

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 2



**Systems Assessment and
 Facilities Siting Division**

FILE: 05-AFC-3

PROJECT TITLE: Sun Valley Energy Project

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone	651-8853	<input type="checkbox"/> Meeting Location: Telephone/email
NAME:	Robert Worl	DATE: 6-27-06
WITH:	Doug Davy, CH2M Hill Project Manager	
SUBJECT:	: Potential for SVEP to impact wetlands	

Regarding potential for the Non-reclaimable water line to impact wetlands:

Bob,

Just want to clarify a couple of points. The SVEP project will not impact wetlands. As regards the drainage feature we discussed that lies just north of McLaughlin Road, the SVEP will not affect this feature in any way (and we'll be certain to monitor construction in this area so that construction equipment stays clear). You might have misunderstood my comments about the Inland Empire project's potential impacts to wetlands. I had mentioned to you that Inland constructed a pipeline and duct bank across this drainage feature, and placed transmission tower base in the edge of it. It was their impact that was in the 100s or 10ths of an acre, not Sun Valley's. For the Sun Valley Project, we will not affect this feature at all and so there will be no impact requiring notification of the Corps of Engineers.

We may wish to hold a conference call or meeting to talk this through, just so we're all thinking the same thing.

Thanks,

Doug

From: Robert Worl [mailto:Rworl@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: June 27, 2006 12:34 PM
To: Davy, Doug/SAC; jenifer@njr.net
Cc: John Mathias
Subject: Corps of Engineers, and Wetland Status

DOCKET 05-AFC-3
DATE JUN 27 2006
REC'D JUN 27 2006

Good Afternoon,

We had earlier discussed the potential jurisdictional status of an area adjacent to the non-reclaimable waste water pipeline along McLaughlin Road. You had indicated that the intent was to send a letter to the COE indicating your assumptions per the IEEC letter to Deamma Cummings, COE, dated May 20, 2005.

Doug, I believe you had indicated that either .1 or .01 acres would be potentially impacted, but my writing was a bit garbled as usual. The area, per your evaluation, is not a wetland but due to drainage patterns has that characteristic appearance in the aerial photograph.

If you plan to send a letter to the COE, please copy us on the correspondence, or send us a copy of the letter. Also, if you are planning on sending such a letter, let us know the projected timing. At this time, an email confirmation of your intent, and initial evaluation would be helpful.

Thank You, Bob Worl

cc:	Signed: <i>Robert Worl</i>
	Name: Robert Worl