

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION**

**DOCKET**

**07-OIIP-1**

DATE MAR 04 2008

RECD. MAR 05 2008

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement the Commission's Procurement Incentive Framework and to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas Emission Standards into Procurement Policies.

Rulemaking 06-04-009  
(Filed April 13, 2006)

Order Instituting Informational Proceeding – AB 32.

CEC Docket No. 07-OIIP-01

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER AUTHORITY  
REPLY COMMENT**

Norman A. Pedersen, Esq.  
HANNA AND MORTON LLP  
444 South Flower Street, Suite 1500  
Los Angeles, California 90071-2916  
Telephone: (213) 430-2510  
Facsimile: (213) 623-3379  
E-mail: [npedersen@hanmor.com](mailto:npedersen@hanmor.com)

Attorney for the **SOUTHERN  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER  
AUTHORITY**

Dated: March 4, 2008

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Statutes</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Other Authorities .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>I. AUCTION PROCEEDS ARE NOT “FEES” AUTHORIZED BY AB 32 .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. SDG&amp;E/SOCALGAS AND PG&amp;E’S ADVOCACY FOR DISPARATE TREATMENT OF THE NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY SECTORS RESTS ON A FACTUAL ERROR. ....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>III. THE ARGUMENT THAT NEW SUPPLY/SIDE MANDATES SHOULD NOT BE ADOPTED SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH A CAP-AND-TRADE PROGRAM FAILS TO RECOGNIZE THAT ADOPTION OF THE “DELIVERER” APPROACH REDUCES INTEREST IN EMISSION REDUCTIONS FOR RETAIL PROVIDERS THAT ARE NOT FULLY RESOURCED .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>IV. ARGUMENTS THAT ALLOWANCES SHOULD BE ALLOCATED TO LOAD SERVING ENTITIES FOR SUBSEQUENT AUCTION ARE INAPPROPRIATE AND ERRONEOUS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>V. CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>5</b>

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### **Statutes**

Cal. H & S Code § 38597 .....	1
R.06-04-009 (Feb. 2, 2007) .....	4

### **Other Authorities**

Assembly Daily Journal at 7646 (Aug. 31, 2006) .....	2
--	---

**SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER AUTHORITY  
REPLY COMMENT**

In accordance with Rule 14.3 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC”), the Southern California Public Power Authority (“SCPPA”) respectfully submits this reply comment to address factual and legal errors in the February 28, 2008 opening comments of other parties regarding the Proposed Decision (“PD”) mailed on February 8, 2008. This reply comment is being submitted simultaneously to both the CPUC and the California Energy Commission (“CEC”) (jointly, “Commissions”).

**I. AUCTION PROCEEDS ARE NOT “FEES” AUTHORIZED BY AB 32.**

The PD would require “deliverers” of electricity to participate in a multi-sector cap-and-trade market. As a result “deliverers” of electricity would be required to hold allowances to cover the emissions associated with the electricity that they deliver to the California grid. The PD concludes that “at least some portion of the allowances available to [deliverers] should be auctioned.” PD at 85.

The prospect of allowances being auctioned raises a question about the legality of an auction and the collection of auction proceeds by the State. In their opening comment, San Diego Gas & Electric Company (“SDG&E”) and Southern California Gas Company (“SoCalGas”) contend that “auctioned revenues are legally a fee....” SDG&E/SoCalGas at 7. This implies that auctioning is authorized under Assembly Bill (“AB”) 32. AB 32 specifically provides for the Air Resources Board (“ARB”) to adopt “a schedule of *fees* to be paid by the sources of greenhouse gas emissions regulated pursuant to this division, consistent with Section 57001.” Cal. H & S Code § 38597 (emphasis added).

However, the “fees” that ARB may impose under Cal. H & S Code § 38597 do not encompass auction proceeds. The ARB’s “schedule of fees” must be “consistent with Section 57001....” Health & Safety Code § 57001 covers administrative fees, not auction proceeds. This was carefully explained in a letter submitted to the Assembly Daily Journal on August 31, 2006 by Speaker Nuñez. The Speaker explained: “AB 32 authorizes the California Air Resources Board to adopt a schedule of fees for the direct cost of administering the ... programs established pursuant to the bill’s provisions. It is my intent that any funds provided by Health and Safety Code Section 38597 (the part of AB 32 that authorizes the schedule of fees) be used solely for the direct costs incurred in administering this division.” Assembly Daily Journal at

7646 (Aug. 31, 2006) (<http://www.assembly.ca.gov/clerk/billslegislature/srchframe.htm>). This interpretation of section 38597 was reiterated by the Director of the ARB Office of Climate Change, Chuck Schulock, at the ARB “mechanisms” workshop in Oakland, California, on January 16, 2008.

The reason that Speaker Nuñez in ARB carefully interpreted section 38597 to not include auction proceeds was to assure that AB 32 would not be seen as being a revenue generating statute. If AB 32 were a revenue generating statute, it would have been required to be approved by two-thirds vote of the Legislature. Article XIII A of the California Constitution provides that “any changes in State taxes enacted for the purpose of increasing revenues ... must be imposed by an Act passed by not less than two-thirds of all members elected to each of the two houses of the legislature.” AB 32 was not passed by a two-thirds vote.

Insofar as auction revenues are *not* fees, the generation of auction revenue is not explicitly authorized by AB 32. SCPPA recommends that the Commissions reevaluate the legality of auctioning allowances as contemplated in the PD, given the narrow scope of section 38597.

Certainly, the generation of auction proceeds could be authorized by subsequent legislation. The PD recognizes that “additional legislative authority may be needed to achieve some of the objectives” of the PD. PD at 3. However, any legislation approving the auctioning of allowances would likely be interpreted as a measure enacted “for the purposes of increasing revenues” within Article XIII A of the California Constitution, in which case a two-thirds vote of the Legislature would be required. As contemplated in the PD, “deliverers” as well as all other participants in the anticipated multi-sector cap-and-trade program would be required to acquire allowances. The Green Power Institute (“GPI”) observes that the payments for allowances would be “a quasi carbon tax....” GPI at 3-4. To the extent to which the requirement that all cap-and-trade program participants hold allowances to cover their emissions, the imposition of an auction on them would be likely to be interpreted as the imposition of a revenue generating mechanism which would require a two-thirds vote of the Legislature.

## **II. SDG&E/SOCALGAS AND PG&E’S ADVOCACY FOR DISPARATE TREATMENT OF THE NATURAL GAS AND ELECTRICITY SECTORS RESTS ON A FACTUAL ERROR.**

Both SDG&E/SoCalGas and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (“PG&E”) support including the electric sector in a multi-sector cap-and-trade system while excluding the natural

gas sector. SDG&E/SoCalGas at 2; PG&E at 2, 7. Their support for divergent treatment of the gas and electric sectors rests on the supposition that the sectors are different in how they would achieve GHG reductions. They assume: “First and foremost, there are significantly fewer options at this time to reduce GHG emissions in the natural gas sector.” PD at 107.

The assumption that there are “fewer options” to reduce GHG emissions in the gas sector is a factually erroneous basis for treating the gas and electric sectors differently. Both sectors would attain GHG emission reductions by implementing energy efficiency measures and by relying more heavily on renewable resources. The combination of energy efficiency and renewable resources is just as available to the natural gas sector as the electric sector. The Natural Resources Defense Council (“NRDC”) noted that just as energy efficiency and renewables will be the primary source of emission reductions in the electric sector, “energy efficiency, solar water and space heating, biomethane, and combined heat and power” will be available to the natural gas sector. NRDC at 3.

If the natural gas sector is not to be required to participate in the multi-sector cap-and-trade program as advocated by SDG&E/SoCalGas and PG&E, then there certainly should be an option for retail providers that are also deliverers of electricity to have an option to exclude emissions associated with their deliveries for service to native load from the multi-sector cap-and-trade program. Such an option would parallel permitting local distribution companies (“LDCs”) to exclude the emissions associated with their deliveries of gas from the multi-sector cap-and-trade program. SCPPA and the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (“LADWP”) proposed in their opening comments that electric sector retail providers that are also “deliverers” of electricity to serve native load should be permitted an option to exclude the emissions associated with those deliveries from operation of the cap-and-trade program.

SCPPA and LADWP also proposed that there be a cap on a retail provider’s emissions associated with deliveries to service to native load, with the cap declining over time. If the natural gas sector is excluded from the cap-and-trade program as proposed by SDG&E/SoCalGas and by PG&E, then there should be a declining cap on an LDC’s emissions associated with service to the LDC’s native load similar to the cap that SCPPA and LADWP have proposed on emissions associated with deliveries that are dedicated to serve a retail provider’s native load.

### **III. THE ARGUMENT THAT NEW SUPPLY/SIDE MANDATES SHOULD NOT BE ADOPTED SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH A CAP-AND-TRADE PROGRAM FAILS TO RECOGNIZE THAT ADOPTION OF THE “DELIVERER”**

## **APPROACH REDUCES INTEREST IN EMISSION REDUCTIONS FOR RETAIL PROVIDERS THAT ARE NOT FULLY RESOURCED.**

SDG&E/SoCalGas argue that “new supply-side mandates should not be simultaneously adopted with the cap-and-trade program.” SDG&E/SoCalGas at 4. Particularly, SDG&E/SoCalGas argue that the Commissions “should not recommend to ARB that it is necessary to adopt new state mandated RPS levels.” *Ibid* at 5. It seems that the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (“DRA”) agrees. DRA contends that “more restrictive regulatory mandates could result in fewer benefits from the inclusion of the electricity sector in the California cap-and-trade program.” DRA at 4.

It may be true that additional RPS requirements or energy efficiency requirements are not necessary for retail providers that are fully-resourced and which, as a consequence, would be “deliverers” for all or most of the electricity that they provide to their customers. To the extent to which retail providers are also “deliverers,” they would be required to acquire allowances to cover their emissions and, accordingly, would have an incentive to reduce emissions to reduce the cost of allowances.

The southern California publicly-owned utilities (“POUs”) that are members of SCPPA tend to be fully resourced. Thus, for them, it may be unnecessary to impose both programmatic mandates and a requirement that they participate in the cap-and-trade program. However, California investor-owned utilities (“IOUs”) are *not* fully resourced. As a legacy of AB 1890 and “deregulation” of the California electricity market, a much more substantial portion of the electricity that IOUs provide to their native load is acquired through the wholesale market.

Under the PD, the IOUs would not be required to participate in the cap-and-trade program to acquire allowances to cover the emissions associated with the portion of electricity they acquire through wholesale transactions in California. Insofar as the IOUs would be “deliverers” of electricity for a much smaller percentage of electricity that they serve to their native load in comparison to POUs, IOUs will have a diminished incentive to implement energy efficiency and renewable resource programs. CPUC President Peevey observed: “If we were to take a source-based approach and apply emissions caps only to generators, then it would be much more difficult to integrate energy efficiency and renewables policies into our overall climate strategy.” Scoping Memo at 9, R.06-04-009 (Feb. 2, 2007). For the IOUs that tend to be far less than fully-resourced, it is necessary to have robust programmatic mandates as envisioned

in the PD, although the programmatic mandates would be far less necessary for the POUs that may be included in the PD's cap-and-trade program.

#### **IV. ARGUMENTS THAT ALLOWANCES SHOULD BE ALLOCATED TO LOAD SERVING ENTITIES FOR SUBSEQUENT AUCTION ARE INAPPROPRIATE AND ERRONEOUS.**

SDG&E/SoCalGas contend that the PD should be modified to provide that if there is an auction, there should be a "allocation to LSEs, with a subsequent non-discriminatory auction by or on behalf of LSEs of all allowances to first deliverers." SDG&E/SoCalGas at 6. PG&E supports the same methodology, although PG&E does not advocate revising the PD.

The SDG&E/SoCalGas proposal for modification of the PD to provide for an administrative allocation of allowances to load-serving entities ("LSEs") with a subsequent auction to "deliverers" that, under the PD, would be required to participate in a cap-and-trade program is entirely inappropriate. The proposal descends to a level of detail about auctioning allowances that is not reached in the PD. Moreover, the proposal is substantively unfair and, as such, should be categorically rejected. The underlying assumption is that there would be an administrative allocation of allowances to LSEs on a basis of their retail sales or "output." If the LSEs were then to resell the allowances to the "deliverers" that would be required to participate in the cap-and-trade program and hold allowances as envisioned in the PD, there would be a massive transfer of wealth from retail providers that have a relatively low carbon intensity such as PG&E to retail providers that are also "deliverers" which currently have a higher carbon intensity such as the SCPPA members. *See* SCPPA Supplement to Opening Comment and Reply Comment on Allowance Allocation Issues at 4-6 (November 14, 2007).

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth herein and in SCPPA's February 28, 2008 opening comment, SCPPA recommends that the PD be revised as SCPPA proposed in its opening comment.

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Norman A. Pedersen*

---

Norman A. Pedersen, Esq.

Attorney for the **SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
PUBLIC POWER AUTHORITY**

Dated: March 4, 2008



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the **SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER AUTHORITY REPLY COMMENT** on the service list for CPUC Docket No. R.06-04-009 and CEC Docket No. 07-OIIP-01 by serving a copy to each party by electronic mail and/or by mailing a properly addressed copy by first-class mail with postage prepaid.

Executed on March 4, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

*/s/ Sylvia Cantos*

---

Sylvia Cantos

**R.06-04-009 SERVICE LIST  
DOCKET 07-OHP-01 SERVICE LIST**

abb@eslawfirm.com  
abonds@thelen.com  
achang@nrdc.org  
adamb@greenlining.org  
aeg@cpuc.ca.gov  
agc@cpuc.ca.gov  
agrimaldi@mckennalong.com  
ahendrickson@commerceenergy.com  
aimee.barnes@ecosecurities.com  
ajkatz@mwe.com  
akbar.jazayeri@sce.com  
akelly@climatetrust.org  
alan.comnes@nrgenergy.com  
aldyn.hoekstra@paceglobal.com  
alex.kang@itron.com  
alho@pge.com  
amber@ethree.com  
andrew.bradford@constellation.com  
andrew.mcallister@energycenter.org  
andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com  
anita.hart@swgas.com  
annabelle.malins@fco.gov.uk  
apak@sempraglobal.com  
arno@recurrentenergy.com  
atrial@sempra.com  
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com  
Audra.Hartmann@Dynegy.com  
aweller@sel.com  
ayk@cpuc.ca.gov  
bbaker@summitblue.com  
bbc@cpuc.ca.gov  
bbeebe@smud.org  
bblevins@energy.state.ca.us  
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com  
bdicapo@caiso.com  
bernardo@braunlegal.com  
beth@beth411.com  
Betty.Seto@kema.com  
bill.chen@constellation.com  
bill.schrand@swgas.com  
bjeider@ci.burbank.ca.us  
bjl@bry.com  
bjones@mjb Bradley.com  
bkc7@pge.com  
blm@cpuc.ca.gov  
bmcc@mccarthy law.com

[bmcquown@reliant.com](mailto:bmcquown@reliant.com)  
[Bob.lucas@calobby.com](mailto:Bob.lucas@calobby.com)  
[bpotts@foley.com](mailto:bpotts@foley.com)  
[bpurewal@water.ca.gov](mailto:bpurewal@water.ca.gov)  
[brabe@umich.edu](mailto:brabe@umich.edu)  
brbarkovich@earthlink.net  
brbc@pge.com  
brenda.lemay@horizonwind.com  
burtraw@rff.org  
bushinskyj@pewclimate.org  
bwallerstein@aqmd.gov  
bwetstone@hotmail.com  
C\_Marnay@lbl.gov  
cadams@covantaenergy.com  
californiadockets@pacificorp.com  
carla.peterman@gmail.com  
carter@ieta.org  
case.admin@sce.com  
cathy.karlstad@sce.com  
cbaskette@enernoc.com  
cbreidenich@yahoo.com  
cchen@ucsusa.org  
cem@newsdata.com  
cf1@cpuc.ca.gov  
cft@cpuc.ca.gov  
charlie.blair@delta-ee.com  
chilen@sppc.com  
cjlw5@pge.com  
ckmitchell1@sbcglobal.net  
ckrupka@mwe.com  
clarence.binninger@doj.ca.gov  
clark.bernier@rlw.com  
clyde.murley@comcast.net  
cmkehrrein@ems-ca.com  
colin.petheram@att.com  
cpe@cpuc.ca.gov  
cpechman@powereconomics.com  
cswoolums@midamerican.com  
curt.barry@iwpnews.com  
curtis.kebler@gs.com  
cweddington@commerceenergy.com  
Cynthia.A.Fonner@constellation.com  
cynthia.schultz@pacificorp.com  
daking@sempra.com  
Dan.adler@calcef.org  
danskopec@gmail.com

dansvec@hdo.net  
dave@ppallc.com  
david.zonana@doj.ca.gov  
david@branchcomb.com  
david@nemtzw.com  
davidreynolds@ncpa.com  
dbrooks@nevp.com  
deborah.slone@doj.ca.gov  
dehling@kling.com  
derek@climaterestory.org  
dhecht@sempratrading.com  
dhuard@manatt.com  
Diane\_Fellman@fpl.com  
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net  
dil@cpuc.ca.gov  
dkk@eslawfirm.com  
dks@cpuc.ca.gov  
dmacmull@water.ca.gov  
dmetz@energy.state.ca.us  
dniehaus@semprautilities.com  
douglass@energyattorney.com  
dseperas@calpine.com  
dsh@cpuc.ca.gov  
dsoyars@sppc.com  
dtibbs@aes4u.com  
dwang@nrdc.org  
dwood8@cox.net  
dws@r-c-s-inc.com  
echiang@elementmarkets.com  
edm@cpuc.ca.gov  
edwardoneill@dwt.com  
egw@a-klaw.com  
ehadley@reupower.com  
ej\_wright@oxy.com  
ek@a-klaw.com  
ekgrubaugh@iid.com  
eks@cpuc.ca.gov  
ELL5@pge.com  
elvine@lbl.gov  
emahlon@ecoact.org  
emello@sppc.com  
epoole@adplaw.com  
e-recipient@caiso.com  
etiedemann@kmtg.com  
ewolfe@resero.com  
ez@pointcarbon.com  
farrokh.albuyeh@oati.net  
fiji.george@elpaso.com  
filings@a-klaw.com  
fjs@cpuc.ca.gov

fstern@summitblue.com  
fwmonier@tid.org  
gbarch@knowledgeinenergy.com  
gblue@enxco.com  
gcollord@arb.ca.gov  
george.hopley@barcap.com  
ghinners@reliant.com  
GloriaB@anzaelectric.org  
glw@eslawfirm.com  
gmorris@emf.net  
gpickering@navigantconsulting.com  
gregory.koiser@constellation.com  
grosenblum@caiso.com  
gsmith@adamsbroadwell.com  
GXL2@pge.com  
harveyederpspc.org@hotmail.com  
hayley@turn.org  
hchronin@water.ca.gov  
hgolub@nixonpeabody.com  
hoerner@redefiningprogress.org  
hurlock@water.ca.gov  
HYao@SempraUtilities.com  
hym@cpuc.ca.gov  
info@calseia.org  
Jairam.gopal@sce.com  
james.keating@bp.com  
janill.richards@doj.ca.gov  
jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com  
jason.dubchak@niskags.com  
jbf@cpuc.ca.gov  
jbw@slwplc.com  
jchamberlin@strategicenergy.com  
jci@cpuc.ca.gov  
JDF1@PGE.COM  
jdh@eslawfirm.com  
jdoll@arb.ca.gov  
jeanne.sole@sfgov.org  
jeffgray@dwt.com  
jen@cnt.org  
jenine.schenk@apses.com  
jennifer.porter@energycenter.org  
JerryL@abag.ca.gov  
jesus.arredondo@nrgenergy.com  
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov  
jgill@caiso.com  
jgreco@terra-genpower.com  
jhahn@covantaenergy.com  
jholtkamp@hollandhart.com  
jimross@r-c-s-inc.com  
jj.prucnal@swgas.com

jjensen@kirkwood.com  
jk1@cpuc.ca.gov  
jkarp@winston.com  
jkloberdanz@semprautilities.com  
jlaun@apogee.net  
jleslie@luce.com  
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com  
jm3@cpuc.ca.gov  
jnm@cpuc.ca.gov  
jody\_london\_consulting@earthlink.net  
Joe.paul@dynegey.com  
john.hughes@sce.com  
johnredding@earthlink.net  
jol@cpuc.ca.gov  
josephhenri@hotmail.com  
joyw@mid.org  
jsanders@caiso.com  
jscancarelli@flk.com  
jsqueri@gmsr.com  
jst@cpuc.ca.gov  
jtp@cpuc.ca.gov  
julie.martin@bp.com  
jwiedman@goodinmacbride.com  
jwmctarnaghan@duanemorris.com  
jwmctarnaghan@duanemorris.com  
jxa2@pge.com  
karen@klindh.com  
karla.dailey@cityofpaloalto.org  
Kathryn.Wig@nrgenergy.com  
kbowen@winston.com  
kcolburn@symbioticstrategies.com  
kdusel@navigantconsulting.com  
kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com  
keith.mccrea@sablauw.com  
kellie.smith@sen.ca.gov  
kelly.barr@srpnet.com  
ken.alex@doj.ca.gov  
ken.alex@doj.ca.gov  
kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com  
kerry.hattevik@mirant.com  
kevin.boudreaux@calpine.com  
kfox@wsgr.com  
kgough@calpine.com  
kgrenfell@nrdc.org  
kgriffin@energy.state.ca.us  
kjinnoation@earthlink.net  
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com  
kkhoja@thelenreid.com  
klatt@energyattorney.com  
kmills@cbbf.com

kmkiener@fox.net  
kowalewskia@calpine.com  
krd@cpuc.ca.gov  
kyle.l.davis@pacificorp.com  
kyle.silon@ecosecurities.com  
kyle\_boudreaux@fpl.com  
lars@resource-solutions.org  
Laura.Genao@sce.com  
lcottle@winston.com  
ldecarlo@energy.state.ca.us  
leilani.johnson@ladwp.com  
liddell@energyattorney.com  
lisa.c.schwartz@state.or.us  
lisa\_weinzimer@platts.com  
llorenz@semprautilities.com  
llund@commerceenergy.com  
lmh@eslawfirm.com  
Lorraine.Paskett@ladwp.com  
lpark@navigantconsulting.com  
lrdevanna-rf@cleanenergysystems.com  
lrm@cpuc.ca.gov  
lschavrien@semprautilities.com  
ltenhope@energy.state.ca.us  
ltt@cpuc.ca.gov  
marcel@turn.org  
marcie.milner@shell.com  
mary.lynch@constellation.com  
mclaughlin@braunlegal.com  
mday@goodinmacbride.com  
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com  
mflorio@turn.org  
mgarcia@arb.ca.gov  
mgillette@enernoc.com  
mhyams@swater.org  
Mike@alpinenaturalgas.com  
mjd@cpuc.ca.gov  
mmattes@nossaman.com  
mmazur@3phasesRenewables.com  
mona@landsiteinc.net  
monica.schwebs@bingham.com  
mpa@a-klaw.com  
mpryor@energy.state.ca.us  
mrw@mrwassoc.com  
mscheibl@arb.ca.gov  
mwaugh@arb.ca.gov  
nenbar@energy-insights.com  
ner@cpuc.ca.gov  
nes@a-klaw.com  
nlenssen@energy-insights.com  
norman.furuta@navy.mil

notice@psrec.coop  
npedersen@hanmor.com  
nsuetake@turn.org  
ntronaas@energy.state.ca.us  
nwhang@manatt.com  
obartho@smud.org  
obystrom@cera.com  
ofoote@hkcf-law.com  
pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us  
pburmich@arb.ca.gov  
pduvair@energy.state.ca.us  
pepper@cleanpowermarkets.com  
phanschén@mofo.com  
Philip.H.Carver@state.or.us  
philm@scdenergy.com  
pjazayeri@stroock.com  
ppettingill@caiso.com  
psp@cpuc.ca.gov  
pssed@adelphia.net  
pstoner@lgc.org  
pthompson@summitblue.com  
pvallen@thelen.com  
pw1@cpuc.ca.gov  
pzs@cpuc.ca.gov  
rachel@ceert.org  
ralph.dennis@constellation.com  
ram@cpuc.ca.gov  
randy.howard@ladwp.com  
randy.sable@swgas.com  
rapcowart@aol.com  
ray.welch@navigantconsulting.com  
rhelgeson@scppa.org  
RHHJ@pge.com  
rhwiser@lbl.gov  
richards@mid.org  
rick\_noger@praxair.com  
rita@ritanortonconsulting.com  
rkeen@manatt.com  
rkmoore@gswater.com  
rmccann@umich.edu  
rmiller@energy.state.ca.us  
rmm@cpuc.ca.gov  
rmorillo@ci.burbank.ca.us  
robert.pettinato@ladwp.com  
Robert.Rozanski@ladwp.com  
roger.montgomery@swgas.com  
rogerv@mid.org  
ron.deaton@ladwp.com  
rprince@semprautilities.com  
reinhard@mofo.com

rrtaylor@srpnet.com  
rsa@a-klaw.com  
rschmidt@bartlewells.com  
rsmutny-jones@caiso.com  
rwinthrop@pilotpowergroup.com  
ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com  
S1L7@pge.com  
saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov  
samuel.r.sadler@state.or.us  
sandra.carolina@swgas.com  
Sandra.ely@state.nm.us  
sas@a-klaw.com  
sasteriadis@apx.com  
sbeatty@cwclaw.com  
sberlin@mccarthyllaw.com  
sbeserra@sbcglobal.net  
scarter@nrdc.org  
scohn@smud.org  
scott.tomashefsky@ncpa.com  
scottanders@sandiego.edu  
scr@cpuc.ca.gov  
sdhilton@stoel.com  
SEHC@pge.com  
sellis@fypower.org  
sendo@ci.pasadena.ca.us  
sephra.ninow@energycenter.org  
sgm@cpuc.ca.gov  
slins@ci.glendale.ca.us  
sls@a-klaw.com  
smichel@westernresources.org  
smindel@knowledgeinenergy.com  
smk@cpuc.ca.gov  
snewsom@semprautilities.com  
spauker@wsgr.com  
sscb@pge.com  
ssmyers@att.net  
steve.koerner@elpaso.com  
steve@schiller.com  
stevek@kromer.com  
steven.huhman@morganstanley.com  
steven.schleimer@barclayscapital.com  
steven@iepa.com  
steven@lipmanconsulting.com  
steven@moss.net  
svn@cpuc.ca.gov  
svongdeuane@semprasolutions.com  
svs6@pge.com  
tam@cpuc.ca.gov  
tburke@sfwater.org  
tcarlson@reliant.com

tcx@cpuc.ca.gov  
tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com  
tdillard@sppc.com  
THAMILTON5@CHARTER.NET  
thunt@cecmail.org  
tiffany.rau@bp.com  
tim.hemig@nrgenergy.com  
todil@mckennalong.com  
Tom.Elgie@powerex.com  
tomb@crossborderenergy.com  
tomk@mid.org  
trdill@westernhubs.com  
troberts@sempra.com  
UHelman@caiso.com

vb@pointcarbon.com  
vitaly.lee@aes.com  
vjw3@pge.com  
vprabhakaran@goodinmacbride.com  
vwelch@environmentaldefense.org  
wbooth@booth-law.com  
westgas@aol.com  
william.tomlinson@elpaso.com  
wsm@cpuc.ca.gov  
wtasat@arb.ca.gov  
www@eslawfirm.com  
wynne@braunlegal.com  
ygross@sempraglobal.com  
zaiontj@bp.com