BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1	CKET
DATE	DEC 0 3 2007
RECD.	DEC 0 3 2007

Commission's Procurement Incentive Framewo and to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas Emission Standards into Procurement Policies.		Rulemaking 06-04-009 (Filed April 13, 2006)
BEFORE THE CALIFORN	IIA ENERG	Y COMMISSION
In The Matter Of,)	Docket 07-OIIP-01
AB 32 Implementation – Greenhouse Gas)	

COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES' RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON TYPE AND POINT OF REGULATION ISSUES

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA LAURA I. GENAO CATHY A. KARLSTAD

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue

Post Office Box 800

Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone:

(626) 302-1096

Facsimile:

E-mail:

(626) 302-1904

Cathy.Karlstad@sce.com

Dated: December 3, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Commission's Procurement Incentive Framework and to Examine the Integration of Greenhouse Gas Emission Standards into Procurement Policies.	Rulemaking 06-04-009 (Filed April 13, 2006))
BEFORE THE CALIFORNI	A ENERGY COMMISSION
In The Matter Of, AB 32 Implementation – Greenhouse Gas Emissions.)) Docket 07-OIIP-01))

COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES' RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON TYPE AND POINT OF REGULATION ISSUES

Pursuant to the Administrative Law Judges' Ruling Requesting Comments on Type and Point of Regulation Issues, issued November 9, 2007 ("Ruling") and the Administrative Law Judges' Ruling Extending Comment Deadlines and Addressing Procedural Matters, issued November 30, 2007, Southern California Edison Company ("SCE") submits the following responses to questions posed by the Ruling. SCE's comments here incorporate by reference its previous comments on point of regulation issues submitted to the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") in this docket on August 6, 2007 and August 15, 2007.

RESPONSES TO GENERAL QUESTIONS

Question 1. What do you view as the incremental benefits of a market-based system for GHG compliance, in the current California context?

A market-based approach is particularly well suited to reducing greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. Regulations requiring a cap-and-trade system will enable regulated entities to easily and objectively evaluate and rank emission reduction measures based on cost (per mmt of reduced emissions). As a result, a well-designed market-based approach will allow regulated entities in California to comply with required GHG reductions at the lowest possible cost and the greatest benefit to California's economy. Creditable estimates show that comprehensive market-based approaches can reduce the cost of meeting Assembly Bill 32's ("AB 32") GHG reduction requirements by over sixty percent. Moreover, adoption of multiple specific regulations would require vast resources in order for regulators to analyze the marginal cost of abatement across the entire array of possible reduction opportunities in the economy, and then to administer and enforce such regulations. By contrast, adoption of a market-based cap-and trade approach would harness creativity and innovation and allow the entire regulated community to develop GHG reduction initiatives at the lowest possible cost to the California economy.

Question 2. Can a market-based system provide additional emissions reductions beyond existing policies and/or programs? Is so, at what level? How much of such additional emission reductions could be achieved through expansion of existing policies and/or programs?

A cap-and-trade program presents regulated entities with a strong financial incentive to promote efficient and effective emission reductions. A broad-based, multi-sector cap-and-trade system will allow regulated entities to fund and develop the most cost-effective emission reduction programs available. However, because California has taken such a strong leadership

See Electric Power Research Institute, Program on Technology Innovation: Economic Analysis of California Climate Initiatives: An Integrated Approach, Volume 1: Summary for Policymakers at 1-7 (June 2007) ("As an indication of the stakes involved, specific regulatory approaches analyzed could increase costs by over 60% compared to comprehensive market-based approaches that preserve environmental gains and allow flexible choices to reduce costs.").

role in environmental policy to date, many of the most cost-effective emission reduction opportunities are beyond state borders. A well-designed market-based approach that includes emission offsets presents California entities with a richer set of emission reduction opportunities than prescriptive programmatic approaches that are largely limited to reduction opportunities in California. As a result, prescriptive programmatic approaches to reducing emissions will not necessarily select the most efficient and cost-effective projects available.

II.

RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS REGARDING PRINCIPLES OR OBJECTIVES TO BE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING DESIGN OPTIONS

Question 3. Do you agree with this set of objectives? Are there other objectives or principles that you wish to see included? If so, please include your recommendations and reasoning. Finally, please rank the objectives above, and any additional factors you propose, in order of importance.

SCE agrees with and supports this set of objectives with one addition. Policy-makers and regulators must carefully consider the influence of regulations on additional investments in infrastructure and innovation. Regulatory inconsistency can have a chilling influence on investments in both infrastructure and research and development. In particular, SCE strongly cautions California regulators against approving regulations which will have a significantly adverse impact on existing infrastructure investment. California cannot reasonably expect to attract new infrastructure or research and development funding, while at the same time enacting policies that will substantially erode the value of current infrastructure investments.

Regarding the order of the stated objectives by importance, in order to develop the greatest quantity of emission reductions, cost effectiveness should be ranked at the top of the list. The ability to integrate California's GHG program into a federal or regional program is also a critical objective that should be at or near the top of the list.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS REGARDING LOAD-BASED CAP-AND-TRADE DESIGN

Question 4. With a load-based cap-and-trade system, should exports from in-state generation sources be included and accounted for under the cap? Why or why not? If so, how? For example, exports could be captured in a cap-and-trade system by regulating in-state sources that export, or by counting the emissions associated with exported power, without any compliance obligation on the exporter. There may be other options as well.

There are problems associated with either including the exports in the cap or with excluding the exports from the cap. Including exports from in-state generation sources in a load-based cap would result in a significant risk of over-counting GHG emissions. Exported power that is re-imported into California would be counted first at the source and then again by the load-serving entity ("LSE") when it is imported back into California. However, excluding such exports from the emissions cap can provide an incentive for higher-emitting generation to export its generation specifically to avoid the cap. These two conflicting challenges provide further explanation of why a load-based cap is inferior to either a deliverer/first seller ("First Seller") or a national source-based cap.

Question 5. How extensive do you view the threat of contract-shuffling under a load-based program, given the accessibility of clean resources within the western interconnect? What mechanisms do you propose to combat this possibility? On what basis do you support your position?

Under a load-based program, real emission reductions will be challenged by contract-shuffling and emission leakage and a pervasive inability to track emissions to end users in any reasonably accountable way.² Research estimates show that a load-based system will result in significant leakage, and that regulatory efforts to prevent such contract shuffling would have the

See Response of SCE to Administrative Law Judge's Comments and Legal Briefs on Market Advisory Committee Report, filed August 6, 2007, at 8-11.

perverse result of significantly costing the California economy.³ A mechanism that could significantly reduce the potential of such gaming is a regional or national GHG program with responsibility for emissions as close to the source of the emissions as possible. Short of this, California regulators should design a system where regulated entities do not have incentives to inefficiently alter dispatch decisions.

Question 6. Which of these systems best accounts for all imports? What are the advantages and disadvantages of each potential tracking system in terms of accuracy, cost of development and administration of tracking systems, costs of administration to the parties, and overall costs to ratepayers? Are there alternative tracking approaches that you would recommend, and for what reasons?

None of the three options are capable of accurately matching a retail provider's load to its sources, including from imports. The inability to accurately match load to its sources is the fundamental and unavoidable flaw in a load-based approach.

Retail providers typically have a portfolio of resources to serve their load. Retail providers schedule and dispatch various resources from their portfolio based on their own load, as well as perceived market conditions. To comply with resource adequacy requirements, some retail providers are likely to have significant surplus resources to sell into the market during most of the year. For example, a retail provider that dispatches 11,500 MW into the electrical system may be using 7,000 MW for its own load and selling 4,500 MW into the market to a variety of market participants. However, it is difficult to determine which specific units of the retail provider's portfolio of 11,500 MW served its native load of 7,000 MW and which specific units should be attributed to the various buyers of 4,500 MW of electricity. The "settlement" that happens between counterparties is based on total MWh sold/delivered; however, settlement

See Electric Power Research Institute, Program on Technology Innovation: Economic Analysis of California Climate Initiatives: An Integrated Approach, Volume 1: Summary for Policymakers at 1-7 (June 2007) ("[T]he analysis shows that, because of contract shuffling, for every ton of emission reduction from the electric sector in California, there could be an increase of 0.85 tons of electric sector emissions from the rest of the western states. Conversely, until full regional emission trading systems are created, regulatory efforts to prevent such contract shuffling could significantly increase costs to California ratepayers. The peak loss in GSP with maximum contract shuffling allowed is 1.0%, but increases to 1.4% if contract shuffling is prevented.").

information does not always identify the units from which the energy came.⁴ This problem will be exacerbated after the California Independent System Operator's ("CAISO") Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade ("MRTU") market is implemented in 2008. In such a clearing market, all surplus resources are sold into the market and the buyers buy from this market, without any knowledge whatsoever of which buyer is getting paired with which seller, let alone with which specific resource. Under the MRTU market, sources will not be traceable to load.

Among the three options, the first option (contracts and settlement data) is the only viable option for matching load with sources. However, this option will need to rely on numerous (and somewhat arbitrary) assumptions and "default factors" that will inevitably lead to highly inaccurate results. The second option (development of a tracking system) and third option (TEAC) would as a practical matter be very difficult to implement and would not be viable options.

An alternative approach for tracking and attributing imports could involve the use of North American Reliability Council ("NERC") E-tag information. Western Electricity Coordination Council ("WECC") and its agents collect NERC E-tags for the entire WECC. The State of California could obtain such data from the WECC to determine all sources of imported energy and the entities who imported it into a California control area. This approach, however, also raises various issues. The sources of imported energy are likely to be other control areas and not specific units. Furthermore, it is likely that the importer will not be a retail provider and, if so, will not provide a direct link between imported electricity and the load it serves.⁵

Question 7. If a load-based approach is pursued, would the potential benefits of a full TEAC system be great enough to warrant the start-up and administrative costs?

SCE does not support the TEAC approach and considers it far inferior to the First Seller approach. One of the reasons that any load-based approach is inferior to the First Seller

⁴ One exception could be a "unit contingent sale" where the product is being sold from a specific unit if available.

⁵ This approach, however, could work well in a First Seller approach.

approach is the inability of a load-based program to effectively coordinate with the CAISO MRTU dispatch protocol. Emissions value cannot be included in the CAISO dispatch decision. The TEAC proposal attempts to address this by unbundling the commodity energy from the emissions characteristics. However, there are significant administrative costs to developing and implementing a TEAC approach. In addition, such an approach is not likely to be developed on a national scale. Therefore, if California were to develop a TEAC based program, the State would need to abandon it and start from scratch when a national program is implemented.

IV.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS REGARDING SOURCE-BASED CAP-AND-TRADE DESIGN OPTIONS

A. Pure Source-Based (GHG Regulation Of In-State Generation Only)

Question 8. Do you view this approach as compliant with Assembly Bill (AB) 32? Please support your answer.

AB 32 specifically requires the California Air Resources Board ("CARB") to adopt regulations "to require the reporting and verification of statewide greenhouse gas emissions." The statute defines "statewide greenhouse gas emissions" as "the total annual emissions of greenhouse gases in the state . . . whether the electricity is generated in state or imported." The Legislature's desire is reiterated later in the statute where CARB is mandated to promulgate regulations which "account for greenhouse gas emissions from all electricity consumed in the state, including transmission and distribution line losses from electricity generated within the state or imported from outside the state." Additionally, AB 32 explicitly calls on CARB to adopt regulations that "minimize leakage" a term which is defined as "reduction in emissions of greenhouse gases within the state that is offset by an increase in emissions of gases outside the

Health & Safety Code § 38530(a).

Health & Safety Code §§ 38530 (a), 38505(m).

⁸ Health & Safety Code § 38530(b)(2).

state." To the extent the aforementioned source-based program fails to report or verify emissions from imported resources, it would violate the Legislature's explicit mandate.

Question 9. In light of the relatively high capacity factors of carbon-intensive facilities outside the state, how extensive do you expect the short-term threat of substituting higher-carbon imports for in-state generation to be? Might this possibility be dealt with through specific program design (e.g., allocations, limiting conditions, etc.)?

Under a pure in-state only source-based approach, there is a significant risk that higher-carbon imports will be substituted for in-state generation. The risk stems from out-of-state resources bidding into the CAISO. In-state resources would need to internalize emissions costs within their bids, resulting in higher bids than out-of-state resources that do not have the same emissions costs. The higher-carbon out-of-state bids would clear the market resulting in higher-carbon imports being substituted for in-state generation. Specific program designs cannot adequately address this issue. California's implementation of an Emissions Performance Standard, which reduce the ability of California sellers to sign longer term contracts with high carbon resources, addresses this leakage in the long-term, but not in the short-term. Allowance allocation also cannot adequately address this issue.

Question 10. Given existing procurement oversight and the prospect for a regional or federal GHG program in the foreseeable future, how extensive do you expect the threat to be of a longer-term shift of production to regions beyond the reach of a California source-based cap-and-trade regime?

As stated above, the Emission Performance Standard addresses the long-term challenge of leakage by prohibiting California retail providers from signing contracts with terms equal to or greater than five years with any generator that has an emissions profile greater than a standard combined cycle generating turbine. Once a regional or national program is implemented, out-of-state resources will most likely be regulated at the source. At such time, California generators will not be at an economic disadvantage to importers in the short-term market.

⁹ Health & Safety Code § 38505(j).

Question 11. If emissions associated with imported power are excluded from a cap-and-trade program, what policies beyond the existing suite of program including energy efficiency, California Solar Initiative, RPS, and Emission Performance Standard (EPS) do you recommend that California employ to achieve the necessary reductions from the electricity sector?

The only reason to consider a cap-and-trade program that ignores imports is if the program will be short-lived and replaced with a federal or regional source-based cap-and-trade program. If this is the case, then only short-term programmatic measures should be pursued, such as increasing energy efficiency programs. Given that the AB 32 GHG program will go into effect in 2012, increasing the Renewables Portfolio Standard or California Solar Initiative will unlikely result in increased renewable purchases prior to the expected federal or regional program. An missions performance standard, if based on an LSE's portfolio used to serve its load, suffers from the same market transaction and default emissions infirmities as a load-based cap.

Question 12. As the Public Utilities Commission does not currently have authority to oversee all energy efficiency and renewable procurement programs for all kinds of retail providers (investor owned utilities (IOUs), community choice aggregators (CCAs), electric service providers (ESPs), and publicly owned utilities (POUs)), which agency(ies) should fill in any gaps? Which agency should be responsible for overseeing energy efficiency and renewable procurement for POUs? Would the California Air Resources Board (ARB) have the authority to require certain energy efficiency and renewable targets be met by POUs?

As the Legislature has recognized, "under existing law the governing board of a local publicly owned electric utility is responsible for implementing and enforcing a renewables portfolio standard that recognizes the intent of the Legislature to encourage renewable resources."

The California Energy Commission has some authority over renewables, but it is limited to the certification of eligible renewable energy resources and the design and implementation of an accounting system to verify compliance with the renewables portfolio

¹⁰ Senate Bill No. 13689, September 29, 2006, Legislative Counsel's Digest (1).

standard by retail sellers.¹¹ SCE has no recommendation regarding who should be responsible for overseeing energy efficiency and renewable procurement for non-CPUC jurisdictional entities at this time. However, SCE notes that, in light of current law, legislation would likely be necessary to give such authority to any entities other than the governing board of any local publicly owned utility ("POU").

Currently, CARB is given very broad authority with respect to the control of GHG emissions. Among its powers are the ability to "adopt rules and regulations in an open public process to achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective GHG emissions reductions" and the ability to enforce any rules, regulations, orders or other compliance mechanisms it adopts. 12 To the extent CARB decides that regulation of POU energy efficiency mechanisms or renewable portfolio standard mechanisms will help achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective reductions in GHG emissions, such regulations are arguably within the broad authority granted CARB, even though the two programs are not specifically referenced in the text of AB 32.

Question 13. What sources would a source-based system cover? Could it cover California utility-owned facilities located outside of California?

A source-based system should cover all electrical generation facilities that emit GHG. Specific details on these facilities are well documented and systems are currently in place to collect emissions data. A minimum capacity value should be selected to exclude smaller generation facilities where regulation would yield diminishing returns.

A source-based program that seeks to cover only utility-owned facilities located outside of California may be the subject of Commerce Clause scrutiny if such a program is determined to discriminate between in-state and out-of-state sources in a manner that does not regulate evenhandedly. Such a program would need to address questions such as whether out-of-state resources with in-state owners would have to report and obtain allowances while resources with

Senate Bill No. 13689, September 29, 2006, Legislative Counsel's Digest (1).

¹² Health & Safety Code § 38562(a).

out-of-state owners would not, and whether out-of-state resources with in-state owners would receive allowances while resources with out-of-state owners would not. Additionally, such a proposal would need to address how in-state entities, with co-ownership agreements for out-of-state generating facilities would be addressed. Until a detailed proposal for a source-based system covering utility-owned facilities located outside of California is developed, the full extent of a legal challenge cannot adequately be addressed.

One of the major shortfalls of a pure in-state only source-based system is the inability to regulate electricity imported from out-of-state, which could lead to significant leakage and compromise the effectiveness of a cap. In contrast, the First Seller approach combines the simplicity of a source-based system, with the ability to regulate imported electricity at the first point of sale in California. For this reason, as well as the other reasons set forth in SCE's previous comments, SCE supports a First Seller approach.¹³

Question 14. Would a strengthened EPS assist in reducing emissions due to California imports? What recommended changes would you make to the EPS?

SCE does not recommend any changes to the Emissions Performance Standard at this time. It is correctly designed to apply to new long term commitments, consistent with SB 1368. Any changes in the current threshold, such as applying it to shorter term transactions, is likely to cause unnecessary administrative and logistical complications, while not affecting actual dispatch of underlying resources and thus not reducing actual GHG emissions from underlying resources.

B. <u>Deliverer/First Seller</u>

Question 15. Please comment on the "First Seller Design Description" paper, which is Attachment A to this ruling. Does the paper accurately describe the deliverer/first seller program? If not, describe your concerns and include an accurate description from your perspective.

¹³ See Response of SCE to Administrative Law Judge's Comments and Legal Briefs on Market Advisory Committee Report, filed August 6, 2007.

The "First Seller Design Description" paper adequately describes the principles of the First Seller approach and also presents some of the potential approaches to counting and reporting imported emissions. The paper does state that allocating allowances to ratepayers (by way of LSEs) will reduce the cost of a First Seller approach. SCE agrees that LSEs should be allocated allowances on behalf of their ratepayers. However, SCE supports allocating allowances according to economic harm. While the bulk of economic harm will fall on ratepayers, a significant level of harm will also fall on other entities. SCE recommends a broad approach that allocates allowance value according to economic harm.

With respect to imported energy under the First Seller approach, the challenges of attributing emissions and tracking from source to sink are similar to those of a load-based cap. The key advantage of a First Seller approach is its ability to substantially improve the accuracy and environmental integrity of in-state emissions regulation. In addition, the advantage of the First Seller approach, compared to the load-based alternative, with respect to imported energy is that the First Seller must take responsibility for energy that is imported into California before it is bid into the CAISO market. This addresses the attribution challenge of market bids from imports.

C. <u>Source-Based For In-State Generation, Load-Based for Imports</u> Question 16. Please describe in detail your view of how this option would work.

Under such a program, tracking in-state generation from source to sink is simplified, but all the perverse incentive challenges remain for imported energy. Because the CAISO market price will include the emissions value of in-state generation, importers will have additional incentive to bid low cost, high carbon generation into the CAISO. Importers will receive a higher price, but will have no emission obligation. The result of this program would be additional profits for imported generation, higher costs for California ratepayers, and no reduction in emissions from imported energy.

Question 17. Do you support such an approach? Why or why not?

For the reasons described in SCE's response to Question No. 16, SCE does not support the hybrid source-based approach for in-state generation and load-based for imports and considers it inferior to a First Seller approach.

Question 18. Does this approach have legal issues associated with it? Provide a detailed analysis and legal citations.

Such a hybrid approach may be subject to the same kind of Commerce Clause challenges as might be faced by either the First Seller or load-based approaches. Under this hybrid approach, LSEs would be obligated to use or buy emissions allowances when purchasing out-of-state power, but would seem to have no obligation to do so when purchased power can be traced to an in-state source. This would be the result of the hybrid approach's direct regulation of the in-state sources. However, it may become difficult to treat load-based purchases from out-of-state sellers in an apples-to-apples way with source-based in-state generation because the load-based generation will need to be aggregated and estimated in various ways. Such a disconnect between the manner in which in-state and out-of-state power are treated may give rise to an argument that the program unfairly favors California generation.

Question 19. If retail providers are responsible for internalizing the cost of carbon for imported power, all power generated in-state may need to be tracked to load to avoid double regulation of in-state power. Do you agree?

This answer depends on whether exports from in-state generation are included in the cap. In-state generation would need to be tracked from source to sink in the event that in-state generators had an incentive to export their emissions and return the generation as imported power under a lower emissions factor. However, in-state generators have no such incentive under a hybrid approach (such as that described in the Ruling) where in-state generators are required to provide allowances for all emissions regardless of whether electricity is exported or used to serve California load. Instead, such in-state generators will be required to provide

See Response of SCE to Administrative Law Judge's Comments and Legal Briefs on Market Advisory Committee Report, filed August 6, 2007, at 46-47.

allowances for all emissions at the source of generation and, thus, will not have an incentive to try to export the power and import it with a lower emission factor to reduce their compliance obligation.

Question 20. If that is the case, does a mixed source-based/load-based approach offer any advantages compared to a load-based approach in terms of simplifying reporting and tracking? What if the load-based system uses TEACs? How could imports be differentiated from in-state generation in a way that reduces the complexity of reporting and tracking compared to a load-based approach?

See responses to Questions 7 and 19 above. SCE does not support the mixed source-based/load-based approach or the TEAC approach.

V.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS REGARDING DEFERRAL OF A MARKET-BASED CAP-AND-TRADE SYSTEM

Question 21. How important is it that a cap-and-trade system be included in the near-term as part of the electricity sector's AB 32 compliance strategy?

When evaluating an option to delay the development of a market-based program, SCE suggests that potential California market-based solutions be evaluated based on how easily such a program can integrate within a national program. If a California program can seamlessly integrate into a national program it will be much easier for California to develop a program and then coordinate with a national program.

Alternatively, if California elects to delay the development of a market-based program and implements additional programmatic solutions, it is important that such programmatic solutions do not impose a dual burden on California. Thus, such programs should include a sunset provision that protects California ratepayers from duplicative regulatory burdens.

Additionally, such programmatic solutions must apply to an equal footprint as would a market-based program. This means that such programmatic solutions would apply equally to all entities in the electricity sector (i.e. IOU, POU, ESP and CCA).

Question 22. Would your answer to Q21 be different if there is no market-based cap-and-trade system? If so, please explain.

If a national emissions reduction program is not developed, the ability to make a significant impact on the world-wide GHG emissions is challenged. In such a circumstance, California will need to carefully evaluate the efficacy of acting alone. Such an approach would impose significant economic burdens without any palpable impact on worldwide emissions.

While it is likely premature to conjecture, if California were to engage in an isolated approach, SCE recommends that a First Seller approach be chosen.

Question 23. Address the following:

 How emission reduction obligations could be met if there is no cap-andtrade system for the electricity sector

If there is no cap-and-trade system for the electricity sector, AB 32 may not obligate the electricity sector to undertake additional emission reduction obligations. AB 32 states that the state should pursue the most cost-effective GHG emission reduction measures. Given the significant amount of emission reductions already undertaken by the electricity sector (relative to other sectors), it is unlikely that the most cost effective GHG reduction measures would be found in the electricity sector. Before any emission reduction obligations are created, a comprehensive analysis should be conducted to determine which sectors would yield the most cost effective reductions. If such an analysis concluded that the most cost-effective GHG reduction measures would come from the electricity sector, then those measures should be evaluated on the basis of cost effectiveness and net impact. Reduction measures such as energy efficiency, which in theory has a negative cost, may not be cost effective if implemented beyond the market saturation point.

How increased programmatic goals could impact rates, and

In theory, increased programmatic goals would raise rates more than a market-based approach. However, this is based on the assumption that the market-based approach is well designed and is not susceptible to market manipulation, such as contract shuffling. For example, under a load-based approach, which is more vulnerable to contract shuffling and could

compromise the efficiency of wholesale power markets, rates could be higher. In this case, it would be advantageous to the State to defer implementation of a market-based approach and wait for a regional/federal program.

 How deferral of a cap-and-trade program for the electricity sector would facilitate or hinder California's integration into a subsequent regional or federal program.

Deferral of a cap-and-trade program could enhance California's integration into a subsequent regional or federal program. However, California faces significant stranded costs if a market-based approach is deferred. If programmatic goals are used to bridge the gap between the present and the implementation of a regional/federal program, then the California electric sector may unnecessarily undertake costly emission reductions. Equivalent reductions may have been obtained for a lower cost through a cap-and-trade program.

If an electricity sector load-based cap is used to bridge the gap, not only would it incentivize contract shuffling and compromise the efficiency of wholesale markets in the interim, (similar to shorter term programmatic solutions outlined above) but the State would have to establish a costly administrative structure that would also need to be completely overhauled upon the implementation of a regional/federal program.

Question 24. How deferral of a cap-and-trade program for the electricity section would facilitate or hinder California's integrating into a subsequent regional or federal program.

See response to Question 23.

Question 25. If neither a regional system nor a national system is implemented within a reasonable timeframe, should California proceed with implementing its own cap-and-trade system for the electricity sector? If so, how long should California wait for other systems to develop before acting alone?

SCE supports a cap-and-trade approach to reducing emissions as a means to comply with AB 32. While the question of deferral has recently come up, a well-designed approach, such as a First Seller program, will most easily position California to transition to a national source-based cap and trade when such a national program is implemented. SCE does not support a load-based approach under any circumstance and it would be an unwise policy choice for California. As

was recently stated by the CAISO Market Surveillance Committee opinion, a load-based approach is inferior to a First Seller approach. While integrating with a national program is critically important, the challenges of a load-based approach go well beyond integration with a national source based program. 16

Question 26. What flexible compliance mechanisms could be integrated into a non-market based GHG emission reduction approach?

A well-designed market based GHG emission reduction approach will lead entities to the most cost and technology effective way to achieve the GHG emission reduction targets prescribed under AB32. Furthermore, flexible compliance mechanisms, such as offsets and voluntary early actions, are more adaptable to market-based programs than to non-market based programs. In a market-based program, emission reductions that results from these flexible compliance systems could be used as a fungible commodity and traded among regulated entities. In contrast, conventional command and control compliance requirements typically provide little, if any, flexibility in meeting requirements.

SCE urges that flexible compliance mechanisms be adapted to a non-market based approaches to the extent that market based approaches are not utilized. Innovative compliance options, such as offsets and voluntary early actions, should be considered as alternative compliance measures to additional command and control requirements. Furthermore, emission reductions that exceed the requirements of a command and control requirement should be used as a credit against the total emission reduction requirements of a regulated entity. These types of flexible mechanisms would help to reduce the cost impacts of conventional command and control compliance requirements.

CAISO Market Surveillance Committee Opinion on Load-Based and Source-Based Trading of Carbon Dioxide in California, adopted on November 27, 2007.

See Response of SCE to Administrative Law Judge's Comments and Legal Briefs on Market Advisory Committee Report, filed August 6, 2007, at 18-26.

Question 27. If a market-based cap-and-trade system is not implemented for the electricity section in 2012, how would you recommend addressing early actions that entities may have undertaken in anticipation of a market?

As mentioned in response to Question 26, early actions are more easily incorporated in a market-based approach than a traditional command and control approach. However, absent a marketplace, early actions that entities have undertaken in anticipation of a market must still be accounted for in an equitable manner. Emission reductions from these early actions should be used as a credit against the reductions that an entity is required to make from command and control measures. For example, the emission requirements from those command and control measures could be proportionally reduced as a result of the early actions undertaken. Without this assurance, there would no incentive for entities to undertake voluntary early actions. AB 32 itself states that the State should "ensure that entities that have voluntarily reduced their GHG emissions prior to the implementation of this section receive appropriate credit for early voluntary reductions." 12

VI.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS REGARDING RECOMMENDATION AND COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

Question 28 [Q29] Submit your comprehensive proposal for the approach California should utilize regarding the point of regulation and whether California should implement a cap-and-trade program at this time for the electricity sector. If you recommend that another approach be considered besides those detailed above, propose it here. If you recommend one of the above options, give as detailed a discussion as possible of how the approach would work.

SCE supports the First Seller cap-and-trade approach endorsed in the California Market
Advisory Committee Report. Such a program would provide a source-based cap for in-state
generators, while placing compliance obligations on California's first sellers of imported energy.

As discussed above, a First Seller approach greatly simplifies the measurement and accounting

¹⁷ Health & Safety Code § 38562(b)(3).

of emissions from in-state generation. There is no need to track in-state generation from source to sink. Additionally, under a First Seller approach, emission costs are internalized in the bids generators make into the CAISO market. As such, generators have no incentive to alter their bidding behavior due to emissions compliance rules.

Finally, a First Seller approach will more easily enable California to integrate into a national cap-and-trade program.

Question 29. Address and compare how each of the alternatives identified in the above questions, and the proposal you submit in response to the preceding question, would perform relative to each of the principles or objectives listed above and any other principles or objectives you propose. For each alternative, address important tradeoffs among the principles.

SCE's refers to its previous comments on point of regulation issues filed in this docket on August 6, 2007 and August 15, 2007, as well as SCE's responses to the questions above.

VII.

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, SCE continues to urge the CPUC to adopt a First Seller approach to a cap-and-trade system for California.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA LAURA I. GENAO CATHY A. KARLSTAD

/s/ Cathy A. Karlstad

By: Cathy A. Karlstad

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue

Post Office Box 800

Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone:

(626) 302-1096

Facsimile:

(626) 302-1904

E-mail:

Cathy.Karlstad@sce.com

Decmeber 3, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of COMMENTS OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY ON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES' RULING REQUESTING COMMENTS ON TYPE AND POINT OF REGULATION ISSUES on all parties identified on the attached service list(s). Service was effected by one or more means indicated below:

Transmitting the copies via e-mail to all parties who have provided an e-mail address. First class mail will be used if electronic service cannot be effectuated.

Executed this 3rd of December 2007, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ Raquel Ippoliti

Raquel Ippoliti
Project Analyst
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

CINDY ADAMS
COVANTA ENERGY CORPORATION
40 LANE ROAD
FAIRFIELD, NJ 7004
R.08-04-009

DAN ADLER
DIRECTOR, TECH AND POLICY
DEVELOPMENT
CALIFORNIA CLEAN ENERGY FUND
5 THIRD STREET, SUITE 1125
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
R08-04-009

CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE., RM. 370 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.08-04-009

FARROKH ALBUYEH
VICE PRESIDENT
OPEN ACCESS TECHNOLOGY
INTERNATIONAL INC
1875 SOUTH GRANT STREET
SAN MATEO, CA 94402
R.06-04-009

MICHAEL P. ALCANTAR ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.08-04-009

MAHLON ALDRIDGE ECOLOGY ACTION PO BOX 1188 SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 R.08-04-009

KEN ALEX 1300 I STREET, SUITE 125 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244 R.08-04-009 CATHIE ALLEN
CA STATE MGR.
PACIFICORP
825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 2000
PORTLAND, OR 97232
R.08-04-009

PETER V. ALLEN THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER 101 SECOND STREET, SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.08-04-009

SCOTT J. ANDERS RESEARCH/ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO SCHOOL OF LAW 5998 ALCALA PARK SAN DIEGO, CA 92110 R.08-04-009

JASMIN ANSAR PG&E PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.08-04-009 JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.08-04-009

JESUS ARREDONDO NRG ENERGY, INC. 4600 CARLSBAD BLVD. CARLSBAD, CA 99208 R06-04-009 SAKIS ASTERIADIS
APX INC
1270 FIFTH AVE., SUITE 15R
NEW YORK, NY 10029
R06-04-009

ELIZABETH BAKER SUMMIT BLUE CONSULTING 1722 14TH STREET, SUITE 230 BOULDER, CO 80304 R.08-04-009

GARY BARCH FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES, INC. 9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE LOUISVILLE, KY 40223 R.06-04-009 BARBARA R. BARKOVICH BARKOVICH & YAP, INC. 44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE MENDOCINO, CA 95480 R.08-04-009 AIMEE BARNES
MANAGER REGULATORY AFFAIRS
ECOSECURITIES
206 W. BONITA AVENUE
CLAREMONT, CA 91711
R.08-04-009

CURT BARRY 717 K STREET, SUITE 503 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009 KELLY BARR
MANAGER, REGULATORY AFFAIRS &
CONTRACTS
SALT RIVER PROJECT
PO BOX 52025, PAB 221
PHOENIX, AZ 85072-2025
R 08-04-008

OBADIAH BARTHOLOMY MECHANICAL ENGINEER SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT 6201 S. STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95817 R.08-04-009

PANAMA BARTHOLOMY ADVISOR TO CHAIR PFANNENSTIEL CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009 CARMEN E. BASKETTE
CORPORATE DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPAL
ENERNOC
594 HOWARD ST., SUITE 400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
R08-04-009

R. THOMAS BEACH PRINCIPAL CONSULTANT CROSSBORDER ENERGY 2560 NINTH STREET, SUITE 213A BERKELEY, CA 94710-2557 R06-04-009

SEAN P. BEATTY ATTORNEY AT LAW COOPER, WHITE & COOPER, LLP 201 CALIFORNIA ST., 17TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R06-04-009

BUD BEEBE SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTIL DIST 6201 S STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95817-1899 R06-04-009 C. SUSIE BERLIN ATTORNEY AT LAW MCCARTHY & BERLIN, LLP 100 PARK CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 501 SAN JOSE, CA 95113 R.06-04-009

RYAN BERNARDO BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C. 915 L STREET, SUITE 1270 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009 CLARK BERNIER RLW ANALYTICS 1055 BROADWAY, SUITE G SONOMA, CA 95476 R.08-04-009 SARAH BESERRA CALIFORNIA REPORTS 39 CASTLE HILL COURT VALLEJO, CA 94591 R.08-04-009

CLARENCE BINNINGER
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANICSCO, CA 94102
R06-04-009

CHARLIE BLAIR
DELTA ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT
15 GREAT STUART STREET
EDINBURGH, UK EH2 7TP
UNITED KINGDOM
R.08-04-009

B.B. BLEVINS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH STREET, MS-39 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009

GREG BLÜE ENXCO DEVELOPMENT CORP. 5000 EXECUTIVE PARKWAY, STE.140 SAN RAMON, CA 94583 R.08-04-009 ASHLEE M. BONDS THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN&STEINER LLP 101 SECOND STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.08-04-009 WILLIAM H. BOOTH ATTORNEY AT LAW LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM H. BOOTH 1500 NEWELL AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 R08-04-009

KEVIN BOUDREAUX CALPINE POWER AMERICA-CA, LLC 717 TEXAS AVENUE, SUITE 1000 HOUSTON, TX 77002 R08-04-009 KYLE D. BOUDREAUX FPL GROUP 700 UNIVERSE BLVD., JES/JB JUNO BEACH, FL 33408 R.08-04-009 KAREN BOWEN ATTORNEY AT LAW WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R08-04-009

BIANCA BOWMAN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
R08-04-009

ANDREW BRADFORD SENIOR MARKET RESEARCH ASSOCIATE FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES 9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE LOUISVILLE, KY 40223 R.08-04-009

DAVID BRANCHCOMB BRANCHCOMB ASSOCIATES, LLC 9360 OAKTREE LANE ORANGEVILLE, CA 95662 R06-04-009

DOWNEY BRAND DOWNEY BRAND 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4686 R.08-04-009

CLARE BREIDENICH 224 1/2 24TH AVENUE EAST SEATTLE, WA 98112 R.08-04-009 ADAM BRIONES THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R06-04-009

GLORIA BRITTON ANZA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. PO BOX 391909 ANZA, CA 92539 R.08-04-009 DONALD BROOKHYSER ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL 120 MONTGOMERY STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R08-04-009

DONALD BROOKHYSER ALCANTAR & KAHL 1300 SW FIFTH AVE., SUITE 1750 PORTLAND, OR 97210 R.08-04-009

DOUGLAS BROOKS NEVADA POWER COMPANY SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6226 WEST SAHARA AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89151 R.06-04-009 ANDREW BROWN ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95811 R08-04-009

VERONIQUE BUGNION POINT CARBON 205 SEVERN RIVER RD SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 R.08-04-009

JACK BURKE LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MANAGER CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY 8690 BALBOA AVE., SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 R.0804-009

THERESA BURKE SAN FRANCISCO PUC 1155 MARKET STREET, 4TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISO, CA 94103 R.08-04-009 PAM BURMICH AIR RESOURCES BOAD 1001 I STREET, BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812 R.08-04-009

DALLAS BURTRAW 1616 P STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036 R08-04-009 JOSHUA BUSHINSKY WESTERN POLICY COORDINATOR PEW CENTER ON GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE 2101 WILSON BLVD., SUITE 550 ARLINGTON, VA 95816 R.06-04-009 OLOF BYSTROM DIRECTOR, WESTERN ENERGY CAMBRIDGE ENERGY RESEARCH ASSOCIATES 555 CALIFORNIA STREET, 3RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.06-04-009

Eugene Cadenasso CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009 Andrew Campbell
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 5304
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.06-04-009

TRENT A CARLSON RELIANT ENERGY 1000 MAIN STREET HOUSTON, TX 77001 R.08-04-009

SANDRA CAROLINA SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 R.06-04-009 IAN CARTER
INTERNATIONAL EMISSIONS TRADING
ASSN.
350 SPARKS STREET, STE. 809
OTTAWA, ON K1R 7S8
CANADA
R.06-04-009

SHERYL CARTER
NATURAL RESOURCES DÉFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER STRÉET, 20TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R06-04-009

PHIL CARVER OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 625 MARION ST., NE SALEM, OR 97301-3737 R06-04-009 Theresa Cho
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 5207
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.06-04-009

JENNIFER CHAMBERLIN STRATEGIC ENERGY, LLC 2633 WELLINGTON CT. CLYDE, CA 94520 R.08-04-009

AUDREY CHANG
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R.06-04-009

CLIFF CHEN UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTIST 2397 SHATTUCK AVENUE, STE 203 BERKELEY, CA 94704 R 08-04-009 WILLIAM H. CHÉN DIRECTOR, ENERGY POLICY WEST REGION CONSTELLATION NEW ENERGY, INC. ONE MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.08-04-009

BRIAN K. CHERRY DIRECTOR REGULATORY RELATIONS PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, B10C SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94106 R.06-04-009 ED CHIANG ELEMENT MARKETS, LLC ONE SUGAR CREEK CENTER BLVD., SUITE 250 SUGAR LAND, TX 77478 R06-04-009 STEVEN M. COHN ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT PO BOX 15830 SACRAMENTO, CA 95852-1830 R.06-04-009

KENNETH A. COLBURN SYMBILTIC STRATEGIES, LLC 26 WINTON ROAD MEREDITH, NH 3253 R.06-04-009 ALAN COMNES WEST COAST POWER 3934 SE ASH STREET PORTLAND, OR 97214 R08-04-009 LISA A. COTTLE ATTORNEY AT LAW WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP 101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 39TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R06-04-009

RICHARD COWART REGULATORY ASSISTANCE PROJECT 50 STATE STREET, SUITE 3 MONTPELIER, VT 5602 R.08-04-009 BRIAN T. CRAGG ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, RITCHIE & DAY 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R08-04-009 HOLLY B. CRONIN STATE WATER PROJECT OPERATIONS DIV CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES 3310 EL CAMINO AVE., LL-90 SACRAMENTO, CA 95821 R.06-04-009

SEBASTIEN CSAPO PROJECT MANAGER PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.06-04-009 RAYMOND J. CZAHAR, C.P.A. CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER WEST COAST GAS COMPANY 9203 BEATTY DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95826 R.08-04-009

KARLA DAILEY CITY OF PALO ALTO BOX 10250 PALO ALTO, CA 94303 R.08-04-009

THOMAS DARTON
PILOT POWER GROUP, INC.
8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92122
R.08-04-009

KYLE L. DAVIS PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST., SUITE 2000 PORTLAND, OR 97232 R.08-04-009 Matthew Deal CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5215 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

RONALD F. DEATON LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POWER 111 NORTH HOPE STREET, ROOM 1550 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 R.08-04-009 LISA DECARLO STAFF COUNSEL CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH STREET MS-14 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009

PAUL DELANEY AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK (A.U.N.) 10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE ALTA LOMA, CA 91737 R.06-04-009

RALPH E. DENNIS
DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES
9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE, STE
2000
LOUISVILLE, KY 40223
R.08-04-009

LEONARD DEVANNA EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT CLEAN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC. 11330 SUNCO DRIVE, SUITE A RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95742 R.06-04-009

BALDASSARO DI CAPO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.08-04-009

WILLIAM F. DIETRICH ATTORNEY AT LAW DIETRICH LAW 2977 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD, 613 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598-3535 R.08-04-009

TREVOR DILLARD SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD, MS S4A50 RENO, NV 89520 R.08-04-009 THOMAS DILL PRESIDENT LODI GAS STORAGE, LLC 1021 MAIN ST STE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77002-8509 R06-04-009

JEFFREY DOLL CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD PO BOX 2815 1001 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95812 R.08-04-009 DANIEL W. DOUGLASS ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 21700 OXNARD STREET, SUITE 1030 WOODLAND HILLS, CA 91367 R.08-04-009 JASON DUBCHAK ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL WILD GOOSE STORAGE, LLC 607 8TH AVENUE S.W. CALGARY, AB T2P OA7 CANADA R.08-04-009

KIRBY DUSEL NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC. 3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95670 R06-04-009 PIERRE H. DUVAIR
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET, MS-41
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
R.08-04-009

HARVEY ÉDER PUBLIC SOLAR POWER COALITION 1218 12TH ST., 25 SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 R.08-04-009

KAREN EDSON 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.08-04-009 DENNIS M.P. EHLING KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM 10100 SANTA MONICA BLVD., 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 R.06-04-009 THOMAS ELGIE POWEREX CORPORATION 1400, 666 BURRAND ST VANCOUVER, BC V6C 2X8 CANADA R.06-04-009

SHAUN ELLIS 2183 UNION STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94123 R 08-04-009 SANDRA ELY NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT 1190 ST FRANCIS DRIVE SANTA FE, NM 87501 R08-04-009 NADAV ENBAR ENERGY INSIGHTS 1750 14TH STREET, SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80302 R08-04-009

STEVE ENDO PASADENA DEPARTMENT OF WATER & POWER 45 EAST GLENARM STREET PASADENA, CA 91105 R.08-04-009 SAEED FARROKHPAY FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION 110 BLUE RAVINE RD., SUITE 107 FOLSOM, CA 95830 R.06-04-009 DIANE I. FELLMAN
DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
FPL ENERGY PROJECT MANAGEMENT, INC.
234 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
R08-04-009

Julie A. Fitch
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 5119
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.08-04-009

MICHEL FLORIO ATTORNEYS AT LAW 711 VAN NESS AVE., STE. 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.08-04-009 RYAN FLYNN PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, 18TH FLOOR PORTLAND, OR 97232 R06-04-009

Cathleen A. Fogel
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.06-04-009

Jamie Fordyce CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 5-B SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-008 CYNTHIA A. FONNER SENIOR COUNSEL CONSTELLATION ENERGY GROUP INC 550 W. WASHINGTON ST, STE 300 CHICAGO, IL 60661 R.08-04-009

ORLANDO B. FOOTE, III ATTORNEY AT LAW HORTON, KNOX, CARTER & FOOTE 895 BROADWAY, SUITE 101 EL CENTRO, CA 92243 R.08-04-009

JONATHAN FORRESTER PG&E PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.08-04-009 KEVIN FOX WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI ONE MARKET STREET, SPEAR TOWER, 3300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.08-04-009

NORMAN J. FURUTA ATTORNEY AT LAW FEDERAL EXECUTIVE AGENCIES 1455 MARKET ST., SUITE 1744 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103-1399 R0644409

MICHELLE GARCIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD 1001 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R06-04-009 LAURA I. GENAO ATTORNEY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R06-04-009

FIJI GEORGE EL PASO CORPORATION PO BOX 2511 HOUSTON, TX 77252 R08-04-009 Anne Gillette CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009 ANNETTE GILLIAM SCE LAW DEPARTMENT SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 ROS-04-009

JULIE GILL EXTERNAL AFFAIRS MANAGER CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.08-04-009

HOWARD V. GOLUB NIXON PEABODY LLP 2 EMBARCADERO CENTER, STE. 2700 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.08-04-009 HAYLEY GOODSON ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R08-04-009

KASSANDRA GOUGH CALPINE CORPORATION 1127 11TH STREET, SUITE 242 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009 Jacqueline Greig CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4102 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R08-04-009 JEFFREY P. GRAY ATTORNEY AT LAW DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6533 R.08-04-009

JOSEPH GRECO VICE PRESIDENT - WESTERN REGION CAITHNESS ENERGY, LLC. 9590 PROTOTYPE COURT, SUITE 200 RENO, NV 89521 R08-04-009 KRISTIN GRENFELL
PROJECT ATTORNEY, CALIF. ENERGY
PROGRAM
NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R06-044-009

KAREN GRIFFIN EXECUTIVE OFFICE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH STREET, MS 39 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009

ANN G. GRIMALDI MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 101 CALIFORNIA STREET, 41ST FLOOR Center for Energy and Economic Development SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.06-04-009 YVONNE GROSS REGULATORY POLICY MANAGER SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 R08-04-009

ELSTON K. GRUBAUGH IMPERIAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST BARIONI BLVD. IMPERIAL, CA 92251 R06-04-009

ELIZABETH W. HADLEY CITY OF REDDING 777 CYPRESS AVENUE REDDING, CA 96001 R.06-04-009 JEFFREY L. HAHN COVANTA ENERGY CORPORATION 876 MT. VIEW DRIVE LAFAYETTE, CA 94549 R.08-04-009 TOM HAMILTON MANAGING PARTNER ENERGY CONCIERGE SERVICES 321 MESA LILA RD GLENDALE, CA 91208 R.08-04-009

PETER W. HANSCHEN ATTORNEY AT LAW MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP 101 YGNACIO VALLEY ROAD, SUITE 450 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 R.08-04-009

ANDREW L. HARRIS PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.06-04-009 ARNO HARRIS RECURRENT ENERGY, INC. 220 HALLECK ST., SUITE 220 SAN FRANCISCSO, CA 94129 R.08-04-009

JEFFERY D. HARRIS ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009

AUDRA HARTMANN DYNEGY, INC. 980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 1420 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R08-04-009 ANITA HART SENIOR SPECIALIST/STATE REGULATORYAFFAIR SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89193 R.08-04-009

KERRY HATTEVIK MIRANT CORPORATION 696 WEST 10TH STREET PITTSBURG, CA 94565 R.06-04-009 LYNN HAUG ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95816 R.08-04-009 MARCEL HAWIGER ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.08-04-009

DAN HECHT SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R.08-04-009 RICHARD HELGESON SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POWER AUTHORI 225 S. LAKE AVE., SUITE 1250 PASADENA, CA 91101 R.00-04-009 UDI HELMAN CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYS. OPER. CORP 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.08-04-009

TIM HEMIG DIRECTOR NRG ENERGY, INC. 1819 ASTON AVENUE, SUITE 105 CARLSBAD, CA 92008 R.06-04-009

JOSEPH HENRI 31 MIRAMONTE ROAD WALNUT CREEK, CA 94597 R06-04-009 CHRISTOPHER A. HILEN ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD RENO, NV 89511 R.08-04-009

SETH HILTON ATTORNEY AT LAW STOEL RIVES 111 SUTTER ST., SUITE 700 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R08-04-009

GARY HINNERS RELIANT ENERGY, INC. PO BOX 148 HOUSTON, TX 77001-0148 R08-04-009 ALDYN HOEKSTRA PACE GLOBAL ENERGY SERVICES 420 WEST BROADWAY, 4TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R.08-04-009

J. ANDREW HOERNER REDEFIMING PROGRESS 1904 FRANKLIN STREET OAKLAND, CA 94612 R08-04-009 LAURIE TEN HOPE ADVISOR TO COMMISSIONER BYRON CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH STREET, MS-32 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512 R.08-04-009

GEORGE HOPLEY BARCLAYS CAPITAL 200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10166 R06-04-009

RANDY S. HOWARD LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER AND POWER 111 NORTH HOPE STREET, ROOM 921 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 R.06-04-009 DAVID L. HUARD ATTORNEY AT LAW MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES, CA 90064 R.08-04-009 JOHN P HUGHES MANAGER, REGULATORY AFFAIRS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE. 2040 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.06-04-009

STEVEN HUHMAN MORGAN STANLEY CAPITAL GROUP INC. 2000 WESTCHESTER AVENUE PURCHASE, NY 10577 R.08-04-009 RAYMOND HUNG PG&E PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R08-04-009 TAMLYN M. HUNT ENERGY PROGRAM DIRECTOR COMMUNITY ENVIRONMENTAL COUNCIL 26 W. ANAPAMU ST., 2ND FLOOR SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101 R.08-04-009

CAROL J. HURLOCK
CALIFORNIA DEPT. OF WATER RESOURCES
3310 EL CAMINO AVE. RM 300
SACRAMENTO, CA 95821
R06-04-009

MICHAEL A. HYAMS
POWER ENTERPRISE-REGULATORY
AFFAIRS
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMM
1155 MARKET ST., 4TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
R.06-04-009

Judith like CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4012 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009

AKBAR JAZAYEIRI DIRECTOR OF REVENUE & TARRIFFS SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROOM 390 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.08-04-009

PETER JAZAYERI STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 1800 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 R.06-04-009 BRUNO JEIDER BURBANK WATER & POWER 164 WEST MAGNOLIA BLVD. BURBANK, CA 91502 R.08-04-009

JOHN JENSEN PRESIDENT MOUNTAIN UTILITIES PO BOX. 205 KIRKWOOD, CA 95646 R.08-04-009 LEILANI JOHNSON KOWAL LOS ANGELES DEPT. OF WATER AND POWER 111 N. HOPE STREET, ROOM 1050 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 R08-04-009

KENNETH C. JOHNSON KENNETH CARLISLE JOHNSON 2502 ROBERTSON RD SANTA CLARA, CA 95051 R08-04-009

BRIAN M. JONES M.J. BRADLEY & ASSOCIATES, INC. 47 JUNCTION SQUARE DRIVE CONCORD, MA 1742 R.08-04-009 MARC D. JOSEPH ADAMS BRADWELL JOSEPH'& CARDOZO 601 GATEWAY BLVD., STE. 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080 R.08-04-009 Sara M. Kamins
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.06-04-009

EVELYN KAHL ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.08-04-009

CATHY A. KARLSTAD SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R08-04-009 JOSEPH M. KARP ATTORNEY AT LAW WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 101 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-5802 R.08-04-009

SUE KATELEY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALIFORNIA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSN PO BOX 782 RIO VISTA, CA 94571 R08-04-009

ADAM J KATZ MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005 R.08-04-009 JAMES W. KEATING BP AMERICA, INC. 150 W. WARRENVILLE RD. NAPERVILLE, IL 60563 R.08-04-009

CURTIS L. KEBLER
J. ARON & COMPANY
2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
R.06-04-009

RANDALL W. KEEN ATTORNEY AT LAW MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90064 R.08-04-009

CAROLYN M. KEHREIN ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES 1505 DUNLAP COURT DIXON, CA 95620-4208 R.08-04-009

ALEXIA C KELLY THE CLIMATE TRUST 65 SW YAMHILL STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204 R.06-04-009 STEVEN KELLY INDEPENDENT ENERGY PRODUCERS 1215 K STREET, SUITE 900 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009 DOUGLAS K. KERNER ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009

KHURSHID KHOJA ASSOCIATE THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER 101 SECOND STREET, SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.06-04-009

KIM KIENER 504 CATALINA BLVD. SAN DIEGO, CA 92106 R06-04-009 THOMAS S KIMBALL MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354 R08-04-009

DANIEL A. KING SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET, HQ 12 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R08-04-009 GREGORY KLATT ATTORNEY AT LAW DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 411 E. HUNTINGTON DRIVE, STE. 107-356 ARCADIA, CA 91006 R.08-04-009

JOSEPH R. KLOBERDANZ SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC PO BOX 1831 SAN DIEGO, CA 92112 R08-04-009

STEPHEN G. KOERNER, ESQ. EL PASO CORPORATION 2 NORTH NEVADA AVENUE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 R08-04-009 GREGORY KOISER CONSTELLATION NEW ENERGY, INC. 350 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, SUITE 3800 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 R.06-04-009 AVIS KOWALEWSKI CALPINE CORPORATION 3875 HOPYARD ROAD, SUITE 345 PLEASANTON, CA 94588 R06-04-009

STEVE KROMER 3110 COLLEGE AVENUE, APT 12 BERKELEY, CA 94705 R06-04-009 CATHERINE M KRUPKA MCDERMOTT WILL AND EMERY LLP 600 THIRTEEN STREEET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005 R.08-04-009 LARS KVALE CENTER FOR RESOURCE SOLUTIONS PO BOX 39512 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94129 R08-04-009

Jonathan Lakritz CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5020 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

STEPHANIE LA SHAWN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
R08-04-009

GERALD L. LAHR ABAG POWER 101 EIGHTH STREET OAKLAND, CA 94607 R06-04-009

MIKE LAMOND ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING CO. #1 LLC PO BOX 550 VALLEY SPRINGS, CA 95252 R 08-04-008

JOHN LAUN APOGEE INTERACTIVE, INC. 1220 ROSECRANS ST., SUITE 308 SAN DIEGO, CA 92106 R.08-04-009 Diana L. Lee CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

VITALY LEE AES ALAMITOS, LLC 690 N. STUDEBAKER ROAD LONG BEACH, CA 90803 R.06-04-009 BRENDA LEMAY DIRECTOR HORIZON WIND ENERGY 1600 SHATTUCK, SUITE 222 BERKELEY, CA 94709 R.08-04-009

NICHOLAS LENSSEN ENERGY INSIGHTS 1750 14TH STREET, SUITE 200 BOULDER, CO 80302 R06-04-009

JOHN W. LESLIE ATTORNEY AT LAW LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS, LLP 11988 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130 R06-04-009

DONALD C. LIDDELL, PC DOUGLASS & LIDDELL 2928 2ND AVENUE SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 R.08-04-009 KAREN LINDH LINDH & ASSOCIATES 7909 WALERGA ROAD, NO. 112, PMB119 ANTELOPE, CA 95843 R.08-04-009

STEVEN G. LINS GENERAL COUNSEL GLENDALE WATER AND POWER 613 EAST BROADWAY, SUITE 220 GLENDALE, CA 91206-4394 R.06-04-009

STEVEN A. LIPMAN STEVEN LIPMAN CONSULTING 500 N. STREET 1108 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009 GRACE LIVINGSTON-NUNLEY ASSISTANT PROJECT MANAGER PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.08-04-009

BILL LOCKYER STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DEPT OF JUSTICE PO BOX 944255 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2550 R 08-04-009

JODY S. LONDON JODY LONDON CONSULTING PO BOX 3629 OAKLAND, CA 94609 R06-04-009 LAD LORENZ V.P. REGULATORY AFFAIRS SEMPRA UTILITIES 601 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 2060 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.06-04-009

BARRY LOVELL 15708 POMERADO RD., SUITE 203 POWAY, CA 92064 R.06-04-009 BOB LUCAS LUCAS ADVOCATES 1121 L STREET, SUITE 407 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R08-04-009 ED LUCHA CASE COORDINATOR PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R06-04-009

JANE E. LUCKHARDT ATTORNEY AT LAW DOWNEY BRAND LLP 555 CAPITOL MALL, 10TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R06-04-009

LYNELLE LUND COMMERCE ENERGY, INC. 600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 R.08-04-009 MARY LYNCH VP - REGULATORY AND LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS CONSTELLATION ENERGY COMMODITIES GROUP 2377 GOLD MEDAL WAY, SUITE 100 GOLD RIVER, CA 95670 R.08-04-009

Jadyn Marks CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5306 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R08-04-009 DOUGLAS MACMULLLEN CHIEF, POWER PLANNING SECTION CA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES 3310 EL CAMINO AVE., ROOM 356 SACRAMENTO, CA 95821 R.06-04-009

ANNE-MARIE MADISON TRANSALTA ENERGY MARKETING INC. 222 SW COLUMBIA STREET, STE 1105 PORTLAND, OR 97201 R.08-04-009

AMBER MAHONE ENERGY & ENVIRONMENTAL ECONOMICS, INC. 101 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1600 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.08-04-009

ANNABELLE MALINS
CONSUL-SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
BRITISH CONSULATE-GENERAL
ONE SANSOME STREET, SUITE 850
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104
R.06-04-009

DEREK MARKOLF CALIFORNIA CLIMATE ACTION REGISTRY 515 S. FLOWER STREET, SUITE 1640 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 R.06-04-009

CHRIS MARNAY 1 CYCLOTRON RD MS 90R4000 BERKELEY, CA 94720-8136 R.06-04-009 JULIE L. MARTIN
WEST ISO COORDINATOR
NORTH AMERICA GAS AND POWER
501 WESTLAKE PARK BLVD.
HOUSTON, TX 77079
R.08-04-009

MARTIN A. MATTES NOSSAMAN GUTHNER KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.06-04-009

DANIELLE MATTHEWS SEPERAS CALPINE CORPORATION 1127 11TH STREET, SUITE 242 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R06-04-009 MICHAEL MAZUR CHIEF TECHNICAL OFFICER 3 PHASES RENEWABLES, LLC 2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD., SUITE 37 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266 R.06-04-009

Wade McCartney
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
R06-04-009

ANDREW MCALLISTER
DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE
ENERGY
8690 BALBOA AVE., SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
R.08-04-009

THOMAS MCCABE EDISON MISSION ENERGY 18101 VON KARMAN AVE., SUITE 1700 IRVINE, CA 92612 R06-04-099 RICHARD MCCANN, PH.D M.CUBED 2655 PORTAGE BAY, SUITE 3 DAVIS, CA 95616 R.06-04-009

BARRY F. MCCARTHY ATTORNEY AT LAW MCCARTHY & BERLIN, LLP 100 PARK CENTER PLAZA, SUITE 501 SAN JOSE, CA 95113 R.06-04-009 KEITH R. MCCREA ATTORNEY AT LAW SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN, LLP 1275 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2415 R08-04-009 MARY MCDONALD DIRECTOR OF STATE AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.08-04-009

JEN MCGRAW CENTER FOR NEIGHBORHOOD TECHNOLOGY PO BOX 14322 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94114 R08-04-009

BRUCE MCLAUGHLIN BRAUN & BLAISING P.C. 915 L STREET, SUITE 1270 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009 RACHEL MCMAHON CEERT 1100 11TH STREET, SUITE 311 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009

BRIAN MCQUOWN RELIANT ENERGY 7251 AMIGO ST., SUITE 120 LAS VEGAS, NV 89119 R06-04-009

ELENA MELLO SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD RENO, NV 89520 R.06-04-009 DARYL METZ CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH ST., MS-20 SACRAMENTO, CA 95614 R.08-04-009

STEVEN S. MICHEL WESTERN RESOURCE ADVOCATES 2025 SENDA DE ANDRES SANTA FE, NM 87501 R.06-04-009 ROSS A. MILLER ELECTRICITY ANALYSIS OFFICE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH STREET MS 20 SACRAMENTO, CA 96814-5512 R.06-04-009 KAREN NORENE MILLS ATTORNEY AT LAW CALIFORNIA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION 2300 RIVER PLAZA DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95833 R.08-04-009 R.06-04-009

Monday, December 3, 2007

MARCIE MILNER
DIRECTOR - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
SHELL TRADING GAS & POWER COMPANY
4445 EASTGATE MALL, SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121
R06-04-009

SAMARA MINDEL REGULATORY AFFAIRS ANALYST FELLON-MCCORD & ASSOCIATES 9960 CORPORATE CAMPUS DRIVE, SUITE 2000 LOUISVILLE, KY 40223 R.06-04-009

CYNTHIA MITCHELL ENERGY ECONOMICS, INC. 530 COLGATE COURT RENO, NV 89503 R.06-04-009

Ed Moldavsky CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5125 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009 Rahmon Momoh CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4205 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R06-04-009 Beth Moore CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4103 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009

Harvey Y. Morris CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5036 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009 Lainie Motamedi CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5119 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R06-04-009 DAVID L. MODISETTE CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC TRANSP. COALITION 1015 K STREET, SUITE 200 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009

WES MONIER STRATEGIC ISSUES AND PLANNING MANAGER TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST CANAL DRIVE, PO BOX 949 TURLOCK, CA 95381-0949 R.06-04-009

ROGER C. MONTGOMERY VICE PRESIDENT, PRICING SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 R.08-04-009 RONALD MOORE GOLDEN STATE WATER/BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC 630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD SAN DIMAS, CA 91773 R.08-04-009

RICHARD J. MORILLO ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF BURBANK 215 E. OLIVE AVENUE BURBANK, CA 91502 R06-04-009 GREGG MORRIS DIRECTOR GREEN POWER INSTITUTE 2039 SHATTUCK AVENUE, STE 402 BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.08-04-009 STEVEN MOSS SAN FRANCISCO COMMUNITY POWER COOP 2325 3RD STREET, SUITE 344 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120 R.08-04-009

MATTHEW MOST EDISON MISSION MARKETING & TRADING, INC. 160 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1776 R.08-04-009

Scott Murtishaw
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.08-04-009

PHILLIP J. MULLER SCD ENERGY SOLUTIONS 436 NOVA ALBION WAY SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903 R.08-04-009

CLYDE MURLEY 1031 ORDWAY STREET ALBANY, CA 94706 R08-04-009 Richard A. Myers
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R06-04-009

SARA STECK MYERS ATTORNEY AT LAW 122 28TH AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121 R06-04-009

JESSICA NELSON PLUMAS-SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC CO-OP 73233 STATE ROUTE 70, STE A PORTOLA, CA 96122-7064 R06-04-009

DAVID NEMTZOW 1254 9TH STREET, NO. 6 SANTA MONICA, CA 90401 R08-04-009 SID NEWSOM TARIFF MANAGER SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY 555 WEST 5TH STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90051 R08-04-008

DESPINA NIEHAUS SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32H SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530 R.08-04-009 SEPHRA A. NINOW POLICY ANALYST CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE ENERGY 8690 BALBOA AVENUE, SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 R.08-04-009

RICK C. NOGER PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC. 2711 CENTERVILLE ROAD, SUITE 400 WILMINGTON, DE 19808 R08-04-009

RITA NORTON RITA NORTON AND ASSOCIATES, LLC 18700 BLYTHSWOOD DRIVE, LOS GATOS, CA 95030 R.06-04-009 TIMOTHY R. ODIL
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1875 LAWRENCE STREET, SUITE 200
Center for Energy and Economic Development
DENVER, CO 80202
R.08-04-009

ALVIN PAK SEMPRA GLOBAL ENTERPRISES 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R.08-04-009

LAURIE PARK NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC. 3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 800 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95670-8078 R08-04-009 LORRAINE PASKETT
DIRECTOR, LEGISLATIVE AND REG.
AFFAIRS
LA DEPT. OF WATER & POWER
111 N. HOWARD ST., ROOM 1536
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
R.06-04-009

SHERIDAN J. PAUKER WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI ONE MARKET ST SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.06-04-008

JOSEPH M. PAUL SENIOR CORPORATE COUNSEL DYNEGY, INC. 4140 DUBLIN BLVD., STE. 100 DUBLIN, CA 94568 ROB-04-009 Joel T. Peristein CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5133 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

CARL PECHMAN POWER ECONOMICS 901 CENTER STREET SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060 R.08-04-009

NORMAN A. PEDERSEN ATTORNEY AT LAW HANNA AND MORTON, LLP 444 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, NO. 1500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 R.08-04-009

ROGER PELOTE WILLIAMS POWER COMPANY 12736 CALIFA STREET VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91607 R06-04-009 JAN PEPPER CLEAN POWER MARKETS, INC. 418 BENVENUE AVENUE LOS ALTOS, CA 94024 R.06-04-009

CARLA PETERMAN UCEI 2547 CHANNING WAY BERKELEY, CA 94720 R08-04-009 COLIN PETHERAM DIRECTOR-REGULATORY SBC CALIFORNIA 140 NEW MONTGOMERY ST., SUITE 1325 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.06-04-009 ROBERT L. PETTINATO
LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER &
POWER
111 NORTH HOPE STREET, SUITE 1151
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
R06-04-009

PHILIP D. PETTINGILL CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.06-04-009 Paul S Phillips CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009 GORDON PICKERING PRINCIPAL NAVIGANT CONSULTING, INC. 3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95670-6078 R.06-04-009

EDWARD G. POOLE ANDERSON DONOVAN & POOLE 601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 R.08-04-009 JENNIFER PORTER
POLICY ANALYST
CALIFORNIA CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE
ENERGY
8690 BALBOA AVENUE, SUITE 100
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123
R.08-04-009

BRIAN POTTS Foley & Lardner 150 East Gilman Street 1497 MADISON, WI 53701-1497 R.08-04-009

EVAN POWERS CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD 1001 I ST, PO BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812 R06-04-009 VIDHYA PRABHAKARAN GOODIN,MACBRIDE,SQUERI,DAY,LAMPREY 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.08-04-009 RASHA PRINCE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY 555 WEST 5TH STREET, GT14D6 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013 R.08-04-009

JJ PRUCNAL SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 R 06-04-069 MARC PRYOR CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 9TH ST., MS-20 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.08-04-009 BALWANT S. PUREWAL DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES 3310 EL CAMINO AVE., LL-90 SACRAMENTO, CA 95821 R.08-04-009

Kristin Ralff Douglas CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5119 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

BARRY RABE 1427 ROSS STREET PLYMOUTH, MI 48170 R.06-04-009 STEVE RAHON DIRECTOR, TARIFF & REGULATORY ACCOUNTS SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32C SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1548 R08-04-009

TIFFANY RAU
POLICY AND COMMUNICATIONS MANAGER
CARSON HYDROGEN POWER PROJECT LLC
ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER, SUITE 1600
LONG BEACH, CA 90831-1600
R.08-04-009

JOHN R. REDDING ARCTURUS ENERGY CONSULTING 44810 ROSEWOOD TERRACE MENDOCINO, CA 95460 R08-04-009 ROBERT J. REINHARD MORRISON AND FOERSTER 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482 R06-04-009

DAVID REYNOLDS
MEMBER SERVICES MANAGER
NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY
180 CIRBY WAY
ROSEVILLE, CA 95678-6420
R.06-04-009

JANILL RICHARDS
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
OFFICE
1515 CLAY STREET, 20TH FLOOR
OAKLAND, CA 94702
R.06-04-009

Steve Roscow
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.06-04-009

THEODORE ROBERTS ATTORNEY AT LAW SEMPRA GLOBAL 101 ASH STREET, HQ 13D SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017 R.06-04-009

GRANT ROSENBLUM, ESQ. CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R06-04-009 JAMES ROSS RCS, INC. 500 CHESTERFIELD CENTER, SUITE 320 CHESTERFIELD, MO 63017 R06-04-009

ROBERT K. ROZANSKI LOS ANGELES DEPT OF WATER AND POWER 111 NORTH HOPE STREET, ROOM 1520 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 R06-04-009

Nancy Ryan CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5217 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009 Pearlie Sabino
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 4209
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.08-04-009

Jason R. Salmi Klotz CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

RANDY SABLE SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89193 R08-04-009 SAM SADLER OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 625 NE MARION STREET SALEM, OR 97301-3737 R.08-04-009

JUDITH B. SANDERS ATTORNEY AT LAW CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.08-04-008

SOUMYA SASTRY PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R08-04-009 Don Schultz
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
770 L STREET, SUITE 1050
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
R.08-04-009

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.08-04-008 MICHAEL SCHEIBLE DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD 1001 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95677 R.06-04-008

JENINE SCHENK APS ENERGY SERVICES 400 E. VAN BUREN STREET, SUITE 750 PHOENIX, AZ 85004 R.08-04-009

STEVEN SCHILLER SCHILLER CONSULTING, INC. 111 HILLSIDE AVENUE PIEDMONT, CA 94611 R.06-04-009 STEVEN S. SCHLEIMER
DIRECTOR, CÓMPLIANCE & REGULATORY
AFFAIRS
BARCLAYS BANK, PLC
200 PARK AVENUE, FIFTH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10166
R.08-04-009

REED V. SCHMIDT VICE PRESIDENT BARTLE WELLS ASSOCIATES 1889 ALCATRAZ AVENUE BERKELEY, CA 94703 R.08-04-009

DONALD SCHOENBECK RCS, INC. 900 WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE 780 VANCOUVER, WA 98680 R08-04-009 BILL SCHRAND SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATON PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 R.08-04-009 CYNTHIA SCHULTZ
REGULATORY FILING COORDINATOR
PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
825 N.E. MULTNOMAH
PORTLAND, OR 97232
R.06-04-009

LISA SCHWARTZ SENIOR ANALYST ORGEON PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION PO BOX 2148 SALEM, 08 97308-2148 R.08-04-009

MONICA A. SCHWEBS, ESQ. BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP 1333 N. CALIFORNIA BLVD., SUITE 210 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 R.08-04-009 PAUL M. SEBY MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1875 LAWRENCE STREET, SUITE 200 DENVER, CO 80202 R.06-04-009

BETTY SETO POLICY ANALYST KEMA, INC. 492 NINTH STREET, SUITE 220 OAKLAND, CA 94607 R 08-04-009

NORA SHERIFF ATTORNEY AT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R.06-04-009 Sean A. Simon CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009

KYLE SILON ECOSECURITIES CONSULTING LIMITED 529 SE GRAND AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97214 R08-04-009 DAN SILVERIA SURPRISE VALLEY ELECTRIC CORPORATION PO BOX 691 ALTURAS, CA 96101 R.08-04-009

KEVIN J. SIMONSEN ENERGY MANAGEMENT SERVICES 646 EAST THIRD AVENUE DURANGO, CO 81301 R08-04-009

DAN SKOPEC CLIMATE & ENERGY CONSULTING 1201 K STREET SUITE 970 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009 DEBORAH SLON DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL, ENVIRONMENT OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 1300 I STREET, 15TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009

Donald R. Smith CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4209 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009

AIMEE M. SMITH ATTORNEY AT LAW SEMPRA ENERGY 101 ASH STREET HQ13 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R.08-04-008

GLORIA D. SMITH ADAMS, BROADWELL, JOSEPH & CARDOZO 601 GATEWAY BLVD., SUITE 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080 R.08-04-009 KELLIE SMITH SENATE ENERGY/UTILITIES & COMMUNICATION STATE CAPITOL, ROOM 4038 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009

RICHARD SMITH MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95352-4060 R 06-04-009 ROBIN SMUTNY-JONES CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.06-04-009 JEANNE M. SOLE DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, RM. 234 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R08-04-009

DARRELL SOYARS
MANAGER-RESOURCE
PERMITTING&STRATEGIC
SIERRA PACIFIC RESOURCES
6100 NEIL ROAD
RENO, NV 89520-0024
R.08-04-009

JAMES D. SQUERI ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI RITCHIE & DAY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, STE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.06-04-009

SEEMA SRINIVASAN ATTORNEY ÄT LAW ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R08-04-009

Henry Stem CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 2106 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009 F. Jackson Stoddard CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5040 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009

ANNIE STANGE ALCANTAR & KAHL 1300 SW FIFTH AVE., SUITE 1750 PORTLAND, OR 97201 R.08-04-009

FRANK STERN SUMMIT BLUE CONSULTING 1722 14TH STREET, SUITE 230 BOULDER, CO 80302 R.08-04-009 PATRICK STONER
PROGRAM DIRECTOR
LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION
1303 J STREET, SUITE 250
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
R08-04-009

NINA SUETAKE ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVE., STE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.06-04-009

KENNY SWAIN NAVIGANT CONSULTING 3100 ZINFANDEL DRIVE, SUITE 600 RANCHO CORDOVA, CA 95670 R.06-04-009 Jeorge S Tagnipes CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ENERGY DIVISION AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.06-04-009 Christine S Tam
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 4209
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R06-04-009

JAMES W. TARNAGHAN DUANE MORRIS LLP ONE MARKET, SPEAR TOWER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.06-04-009

WEBSTER TASAT AIR RESOURCES BOARD 1001 | STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R08-04-009 ROBERT R. TAYLOR AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENT AND POWER DIST. 1600 NORTH PRIEST DRIVE, PAB221 TEMPE, AZ 85281 R.08-04-009

Charlotte TerKeurst
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
ROOM 5117
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.06-04-009

KAREN TERRANOVA ALCANTAR & KAHL, LLP 120 MONTGOMERY STREET, STE 2200 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R06-04-009

PATRICIA THOMPSON SUMMIT BLUE CONSULTING 2920 CAMINO DIABLO, SUITE 210 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94597 R06-04-009

DEAN R. TIBBS
PRESIDENT
ADVANCED ENERGY STRATEGIES, INC.
1390 WILLOW PASS ROAD, SUITE 610
CONCORD, CA 94520
R.06-04-009

EDWARD J TIEDEMANN ATTORNEY AT LAW KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD 400 CAPITOL MALL, 27TH FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4416 R08-04-009

SCOTT TOMASHEFSKY NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY 180 CIRBY WAY ROSEVILLE, CA 95678-6420 R.08-04-009

WAYNE TOMLINSON EL PASO CORPORATION 2 NORTH NEVADA AVENUE COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903 R.08-04-009 Lana Tran CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 2-D SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.08-04-009

ALLEN K. TRIAL SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 101 ASH STREET SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R08-04-009

ANN L. TROWBRIDGE ATTORNEY AT LAW DAY CARTER & MURPHY, LLP 3620 AMERICAN RIVER DRIVE, SUITE 205 SACRAMENTO, CA 95864 R.08-04-009

ANDREW J. VAN HORN VAN HORN CONSULTING 12 LIND COURT ORINDA, CA 94563 R.08-04-009 ROGER VAN HOY MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354 R.08-04-009

BETH VAUGHAN CALIFORNIA COGENERATION COUNCIL 4391 N. MARSH ELDER COURT CONCORD, CA 94521 R.08-04-009 EDWARD VINE LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY BUILDING 90R4000 BERKELEY, CA 94720 R08-04-009

SYMONE VONGDEUANE SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS 101 ASH STREET, HQ09 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017 R.06-04-009

BARRY R. WALLERSTEIN EXECUTIVE OFFICER SOUTH COAST AQMD 21865 COPLEY DRIVE DIAMOND BAR, CA 91765-4182 R.08-04-009

DEVRA WANG NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL 111 SUTTER STREET, 20TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 R06-04-009 CHRISTOPHER J. WARNER
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
77 BEALE STREET, PO BOX 7442
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-7442
R.08-04-009

JOY A. WARREN REGULATORY ADMINISTRATOR MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354 R.08-04-009 Pamela Wellner CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R 09-04-009 LISA WEINZIMER CALIFORNIA ENERGY REPORTER PLATTS MCGRAW-HILL 695 NINTH AVENUE, NO. 2 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94118 R06-04-009

VIRGIL WELCH CLIMATE CAMPAIGN COORDINATOR ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE 1107 9TH STREET, SUITE 540 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009

JOHN B. WELDON, JR. SALMON, LEWIS & WELDON, P.L.C. 2850 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 200 PHOENIX, AZ 85016 R06-04-009 ANDREA WELLER STRATEGIC ENERGY 3130 D BALFOUR RD., SUITE 290 BRENTWOOD, CA 94513 R.06-04-009

ELIZABETH WESTBY ALCANTAR & KAHL LLP 1300 SW FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 1750 PORTLAND, OR 97201 R06-04-009 WILLIAM W. WESTERFIELD, 111
ATTORNEY AT LAW
ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.
2015 H STRET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
R.08-04-009

S. NANCY WHANG ATTORNEY AT LAW MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 11355 WEST OLYMPIC BLVD. LOS ANGELES, CA 90084 R.08-04-009

GREGGORY L. WHEATLAND ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS, LLP 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009 JOSEPH F. WIEDMAN ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.08-04-009

KATHRYN WIG PARALEGAL NRG ENERGY, INC 211 CARNEGIE CENTER PRINCETON, NY 8540 R.08-04-009

VALERIE J. WINN PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177-0001 R.06-04-009 REID A. WINTHROP PILOT POWER GROUP, INC 8910 UNIVERSITY CENTER LANE SUITE 520 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122 R06-04-009 RYAN WISER BERKELEY LAB ONE CYCLOTRON ROAD BERKELEY, CA 94720 R.06-04-009

ELLEN WOLFE RESERO CONSULTING 9289 SHADOW BROOK PL. GRANITE BAY, CA 95746 R.08-04-099 KEVIN WOODRUFF WOODRUFF EXPERT SERVICES 1100 K STREET, SUITE 204 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.06-04-009 DON WOOD PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY CENTER 4539 LEE AVENUE LA MESA, CA 91941 R.06-04-009

CATHY S. WOOLLUMS
MIDAMERICAN ENERGY HOLDINGS
COMPANY
106 EAST SECOND STREET
DAVENPORT, IA 52801
R.06-04-009

E.J. WRIGHT
OCCIDENTAL POWER SERVICES, INC.
5 GREENWAY PLAZA, SUITE 110
HOUSTON, TX 77046
R.08-04-009

JUSTIN C. WYNNE BRAU & BLAISING, P.C. 915 L STREET, SUITE 1270 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R08-04-009

HUGH YAO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY 555 W. 5TH ST, GT22G2 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013 R.08-04-009 JEANNE ZAIONTZ BP ENERGY COMPANY 501 WESTLAKE PARK BLVD, RM. 4328 HOUSTON TX 77079 R.08-04-009

ELIZABETH ZELLJADT 1725 I STREET, N.W. SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 R.08-04-009

DAVID ZONANA
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S
OFFICE
455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
R 06-04-009

LEGAL & REGULATORY DEPARTMENT CALIFORNIA ISO 151 BLUE RAVINE ROAD FOLSOM, CA 95630 R.06-04-009

CALIFORNIA ENERGY MARKETS 517-B POTRERO AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94110 R.08-04-009

MRW & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1814 FRANKLIN STREET, SUITE 720 OAKLAND, CA 94612 R.08-04-009