February 28, 2005

## VIA EMAIL AND U.S.MAIL

Siting Committee California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street, MS-12 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

C/o California Energy Commission Docket Office

Attn: Docket 04-Sit-1 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Email: DOCKET@energy.state.ca.us

RE: CBE Comments on Causes of Petroleum Infrastructure Development

OMMUNITIES FOR A

DOCKET

RECDWAR 0 2 2005

ENVIRONMENT

Constraints.

## **Dear Siting Committee:**

The written comments are submitted on behalf of Communities for a Better Environment (CBE) and our thousands of members who live and breathe in California. On behalf of CBE, Greg Karras and Wanna Wright provided oral comments on substantive issues, and I raised a process issue, during the February 14, 2005 workshop. These written comments, including the attached document regarding substantive issues, are in addition to those comments.

These workshops related to the "Causes of Petroleum Infrastructure Development Constraints" have had the effect of pushing the agenda of the oil industry. Even the title of the workshop presupposes a particular agenda.

The Commission and the oil industry in the form of its lobbyists, the Western State Petroleum Association, are pushing for the Energy Commission to take over petroleum infrastructure permitting from local jurisdictions. CBE believes that this proposal will result in more pollution, less accountability, less legal protection for local communities and the environment, more environmental injustice and more petroleum dependence. Moreover, the proposal cannot achieve the advertised objective of preventing gasoline price hikes. CBE's position on these substantive issues is outlined in the enclosed one page fact sheet entitled "Stop the CEC's Petroleum Infrastructure Permitting Proposal."

In addition, CBE has an ongoing concern regarding Commissioner Boyd's participation in the petroleum infrastructure hearings. Commissioner Boyd is on the

1611 Telegraph Avenue, Suite 450 • Oakland, CA 94612 • T (510) 302-0430 • F (510) 302-0437

committee responsible for the Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) and is actively participating in developing the section related to petroleum infrastructure. As part of the report, the committee is considering whether to recommend so called one-stop permitting for petroleum infrastructure projects. These very issues are currently being promoted by Western States Petroleum Association (WSPA), an industry lobbying firm where, according to the *L.A. Weekly*, Commissioner Boyd's wife serves as chief of staff. Thus, through his relation to WSPA and through his involvement in these workshops and decision making, Commissioner Boyd acts in the appearance of conflict. Last year in a letter dated July 9, 2004, CBE requested that the CEC determine whether an actual conflict exists. There has been no response to this request.

Commissioner Boyd's conflict of interest should prohibit him from participating on the proposed plan. While in a newspaper article, Boyd claimed that he had "basically" "firewall[ed]" himself from the decision-making process, his subsequent involvements indicates otherwise. Commissioner Boyd actively participates in the development of the report and committee workshops supporting the so-called one-stop proposal.

Meanwhile, his wife, Catherine Reheis-Boyd, has been involved in promoting the proposal. She has emailed Commission staff regarding the plan. (The emails were described in CBE's July 9, 2004, letter to the Commission.) While she does not testify at CEC meetings on this topic, her supervisor, Joe Sparano, does. <sup>3</sup>

Commissioners of the CEC are governed by conflict of interest codes<sup>4</sup> which incorporate the Fair Political Practices Commission's conflict of interest codes under the Political Reform Act.<sup>5</sup> Under the Political Reform Act, an official may not take part in a governmental decision in which he has a disqualifying conflict of interest.<sup>6</sup> Such a conflict regarding a particular governmental decision exists if it is reasonably foreseeable that the decision will have a material financial effect on the official's economic interests including spousal income.<sup>7</sup>

In light of a disqualifying conflict, the Political Reform Act requires an official to publicly announce the specific financial interest that is the source of the conflict. He must then recuse himself from any discussion or deliberations on the matter in question and must not participate in the decision or be counted for purposes of a quorum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "The Well-Oiled Deal: Taking away local control of refineries is a family matter," by William J. Kelly, *L.A. Weekly*, March 31, 2004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cal. Code Regs., tit. 20, § 2401.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Political Reform Act Gov. Code, § 87100 et seq., implemented in the FPPC's regulations at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 18730 and § 18700 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See the FPPC's implementing regulations: Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 18702.1-18702.3 (participation in a governmental decision); § 18706 (reasonable foreseeability); §§ 18705-18705.5 (materiality); §§ 18703-18703.5 (economic interests).

<sup>Political Reform Act Gov. Code, § 87105; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 18702.5(b)(1).
Political Reform Act Gov. Code, § 87105; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 18702.5(b)(3).</sup> 

Disqualified officials may not attend closed sessions or obtain any confidential information from the closed session. 10

According to FPPC regulations detailed above, Commissioner Boyd must recuse himself from further participation and make a disclosure to the public detailing this conflict. CBE requests that Commissioner Boyd immediately recuse himself from all aspects of the petroleum infrastructure issues.

Sincerely

William Rostov Staff Attorney

Attachment: Substantive comment on petroleum infrastructure permitting issues

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 18702.1(c).