Telephone: (916) 653-1245

Memorandum

Jeffrey F. Byron, Presiding Member James D. Boyd, Associate Member Paul Kramer, Hearing Officer

To:

From: California Energy Commission - James W. Reede, Jr., Ed.D

Project Manager 1516 Ninth Street

Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

RECD. DEC 1 2 200

December 12, 2007

Subject: PANOCHE ENERGY CENTER PROJECT (06-AFC-5) PMPD COMMENTS

Below is staff's response to the Applicant's Comments on the PMPD for the proposed Panoche Energy Center (PEC) project.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Staff has had further internal discussions and determined that to alleviate confusion for the purposes of the Cultural Resources Conditions of Certification, the term "ground disturbances," includes "preconstruction site mobilization"; "construction ground disturbance"; and "construction grading, boring and trenching," as defined in the General Conditions for this project. Staff believes that this substitution of term addresses the Committee's concerns regarding timing issues for the affected Cultural Resources Conditions of Certification.

NOISE

Applicant Comment: Because no pile driving will be used, construction noise at ML2 will not be significantly loud.

Staff's Response: Staff agrees that ML2 can be moved prior to startup versus the start of construction, because:

- Noise from conventional construction (~89 dBA Leg at 50 feet) is generally quieter than noise from pile drivers (~100 dBA Leg at 50 feet) by about 10 decibels;
- Construction noise will be temporary and work will occur during daytime;
- Noise data from which the above estimates were derived are about 30 years old (the equipment used now are quieter); and
- If the noise still proves to be intrusive enough to launch a complaint, Condition NOISE-2 will provide protection through the noise complaint process described therein.

TRANSMISSION SYSTEM ENGINEERING

Applicant Comment: Changes were requested to TSE-3 relating to which revision of California Building Code (CBC) should be used.

Staff's Response: The 2007 California Building Standards Code will be in effect on January 1, 2008. Therefore, staff believes the applicable edition of the California Building Code is the 2007 edition and has revised the following condition accordingly. The specific requirements described in the following referenced sections of the 2007 edition are much the same as the specific requirements in the following referenced sections of the 2001 edition. The specific language as described in this condition is the staff's interpretation of the CBC requirements and should remain intact.

TSE-3 If any discrepancy in design and/or construction is discovered in any engineering work that has undergone CBO design review and approval, the project owner shall document the discrepancy and recommend corrective action. (2001 2007 California Building Code), Appendix Chapter 1, Section 108.4, 109.6, Approval Required; Chapter 17, Section 1701.3, 1704.1.2 Report requirement Duties and Responsibilities of the Special Inspector; Appendix Chapter 33, Section 3317.7, Notification of Noncompliance [Verify correct version]). The discrepancy documentation shall become a controlled document and shall be submitted to the CBO for review and approval and shall reference this condition of certification.

Panoche Proof of Service List Mail List: 7207, 7208, 7209

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE PANOCHE ENERGY CENTER

Docket No. 06-AFC-5 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 10/31/07)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>: All parties shall 1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies <u>OR</u> 2) mall one original signed copy AND e-mall the document to the web address below, AND 3) all parties shall also send a printed <u>OR</u> electronic copy of the documents that <u>shall include a proof of service declaration</u> to each of the individuals on the proof of service:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION Attn: Docket No. 06-AFC-5 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Gary R. Chandler Panoche Energy Center, LLC P.O. Box 95592 South Jordan, UT 84095-0592 grchandler@gmail.com

APPLICANT CONSULTANTS

Maggie Fitzgerald, Program Manager URS 2020 East First Street, Suite 400 Santa Ana, CA 92705 Maggie Fitzgerald@urscorp.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Allan Thompson 21 "C" Orinda Way, No. 314 Orinda, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net John A. McKinsey
*Melissa A. Foster
Stoel Rives LLP
770 L Street, Suite 800
Sacramento, CA 95814
jamckinsey@stoel.com
*mafoster@stoel.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Larry Tobias
Ca. Independent System Operator
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
LTobias@caiso.com

Electricity Oversight Board 770 L Street, Suite 1250 Sacramento, CA 95814 esaltmarsh@eob.ca.gov Domingo Morales Director of Public Works 643 Quince Street Mendota, CA 93640 dmorales@ci,mendota.ca.us

Ben Gallegos
Director of Public Works
1575 Eleventh Street
Firebaugh, CA 93622
Publicworks@ci.firebaugh.ca.us

INTERVENORS

CURE
Gloria D. Smith
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
qsmith@adamsbroadwell.com

CURE
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

JEFFREY D. BYRON
Presiding Member
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

JAMES D. BOYD Associate Member jboyd@energy.state.ca.us

Paul Kramer, Jr. Hearing Officer pkramer@energy.state.ca.us

James Reede Project Manager jreede@energy.state.ca.us

Deborah Dyer Staff Counsel ddyer@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes
Staff Counsel
cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Jared Babula Staff Counsel Jbabula@energy.state.ca.us

Public Advisers Office pao@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>Dora Gomez</u> declare that on <u>December 12, 2007</u>, I deposited copies of the attached <u>Panoche Energy Center Project (06-AFC-5) PMPD Comments</u> in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.