

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Walter E. Rusinek (Bar No. 148438) Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP 530 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101 TELEPHONE NO.: 619-238-1900 FAX NO. (Optional): 619-235-0398 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Petitioner/Plaintiff Pala Band of Mission Indians	FOR COURT USE ONLY <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.2em;">RECEIVED</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.1em;">OCT - 8 2007</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 0.8em;">LAW OFFICES OF SUSAN M TRAGER</div>
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego STREET ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose MAILING ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose CITY AND ZIP CODE: Vista, CA 92084 BRANCH NAME: North County	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Pala Band of Mission Indians DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: San Luis Rey Municipal Water District, et al.	CASE NUMBER: 37-2007-0056188-CU-WM-NC JUDICIAL OFFICER: Hon. Thomas P. Nugent
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE	DEPT.: 30

Identify, in chronological order according to date of filing, all cases related to the case referenced above.

1.
 - a. Title: Rainbow Municipal Water District v. San Luis Rey Municipal Water District
 - b. Case number: 37-2007-0056187-CU-TT-NC
 - c. Court: ☒ same as above
☐ other state or federal court (name and address):
 - d. Department: 29
 - e. Case type: ☐ limited civil ☒ unlimited civil ☐ probate ☐ family law ☒ other (specify): CEQA
 - f. Filing date: September 14, 2007
 - g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?" ☒ Yes ☐ No
 - h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):
 - ☐ involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
 - ☒ arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
 - ☐ involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
 - ☐ is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
 - ☐ Additional explanation is attached in attachment 1h
 - i. Status of case:
 - ☒ pending
 - ☐ dismissed ☐ with ☐ without prejudice
 - ☐ disposed of by judgment
2.
 - a. Title: Endangered Habitats League, Inc. v. San Luis Rey Municipal Water District, et al.
 - b. Case number: 37-2007-0056214-CU-TT-NC
 - c. Court: ☒ same as above
☐ other state or federal court (name and address):
 - d. Department: 30

DOCKET	
07-SPPE-2	
DATE	SEP 14 2007
RECD.	OCT 18 2007

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: PALA BANK OF MISSION INDIANS

CASE NUMBER:

37-2007-00056188-CU-WM-NC

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SAN LUIS REY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

2. (continued)

e. Case type: ☐ limited civil ☒ unlimited civil ☐ probate ☐ family law ☒ other (specify): CEQA

f. Filing date: September 14, 2007

g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?" ☒ Yes ☐ No

h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):

- ☐ involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
- ☒ arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
- ☐ involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
- ☐ is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
- ☐ Additional explanation is attached in attachment 2h

i. Status of case:

- ☒ pending
- ☐ dismissed ☐ with ☐ without prejudice
- ☐ disposed of by judgment

3. a. Title:

b. Case number:

c. Court: ☐ same as above
☐ other state or federal court (name and address):

d. Department:

e. Case type: ☐ limited civil ☐ unlimited civil ☐ probate ☐ family law ☐ other (specify):

f. Filing date:

g. Has this case been designated or determined as "complex?" ☐ Yes ☐ No

h. Relationship of this case to the case referenced above (check all that apply):

- ☐ involves the same parties and is based on the same or similar claims.
- ☐ arises from the same or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact.
- ☐ involves claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property.
- ☐ is likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges.
- ☐ Additional explanation is attached in attachment 3h

i. Status of case:

- ☐ pending
- ☐ dismissed ☐ with ☐ without prejudice
- ☐ disposed of by judgment

4. ☐ Additional related cases are described in Attachment 4. Number of pages attached: _____

Date:

Walter E. Rusenik

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

(SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY)

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: PALA BANK OF MISSION INDIANS	CASE NUMBER: 37-2007-00056188
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: SAN LUIS REY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	

**PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE**

(NOTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Related Case if you are a party in the action. The person who served the notice must complete this proof of service. The notice must be served on all known parties in each related action or proceeding.)

1. I am at least 18 years old and not a party to this action. I am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took place, and my residence or business address is (specify): 530 B Street, Suite 2100, San Diego, CA 92101

2. I served a copy of the Notice of Related Case by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with first-class postage fully prepaid and (check one):

- a. ☐ deposited the sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service.
- b. ☒ placed the sealed envelope for collection and processing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, with which I am readily familiar. On the same day correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service.

3. The Notice of Related Case was mailed:

- a. on (date): October 4, 2007
- b. from (city and state): San Diego, CA

4. The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:

a. Name of person served: Susan M. Trager

b. Law Offices of Susan M. Trager

Street address: 19712 MacArthur Blvd, Suite 120

City: Irvine

State and zip code: CA 92612

c. Name of person served: Veera Tyagi

e. Johnson & Sedlack

Street address: 26785 Camino Seco

City: Temecula

State and zip code: CA 92590

b. Name of person served: John C. Lemmo

c. Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP

Street address: 530 B Street, Suite 2100

City: San Diego

State and zip code: CA 92101

d. Name of person served: Michael D. Fitts

d. Endangered Habitats League

Street address: 1718 Esplanade #523

City: Redondo Beach

State and zip code: CA 90277

☐ Names and addresses of additional persons served are attached. (You may use form POS-030(P).)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

Mary K. Kozlak

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)

Mary K. Kozlak

(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)