URS

DOCKET 06-AFC-9

DATE AUG 2 8 2007 RECD. AUG 3 0 2007

August 28, 2007

Mr. Brian Vierria Regulatory Branch U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1325 J Street Sacramento, California 95814-2922

Re: SPK-200600897-SA

Colusa Generating Station Project Update to 404 Permit Application

Dear Mr. Vierria:

E&L Westcoast, LLC, has refined and revised the proposed Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge replacement and road alignment for the Colusa Generating Station Project (Figure 1) to address recommendations by adjacent property owners. A full discussion of the new proposed bridge design is provided in the enclosed report entitled Proposed Modification to Glenn-Colusa Bridge design.

On behalf of E&L Westcoast, LLC, this letter summarizes the new design and provides revised estimates of the potential impacts and mitigation for the proposed project. These estimates replace the information provided in the submittals transmitted to the Corps on April 5, 2007 and May 24, 2007. The following components of the Standard 404 Permit Application have been revised to address the change in bridge design:

- Table 1 Amount of Material Being Discharged into Each Habitat Type; and
- Table 2 Impacts and Proposed Mitigation for Potential Jurisdictional Waters of the United States.

Revisions to these tables are shown in **bold** below.



Amount of Material Being Discharged in	Area of Impact (acres)	Amount of Materia (cubic yards)
Habitat Impacted Potential Jurisdictional We	L	(cubic yards)
Permanent Impacts	stigitus	
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road		
Alignment – Freshwater Marsh	0.279	450.12
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment– Seasonal Wetland	0.018	29.04
Glenn-CoIusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Cultivated Rice Field	0.362	584.03
Temporary Impacts		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Freshwater Marsh	0.120	193.60
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Seasonal Wetland	0.052	83.89
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Cultivated Rice Field ¹	1.287	2076.36
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement - Seasonal Wetland	0.023	37.11
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement - Cultivated Rice Field	0.114 ^J	183.92
Potential Jurisdictional Non-Wetland Water	ers of the United Sta	ntes
Permanent Impacts		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment - Glenn Colusa Canal	0.029	280.72
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Irrigation Ditch	0	0
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement - Perennial Stream	0.014	67.76
Temporary Impacts		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Glenn Colusa Canal	0.006	0.74
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement and Road Alignment – Irrigation Ditch	0.214	690.51
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement – Temporary Culverts Placed in Stream	0.040	193.60



Impacts and Proposed Mitig		ble 2 otential Juri	sdictional W	aters of the U.S.		
Habitat Impacted	Area of Impact (acres)	Proposed Mitigation Ratio	Proposed Mitigation Acreage	Type of Mitigation		
Po	tential Jurisd	ictional Wetlar	nds			
_	Permane	nt Impacts				
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Freshwater Marsh	0.279	3:1	0.837	Off-site compensatory mitigation.		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Seasonal Wetland	0.018	3:1	0.054	Off-site compensatory mitigation. 2		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Cultivated Rice Field	0.362	1:1	0.362	Off-site compensatory mitigation. 1,3		
Temporary Impacts						
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Freshwater Marsh	0.120	1:1	0.120	On-site restoration of affected area. 4		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Seasonal Wetland	0.052	1:1	0.052	On-site restoration of affected area.		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Cultivated Rice Field	1.2875	1:1	1.287	On-site restoration of affected area. 4		
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement – Seasonal Wetland	0.023	1:1	0.023	On-site restoration of affected area.		
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement – Cultivated Rice Field	0.1145	1:1	0.114	On-site restoration of affected area. 4		
Potential Jurisdicti	onal Non-We	tland Waters of	of the United S	States		
	Permane	nt Impacts				
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement -Glenn-Colusa Canal	0.029			Removal of existing bridge and piers. 1,4		
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Irrigation Ditch	0	1:1	0	No mitigation necessary. 1,4		
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement – Perennial Stream	0.014		6	On-site. 1,4,6		



Table 2 Impacts and Proposed Mitigation for Potential Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.							
Habitat Impacted	Area of Impact (acres)	Proposed Mitigation Ratio	Proposed Mitigation Acreage	Type of Mitigation			
Temporary Impacts							
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement –Glenn-Colusa Canal	0.006	1:1	0.006	On-site restoration of affected area. 4			
Glenn-Colusa Canal Bridge Replacement – Irrigation Ditch	0.214	1:1	0.214	On-site restoration of affected area. 4			
Teresa Creek Bridge Replacement – Temporary Culverts Placed in Stream	0.040	1:1	0.040	On-site restoration of affected area. 4			

Notes:

- Resulting mitigation would be the greater amount for either impacts to giant garter snake habitat or jurisdictional wetlands, but not both.
- Compensation for impacts to seasonal wetlands would be consistent with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Programmatic Formal Endangered Species Act Consultation on Issuance of 404 Permits for Projects with Relatively Small Effects on Listed Vernal Pool Crustaceans Within the Jurisdiction of the Sacramento Field Office, California. February 28, 1996. This compensation may be greater than the compensation indicated in this table. The USFWS 1996 programmatic agreement requires a 2:1 preservation ratio and a 1:1 conservation ratio. Under the USFWS 1996 programmatic agreement if any part of a pool that could potentially support listed branchiopods is destroyed the entire pool is directly affected. The total area of the two seasonal wetlands that would be impacted is 0.154 acres. Therefore, at least 0.308 preservation credits and 0.154 conservation credits are proposed to be purchased at a USFWS and ACOE approved mitigation bank.
- Permanent impacts to these features would require additional offsite compensation consistent with USFWS Programmatic Formal Consultation for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 Permitted Projects with Relatively Small Effects on the Giant Garter Snake within Butte, Colusa, Glenn, Fresno, Merced, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, Stanislaus, Sutter and Yolo Counties, California. November 13, 1997.
- Mitigation would be provided that is consistent with the USFWS 1997 programmatic consultation for giant garter snake.
- Per a previous conversation with the ACOE, temporary impacts to cultivated rice fields are not considered impacts to jurisdictional waters of the U.S.
- On-site mitigation consists of removing the existing Teresa Creek Bridge abutments. The existing Teresa Creek Bridge is approximately 31 feet long, while the new bridge will be 38 feet long. A longer bridge will set the bridge abutments bank an additional 3 feet, creating a wider channel. Removal of the abutments would increase the width of Teresa Creek by at least 0.014 acre.

Brian Vierria August 28, 2007 Page 5 of 5



Because the new bridge design does not affect any areas not already discussed and included in the Wetland Delineation previously provided to you for this project, we believe that the Corps concurrence with that delineation, dated August 10, 2007, does not need to be revised.

If you have any questions regarding this letter please contact Steve Leach at 510-874-3205 or Melissa Newman at 510-874-1747.

Sincerely,

URS CORPORATION

Steve Leach Senior Biologist

Enclosure

cc: Andrew Welch, E&L Westcoast

Dale Shileikis, URS Michelle Tovar, USFWS John Mathias, CEC Shahera Kelley, EPA John Baker, NMFS

