




Load Projections for the Summer 2005 Outlook

Electricity Committee Workshop March 21, 2005

> Lynn Marshall Demand Analysis Office

> > CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION





Summer Outlook Actual versus Forecast


	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave. Percent Error
Summer Outlook Projection	46,348	51,277	51,956	53,896	
Actual Coincident Peak	47,820	51,240	51,853	54,927	
Estimated Weather Adjusted Peak		52,142	54,666	56,630	
Percent Error Actual v. Projected	-3.2%	0.1%	0.2%	-1.9%	-1.2%
Percent Error Weather Adjusted v. Projected		1.8%	5.4%	3.1%	3.4%





Development of 2005 Load Projections


- 1. Recalibrate peak forecast using 2002 and 2003 reported electricity sales, and growth rate from CED 2003-2013 forecast.
- 2. Estimate 2004 weather adjusted peak by control area.
- 3. Where significantly different, adjust 2004 peak and apply CED 2003 growth rate.

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION









Comparison of 2005 IEPR Submittals with Staff Projections

2005 Annual Peak Demand (MW)

	LSE Submittals*	Staff Projections	% Diff.
SMUD Control Area	3,593	3,575	-0.5%
LADWP Control Area	6,097	6,330	3.8%
Imperial Irrigation District	890	812	-8.8%
CAISO Coincident Peak	48,601	48,289	-0.6%
Statewide Coincident Peak	58,185	57,912	-0.5%

^{*}Staff projections were used for those LSEs not submitting a forecast

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION