



January 16,2008

California Energy Commission c/oRobHudler Rhudler@energystatecaus

Subject: Response to Stakeholder com ments on Proposed Language for Title 24 Residential Swimming Pools. Docket Number 07-BSTD-1

To W hom ItM ay Concern:

D avis Energy G roup is responding on behalf of their clients, Pacific G as and Electric Com pany and San D iego G as & Electric Com pany, to the com m ents submitted by the A sociation of Pool and Spa Professionals (A PSP), LA SCO Fittings, Inc. (LA SCO), and California Spa & Pool Industry Education Council (SPEC). O uncom m ents are in response to the 45-day rulem aking for the proposed language on sw in m ing pool building codes that affect the Efficiency Standards for the California Code of R egulations T itle 24, Part 6, in particular Subchapter 2, Section 114 and residential sw im m ing pools building codes under Subchapter 7, Section 150 (p).

Section 114: The APSP would like to maintain §114 as the PoolH eating System s Section and move the directional inlet and time switch language under §114 b to §150 p.W e agree with the intent of the concerns presented by the APSP regarding §114 and suggest collaborating with CEC attorneys to alleviate any potential for future issues as §114 currently pertains to both residential and commercial pools.

Section 150 (p) 1.E:W e agree with A PSP that sm all pool system s using a pum p of less than 1 hp to serve all auxiliary loads should not be required to use m ultiple pum ps or a m ulti-speed pum p and suggest to add the follow ing exception to the proposed language:

E. Each auxiliary pool bad shall be served by either separate pumps or the system shall be served by a multi-speed pump; and

EXCEPTION to Section 150 (p)1 E: pumps of less than 1 hp m ay be single-speed.

Section 150 (p) 2.A .: A PSP and SPEC assert that pumps with strainer baskets do not need an entering length of straight pipe. While we have not been able to determ ine if the arguments for striking this addition are valid, the pool industry still recommends 4 times the pipe diameter of leading pipe into the pump. We would accept the addition of language "... for pumps without strainer baskets" to the code if a consensus of the pool industry requested it. Considering current industry guidelines, we recommend leaving the proposed language as it is.

Section 150 (p) 2.C: A PSP recommends deleting the use of sweep elbows based on the incremental savings found in the CASE Report. Incremental measure savings were presented in the CASE study on a decreased run-time valuation. The true savings would be realized if the entire system was run at a lower speed (or with a smaller pump) for longer periods. Even when analyzed individually, sweep elbows were shown to be cost effective.

LASCO recommends deleting §150 p 2.C because more energy savings can easily be achieved by decreasing the flow rate.W hile we agree with this, discussions with pool industry stakeholders show that 8 fps and 6 fps for return and suction, respectively, were as low as they recommended.Furthermore, the elbow friction factors presented by LASCO show higher savings for sweep elbows than was assumed in our calculations, resulting in them being more cost effective.

W e agree with LASCO that the definition of a suitable sweep elbow should be in proved. W e propose the following for S150 p 2 C :

<u>C</u>. All elbows shall have a pressure drop of less than the equivalent of a length of 30 pipe diam eters.

This excludes all hard 90s, the best performing of which have equivalent lengths of 32 diam eters, and allows all short and long sweeps, the low est performing of which is as much as 29 diam eters. Enforcement of a 30-diam etermultiplier for an elbow equivalent length m ay be difficult without a listing. Any suggestions for improvements to this section by the Stakeholders would be welcome.

Sincerely,

JAG Cio

A ntonia Tsobanoudis ProjectM anager, D avis Energy G roup

cc: Steve B lanc, PG & E (review er) G ary Fernstrom, PG & E (review er) Jerine A hm ed, SD G & E Leo R ainer, D EG (review er) Carvin D iG iovanni, A ssociation of Pool & Spa Professionals Lany W orkm an, LA SCO Fittings, Inc. D onald C . Burns, California Spa & Pool Industry Education Council D ocketN um ber 07-B STD -1