOF OF SERVICE		
2 3	California Living & Energy v. MASCO Corporation ERCDC Docket No. 08-CRI-01			
4	I, Diane Donner, hereby declare:			
5	I am employed in the City and County	of San Francisco, California in the office of a		
6	member of the bar of this court and at whose	direction the following service was made. I am		
7	over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is			
8	Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal, 525 Market Street, 26 <sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, California			
9	94105.			
10	On August 28, 2008, I served the enclosed document, filed electronically with the State			
L1	of California Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, and described as			
12	ANSWER OF RESPONDENTS MASCO CORPORATION AND			
13	<b>ENERGYSENSE, INC. TO COMPLAINT/REQUEST FOR</b>			
14	INVESTIGATION			
15	on the interested parties in this action by place	ng a true copy thereof, on the above date, enclosed		
6	in a sealed envelope, following the ordinary business practice of Sonnenschein Nath &			
17	Rosenthal LLP, addressed as follows:			
18	Bill Lilly, President	Dave Hegarty		
9	California Living & Energy 3015 Dale Court	Duct Testers, Inc. P.O. Box 266		
20	Ceres, CA 95307	Ripon, CA 95366		
21	Carol A. Davis	Galo LeBron, CEO		
22	CHEERS Legal Counsel	Energy Inspectors		
23	3009 Palos Verdes Drive West Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274	1036 Commerce Street, Suite B San Marco, CA 93078		
24				
25	John Richau, HERS Rater Certified Energy Consulting	Mike Hodgson ConSol		
26	4782 N. Fruit Avenue Fresno, CA 93705	7407 Tam O'Shanter Drive Stockton, CA 95210-3370		
27				
28				
·				

.

.

	1		Bachand	Randel Riedel	
	2			1000 Broadway, Suite 410	
	3				
	4				
	5				
	6				
	7				
	8				
	9		U.S. MAIL: I am personally and readily familiar with the business practice of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, pursuant to which mail placed for collection at designated stations in the ordinary course of business is deposited the same day, proper postage prepaid, with the United States Postal Service.		
	10				
	11				
'n	12	FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION: Traused such document to be sent by facsing			
	13		transmission at the above-listed fax number for the party.		
(415) 882-5000	14 15	envelope with delivery fees provided for and deposited in a facility regular			
(415)	16	HAND DELIVERY: I caused such document to be served by hand delivery.			
ŝ	17	ITAND DELIVERT. Teaused such document to be served by hand derivery.			
ò	18	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the			
	19	foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on August 28, 2008, at			
	20	San Francisco, California.			
	21			2	
	22	Draw Uni Don			
	23	DIANE VIVIAN DONNER			
	24				
	25	[27282008]			
	26				
	27				
	28				
			PROOF C	OF SERVICE	

Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 525 Market Street, 26<sup>m</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 882-5000

.

L