LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

February 1, 2008

650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925 Tel: (714) 540-1235 Fax: (714) 755-8290

www.lw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES

Barcelona New Jersey Brussels New York

Chicago

Northern Virginia

Frankfurt Hamburg Orange County Paris

Hong Kong

San Diego

London

San Francisco

Los Angeles Madrid

Shanghai Silicon Valley

Milan

Singapore Tokyo

Moscow Munich

Washington, D.C.

File No. 030137-0012

VIA FEDEX

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 07-AFC-3 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4

Sacramento, California 95814-5512

Re: CPV Sentinel Energy Project: Docket No. 07-AFC-3

Dear Sir/Madam:

Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210, enclosed herewith for filing please find Applicant's Status Report #2.

Please note that the enclosed submittal was also filed today via electronic mail to your attention.

Very truly yours,

Paul E. Kihm Senior Paralegal

Enclosure

Michael J. Carroll, Esq. (w/encl.) cc:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 07-AFC-3
Application for Certification, for the CPV SENTINEL ENERGY PROJECT by CPV Sentinel, LLC)))	APPLICANT'S STATUS REPORT #2
	_)	

Applicant hereby submits its Status Report #2.

Water Supply Plan

On December 21, 2007, California Energy Commission ("CEC") staff sent a letter to Applicant expressing reservations about whether or not the project's water supply plan, as set forth in the Application for Certification ("AFC"), complied with existing policy regarding the use of fresh inland water for cooling. In response, and without conceding that the initial proposal fails to comply with existing policy, Applicant is in the process of developing an alternative water supply plan to address concerns raised by staff. Applicant discussed the alternative water supply plan in general terms with staff and other parties during an issue resolution workshop on January 24, 2008. Applicant is in the process of developing a supplement to the AFC that will explain the alternative water supply plan in detail, and expects to submit the supplement within the next two to three weeks.

Preliminary Determination of Compliance

Applicant has been in regular communication with the South Coast Air Quality Management District ("SCAQMD") regarding the status of the Preliminary Determination of Compliance ("PDOC") for the project. While SCAQMD staff has not provided a firm date for issuance of the PDOC, Applicant notes that general issues pertaining to the implementation of SCAQMD Rule 1309.1 – Priority Reserve have recently been resolved, and the SCAQMD has begun to issue PDOCs and Final Determinations of Compliance for other projects in the queue. SCAQMD staff has indicated to CEC staff that it expects to issue determinations at a rate of one every two to three weeks. Based on this schedule, Applicant expects a PDOC for the project within the next four to six weeks.

Status of Litigation Related to SCAQMD Rule 1309.1 – Priority Reserve

Petitioners NRDC et al. filed two separate petitions for writ of mandate under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") challenging the SCAQMD's actions to grant certain proposed power plants access to a bank of emission offsets called the Priority Reserve.

The first petition challenged the SCAQMD's use of a CEQA exemption for thermal power plants, alleging that the exemption was not applicable and that SCAQMD should have prepared an Environmental Assessment ("EA") under their certified regulatory program to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of the SCAQMD's actions. So as not to exacerbate the current energy crisis in Southern California by delaying proposed power plants' access to the Priority Reserve, the SCAQMD prepared an EA. Accordingly, the first petition has since been dismissed as moot.

The second petition (Superior Court, County of Los Angeles - Central District, Case No. BS110792) challenges the adequacy of the EA. The petition was filed on August 31, 2007 and a trial date has been set for April 11, 2008. Petitioners opening brief was filed on January 22, 2008, with briefing expected to be completed by March 28, 2008. The hearing on the merits is scheduled for April 11. 2008. Also, Real Parties in Interest Inland Energy, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, and Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District filed a demurrer to the petition based on failure to join indispensable parties. This demurrer could resolve this litigation; the court is scheduled to hear this demurrer on February 26, 2008.

Discovery Status

Please see the attached table summarizing the status of Applicant's responses to pending data requests.

DATED: February 1, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Carroll

of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Counsel to Applicant

SENTINEL ENERGY PROJECT Data Requests Tracking Table

February 1, 2008

Please provide Riverside (consistency with its Gener County's opinion, a varian Riverside County would re Please provide a copy of t Agreement (LGIA) betwee	staff. Please provide Riverside County's position consistency with its General Plan and Zon Please provide written confirmation from F County's opinion, a variance could be grangiverside County would require were it the Riverside County would require were it the Please provide a copy of the final execute Agreement (LGIA) between the CPV Sent Please provide a copy of the final execute Agreement (LGIA) between the CPV Sent Provide SCE's Operational study report by generation output under 2010 system con on the grid with a selected mitigation plan.	staff: Please provide Rive consistency with its Please provide writt County's opinion, a Riverside County wo Please provide a co Agreement (LGIA) b Provide SCE's Oper generation output ur on the grid with a se Please provide a co
County's position on the proposed project's al Plan and Zoning Ordinance. Ifirmation from Riverside County whether, in the ce could be granted and if so, what conditions equire were it the permitting agency. The final executed Large Generator Interconnection the CPV Sentinel owner and the California ISO.	when these conditions would become available to when these conditions would become available to de County's position on the proposed project's neral Plan and Zoning Ordinance. confirmation from Riverside County whether, in the iance could be granted and if so, what conditions direquire were it the permitting agency. I require were it the permitting agency. of the final executed Large Generator Interconnection ween the CPV Sentinel owner and the California ISO. onal study report based on CPV Sentinel's net 850 MW or 2010 system conditions to assess potential impacts ted mitigation plan.	provide a timeline as to when these conditions would become available to staff. Please provide Riverside County's position on the proposed project's consistency with its General Plan and Zoning Ordinance. Please provide written confirmation from Riverside County whether, in the County's opinion, a variance could be granted and if so, what conditions Riverside County would require were it the permitting agency. Please provide a copy of the final executed Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (LGIA) between the CPV Sentinel owner and the California ISO. Provide SCE's Operational study report based on CPV Sentinel's net 850 MW generation output under 2010 system conditions to assess potential impacts on the grid with a selected mitigation plan. Please provide a copy of the final construction SWPPP.
nty whether, in the , what conditions gency. Same as DR 17 gency. LGIA not yet executed.	oon O.	MW O.
		erator Interconnection and the California ISO. Sentinel's net 850 MW ess potential impacts
	_	Sentinel's net 850 MW ess potential impacts

	 j) Historical monthly irrigation records and/or average monthly irrigation rates (provide monthly breakdown of supply sources if reclaimed water is not sole source).
	f) Identify the underlying aquifer formations using geologic cross-section(s).
	 e) Include a surface map of an appropriate scale of the site(s) location and a description of current recharge rate, recharge capacity, hydrology, and hydrogeology.
	 d) Discuss the legal authority of the MSWD to sell wastewater on a retail basis and for the project.
	 b) Outline the current and future service area of the WWTP on an appropriately scaled map.
Some information requested from MSWD remains outstanding. May be rendered moot by alternative water supply plan.	50. Please provide a description of the site-specific hydrologic and geologic conditions of the Horton WWTP percolation site. The purpose of this request is to obtain information necessary to assess the hydrologic effect of the percolation. Please include the following information:
	f) The effect of the following on the available water supply over the life of the project: (1) the recent Ninth Circuit Court ruling; (2) single dry and multiple dry years; and (3) increased water supply demand as the regions population and economy grow.
	 e) Data documenting all of the historical deliveries to the Sub-basin over the last 10 years.
	 d) The DWA's estimated annual delivery over the life of the project, based on the DWR's 2005 Final State Water Project Delivery Reliability Report.
	 c) Citations from the CRA, SWP, and other water agency planning documents to support the Item 'b' conclusions.
	 The amount of CRA water, SWP water, and recycled water that can obtained reliably on a month-to-month and year-to-year basis.
	 The amount of SWP water required for exchange of CRA water.
Outstanding. May be rendered moot by alternative water supply plan.	42. Please discuss in detail the reliability for recharging the water supply to the Sub-basin from sources including CRA water, SWP water, and recycled water. This detailed discussion should include:
Status	Data Requests # and Text

k) Please discuss the volume of wastewater expected to be produced during 2007 and 2008 and how much of that water will be used for groundwater recharge through percolation.	Data Requests # and Text
	Status

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 07-AFC-3
Application for Certification, for the CPV SENTINEL ENERGY PROJECT)	ELECTRONIC PROOF OF SERVICE LIST
)	(October 15, 2007]

Transmission via electronic mail and by depositing one original signed document with FedEx overnight mail delivery service at Costa Mesa, California with delivery fees thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the following:

DOCKET UNIT

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: DOCKET NO. 07-AFC-3 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, California 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

Transmission via electronic mail addressed to the following:

APPLICANT

Mark Turner

Project Manager CPV Sentinel, LLC 55 Second Street, Suite 525 San Francisco, California 94105 mturner@cpv.com

Dale Shileikis

Vice President
URS Corporation
221 Main Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94105-1917
dale shileikis@urscorp.com

CPV SENTINEL ENERGY PROJECT CEC Docket No. 07-AFC-3

Kathy Rushmore

URS Corporation 221 Main Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94105-1917 Kathy Rushmore@URSCorp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Larry Tobias

Ca. Independent System Operator 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630 LTobias@caiso.com

Eric Saltmarsh

Electricity Oversight Board 770 L Street, Suite 1250 Sacramento, CA 95814 esaltmarsh@eob.ca.gov

Mohsen Nazemi

South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 E. Copley Drive Diamond Bar, California 91765-4182 mnazemi1@aqmd.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION

James D. Boyd

Presiding Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Jackalyne Pfannenstiel

Associate Committee Member jpfannen@energy.state.ca.us

Kenneth Celli

Hearing Officer kcelli@energy.state.ca.us

Bill Pfanner

Siting Project Manager bpfanner@energy.state.ca.us

CPV SENTINEL ENERGY PROJECT CEC Docket No. 07-AFC-3

Caryn Holmes
Staff Counsel
cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser pao@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Paul Kihm, declare that on February 1, 2008, I deposited a copy of the attached:

APPLICANT'S STATUS REPORT #2

with FedEx overnight mail delivery service at Costa Mesa, California with delivery fees thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the California Energy Commission. I further declare that transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service List above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 1, 2008, at Costa Mesa, California.

Pául Kihm