



LIGHT & POWER DEPARTMENT Donal O'Callaghan, Director of Light & Power

December 19, 2007

Steve Munro
Compliance Project Manager
Systems Assessment & Facility Siting Division
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street, MS-15
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject:

Malburg Generating Station (Docket 01-AFC-25C)

Petition to Modify the Malburg Generating Station Project Final Decision

Dear Mr. Munro:

Enclosed is City of Vernon's petition to amend the California Energy Commission's license for the Malburg Generating Station to include new cold startup emission rates. These new cold startup emission rates are consistent with emission measurements recorded by the facility's emission monitoring system and other similar combustion turbine projects licensed by the Commission. As proposed, the project modifications will not result in any significant environmental impacts. All applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards will be compiled with and only one Condition of Certification (AQ-C10) needs to be modified for the new cold startup emissions. This proposed change in Condition AQ-C10 will not require modification of any South Coast Air Quality Management District conditions.

If you have any questions regarding this petition, please contact Dr. Krishna Nand at (323) 583-8811 ext. 211.

Sincerely,

Donal O'Callaghan

Director of Light & Power

Enclosures

Final

City of Vernon Malburg Generating Station Proposed Amendment

Prepared for California Energy Commission

December 2007

CH2MHILL 2485 Natomas Park Drive Suite 600 Sacramento CA 95833

Contents

Section	on		Page
1.	Intro	duction	1-1
	1.1	Background	1-1
	1.2	Description of Proposed Amendment	1-1
	1.3	Summary of Environmental Impacts	
	1.4	Consistency of Amendment with License	
2.	Desci	ription of Project Amendment	2-1
	2.1	Revised Startup Emissions	2-1
	2.2	Necessity of Proposed Change	2-1
3.	Envir	onmental Analysis of the Project Changes	3-1
	3.1	Air Quality	3-1
		3.1.1 Proposed Emissions	3-1
		3.1.2 Air Quality Impacts	3-2
		3.1.3 Mitigation Measures	
		3.1.4 Cumulative Impacts	
		3.1.5 Compliance with LORS	
		3.1.6 Conclusions	
	3.2	Public Health	
		3.2.1 Compliance with LORS	
4.	Propo	osed Modifications to the Conditions of Certification	4-1
5.	Poten	ntial Effects on the Public	5-1
6.	List o	of Property Owners	6-1
7.	Poten	ntial Effects on Property Owners	7-1
Appe	ndix		
Α	List o	f Property Owners within 1,000 Feet of the Project Site	
Table	es		
3.1-1		ge in Maximum Hourly Emissions	
3.1-2		ge in Maximum Daily Emissions	
3.1-3		ge in Maximum Annual Emissions	
3.1 -4 3.1 - 5		round Air Concentrations for the Malburg Generating Station 2004 parison of Malburg Generating Station's Revised Cold Start Impacts	
		ent Air Quality Standards	

Introduction

1.1 Background

On December 21, 2001, the City of Vernon (City) filed an Application for Certification (AFC) with the California Energy Commission (CEC) seeking approval to construct and operate the Malburg Generating Station (MGS), a 134-megawatt (MW) nominal combined-cycle electric generation facility. The CEC issued a final decision for the MGS on May 20, 2003. The City began construction activities in 2003, with commercial operations beginning in 2005. The information used to prepare the AFC, and relied on by the CEC, was based on the best available data, and included estimates by equipment manufacturers. In the case of air quality emission estimates, the City requested turbine operating, startup, and shutdown emissions estimates from Alstrom (the turbine vendor selected for the MGS). These emission estimates were used by the CEC to develop Conditions of Certification (COCs). COC AQ-C10 (of the CEC Decision) contains air emission limitations on an hourly, daily, and annual basis, including startup and shutdown periods. The hourly startup emission estimates used in COC AQ-C10 are for a cold startup (a startup where the turbines have not been in operation for an extended time). As the MGS facility operates almost daily, the number of cold startups that have occurred since commercial operations in October 2005 is nine per unit. After reviewing the continuous emissions monitoring data for these cold startup periods, the City determined that it was not able to comply with COC AQ-C10 on a continuous basis. Therefore, the City is requesting an amendment to COC AQ-C10.

1.2 Description of Proposed Amendment

The City is requesting to amend COC AQ-C10 of the CEC Decision by increasing the emission limits presented in the condition. No physical or operational changes to the MGS are proposed.

1.3 Summary of Environmental Impacts

Section 1769 (a)(1)(E) of the CEC Siting Regulations requires that an analysis be conducted that addresses impacts that the modification might have on the environment and proposed measures to mitigate any significant adverse impacts. In addition, Section 1769 (a)(1)(F) of the Siting Regulations requires a discussion of the impacts the modification might have on the project's ability to comply with applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards (LORS).

The proposed change to COC AQ-C10 will allow increases in short-term oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) and carbon monoxide (CO) emission rates, but will not affect other pollutants. The proposed change would not impact other environmental issue areas (e.g., biological resources, cultural resources, land use, noise, soil and water, paleontological resources, and so forth). Short-term increases in NO_X or CO emissions are not expected to cause or contribute to the violation of either state or federal ambient air quality standards.

Section 2 of this Amendment provides a description of the Project Amendment. Section 3 includes a detailed analysis of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed design changes, as well as a discussion of the consistency of the modification with LORS. Section 3 concludes that there will be no significant environmental impacts associated with the Amendment, and that the project as amended will comply with applicable LORS. Proposed modifications to the conditions of certification are provided in Section 4.

As the startup emissions are not specifically addressed in the South Coast Air Quality Management District's conditions, the City is not proposing to modify any of these conditions.

1.4 Consistency of Amendment with License

Section 1769 (a)(1)(D) of the CEC Siting Regulations requires a discussion of the Amendment's consistency with LORS and whether the modifications are based on new information that changes or undermines the assumptions, rationale, findings, or other bases of the final decision. If the project is no longer consistent with the CEC license, an explanation of why the modification should be permitted must be provided. In the sections that follow, the City provides an explanation of the proposed modifications, rationale for the modifications, and a LORS compliance analysis. Proposed modifications to the existing COC AQ-C10 are included in Section 4.

Description of Project Amendment

Consistent with CEC Siting Regulations, Sections 1769 (a)(1)(A) and 1769(a)(1)(B), this section includes a complete description of the project modifications, as well as the necessity for the amendment.

2.1 Revised Startup Emissions

During the preparation of compliance reports, the City identified a couple of instances where the NO_X and CO emission rates exceeded limitations contained in COC AQ-C10. After further research, it was determined that when the turbines started up after an extended shutdown, it resulted in air emissions that were greater than the emission estimates used in the preparation of the AFC. The City has explored control measures with the turbine vendors and has not identified any practical solution; therefore, it is requesting an increase in the maximum hourly and daily NO_X and CO emission limits contained in COC AQ-C10.

2.2 Necessity of Proposed Change

Sections 1769 (a)(1)(B) and 1769(a)(1)(C) of the CEC Siting Regulations require a discussion of the necessity for the proposed changes to the project and whether this modification is based on information that was known by the petitioner during the CEC licensing proceeding. During the licensing period, the City requested startup data from the turbine vendor. These data were used as the basis for project licensing and were considered the best available data at the time.

Environmental Analysis of the Project Changes

This proposed Amendment, requesting to increase cold startup emissions for NO_X and CO, is only expected to impact air quality resources. No other resource areas would be impacted from the proposed change, and are therefore, not analyzed. This request will not require any changes to fuel or water usage. The following section presents the revised startup emissions, ambient air quality impact assessment, mitigation measures, cumulative impact assessment, and a discussion of LORS compliance.

3.1 Air Quality

This section reviews the potential air quality impacts associated with the proposed change to the startup NO_X and CO emissions. The following areas were reviewed:

- Operational Emissions Estimate
- Air Quality Impacts
- Mitigation Measures
- Cumulative Impacts
- Compliance with LORS
- Conclusions

3.1.1 Proposed Emissions

3.1.1.1 Operational Emissions

The proposed increase in startup NO_X and CO emissions will increase the hourly, daily, and annual emissions. Based on a review of MGS Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS) data and other Alstrom turbines licensed by the CEC, revised emission estimates were prepared. Tables 3.1-1, 3.1-2, and 3.1-3 present a summary of the maximum NO_X and CO hourly, daily, monthly, and annual emissions, including startup and shutdown emissions. Emissions for other air pollutants are not impacted by the increase in startup emissions and are therefore not presented to avoid confusion. The emissions estimates presented in Tables 3.1-1, 3.1-2, and 3.1-3 show that there will be an increase in NO_X and CO emissions relative to the emissions licensed by the CEC.

It should be noted that the annual emissions increase in CO is above the permitted CO emission rate presented in COC AQ-5 of 7,633 pounds per month. However, CO emissions cannot increase above the monthly permit limits contained in COC AQ-5.

Assuming the project emits 7,633 lb CO/Month, the annual CO emissions would be 91,596 lb/year.

TABLE 3.1-1
Revised Hourly NO_x and CO Emissions, lb/hr

	Gas Turbines (2)	Cooling Tower	Firewater Pump	Facility Total	Notes
СО	179.0	0	0.59	179.6	a . b
NOx	74.2	0	1.73	75.93	₽ b

^aGas turbine emission data are from Roseville Energy Park Facility (AFC-03-AFC-1), Commission Decision, April 2005 (page 150).

TABLE 3.1-2
Revised Daily NO_x and CO Emissions, lb/day

	Gas Turbines (2)	Cooling Tower	Firewater Pump	Facility Total	Notes
СО	463.2	0	0.59	463.8	. b
NOx	322.6	0	1.73	324.4	₽ b

The gas turbines are undergoing one cold startup (2 hours) per day and 22 hours per day full load operation with duct firing.

TABLE 3.1-3
Revised Annual NO_x and CO Emissions, lb/year

	Gas Turbines (2)	Cooling Tower	Firewater Pump	Facility Total	Tons/yr	Notes
СО	169,053	0	235	169,288	84.6	a b
NOx	117,764	0	689	118,453	59.2	••

Based on daily emission presented in Table 3.1-2 multiplied by 365 days per year.

3.1.2 Air Quality Impacts

Potential changes in air quality impacts have been evaluated for the operational phase of the project. In order to evaluate the change in the NO_X and CO ambient air quality impacts associated with the revised startup emission rates, the City used the scaling technique that uses the emissions and modeling impact data from the MGS (01-AFC-25) Final Staff Assessment (FSA) to predict revised ambient air quality impacts. FSA Air Quality Tables 9 through 12, and 16 were used to convert the ambient air quality impact results to a microgram per cubic meter per pound basis, which was then multiplied by the proposed NO_2 and CO emission rates to predict 1-hour NO_2 and CO impacts, 8-hour CO impacts, and annual NO_2 impacts.

^bThe firewater pump is being tested 0.5 hour.

^bThe firewater pump is being tested 0.5 hour/day.

^bThe firewater pump is being tested 199 hours/year.

The background ambient air quality data used in this analysis are presented in Table 3.1-4 and represent the highest NO₂ and CO ambient air quality data from the Lynnwood and North Main air quality monitoring stations.

TABLE 3.1-4
Background Air Concentrations for the Malburg Generating Station 2004 – 2006

	Avenalas	2004		2006 2006		6 Ma ximum		
Pollutant	Averaging Time	ppm	μg/m³	ppm	μg/m³	ppm	µg/m³	μg/m³
NO ₂	1-hour	0.1	188	0.11	207	0.14	263	263
	Annual	0.0301	56.6	0.0312	58.7	0.0306	57.6	58.7
СО	1-hour	10	11,452	7	8,016	8	9,16 1	11, 4 52
	8-hour	6.7	7,673	5.9	6,757	6.4	7,330	7,673

^aConversion from ppm to μg/m³ at 25° Celsius and 760 torr.

Sources: SCAQMD, http://www.aqmd.gov/smog/historicaldata.htm

Table 3.1-5 presents a comparison of the ambient air quality impacts resulting from the proposed startup emission rates to the ambient air quality standards. The background concentrations shown in Table 3.1-5 are based on maximum data presented in Table 3.1-4. The emission rates used for the 1-hour impact assessment are from Table 3.1-1. The 8-hour CO emission rate used in this assessment included 2 hours of startup emissions, plus 6 hours of duct-firing CO emissions. The annual NO_X emissions used are from Table 3.1-3. Table 3.1-5 shows that the project, as proposed, does not result in significant air quality impacts and continues to comply with ambient air quality standards.

TABLE 3.1-5
Comparison of Malburg Generating Station's Revised Ambient Air Quality Impacts to Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Maximum Project Impact (µg/m³)	Background Concentrations (µg/m³)	Total Operational Impact (µg/m³)	State Standard (µg/m³)	Federal Standard (µg/m³)	SCAQMD Significance Threshold (µg/m³)
NO ₂	1-hour	30.9	263	293.9	470	-	_
	Annuai ^a	0.65	58.7	59.4	_	100	
СО	1-hour	81.9	_	81.9			1,100
	8-hour ^b	2.1	7,673	7675	23,000	40,000	

Based on the annual NO_x emission presented in Table 3.1-3.

3.1.3 Mitigation Measures

The City provided mitigation in the form of emission reduction credits (ERCs) for the operations of the MGS prior to the issuance of the license. The quantities of ERCs provided are reflected in COC AQ-5, on a monthly basis, and the City is not requesting a revision to COC AQ-5. Furthermore, any increase in NO_X emissions is required to be mitigated by

^bAssumed 2 hours of cold start CO emissions (89.5 lb/hr * 2) plus 6 hours of full load duct-firing CO emissions (2.4 lb/hr).

demonstrating that the City holds sufficient RTCs in an amount equal to the annual NO_X emissions, consistent with COC AQ-32. Therefore, no additional mitigation is necessary.

3.1.4 Cumulative Impacts

Because no new ambient impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposed changes to the project, no significant change to the original assessment of the cumulative air quality impacts are expected.

3.1.5 Compliance with LORS

The MGS is in compliance with all applicable LORS, with the exception of COC AQ-C10. With the CEC approval of the proposed changes to COC AQ-C10, the MGS will be in compliance with all applicable LORS.

3.1.6 Conclusions

With the proposed amendments to the cold startup emissions, the CEC staff's conclusions in the FSA and Final Decisions that air quality impacts from the project are less than significant, will still be applicable.

3.2 Public Health

The public health impacts assessed during the licensing of the MGS indicated that the acute, chronic, and cancer risks associated with the operation of the MGS project were significantly below the CEC's significant impact levels. The proposed increase in air emissions is not expected to increase the operation of the turbines, nor are they expected to increase the amount of fuel fired (the basis for calculating the MGS non-criteria pollutant emissions that drive the health risk assessment). Therefore, no significant public health impacts are expected from the proposed changes to COC AQ-C10.

For the original project, the CEC determined that the MGS project would not have a significant direct or cumulative impact on public health.³ As the proposed change to the MGS license is not expected to increase public health impacts above those analyzed during licensing, no significant cumulative public health impacts are expected.

3.2.1 Compliance with LORS

The proposed changes to the MGS project will be in compliance with all applicable LORS.

² MGS Final Staff Assessment, Public Health Table 2, page 4.7-13.

³ MGS Final Staff Assessment, Public Health Section, page 4.7-14.

Proposed Modifications to the Conditions of Certification

Consistent with the requirements of the CEC Siting Regulations Section 1769 (a)(1)(A), this section addresses the proposed modifications to the project's COC.

The proposed modifications to COC AQ-C10 is presented below with deletions in strike-out and insertions in underline.

AQ-C10 The City of Vernon shall commission and operate the Malburg Generation Station within the following emission limits.

Commissioning

During the first year of commissioning and operation, the following emission limits shall apply.

Annual Commissioning Emission Limits

Units are in pounds per year

	Gas	Cooling	Firewater	Facility Total	Assumptions
	Turbines	Tower	Pump		
	(2)		_		
CO	112,743	0	478	113,221	a,b,c
NO _x	229,531	0	1,377	230,908	a,b,c
PM10	48,873	2,190	58	51,121	a,b,c
ROG	40,518	0	35	40,553	a,b,c
SO _x	4,294	0	2	4,296	a,b,c
Ammonia	49,514	0	0	49,514	a,b,c

Assumptions

Post Commissioning

After the end of the commissioning period, the following hourly and daily emission limits shall apply. The following annual emission limits shall only apply until after the first calendar year of operation is complete.

The gas turbines are undergoing initial commissioning for three months (2,160 hours) then 3 cold startups, 39 warm startups, 42 shutdowns and 4,355 hours at full load with the duct burners on @ 65 deg F.

b The cooling tower at full load for 8760 hours/year,

c The Firewater pump is being tested 199 hours/year.

Hourly Emission Limits

Units are in pounds per hour

	Gas Turbines (2)	Cooling Tower	Firewater Pump	Facility Total	Assumptions
CO	4 8.6 179.0	0	0.59	49.19 179.6	a,c,d
NOx	26.2 74.2	0	1.73	27.93 75.9	a,c,d
PM10	7.78	0.26	0.08	8.12	b,c,d
VOC	3.3	0	0.05	3.35	a,c,d
SOx	0.3	0	0.002	0.30	b,c,d
Ammonia	7.6	0	0.00	7.60	b,c,d

Assumptions

- a The gas turbines are undergoing a cold startup @38 deg F.
- b The gas turbines are at full load @ 38 deg F with the duct burners on.
- c The cooling tower is at full load,
- d The Firewater pump is being tested for 1/2 hour.

Daily Emission Limits

Units are in pounds per day

	Gas Turbines	Cooling	Firewater	Facility	Assumptions
	(2)	Tower	Pump	Total	
CO	104.00463.2	0	0.59	104.59 <u>463.8</u>	a,d,e,
NO _x	175.00 322.6	0	1.73	176.73 324.4	a,d,e,
PM10	158.00	6.20	0.08	164.28	a,d,e
VOC	36.00	0	0.05	36.05	a,d,e
SO _x	6.00	0	0.002	6.00	a,d,e
Ammonia	182.4	0	0.00	182.40	a,d,e

Assumptions

- a The gas turbines are undergoing 1 warmcold startup (1.52 hours) per month, 8 hours/day and 22 hours of full load operation with duct firing, 16 hours/day full load without duct firing and 0.5 hours shutdown per month @ 65 deg F-averaged for 2931 days/month,
- b The gas turbines are at full load for 24 hours @ 38 deg F with the duct burners on.
- c The gas turbines are undergoing cold startup (2 hours) and baseload operation for 22 hours-@ 38 deg F.
- d The cooling tower is at full load for 24 hours/day
- e The Firewater pump is being tested 0.5 hours/day

Annual Emission Limits Units are in pounds per year

	Gas Turbines	Cooling	Firewater	Facility To	otal	
	(2)	Tower	Pump	Lbs/yr	Tons/yr	Assumptions
CO	37,145 169,	0	235	37,380 169	18.66 84.6	a,c,d
	<u>053</u>			<u>,288</u>		
NO _x	52,674 117,	0	689	53,363 118	26.68 59.2	a,c,d
	<u>764</u>		1	<u>,453</u>		
PM10	56,676	2,278	32	58,986	29.49	b,c,d
VOC	13,027	0	20	13,047	6.52	b,c,d
SO _x	2,122	0	1	2,123	1.06	b,c,d
Ammonia	66,576	0	0	66,576	3.29	b,c,d

Assumptions

- a the gas turbines are undergoing one warmcold startup per monthday (1.52 hours), 22 hours/day of full load operation with the duct burner, 16 hours/day of full load operation without the duct burners and one shutdown per month (0.5 hours) @ 65 deg F for 365 days per year.
- b The gas turbines are undergoing 4 cold starts (2 hours), 52 warm starts (1.5 hours) 1314 hours of full load operation with the duct burner, 5782 hours of full load operation without the duct burner and 56 shutdowns (0.5 hours) per year,
- c The cooling tower at full load for 8760 hours/day,
- d The Firewater pump is being tested 199 hours/day.

<u>Verification:</u> The City of Vernon shall submit to the CPM for approval on a quarterly basis all emission records and calculations to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits stated herein as part of the quarterly emissions report.

Potential Effects on the Public

Consistent with the requirements of the CEC Siting Regulations Section 1769 (a)(1)(G), this section addresses the proposed Amendment's effects on the public.

The proposed amendment is not expected to have impacts that are greater than those analyzed during project licensing. Therefore, impacts to the public are expected to be the same as those analyzed during CEC license proceeding for the MGS.

List of Property Owners

Consistent with the CEC Siting Regulations Section 1769(a)(1)(H), this section lists the property owners affected by the proposed modifications. The list of property owners are presented in Appendix A.

Potential Effects on Property Owners

Consistent with the CEC Siting Regulations Section 1769(a)(1)(I), this section addresses potential effects of the proposed Amendment on nearby property owners, the public, and parties in the application proceeding.

The proposed project change is expected to result in comparable impacts as those analyzed during the licensing proceeding. Therefore, impacts to property owners are expected to be the same as those analyzed during the license proceeding for the project.

APPENDIX A

List of Property Owners within 1,000 Feet of the Project Site

	0.000	OWNERFIRST	ANNESS AST	MALNUMBER	MAN OFFICET	MARCHY	MAILSTATE	MARIE-785
À	PARCEL	36.	OWNERLAST	MALNUMBER 4305		Vernon	CA	90053
-1	etace our acc			490	S Santie Fe Ave Po Box 40051	Carlanda Dance	ČÁ.	90036 90049
3		Alauda Enterprises		خفيد		Los Angelos Canada		17 Aug 10
3		Lascile Breastments Lic		200	The state of the s		ÇA.	90000
4.		Aller Street Investors	4.4 (05. 1.1.)	2140	Recitivesti Dr	Sacramanto	CA	ACRE !
5	6308 625 005		Hentkemen	2880	E don &	Yernon	ĊA	COS.
8		Leonie G & D Leonie	Malbarg	2883	Leonis Bled #111	Vernon	ÇA	90068
7	200	Leonis & Dominica	Mathurg	2633	Lagrin Bled #111	Venon	CA	90056
8		Bradmore investment Co Ltd		721	Sente Monice Blvd	Senta Monica	CA	10404
9	6303 602 606		J 00	2909	Legals Blvd	Venon	CA	90058
10		B&K First Uc		17708	Haron Lá	Carryon Country	CA	91387
11		Vernon Developers		1201	3 Olive St	Los Angeles	GA	90015
12		Catalog Realty Inc		524	Chapmin St	Spring Berberg	GA	93101
13		Mz Investments Lic		2820	Leanle Blvd	Vermon	CA	90058
14		Malburg 2001 Trust		2833	Leanis Stud #111	Vernon	CA	40068
15	6304 023 004	Fielechman Trest & Fielechman Art	Arthur	2767	Sulfac Crook Or	Passions	CA	01107
16	8303 023 005	Savagel & Marie D	Givertz	5256	Amida Dr	Wondend Hills	GA	91364
17	6308 035 000	Alichians Branner Trust		73001	Country Club Dr #442 .	Pain Desert	CA	92306
18		Leonie & Dominica	Malburg	2833	Lacrie Sive	Vernon.	CA	90055
19		Garnen Enterprises L. P	•	16902	Cont Cay Ln	Hundington Park	CA	12849
20	6908 005 000	Joy Max Treding Inc		1120 1/2	S Main St	Los Angeles	CA	10016
21	6309 029 010	Joy Max Trading Inc		1120 1/2	S Main &	Los Angeles	GA	90015
22	5303 023 011	Leurin & Cominica	Malburg	2833	Legitis Blvd	Vieren	CA	90056
23	6303 024 008	Aundail Foods inc	. •		Po Box 2869	Humangton Park	CA	90255
24	0303 004 008	National Paintly L.P.		545	N Pennsylvania Ave	Gintform	CA	91741
25		Randall Foods Inc		7 10	Po Box 2000	Huntington Park	CA	90255
26	6363 024 011	Asignal Foods inc			Po Bux 2010	Hundryton Park	CA	90265
27	6265 024 012	Micros Family L P		545	M Pannaytvania Ave	Cleritore	ÇĂ	91741
28		Nadrem Assoc		2028	Leonie Blvd	Vernon	CA	90058
29	6303 024 017	Joseph & Jill	Poliner	336	90 St	Manhattan Beach	CA	90206
30	6203 COL 614	L Ferral Treet & Forrell Willia	William	2006	Legrie Blod	Los Angeles	CA	90068
31	6203 029 015	The City Of Vernor		4905	B Santa Fe Ave	Venen	CA	2005B
32	616 CE CAS	Owene Brockway Gloss Container Inc		1	Sugali	Toledo	OH	43000
33		Owene Bruckway Glass Container Inc.		\$	Sanda Fe Avo	Totado	OH	4300 8
34	0305-020-909			4305	S Bunta Fe Ave	Venton	CA	90058
35	8008 001 022		Van Fossan	80	Plaza Guesta	San Juan Capistrano	CA	92075
88	CONTRACTOR OF STREET		Sereb	4536	& Bolo St	Vernon	ÇÀ	90068
37	200 MIN 124	Bressman Family	Start Committee of the	2727	E 40th St	Varnon	CA	90068
35	604 DOS 084	SurrYa	Kao	365	S Legion Ave #6158	Walnut	CA	91789
39		2761 Fruitland Avenue Lic		2761	Fraktural Ave	Vernon	CA	90098
40		2701 Fruitland Avenue Lic		2701	Fruittend Ave	Version	CA	90068
41		Ramin & Belliv	Yourbian	4825	S Sylo St	Vienon	DA	90506
42	#308 OEZ 010		Clerk	2718	Leonia Sivd	Vemon	CA	90056
****		- 1- 1		•				

44 6968 002-012 Stanley & Jennifer Kim 345 Corone Dr. La Cene 45 6908-002-013 OE Clark Paper Box Co 2716 Leonis Blvd Vemon	CA 91011 CA 90068 6 CA 90067
	. CA COMMIT
46 696 002 014 Anna Scott 10104 Empyreen Way #204 Liss Ang	
47 6908-002-015 Agns Scott 10/04 Empyrean Wysy #204 Los Ang	
48 0808 002 016 Clark Other 2718 Leonic Blvd Vernon	CA 90008
49 6506 002 017 Chirt: Oliver 2716 Leonie Blvd Vernon	CA 90056
50 6308 003 018 Pashe & Associates Lic 14622 Vertera Bivd #763 Shemer	
51 6308 902 019 Mantiochee & Lubov Azits 2761 Fruitland Ave Vernon	CA 90058
52 6308 002 020 Mandochee & Lubov Azria 2761 Fruitand Ave Vernori	CA 90058
53 \$308 002 902 Vernon City 4305 S Sents Fe Ave Vernon	CA 90068
54 6908 908 Piret Streamins Management Lic 2670 Leanis Blvd Vernon	CA 90088
55 6365 003 010 First Streamline Management Ltc 2670 Legale Skyd Vernon	CA 96058
66 6306 905 912 Argentus Santiary Can Machine Co 4980 Pacific Blvd Vertion	CA 90068
57 6368 003 514 Mol Investment Group Inc 2615 Fruitiend Ave Vermon	CA 90958
58 6306 003 019 Ed Elsner 4900 Pacific Blvd Vermon	CA 90066
59 6366 005 020 Stener Ed Tr R & E Family Trust 1708 Milen Ave South Pa	dena GA 91930
60 6365 003 021 Angelus Santlary Can Machine Co 4900 Pacific Blvd Vermon	CA 90058 CA 90058
61 6395 005 022 Angelos Santiery Cen Mechine Co 4900 Peolitic Bivid Los Angelos	6 CA 90058
62 6366 003 023 Stephene Vachon 5060 Pacific Blvd Vernon	CA 90058
63 636 605 024 Mg Investment Group Inc 2815 Fruithind Ave Vernon	CA 90068
84 636 03 507 At & St Rv Co Sb Of E Par 59 Map 804-19- 4901 See Be Ave Los Ang	CA 99058
65 6308 004 005 Victor Bruno / Lee & Patricia New 2639 Laprile Bivd Vernon 66 6308 004 007 Vector Bruno / Lee & Patricia New 2639 Lacrile Bivd Vernon 67 6508 004 008 Victor Bruno 2639 Lacrile Bivd Los Ang	CA 90068
66 6366 004 007 Motor Bruno / Lee & Patricia New 2539 Leanis Bivd Vernot	CA 90058
67 6506 006 008 Victor Bruno 2639 Lacrais Blvd Los Ange	
68 6306 004 009 Buresh K.S. Anibs Nandwish 4532 Pikilik Bivd Vermon	CA 90000
69 6398 004 518 Young S Chang 343 Planear Dr #1505 Glandele	CA 91203 CA 92705 CA 90240
70 6308 004 021 Jareny J.E. Noble Donna Jones 10412 Greenbrier Rd Statile At	GA 92705
71 6306 004 012 Jose L & Lidia O Guerra 8006 Daniers St Downey	CA 90240
72 6305 004 013 2665 Leonie Boulevard Lic 2761 Fruitland Ave Venton	CA 90088
73 6388 005 007 Planten Femily L.P. 4080 Paellic Styd Venton 74 6306 005 009 Lee Hollander Trust Leo 6560 W Regiere Cir #19 Book Re	CA 90068
74 6306 005 000 Lee Hollander Trust Leo 6550 William Cir #19 Book Re	
75 6306 025 924 George W Elitmen 2625 Contentury Rd San Mar	
76 \$300 CCD 026 Methyn S Erlich 2413 Century H Los Ang	
77 6366 059 027 Shlomo Khoresh 4641 Profic Blvd Vernon	CA 90068
78 6308 CCD 028 Seymour & Shiney Lehier 976 Knokwood Dr Sturite St	
78 636 689 629 Chandler Stephen Co 1592 Virginia Rd San Mar	
80 6508 010 518 Fe £ J inc 5075 Pacific field Vernon	CA 90088
81 8308 010 017 Fe & J Inc 5076 Pedito Bivd Vernon	CA 90055
82 6506 010 016 Fe & J Inc 5075 Pepillo Stvd Validen	CA SOURS
83 6508 010 019 Nor Center Uc 2109 Hasten Dr Glendell	GA 91208
84 6906 010 020 Ruy A Gomez 519 W 4781 St Los Ang	
85 6308 010 021 Huss Investment Group Lic 424 Sen Reserc St Les And	6 CA 90013

	and the state of t	Gilmore		Pe Box 58041	Vernon	CA.	90068
86	6308 010 022 Henry H		4000	Pacific Blvd	Venign	CA	90058
87	6306 010 023 Pi Yu & Shren C	Lin & Ou	4983	m. and adoles a m	Encino	CA	91436
88	8309 018 003 5140 Pacific Blvd Lic		16100	Dickens St			
	6309 018 004 Geneve M	Ehind	40177	Cotte Peralla	Murrieta	CA	92562
89	and the same of th	Efund	40177	Corte Peralta	Municia	CA	92562
90	6309 015 006 Geneva M	Circin		S Ynez Ave	Mortaney Park	GA GA	81754
91	6309 018 006 Kokis Enterprises Lic		1036	int Carlot American		ÇA	91754
92	6309 018 007 Kolds Enterprises Lic		1036	S Ynez Ave	Moreeray Park		91436
-7	6309 018 009 5140 Pacific Blvd Llc		16109	Cickens St	Endino	CA	23440
93			5216	Pacific Blvd	Huntington Park	GA	90255
94	8509 019 002 Aircraft X Ray Laboratories Inc		2700	Fadlerd Ave	Vernon	CA	90058
95	6309 025 012 51st Street Pertnership			a district of the last of the	Vernon	CA	90058
96	6309 028 028 David Um & Un No	Young	2726	Friday Ave		GA	90040
97	6309 026 033 Solo Industrial Center		5657	E Washington Blvd	Los Angeles		
7 /	6309 026 034 Soto industrial Center		5657	E Washington Blvd	Los Angeles	CA	90940
88		Varian	2728	Fruitland Ave	Vernon	CA	90056
99	6309 026 035 David Um & Un No	Young		Fruittend Ave	Vernon	CA	90058
100	6309 026 036 51st Street Partnership		2700			OH	43666
101	6310 008 014 Owens Illinois Glass Container Inc.		1	Seegate #50sg	Toledo		43006
102	Charles to the transfer of the contract of the		4	Seegate #50sg	Toledo	OH:	43000
102	5010-600 A.I.S. Marries sensons change proposition (1)			,			