# BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION (CEC)

In the matter of

Electric Vehicle Supply

Equipment (EVSE)

Interoperability

Docket No. 13-ALT-01

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED

13-ALT-01

TN 2984

SEPT. 12 2013

STAFF WORKSHOP

ON

THE STATE'S ROLE IN SUPPORTING
INTEROPERABILITY OF ELECTRIC VEHICLE SUPPLY EQUIPMENT
(EVSE)

Cal/EPA Headquarters Building
Byron Sher Auditorium
1001 "I" Street
Sacramento, California 95814

Thursday, August 15, 2013 9:00 A.M.

Reported by: Kent Odell

#### **APPEARANCES**

#### COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:

Janea Scott, Lead Commissioner Transportation Leslie Kimura-Szeto, Her Advisor Jim Bartridge, Her Advisor

#### STAFF PRESENT:

California Energy Commission:
Jennifer Allen
Jim McKinney
Leslie Baroody
Charles Smith
Thanh Lopez

California Air Resources Board Elise Keddie Craig Childers

Randall Winston, Governor's Office
Richard Lowenthal, Chief Technology Officer, Chargepoint
Jason Wolf, Chief Executive Officer, Collaboratev
Brett Hauser, President, Greenlots
Cal Langton, Director EV Charging Infrastructure for NA, ABB
Rajit Gadh, Director of U.C.L.A. Smart Grid Research
David Peterson, West Coast Project Manager for Electric
Vehicles, Nissan

Mike Tinskey, Director of Global Vehicle Electrification and Infrastructure, Ford Motor Company

Matt Zerega, Product Innovations Manager, San Diego Gas & Electric Company

Adam Langton, Senior Staff Analyst, California Public Utilities Commission

Paul Stith, Legislative and Policy Advocate, Plug in America John Halliwell, Senior Product Manager Electric Transportation, EPRI

Bill Kramer, NREL

Rick Teebay, Los Angeles County

Dave Hawkins, Kn-Grid

Abdellah Cherkaoui, Hubject

Bill Boyce, Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Jordan Ramer, EV Connect

Craig Childers, California Air Resources Board

### INDEX

| Welcome  | PAGE        |
|--|-------------|
| Leslie Baroody, CEC<br>Elise Keddie, CARB<br>Commissioner Janea Scott, CEC                         | 5<br>7<br>8 |
| Workshop Background  |             |
| Randall Winston, Governor's Office   | 10          |
| Stakeholder Presentations and Q&A  |             |
| Richard Lowenthal, Chief Technology Officer,<br>Chargepoint  | 15          |
| Jason Wolf, Chief Executive Officer, Collaboratev  | 23          |
| Brett Hauser, President, Greenlots   | 32          |
| Cal Langton, Director EV Charging Infrastructure for North America, ABB                            | 41          |
| Rajit Gadh, Director of UCLA Smart Grid Research Center  | 50          |
| David Peterson, West Coast Project Manager for<br>Electric Vehicles, Nissan                        | 60          |
| Questions and Answers  | 69          |
| Mike Tinskey, Director of Global Vehicle<br>Electrification and Infrastructure, Ford Motor Company | 93          |
| Matt Zerega, Product Innovations Manager, San Diego<br>Gas and Electric Company                    | 103         |
| Adam Langton, Senior Staff Analyst, California PUC 41  | , 113       |
| Paul Stith, Legislative and Policy Advocate, Plug in America                                       | 122         |
| John Halliwell, Senior Project Manager Electric Transportation, EPRI                               | 133         |
| Questions and Answers  | 141         |

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

## INDEX (Continued)

|   | PAGE |
|---|------|
| Stakeholder Panel I   |      |
| Moderators: Randall Winston and Leslie Baroody  | 153  |
| Rajit Gadh  |      |
| Brett Hauser  |      |
| Bill Kramer, Senior Research Engineer/Scientist,<br>Energy Systems Integration, National Renewable Energy Lab |      |
| Cal Langton   |      |
| Tim Lipman, Co-Director, Researcher and Lecturer, U.C. Berkeley Transportation Sustainability Research Center |      |
| Richard Lowenthal   |      |
| Paul Stith  |      |
| Stakeholder Panel II  |      |
| Moderators: Jennifer Allen and Elise Keddie for<br>Analisa Bevan  | 205  |
| Rajit Gadh  |      |
| Brett Hauser  |      |
| Bill Kramer   |      |
| Cal Langton   |      |
| Jason Wolf  |      |
| Jordan Ramer  |      |
| Public Comment  |      |
| Closing Remarks   | 251  |
| Adjournment   | 252  |
| Reporter's Certificate  | 253  |
| Transcriber's Certificate   | 254  |
| CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC   |      |

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 AUGUST 15, 2013 9:10 A.M.
- 3 MS. BAROODY: Good Morning, I'm Leslie
- 4 Baroody. I'm the EV Program Manager in the Fuels
- 5 and Transportation Division of the California
- 6 Energy Commission. I'm really glad to see
- 7 everybody here today and I'm sure we have a
- 8 number of people on the Webcast.
- 9 Today's workshop is about the State's role
- 10 in supporting Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment
- 11 Interoperability. And we're looking forward to a
- 12 very informative day.
- 13 Let's go over the Agenda really quickly
- 14 and I'll explain what we're going to do today.
- 15 So we're very happy to have Commissioner Janea
- 16 Scott with us and Randall Winston from the
- 17 Governor's Office, and they will provide opening
- 18 remarks today. And then we have a very
- 19 distinguished line-up of speakers, quite a few of
- 20 them, I might mention, and we will have them come
- 21 up at 9:30 and they will present up until about
- 22 noon.
- We will have two breaks, two 15-minute
- 24 breaks for questions, so we'll welcome questions
- 25 from the audience at that time. We'll have a CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 break at lunch for an hour, and then we'll come
- 2 back at about 1:00 for our two panels.
- 3 The first panel will be on the State's
- 4 role in supporting EVSE Interoperability, and
- 5 we'll have about six or seven panelists for that
- 6 and we hope that's sort of an interactive
- 7 conversation and we'll welcome your input during
- 8 that time, as well.
- 9 The second panel will be talking more
- 10 about hardware and network interoperability, more
- 11 the technical issues regarding interoperability.
- 12 So we'll also have a break after that, and then
- 13 time for questions and answers from the audience,
- 14 and public comment at about 3:45.
- 15 If you are interested in providing public
- 16 comments during this time, we have a sign-up
- 17 sheet out in the front as you come in and that
- 18 will be for about three minutes per person, and
- 19 we'll probably end at about 4:15.
- 20 So we look forward to this day, and right
- 21 now I'd like to welcome Elise Keddie, who has
- 22 graciously agreed to host this event in this
- 23 wonderful building, we're very happy to be here
- 24 today, so Elise will give you some logistical
- 25 instructions.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 MS. KEDDIE: Good morning. I can't take
- 2 credit for hosting this on behalf of Cal/EPA, our
- 3 State Environmental Protection Agency, as well as
- 4 the Air Resources Board. We're happy to provide
- 5 the facility for today's workshop. ARB, of
- 6 course, is very interested in this topic and
- 7 we're always happy to be working with our sister
- 8 agency, the Energy Commission.
- 9 In terms of logistics, some of you are
- 10 familiar with this facility and some are not.
- 11 The restrooms are outside these back doors, and
- 12 then hang a left and a right. In case of an
- 13 emergency, lights will flash, an alarm will
- 14 sound, exit using the nearest available exit, and
- 15 proceed downstairs to the lobby, exit the
- 16 building, and the relocation space is Caesar
- 17 Chavez Park which is kitty corner to our
- 18 building. Then await there until we receive the
- 19 clearance to return to the building.
- Other than that, welcome, we're happy that
- 21 you're here. I do want to give a special mention
- 22 to this morning's speakers. Just so you know,
- 23 because of the time sensitivity for the
- 24 presentations, I am going to be keeping time and
- 25 I'll be flashing a yellow card when you have a CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 minute left in your presentation, and a red card
- 2 when you're at 10 minutes. We're not going to
- 3 use any audible cues, but please be sensitive
- 4 that we've got a lot of folks to provide a lot of
- 5 information. There will be opportunities to
- 6 engage with folks during the break, as well.
- 7 Thanks again.
- 8 MS. BAROODY: Thank you very much, Elise,
- 9 appreciate it. And now I'd like to welcome
- 10 Commissioner Janea Scott.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Good morning. Thank
- 12 you, Leslie. Am I coming through on this? I'm
- 13 Commissioner Janea Scott with the California
- 14 Energy Commission and I'm the Lead Commissioner
- 15 on Transportation. I'm joined here today by both
- 16 of my advisors, actually, I've got Leslie
- 17 Kamerezita (ph) right here up front, and Jim
- 18 Bartridge right back there and one of the three
- 19 of us will be here all day.
- In particular, my focus is on the
- 21 Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle
- 22 Technology Program, and I'm pleased to welcome
- 23 you to the Staff Workshop on the State's Role in
- 24 Supporting Interoperability for Electric Vehicle
- 25 Supply Equipment.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 As most of your know, the Energy
- 2 Commission's Alternative and Renewable Fuel and
- 3 Vehicle Technology Program has been instrumental
- 4 in providing a robust deployment of charging
- 5 infrastructure throughout the state. Through
- 6 this program the Energy Commission has funded
- 7 more than \$24 million to update over 600 legacy
- 8 chargers and install over 7,100 public charging
- 9 stations throughout the state. This network of
- 10 residential, public, workplace, and corridor
- 11 chargers is helping to jumpstart the California
- 12 Plug-In Electric Vehicle market, and it's helped
- 13 to make California a national leader in plug-in
- 14 vehicle adoption.
- 15 In addition, the program has funded 10
- 16 planning regions and coordinating councils
- 17 throughout the state to help support local
- 18 efforts to streamline the permitting and plan for
- 19 additional infrastructure, and to help educate
- 20 consumers, and we call that -- that's part of our
- 21 Readiness Program, so it helps people get ready
- 22 and prepare for Electric Vehicles.
- In the Governor's 2013 Zero Emission
- 24 Vehicle Action Plan released earlier this year,
- 25 one of the actions says that the State should --

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 and I quote -- "encourage efforts to develop
- 2 Interoperability Standards for EVSEs that enable
- 3 Plug-In Vehicle drivers to locate and reserve
- 4 public charging stations and be built regardless
- 5 of drivers' memberships or subscriptions to a
- 6 network of Electric Vehicle supply equipment, or
- 7 the charging stations."
- 8 So there are a number of important
- 9 technical issues that we're going to consider
- 10 today. We have a bunch of experts here to talk
- 11 to us about that; thank you so much for coming
- 12 and joining us, and I'm looking forward very much
- 13 to today's discussion.
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Janea, it's great
- 15 to have you here. And now I'd like to introduce
- 16 Randall Winston, he's from the Governor's Office.
- 17 Randall has been very involved in the development
- 18 of the ZEV Action Plan and he's also been well
- 19 acquainted with the subject.
- 20 MR. WINSTON: Where, Leslie, would you
- 21 like me? Here or here? Okay, great. Thank you
- 22 again, Leslie, and to the CEC and to ARB for
- 23 organizing the workshop. And thank you again to
- 24 everyone today for being here.
- 25 As Leslie mentioned, my name is Randall CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Winston and I'm Special Assistant to the
- 2 Executive Secretary in the Governor's Office, and
- 3 I've been helping to facilitate the Interagency
- 4 Working Group on implementing the Governor's ZEV
- 5 Executive Order, as many of you here know.
- 6 I know I'm speaking to an audience well
- 7 versed in all things EV, so I just want to touch
- 8 upon a few things. First, the Governor's higher
- 9 level ZEV goals; second, a short timeline of the
- 10 Brown Administration's ZEV efforts to date and
- 11 how I think this workshop fits into the picture;
- 12 and finally, some of the key questions concerning
- 13 interoperability that I'm hoping you all can help
- 14 us chart a path to address.
- 15 Let me start by applauding everyone's work
- 16 here, and especially over the past year and a
- 17 half since Governor Brown signed his ZEV
- 18 Executive Order. We now have thousands of non-
- 19 private charging stations deployed in California
- 20 and for the tens of thousands of Plug-In Electric
- 21 Vehicles on the road. You're all part of the
- 22 reason why California leads the nation in PEV
- 23 adoption and charging infrastructure.
- With that being said, I think you're all
- 25 also keenly aware that Governor Brown has set

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 some pretty ambitious goals for zero emission
- 2 vehicles and that there's still a lot of work to
- 3 be done.
- 4 So two milestones from the Executive Order
- 5 I think are particularly important to keep in
- 6 mind as we head into the workshop today, both of
- 7 which the Governor set for the year 2020. First,
- 8 that the state's ZEV infrastructure will be able
- 9 to support up to one million vehicles, again by
- 10 2020, and second, that ZEVs will be accessible to
- 11 mainstream consumers. Now, these goals call for
- 12 many thousands or tens of thousands more public
- 13 charging stations by 2020, coupled with the
- 14 education and awareness so that consumers
- 15 understand how to charge, where to charge, and
- 16 how much they're being charged, all in a
- 17 transparent and accessible manner.
- Now, unsurprisingly, it turns out that
- 19 when you take hardware, software, networks and
- 20 protocols, then mix in some public funding, you
- 21 get a lot of opinions. And that's what we're
- 22 here today to sort through.
- 23 So standing back for a moment, the Brown
- 24 Administration is undertaking a number of efforts
- 25 to help us get to where we need to be with Zero CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 Emission vehicles and to reach our greenhouse gas
- 2 reduction goals. I'll mention just a few.
- 3 We organized the ZEV Stakeholder Summit
- 4 last September and produced the Governor's ZEV
- 5 Action Plan in February, which many of you took a
- 6 part in helping to draft. The CEC organized an
- 7 Infrastructure Workshop in January of this year,
- 8 and a Statewide Infrastructure Plan will soon be
- 9 released by the CEC in partnership with the
- 10 National Renewable Energy Laboratory. The
- 11 Governor's Office co-hosted a workshop on PEV
- 12 Financing in February of this year where we
- 13 sought innovative ideas for financing to help
- 14 reduce PEV costs, which the State Treasurer's
- 15 Office and the CPUC are currently continuing to
- 16 explore. We convened a stakeholder group to
- 17 draft the ZEV Planning Guidebook through our
- 18 Governor's Office of Planning and Research to
- 19 help local governments and municipalities
- 20 navigate the process of making their communities
- 21 ZEV ready. And, of course, the Brown
- 22 Administration is working hard to reauthorize and
- 23 extend both AB 118 funds and the Clean Vehicle
- 24 Rebate Program.
- Now, to bring us to how we got to where we CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 are today, during the CEC infrastructure workshop
- 2 in January we had two breakout sessions on
- 3 interoperability in which a number of
- 4 recommendations surfaced as to what the state's
- 5 role should be. Some of these include supporting
- 6 national standards developed by the American
- 7 National Standards Institute and the National
- 8 Electrical Manufacturer's Association, supporting
- 9 Interoperability Standards and encouraging, but
- 10 not mandating interoperability, monitoring
- 11 industry standards development, encouraging Open
- 12 Chargepoint Protocol, and supporting the
- 13 continued use of EVSE network cards.
- 14 And from the Governor's Office standpoint,
- 15 within these recommendations I see three
- 16 interrelated groupings of issues: we have access
- 17 to charging stations, we have data associated
- 18 with charging stations, and then what, if
- 19 anything, the state should support through
- 20 funding or otherwise.
- 21 So in closing, I'll add some specific
- 22 questions to keep in mind. And, again, many of
- 23 these you've already seen or are in your
- 24 materials, but to reiterate, what should the
- 25 state prioritize in an EVSE solicitation to CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 support the development of network
- 2 interoperability, and what are the costs and
- 3 benefits? Should the State even provide support
- 4 for network interoperability through such a
- 5 solicitation? Should public funds only be spent
- 6 on systems that promote choice and avoid owners
- 7 being locked into a particular vendor? What data
- 8 should be made available to the public from state
- 9 funded EVSE? What payment methods should be
- 10 required? And what other requirements should be
- 11 put into place concerning State funds?
- 12 So with that, thank you all again for
- 13 being here and I look forward to an engaging and
- 14 fruitful discussion. [Applause]
- 15 MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Randall, for your
- 16 comments. That's great. So now we start our
- 17 speakers and our first speaker up is Richard
- 18 Lowenthal. He is Chief Technology Officer for
- 19 Chargepoint. And just a reminder, we'll do 10
- 20 minutes per person, and Elise is ready with her
- 21 cards. Thanks.
- MR. LOWENTHAL: So thanks very much. I'm
- 23 the founder and Chief Technical Officer at
- 24 Chargepoint. I want to talk about this from our
- 25 viewpoint, what we think we need to do in order CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 to give the drivers the kinds of choices and ease
- 2 of use that they need. I would start with a
- 3 quote from the Governor's Action Plan, but I
- 4 think this is now redundant and you've already
- 5 heard about this. At least, what he wrote down
- 6 was about services for drivers, making sure that
- 7 drivers could find stations anywhere and use them
- 8 all easily, so that's where our energies have
- 9 been focused.
- 10 So during this long discussion, and it is
- 11 probably like an 18-month discussion, another
- 12 idea, another important issue has been brought
- 13 up, and I just want to separate the two to make
- 14 the conversation easier to understand. There are
- 15 two different kinds of things, there's sort of a
- 16 hardware interoperability thing which, if you're
- 17 a station owner you're interested in, and then
- 18 there's this driver interoperability; one makes
- 19 it so that you can interchange software and
- 20 hardware, that's OCPP, the other one makes it so
- 21 a driver can charge anywhere, so that's what I'm
- 22 focused on, this driver interoperability. So I
- 23 want to be sure that it's clear in people's minds
- 24 so that, as we discuss probably both topics today
- 25 you don't intertwine them too much. One of them

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 is for the benefit of drivers, the other for the
- 2 benefit of station owners. By the way,
- 3 Chargepoint supports both, so we've had OCPP
- 4 forever and we strongly support driver
- 5 interoperability, which allows drivers to charge
- 6 anywhere.
- 7 Okay, so another thing that I think is
- 8 important is why do drivers have accounts at all
- 9 because one route to go is to just make this pay
- 10 per use, use your credit card, and it appears on
- 11 the surface to be quite easy for drivers if
- 12 that's all they do is just sort of like a gas
- 13 pump, what's the point of having memberships and
- 14 accounts and all that? So I want to talk a
- 15 little bit about that. These are the charging
- 16 services that Chargepoint provides to drivers and
- 17 the top bunch here are all dependent on having
- 18 driver accounts, so if you want to get these kind
- 19 of services, they're aided by the fact that the
- 20 driver has an account. Somewhere he's signed up
- 21 to something, he's got something on the Web
- 22 somewhere, or on a server or whatever, that he is
- 23 affiliated with. So, for example, billing
- 24 software, if you want to have more efficient
- $\,$  25 billing, something like FasTrak, then you have to  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 have an account, just like you have to have an
- 2 account for FasTrak. That allows us, for
- 3 instance, to not pay a credit card fee every time
- 4 somebody charges, but only roughly every 20 times
- 5 that they charge, so it reduces the cost for the
- 6 driver because we don't have to pay credit card
- 7 clearing fees every time, just like it works for
- 8 FasTrak.
- 9 Reservations, you're going to know who
- 10 you're reserving for, so at some point -- I think
- 11 it's becoming an issue now for DC charging where
- 12 there's a queuing issue; you get to Harris Ranch
- 13 and there's three cars ahead of you, you can't
- 14 stop for lunch because you'll lose your place in
- 15 line, and you need to get your car charged. So
- 16 queuing and reservations are going to become more
- 17 important as this market grows because it takes
- 18 so stinkin' long to charge these cars. So it's
- 19 not like a gas station where you go in for 90
- 20 seconds and the worst you wait is 90 seconds for
- 21 somebody else to move. At our stations, even our
- 22 DC stations, the issue of queuing the
- 23 reservations is going to become severe over time,
- 24 so drivers will have to have an identity so that
- 25 they are waiting in line and they're known to be CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 waiting in line.
- 2 Smart Phone apps of course are important,
- 3 the driver wants to be able to locate stations.
- 4 I had to do that today and I had to locate a
- 5 station that was available to me to charge my
- 6 car. Authentication -- so for many places, you
- 7 know, there's a picture here of Google and a guy
- 8 at Google charging his car. Google only bought
- 9 infrastructure because they wanted it as an
- 10 exclusive perk for their employees, so they don't
- 11 want the guys next door at Microsoft to charge on
- 12 their campus. So they want authentication, they
- 13 want to identify that driver because it's for
- 14 free, but it's for free for employees of Google.
- 15 So they required authentication.
- 16 Energy management is going to become
- 17 important. I think this is the year of
- 18 converting and starting to do energy management,
- 19 things like Demand Response, but the driver is
- 20 going to need the option to opt in or opt out.
- 21 Today, for instance, I have to charge my car
- 22 because I've got a long way to go home, I live in
- 23 Cupertino, and I want my car charged up enough to
- 24 go. And today might be a day where I'd opt out
- 25 of Demand Response, where most days I wouldn't, I CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 would opt in. But the driver needs to have a
- 2 role in making decisions like that, so he has to
- 3 have a presence, so that's why he tends to need
- 4 an account that specifies his kind of
- 5 preferences. Like I'm a DR guy because I've got
- 6 a Plug-In Hybrid, and the worst thing that
- 7 happens to me is I'll switch to gasoline, so if
- 8 I've got a reduced charge.
- 9 Okay, the next thing, we provide a lot of
- 10 driver care. Many of you have stopped at our
- 11 stations before and called us up and said somehow
- 12 my car is not charging, or I can't find a
- 13 station, or whatever, and we've got 24/7 people
- 14 to help out drivers. And finally, monitoring and
- 15 statistics. So, for example, it happened to me
- 16 recently, somebody unplugged my car and I got a
- 17 text message on my phone saying your car was just
- 18 unplugged, which is important to me because
- 19 literally I won't get home for dinner tonight if
- 20 somebody does that today, so the driver knowing
- 21 what's going on with his charging is guite
- 22 important.
- 23 So this is why a lot of this complexity
- 24 comes out of the fact that there are these
- 25 valuable features to drivers that has the added CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 complexity of them having a presence somehow
- 2 associated with charging. The four on the bottom
- 3 have to do with station ownership, so those are
- 4 station owner benefits of having accounts, but I
- 5 didn't want to dwell on those.
- 6 So what do drivers want? They want to use
- 7 any station any time. It's quite clear they want
- 8 to locate and reserve stations, they want one
- 9 bill, or even integrated with an existing bill
- 10 that they pay. They want one credential, and you
- 11 don't want to have a key ring full of little RFID
- 12 tags. And frankly, they want transparency in
- 13 what it's going to cost them to charge that car.
- 14 So they don't want any surprises.
- 15 So our plan is to adapt to Collaboratev.
- 16 Now, if there is another clearinghouse or
- 17 whatever, that's fine, but we need to do some
- 18 work at Chargepoint in order to be able to
- 19 exchange the information necessary so that
- 20 drivers holding an account on our network can
- 21 charge anywhere, and drivers holding accounts on
- 22 other networks can charge on the Chargepoint
- 23 station, and that's what this is about.
- Just for the benefit of the Energy
- 25 Commission, I itemized some costs here. The fact CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 is, it's going to cost us some money at
- 2 Chargepoint to do that, I think it amounts to
- 3 about \$400,000 to do this project. A large part
- 4 of that is that we have to throw all of these
- 5 away because, once we go to a system where we're
- 6 all trusting each other because, you know,
- 7 somebody on a semi-connect account may be
- 8 charging on a Chargepoint station, we're going to
- 9 have to exchange money and all that, we have to
- 10 have security. These cards are very insecure.
- 11 They are as bad as your credit cards. And the
- 12 way credit cards work is people monitor where
- 13 you're spending all your money and they call you
- 14 with annoying calls and they stop your
- 15 transactions from going through. We want to do
- 16 this with a secure card that doesn't require all
- 17 of that. Unfortunately, those cards cost about
- 18 three bucks a piece right now instead of the .75
- 19 roughly that this costs us, so we have to replace
- 20 all of those because we have to use a secure
- 21 card.
- What the secure card does is it connects
- 23 the driver back to his accountholder so that the
- 24 accountholder, maybe Chargepoint, maybe
- 25 SemiConnect, can authorize the charge. And so CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 you can't fool who there is, so it's very highly
- 2 secure with a challenge protocol and passwords
- 3 and keyed encryption. So anyway, that's part of
- 4 it. We know the way the Energy Commission likes
- 5 to work, they like us to put a share in there, so
- 6 we're hoping that through some kind of funding
- 7 mechanism the Energy Commission can offer to us
- 8 and our competitors, frankly, the ability to fund
- 9 what it takes for us to add interoperability to
- 10 our network. And I think that's all I had.
- 11 That's it. Thank you very much. [Applause]
- 12 MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Richard. Right
- 13 to 10 minutes, that was great. Our next speaker
- 14 up is Jason Wolf. Jason is Chief Executive
- 15 Officer of Collaboratev. Welcome, Jason.
- 16 MR. WOLF: It's good to see you all. So
- 17 good morning, my name is Jason Wolf. I've been
- 18 with Collaboratev all of three months, but have
- 19 been discussing Collaboratev or Collaboratev-like
- 20 functions for the last two years with
- 21 Chargepoint, with Ecotality. Those of you who
- 22 don't know, my previous background, I led Better
- 23 Place North America for five years and it was
- 24 becoming more and more a need as the networks
- 25 grew and we had this interoperability.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 So the overall problems faced in this
- 2 crowd needs no introduction, we have multiple
- 3 networks, we have thousands of public chargers
- 4 out there, that is the scope that I'm going to be
- 5 talking about. There is, of course, in this
- 6 whole day we've spoken about three different
- 7 problems that Randall brought up very well,
- 8 there's how can drivers access all chargers, how
- 9 can we get data to find and locate these
- 10 chargers, and the third one which might be
- 11 related, is there a Government role? And that is
- 12 the specific topic today in those things.
- So what does Collaboratev do? It's very
- 14 very simple. It's about how the driver can
- 15 access all chargers, find them, and charge.
- 16 Richard actually did a good job, and I saw some
- 17 of the other presentations of people that are
- 18 going to be talking about the problem, I don't
- 19 think there is disagreement about the problem, I
- 20 think there is a lot of positive discussion about
- 21 potential solutions. Collaboratev -- and I must
- 22 say this -- is one solution. It's the only one
- 23 today that is actually offering to solve the
- 24 problem. A lot of people are saying, yeah, if we
- 25 do this, or if we do that we will be able to  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING\ LLC}$

- 1 solve it, but obviously there is a marked gap in
- 2 being able to solve something that not any
- 3 individual company has to solve as they are
- 4 mandated, it is an intercompany, internetwork
- 5 issue for the driver. And that's important --
- 6 how do we make this -- at the end of the day, if
- 7 we zoom out our goal, all of us, it's to get to
- 8 mass adoption of EVs. That's our ultimate goal.
- 9 So one of the key problems, not the only
- 10 one, is how do you allow a driver to access and
- 11 find every charging station, and I think there is
- 12 consensus about that. How it's done is what I'll
- 13 talk about.
- 14 The solution that Collaboratev is
- 15 proposing is as an independent entity, an
- 16 independent entity. I've heard a lot of
- 17 discussion about, you know, it was founded and
- 18 funded initially by Chargepoint and Ecotality,
- 19 there's a lot of open doors to come in and fund
- 20 this by other entities, this is an independent
- 21 organization that will do a few things, it will
- 22 welcome all operators, so anybody who wants to
- 23 enable their drivers, like Richard mentioned, to
- 24 be able to roam in other networks, will be
- 25 welcome here. The collection of the Chargepoint CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 data will be inherent in that; as you become an
- 2 affiliate, part of this virtual network, you will
- 3 also be obliged to provide your data to a single
- 4 place, which today doesn't exist. Today it's a
- 5 multi-lateral or bilateral multi-times event to
- 6 try and aggregate all the data. As a longtime
- 7 Leaf driver from late -- wow -- 2010, 40,000
- 8 miles, I can't trust only Car Wings, I can't only
- 9 trust Chargepoint, I can't only trust PlugShare
- 10 to find and know what chargers are out there. So
- 11 having a central point of interest database like
- 12 Randall mentioned is a critical element for
- 13 enabling drivers with authentication and
- 14 authorization in real time, being able to allow
- 15 people to come in and say, "I'm not belonging to
- 16 that network."
- 17 Collaboratev doesn't know -- and this is
- 18 important -- doesn't know who that person is, but
- 19 it can authenticate against its home network and
- 20 say you're authorized, and be accountable to make
- 21 sure that that transaction information gets sent
- 22 back to the host, so the host can aggregate all
- 23 of its members, regardless of where they charge
- 24 and then supply that data back to the driver.
- 25 Aggregating all of those roaming

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 transactions and clearing, one of the key
- 2 benefits of course is reducing the price, not
- 3 doing a credit card transaction which, by the
- 4 way, is always an option. So you can always opt
- 5 to do a credit card transaction, but it's not the
- 6 most efficient way for the driver and definitely
- 7 not for the industry.
- 8 And then finally, once all that roaming
- 9 and clearing is done, it's going to be very
- 10 important to do it based on Open Standards and
- 11 the reason is quick on ramp/off ramp, so today
- 12 Nissan or Ford can go and look at the NEMA
- 13 Standard once it is finalized and implement the
- 14 data APIs on their side, and know that
- 15 collaborative, or if they don't want to use
- 16 collaborative, any other party that they want
- 17 bilateral agreements with can use that same API.
- I must say, this is different than your
- 19 slide, there are logos here that belong to
- 20 companies, I use them and I specifically put the
- 21 early affiliates, but in order for Collaboratev,
- 22 which is as close as you can to nonprofit, it is
- 23 a for profit, but as close as it can, it needs
- 24 more players. Ecotality and Chargepoint have 85
- 25 percent of the public charging, that's a great CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 start, it's a very important start for drivers
- 2 because those are what the drivers are using
- 3 today for the most part, but this industry is
- 4 young and it's going to grow and there's going to
- 5 be lots of players, and everybody knows that.
- 6 Finally, we spoke about the POI database,
- 7 this is a critical element, to be able to
- 8 aggregate all that data and distribute it.
- 9 Today, NREL collects that data, nothing changes,
- 10 they will still be able to collect that data, the
- 11 only difference is there will be an accountable
- 12 owner that will provide the real time data and
- 13 make sure that that data is accurate. There's an
- 14 accountable one owner that can provide it to
- 15 everybody. Again, important, this is not B2C, we
- 16 do not -- Collaboratev does not create apps and
- 17 doesn't show the users, the drivers; we enable
- 18 the enablers of the drivers, whoever they may be.
- 19 And the driver will select who is the best
- 20 network, who is the best app, and be able to
- 21 charge anyway.
- Okay, I'm going to briefly just talk about
- 23 three key philosophy points for Collaboratev, and
- 24 these are key things for success. One is
- 25 simplicity to the driver. That has to be the

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 mission and it has to be the focus of this
- 2 organization. This is a tiny organization, it
- 3 will always be a tiny organization because it's a
- 4 facilitator more than a growing enterprise. And
- 5 it has to see that that process of the driver is
- 6 at sight first.
- 7 The second thing, if it wants affiliates
- 8 to join, operators and industry stakeholders to
- 9 join, it's going to have to be very open and
- 10 transparent. And I think, frankly, that's
- 11 probably my biggest challenge today is there's a
- 12 lot of objection not because of objection about
- 13 what we're doing, and you can see this in some of
- 14 the comments, it's about the lack yet -- there's
- 15 no built trust, there's no knowledge that this is
- 16 going to be good for me, so that's an important
- 17 second thing.
- 18 And then the third thing, of course, is
- 19 always building it based on industry-wide
- 20 standards.
- 21 Finally, the last slide, what we're asking
- 22 the Government to do. And this is the specific
- 23 answers. So solve the question at hand, the
- 24 first thing. We're talking here about really two
- 25 big questions and, you know, I'm not going to CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 make an opinion on the OCPP and obliging OCPP or
- 2 not obliging, I have a very clear opinion, but
- 3 I'm not going to make it here because that's not
- 4 the topic, it's about roaming. I wouldn't call
- 5 it interoperability because it's really about
- 6 driver roaming; it is interoperability in a
- 7 sense, but that's too technical. It's about
- 8 allowing drivers to access every charge spot.
- 9 And to me, that is the real problem and
- 10 that's the real market problem. There is a real
- 11 problem on stranded assets and whatever you want
- 12 to call it, but that is a problem like Fisker
- 13 went out of business, it's a technical problem
- 14 that a site owner can decide, "I want to choose a
- 15 product with OCPP, " or, "I don't want to choose."
- 16 I personally don't see a need for Government
- 17 there. I definitely want all of those things to
- 18 happen as a EV driver, but the problem with
- 19 drivers being able to find and charge in any
- 20 station, every network wants that, but not any
- 21 network can alone do that and that's why there is
- 22 a market problem that needs to be solved.
- 23 I wouldn't look at California's success of
- 24 the past and just say, "Oh, Europe is doing this
- 25 better; Asia is doing this better." By the way,

#### CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 somewhere they did five years of international
- 2 EVs. U.S. is actually doing it the best and
- 3 California is doing it the best, and I'd be happy
- 4 to argue with anybody in the world in this room
- 5 or anywhere that that is the case, even that gas
- 6 prices are half the price here. So don't knock
- 7 your own success. ARB and EPA has done an
- 8 amazing -- ARB and CEC have done an amazing job.
- 9 Minimize EVSE solicitation. The ability
- 10 to upgrade for the networks, not for
- 11 collaborative, for the networks, is a real cost
- 12 as you saw from Richard. Pay those real costs as
- 13 a cost share because that enables in-kind.
- 14 Collaboratev could be a competitive entity. Do a
- 15 competitive bid, let anybody come with a
- 16 solution, look at the solutions and decide which
- 17 is the best, don't choose Collaboratev
- 18 immediately. And finally, I would go with the
- 19 route of trying to let the industry solve it
- 20 rather than create a government entity that will
- 21 enable roaming as a first option. If it doesn't
- 22 work, the Government can always step in. Thank
- 23 you. [Applause]
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Jason. Next up
- 25 we have Brett Hauser. He is President with

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Greenlots. Welcome, Brett.
- 2 MR. HAUSER: Good morning, everyone.
- 3 Thank you for coming. Just as Richard did in the
- 4 beginning, I want to make sure we understand the
- 5 delineation between the concepts because
- 6 interoperability means different things in
- 7 different sections. There's interoperability
- 8 between the car, the EV, and the EVSE, which
- 9 we're not discussing today; and then there is
- 10 driver interoperability which is roaming, which
- 11 is what Jason just eloquently spoke about; and
- 12 then network interoperability and how to
- 13 communicate between the charge station and the
- 14 network backend.
- 15 You know, I think I could probably stand
- 16 here and spend the next 10 minutes talking about
- 17 these two slides here because I think this
- 18 identifies all the challenges we've had with this
- 19 industry up to this point, yet also it can
- 20 provide the inspiration for what we need to do
- 21 going forward. What we have here is a sign of
- 22 what happens when we have, you know, a nascent
- 23 industry that has initially deployed Proprietary
- 24 Networks, proprietary technologies, and that
- 25 ultimately there's going to be winners and losers

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 within that. And the challenge is how are you
- 2 able to scale beyond that. And when you have
- 3 some of these winners and losers, as the market
- 4 will bear out, the last thing we need is for
- 5 these things to become stranded and not able to
- 6 be utilized in the marketplace, and right now
- 7 that's what we've been dealing with. In Maui,
- 8 OpConnect specifically has been dealing with that
- 9 now and I hope -- I do truly hope that Ecotality
- 10 after an announcement this week is able to
- 11 provide additional funding and keep going as a
- 12 going concern. But if not, they've got 12,000
- 13 assets out there today, and approximately 3,600
- 14 of those are in the commercial area. And we've
- 15 put a lot of money -- the DOE has put a hundred
- 16 million dollars into that, the last thing we need
- 17 to do is take additional funds to replace those
- 18 charge stations. What that money should be used
- 19 is to deploy additional charge stations on the
- 20 network. And as we've seen in Maui with
- 21 OpConnect, what they're doing right now is having
- 22 to pull out the better placed charge stations and
- 23 put new infrastructure in and we hope the same
- 24 doesn't happen with Ecotality, as well. The way
- 25 to avoid this is in fact using Open Standards.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 At the end of the day, Greenlots is a network
- 2 management provider. We have a great solution,
- 3 but I believe that we should be based -- a
- 4 customer should be able to choose our solution
- 5 based on feature, function and price, and then
- 6 they should be empowered to make the decision to
- 7 go with us, and then if they don't like us, they
- 8 should still be able to switch.
- 9 The challenge with these charge stations
- 10 that have been put out there with the proprietary
- 11 protocols is that, once you've gone down that
- 12 path you're stuck. And you've got a vendor lock-
- 13 in so that you cannot make a switch. You can't
- 14 change. So those Ecotality charge stations that
- 15 are out there, you can't switch from Blink to
- 16 another network provider because they've got the
- 17 proprietary protocol, the communication from the
- 18 network back office to the charge station is
- 19 proprietary. So because of that, the only way to
- 20 enable that for another solution is, in fact, to
- 21 either rip out the communication board, or put a
- 22 new charge station in altogether. Again, it's
- 23 taking new dollars to fix an old problem and a
- 24 problem we could have avoided. If these had been
- 25 based on Open Standards, then all these site

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 hosts would be going out now possibly for
- 2 solicitations to find additional network
- 3 management companies that could provide the
- 4 network capabilities that they need, and they
- 5 wouldn't have to make any changes to the hardware
- 6 at all.
- 7 So this slide, I think, has served well to
- 8 give -- it's basically a high list checkpoint of
- 9 where the issues come in. Having open networks
- 10 also provides for innovation. Again, if we have
- 11 to compete on price and features, then we're
- 12 going to do the best we can and we have to earn
- 13 our customers' business every day. If we don't
- 14 have that and we've got a customer lock-in, the
- 15 prices can go up and the return we're going to
- 16 get on that investment will be minimized.
- 17 So moving from Open Standards, there's the
- 18 discussion about why OCPP because there are a lot
- 19 of Open Standards that could possibly fit this
- 20 role. OCPP was founded by the Dutch consortium
- 21 E-LAAD, it's a consortium of the energy companies
- 22 in the Netherlands and they had previously had
- 23 proprietary systems rolled out and faced some of
- 24 the issues that we faced already. So to avoid
- $\,$  25 those problems and having the stranded assets  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 again, they all came together and developed the
- 2 OCPP Forum, which is not for profit. The
- 3 technology, the protocol is relatively free.
- 4 There are no fees for using it, you could take it
- 5 and you could build off it yourself if you wanted
- 6 to, but it's out there for the public to use and
- 7 it's got representation from 50 different
- 8 countries, it's mandated now in the European
- 9 Union. Any tenders that go out for public
- 10 infrastructure, one of those requirements is that
- 11 that charge station has to be OCPP compliant or
- 12 it cannot be considered for that tender. And
- 13 then you can see that, you know, ABB, Eaton,
- 14 Schneider, and other Tier 1 power equipment EV
- 15 charger manufacturers are in fact starting to
- 16 adopt this as their de facto standard.
- I do want to talk about the criteria for
- 18 adoption with respect to roaming because that has
- 19 been a big part of the conversation. And we
- 20 haven't commented on it too much to this point,
- 21 but at the end of the day, are we over
- 22 complicating the process? I mean, we're trying
- 23 to fundamentally change consumer behavior for a
- 24 nascent industry that has enough activity and
- 25 enough things that we need to do to impact the  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING, LLC}$

- 1 adoption as it is. I mean, I don't know the last
- 2 time someone had to have a subscription to buy a
- 3 can of Coke at a Coke machine, right? But Coke
- 4 machines, you know, buying movie tickets, all
- 5 these things, buying gas, we're all used to using
- 6 the credit card and that's not going away. So
- 7 I'm not saying we should be doing away with
- 8 subscriptions by any chance, but as opposed to
- 9 trying to change consumer behavior at the
- 10 beginning, why don't we try to understand what
- 11 their paying points are, what they're used to,
- 12 and try to cater to that. That's the best way to
- 13 promote adoption of Electric Vehicles and the
- 14 infrastructure.
- 15 Again, subscription models, I think they
- 16 had their challenge, they are limited. With that
- 17 being said, I know Greenlots provides, and I
- 18 think multiple players out there, as well,
- 19 provide various payment options. You can have an
- 20 RFID card. Mobile apps, you know, in scanning QR
- 21 codes, that's something that we are heavily
- 22 involved in and support, and that's the easiest
- 23 way to do it. Someone can just download the app
- 24 free of charge from iTunes or the Google Play

- 1 pay by phone. And, of course, credit cards. For
- 2 the money that we're looking to use to upgrade
- 3 the RFID cards for the existing networks, you
- 4 could deploy a Cloud-based credit card solution
- 5 on all the existing charge stations out there in
- 6 California and have the same effect. Right now,
- 7 if you look at the public statistics for what's
- 8 been rolled out with the Government funded
- 9 programs, the utilization of those assets,
- 10 meaning the charge stations, are being used less
- 11 than five percent of the time they're available.
- 12 So that's not a lot. And going too far as to try
- 13 to put in a significant and what I would call a
- 14 complex at time protocol and procedure for
- 15 interoperability when, yes, it's somewhat of a
- 16 pain point, but credit cards could do just as
- 17 well and probably more effective because that's
- 18 what we're used to. I think that's probably the
- 19 short term solution as we let this industry
- 20 evolve and see what the true pain points are.
- 21 SB 454, which is now going through
- 22 legislation, I mean, that again, we can discuss
- 23 the merits of the bill during the afternoon if we
- 24 like, but one of the things that they have done
- 25 is really defer on trying to adopt an

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 interoperability standard until 2015, and they're
- 2 giving it a year to be implemented. And that's
- 3 because there's too much time ahead of us, right?
- 4 We have so many things that we're just learning.
- 5 Side hosts are now just getting involved in
- 6 deploying infrastructure. You know, from their
- 7 side Open Standards means I can go with a
- 8 solution, I can get smarter on what I need, and
- 9 then if I don't like what I have, I can switch
- 10 and I don't have to replace the hardware. So too
- 11 for the interoperability requirements that are
- 12 going to be put in front of us; we don't know
- 13 exactly what's going to be required, we think we
- 14 have an understanding, but how much money should
- 15 we spend now to lock ourselves into a particular
- 16 approach and solution when, in 12 to 24 months,
- 17 you know, the winds could change, we could see
- 18 that there's a clear way to do it? Having a
- 19 single point, a single clearinghouse, has its own
- 20 inherent risks. If that clearinghouse goes down,
- 21 how does any transaction get processed? There
- 22 are a number of other solutions out there that
- 23 are more like a distributed network, kind of like
- 24 Internet security when you get authorized to look
- 25 at different websites, the same type of thing.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 We have to explore all those options and I think
- 2 that's going to take some time because there are
- 3 experiences that are out there, not only in North
- 4 America, but in Europe, as well, and obviously I
- 5 think we're doing a phenomenal job here. There's
- 6 nothing wrong with shared learning experiences,
- 7 right? If someone has done something and we can
- 8 learn from it, why not? We don't have to make
- 9 the same mistake twice. So if we can learn and
- 10 leverage that experience, I think we'll all be
- 11 better off. But let's not rush to judgment on
- 12 the best way to handle situations and use cases
- 13 that we really haven't been confronted with yet.
- 14 I think what we need to do now is make sure that
- 15 we set ourselves up for the utmost flexibility
- 16 and scalability for the infrastructure in the
- 17 future, without adding a lot of costs that we're
- 18 ultimately going to have to pass through to the
- 19 consumer because it's a very price elastic space
- 20 and consumers are only willing to pay so much for
- 21 their electricity, and if we don't have them
- 22 charging, none of us are going to have a
- 23 business. So world domination is great, but 80
- 24 percent of zero is still zero the last time I
- 25 checked, so we've all got to do our part to make CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 sure that we move this industry forward.
- 2 The key takeaway here is, again, de-risk
- 3 the opportunities for the site hosts and for the
- 4 drivers by making sure that Open Standards are
- 5 employed throughout the state for any public
- 6 vendors and, in lieu of another alternative,
- 7 right now it seems that OCPP is the best one for
- 8 the state to consider. And thank you for your
- 9 time. [Applause]
- 10 MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Brett. Next up
- 11 we have Cal Langton. Cal is Director of EV
- 12 Charging Infrastructure for North America with
- 13 ABB.
- 14 MR. LANGTON: Good morning, everyone. So
- 15 I think we've got a lively and balanced
- 16 discussion, so I'm going to bring another
- 17 perspective into this and focus kind of on the
- 18 second layer that Richard mentioned, and I have a
- 19 couple more views about that, so I apologize in
- 20 advance if some of you have seen my slides, but
- 21 we only have one trick, I quess you have to use
- 22 it again and again.
- I will quickly introduce ABB, which as I
- 24 like to call is the biggest company no one has
- 25 ever heard of. ABB is a global manufacturer of CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 electronics equipment, a global supplier. We
- 2 have about 140,000 employees in 100 countries,
- 3 \$45 billion in revenue, and I think about 30,000
- 4 employees in North America alone, so quite a
- 5 broad reach and obviously EV charging is just one
- 6 small component of what we do.
- 7 My focus, or our focus has really been
- 8 obviously from a European perspective, so I came
- 9 here last year after spending some time in Europe
- 10 to start up our U.S. operations, it's a
- 11 relatively new player in Europe, and I'm going to
- 12 focus a little bit about our experiences in
- 13 Europe; again, it provides an example, not
- 14 necessarily a better example, but an example of
- 15 how things are going, how the industry is going
- 16 in Europe where we have very large projects
- 17 rolling out utilizing these Open Standards. So
- 18 globally we've shipped over 1,000 DC fast
- 19 charging systems across a number of different
- 20 types of applications from large public tenders
- 21 to small five unit tenders for a gas station, a
- 22 mom and pop kind of gas station in the
- 23 Netherlands, and now also working on the utility
- 24 projects and behind the fence OEM projects in the
- 25 U.S., as well.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- Why is this market important for ABB?
- 2 Obviously for us it's more than just the charging
- 3 hardware, we also have a networking management
- 4 system that provides the ability to do this
- 5 interconnection on an open basis, on a business-
- 6 to-business level, so not a competition with
- 7 Chargepoint or Collaboratev, but more on the back
- 8 end side, and then that ties into a larger part
- 9 of ABB's offering around energy storage, smart
- 10 grid integration, Demand Response -- Richard
- 11 mentioned those, as well -- and then more to the
- 12 iron side of substations, renewable integration
- 13 components and things like that.
- So this is the slide that you may have
- 15 seen in the past. So I think we've actually kind
- 16 of covered this already and I'm glad this
- 17 confirms our view, as well. So for me there's
- 18 three main spheres of the market and how they're
- 19 connected, and I think there are standards on all
- 20 those sides. So there's three main layers of
- 21 standards, we've covered those, one is the actual
- 22 vehicle hardware itself, so CHAdeMO, CCS, GV
- 23 Standard in China, three phase AC Standard for
- 24 Renault in Europe. Then there's the
- 25 interconnection standard between the hardware and CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 the backend networks, and that's OCCP and
- 2 equivalents, and then there's the interconnection
- 3 with the driver itself, and that's the
- 4 Collaboratev offering.
- 5 So this kind of ties in together. This
- 6 focus here is obviously on the connection between
- 7 the hardware and the software to tie the consumer
- 8 networks together, and that intersection of those
- 9 three circles is where we feel standards like
- 10 OCPP reside and their equivalent. So our
- 11 position in ABB is we provide the charge and
- 12 management functionality, so that's the hardware,
- 13 and then detailed hardware management
- 14 functionality. So what we do best is manage the
- 15 hardware, remote maintenance diagnostics, things
- 16 like that. We can provide integration to grid-
- 17 side functionality, so that's Smart Grid,
- 18 Operational Grid Management, Demand Response,
- 19 Distribution System Management, and then that
- 20 interconnects via those Open Standards to billing
- 21 authentication, subscriber management, and then
- 22 the operational B to C services, which is all the
- 23 reservation driver services and things like that
- 24 which different systems offer.
- I think one of the points I do want to CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 make, though, is there's an important
- 2 distinction. So if you look off to this slide,
- 3 so the bottom left corner would be kind of where
- 4 the conversation sits around Collaboratev and its
- 5 alternatives. In the middle is the OCPP piece,
- 6 and while that is separated by one layer from the
- 7 consumers, I feel that the Open Standards push
- 8 and the Standard push, in general, does
- 9 ultimately benefit the consumers. So the point
- 10 of OCPP, while it does more viscerally and
- 11 immediately benefit the station owners, all of
- 12 that flows downstream to the users, as well. I
- 13 mean, you know, Brett did bring up the example of
- 14 stranded assets, so stranded assets are a backend
- 15 interoperability issue, but obviously that
- 16 affects the consumer. The other piece is the
- 17 presumption is that the increased choice at the
- 18 station owner level would drive down costs and
- 19 thus lower cost impacts on the consumers, as
- 20 well. So I do want to make that important point,
- 21 which I think is those Open Standards do provide
- 22 benefit to the consumers.
- So this says ABB in the middle, I don't
- 24 want you to think we're the only ones doing this.
- 25 You know, Brett brought up the point there's CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 other manufacturers, so this provides a more
- 2 linear example of that integration piece we're
- 3 doing with different network providers, so ABB
- 4 provides the charging infrastructure, the
- 5 hardware platform connects to the vehicles
- 6 themselves, and then we integrate with the
- 7 solutions to run a network, and that can be
- 8 anything, I think. One of the reasons we
- 9 positioned ourselves there is it's a very
- 10 competitive space. You have everyone from
- 11 obviously the pure plank organizations like
- 12 Chargepoint, two of the larger companies like
- 13 IBM, Visa, SAP, and then that also ties into the
- 14 Smart Grid functionality, so Ventex is a Smart
- 15 Grid provider, for example, where we have demand
- 16 response integration. So we can provide that
- 17 service in conjunction with, or on top of, or
- 18 aside some other kind of consumer functionality,
- 19 all integrated via Open Standards in a very
- 20 simple manner.
- 21 So what does that mean? So the APIs, we
- 22 really already covered this, so they're royalty-
- 23 free, widely available via the Internet, but with
- 24 global standards, so flexible, secure and
- $\,$  25  $\,$  reliable, and that makes it easy to connect to  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 different back offices, you can adapt them to the
- 2 latest energy developments, and you can also do
- 3 onetime integration, so adding a charger or
- 4 scaling your system is really a non-event.
- 5 Security, so obviously security is a major
- 6 topic here and we need a system that's going to
- 7 meet all the relevant ISO standards and be as
- 8 secure or more secure than a credit card
- 9 transaction, which is what is offered by OCPP,
- 10 and then reliable, so it has to have end-to-end
- 11 performance monitoring from both ends, so that
- 12 means that the network side and the hardware
- 13 side, there needs to be an ability to do API
- 14 services, and you have to be able to access your
- 15 data as the station host site owner.
- So for ABB, what are we doing right now
- 17 and what's the current state of OCPP globally?
- 18 So right now we have 23 different OCPP
- 19 implementations running worldwide, so I think
- 20 that provides a little bit of an example that
- 21 it's really building momentum and that it is a
- 22 very wide customer base. As Brett mentioned, the
- 23 requirement has really already been set across
- 24 the EU that publicly funded projects support the
- 25 use of Open Standards, OCPP being the prevalent

- 1 Open Standard; obviously there are other
- 2 available Open Standards, but it's the
- 3 availability of OCPP and the usefulness of OCPP's
- 4 position as the de facto Open Standard for these,
- 5 and it's actually specified specifically in all
- 6 these open tenders. The basis for that choice, I
- 7 think, is pretty clear, it's the judicious use of
- 8 public funds and now even private funds to
- 9 provide future proof solutions such that hardware
- 10 can be added and removed in a cost-effective
- 11 basis, without compromising the integrity of a
- 12 system and enabling the system to grow in the
- 13 future. So that's the whole drive in Europe upon
- 14 the OCPP push, and it's been covered pretty well
- 15 here already. I just want to make it clear it
- 16 really is happening right now, so pretty much all
- 17 of our projects going forward in the EU are OCPP-
- 18 based integration. So you may have heard in the
- 19 news we're doing right now -- I didn't put it in
- 20 the slides here, but just as a little note, so we
- 21 just announced a 200-unit project in the
- 22 Netherlands, government supported, but it's
- 23 government supported only in the sense they're
- 24 providing an in-kind contribution of access to
- 25 roadside gas station areas. So if you've been to CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Europe, you know they have mildly fancy roadside
- 2 gas station areas, so they provide basically a
- 3 concession and there was a public bid for that,
- 4 but it's a private company that is doing that
- 5 work, and they're the ones that put out the
- 6 tender and the company called Fastnet, so that's
- 7 200 DC fast chargers with the combined combo
- 8 CHAdeMO outlet is one latest example, and then
- 9 all the way back to our first major global
- 10 project in the country of Estonia, also using
- 11 these OCPP Standards. And they've been able to
- 12 then add chargers, so ABB -- I mean, I'll just be
- 13 honest here, we have a very strong focus in DC
- 14 fast chargers, but there's more competitive AC
- 15 charging offerings out there. So these countries
- 16 like Estonia and then Fastnet, for example,
- 17 they'll bring in other manufacturers for their AC
- 18 charging needs while then supporting ABBD fast
- 19 charging.
- 20 One of the common criticisms on the
- 21 customer demand side is that SEP does not provide
- 22 the full level of functionality. I think that's
- 23 a valid concern, but we're seeing SEP 1.2, 1.5
- 24 provide the functionality for 98 percent of the
- 25 use cases, and then now the future of

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 functionality with SEP 2.0 has really been
- 2 designed to meet those ongoing concerns. And
- 3 then obviously performance and availability
- 4 really has been a non-issue. So, Randall, to
- 5 answer your question directly, do I think that
- 6 the State has a role in mandating the use of Open
- 7 Standards for future solutions, I think they do,
- 8 I think there's a good lesson to be learned from
- 9 Europe in this, so if you look at a judicious use
- 10 of taxpayer money, then I think that OCPP or Open
- 11 Standards, in general, provide an example
- 12 forward. So thank you very much, I look forward
- 13 to your questions. [Applause]
- 14 MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Cal. Next up we
- 15 have Rajit Gadh. He is Director of the UCLA
- 16 Smart Grid Research Center. Welcome.
- 17 PROFESSOR GADH: Thank you very much. I
- 18 guess I have the luxury of stepping back and
- 19 thinking about things and not have the sense of
- 20 urgency that, for example, some of the folks in
- 21 industry have to have in meeting their quarterly
- 22 revenues, profits and losses, and so on and so
- 23 forth. So my comments will be a little bit
- 24 different in nature.
- Just a quick introduction, so my name is

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Rajit Gadh. I'm a Professor in UCLA and Founder
- 2 and Director of the Smart Grid Energy Research
- 3 Center.
- 4 And I would say one of the most
- 5 significant areas of research that we have is
- 6 integration of Electric Vehicles into the Smart
- 7 Grid of the future. And we have several
- 8 different products in the Smart EV charging space
- 9 and Smart EV discharging space, and one of them
- 10 is funded by the Department of Energy through the
- 11 L.A. Department of Water and Power, it's a \$60
- 12 million Regional Demonstration Grant that we are
- 13 part of with LADWP being the lead.
- 14 Where are we headed in five, 10 years? I
- 15 think we need to ask that question. What would
- 16 we like to see in five or 10 years? And you
- 17 know, I'm an engineer, so one of the first things
- 18 I did many many years ago, about a decade ago,
- 19 was ask my students to open up a charging station
- 20 and open up three or four models and look inside
- 21 and predict 20 years from now what should this
- 22 device look like, what can they visualize, what
- 23 can they envision, and what it would cost.
- 24 Today, I think, you know, in 10 years can we
- 25 predict the cost of a Smart EV Charging Station, CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 a Level 2? And I've told my students why can't
- 2 it be a hundred bucks, why can't it be fifty
- 3 bucks? So, I mean, if it is \$100.00, are we all
- 4 better off? Are we worse off? So those are the
- 5 kinds of questions I like to ask. And I think a
- 6 Smart EV charging stations in a few years is a
- 7 hundred bucks. I mean, everybody will install it
- 8 everywhere and the problem of being ubiquitous
- 9 will get solved.
- 10 And, of course, we need standards, we need
- 11 interoperability. If you look at WiFi, we had
- 12 something called Wireless LAN Proprietary
- 13 Networks in the '80s and '90s, and to set up a
- 14 wireless LAN network for a facility of this
- 15 nature, you might spend thousands of dollars in
- 16 those years; well, today you just put a WiFi
- 17 access point for thirty bucks and you're ready to
- 18 go because both sides are talking the same
- 19 language, your laptop and your cell phone are
- 20 talking the same language.
- 21 So what do you do? You start creating
- 22 modules, you create partitions, you create
- 23 interfaces, you create standards. You know, OCPP
- 24 is one standard, and Richard said, yeah, this

- 1 OCPP, and I support a bunch of other standards.
- 2 So overall, what's the vision? My vision
- 3 is that electric vehicle charging -- and I think
- 4 my vision is sort of similar to Cal's vision from
- 5 ABB where there is an EV operator and an EV, and
- 6 there's a Grid operator, and then there is a
- 7 garage operator where you install the charging
- 8 stations. And in UCLA we are creating
- 9 optimization algorithms to be able to figure out
- 10 how many amps to support in which car, when,
- 11 based on the user preference, based on what's
- 12 happening on the grid, and based on what's
- 13 happening in the garage. And everybody has their
- 14 input, and that's the optimization engine that we
- 15 have been working on for many many years, and we
- 16 are a research lab and we experiment with things
- 17 and so on and so forth.
- 18 Interoperability. So one of the things
- 19 our students developed many years ago was a bunch
- 20 of apps, of course. The app is the
- 21 quintessential entity if you are dealing with
- 22 consumers, you've got to have an app. And
- 23 through the app, the user can provide you with
- 24 their inputs, and the system can give them
- 25 feedback, oh, you know, the price of energy has CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 gone so high, or, you know, you are not 50
- 2 percent charged, and so on and so forth. So that
- 3 is very critical.
- 4 Now, should the apps be interoperable?
- 5 Right? I mean, when we're talking
- 6 interoperability -- and someone pointed out, what
- 7 do we mean by interoperability? And I'm going to
- 8 say what Brett said, you know, let's not rush to
- 9 judgment, I say let's not rush to judgment on a
- 10 lot of different things, and maybe the interface
- 11 between a mobile app and the server or the Cloud,
- 12 should that be interoperable? Why not?
- 13 Communications. No one talked about
- 14 communications. You know, we all take for
- 15 granted there's 3G, there's 4G, there's WiFi,
- 16 there's PLC, there's Zigbee, there's an entire
- 17 bunch of standard communications protocols,
- 18 right, and then there's the new stuff coming out
- 19 from companies like Silver Spring that plug into
- 20 Smart Meters. Well, that's another type of
- 21 standard.
- 22 So the question is, on the communications
- 23 side, what should be interoperable with whom,
- 24 how, where? So that's another issue that I like
- 25 to bring up. At UCLA, for example, as part of CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 our research project, we have now more than 50
- 2 Smart Nodes -- I call them Nodes because you plug
- 3 in a car, it's the end of the network, right?
- 4 You can monitor the car, you can send signals to
- 5 the car, you can send signals to the mobile app,
- 6 so we have about roughly 50 or so EV owners in
- 7 the Grid to LA region, so you can see the maps,
- 8 you can see where they are, and these are all
- 9 research units and, you know, between Santa
- 10 Monica and Pasadena, and so on and so forth, so
- 11 this is the regional network that we are using to
- 12 test and demonstrate the concepts.
- 13 And again, I think we are in the early
- 14 stages and we have to have a lot of collaboration
- 15 in our adjacent Collaboratev -- I don't know how
- 16 to pronounce the company -- but it's about
- 17 collaboration. And so you have to have a lot of
- 18 collaboration and I think that's very critical.
- 19 Interoperability at the data gathering
- 20 level, we're gathering data, we're getting small
- 21 bits of information and perhaps OCPP is
- 22 sufficient for that and that may be fine, that's
- 23 energy information, voltage, and so on and so
- 24 forth, maybe a messaging scheme can actually
- 25 work, so I would say that that may be fine, or it CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 may not be fine, right? But we have to
- 2 investigate further and I argue that, Randall, I
- 3 think the question what should we be thinking
- 4 about, I think we should be thinking about having
- 5 some research technology development, you know,
- 6 demonstrations, innovation, and bringing
- 7 different partners together to be able to do
- 8 that. So this, for example, is our control
- 9 center. And all of you, you all have your
- 10 control centers with your own data formats and
- 11 things like that, so maybe a control center from
- 12 Company A needs to work with the control center
- 13 from Company B, maybe one is Cloud-based, the
- 14 other is not, but there are a lot of interfaces
- 15 that can be developed.
- 16 At the hardware level, okay, so for
- 17 example, what we did is our students I challenged
- 18 them and I said, hey, can you take -- our
- 19 students developed hardware -- so I said, well,
- 20 can you take a commercial hardware like a Clipper
- 21 Creek, and take your Cloud software and connect
- 22 it with Clipper Creek? Well, guess what? In a
- 23 few days, they did it. They built a small
- 24 electronics box, a little thing like this that
- 25 you can plug into a Clipper Creek installation CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 and, lo and behold, the Clipper Creek becomes
- 2 Smart. Again, so there's a lot of very
- 3 interesting concepts that can come out when you
- 4 do research and development in the academic
- 5 world.
- 6 Interoperability at the parking and the
- 7 garage level. Now, this actually has to do with
- 8 Demand Response, for example, aggregation, when
- 9 you have a large number of vehicles in a garage
- 10 -- again, I'm looking into the future as an
- 11 academic -- you know, we are aggregating large
- 12 numbers of EVs, for example, and one of my
- 13 garages has over 15 or 20 EVs -- what can we
- 14 offer them? And I go back to, for example,
- 15 Demand Response which is what Cal was talking
- 16 about, and that's another big area of research
- 17 for me, so we have made the system open ADR-
- 18 enabled. Open ADR is a Demand Response protocol,
- 19 so it's an Open Standard, happens to be an open
- 20 Standard, you know, there can be Standards, there
- 21 can be Open Standards, there can be Open Source,
- 22 so a lot of these things we still need to figure
- 23 out what is going to actually win in the end. I
- 24 think it's a little bit early to tell. But in
- 25 any case, when you start to aggregate at the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 garage level, what protocols will the garage
- 2 accept? Will it be open ADR or will it be
- 3 something else? So one of my parking garages has
- 4 12 or 13 charging stations.
- 5 At the Architectural level, so now I'm
- 6 getting into the details of the technology,
- 7 right? You guys are talking about you guys are
- 8 trying to serve the customers and do the billing
- 9 and so on and so forth, and I stay away from
- 10 that, and that's a well understood problem, but
- 11 I'm looking at the guts of the problem, I'm
- 12 saying, "Can I take software from Company A,
- 13 hardware from Company B, and communications from
- 14 Company C, walk into Best Buy and for \$100.00 I
- 15 have a charging station, Smart Charger?" Why
- 16 not? Plug and play. If the architecture
- 17 supports it, like the solar folks have been doing
- 18 plug and play, so if the architecture supports
- 19 it, can you just have plug and play concepts
- 20 that, for example, you know, maybe the IT system
- 21 supports Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3, so for
- 22 example in UCLA I already have demonstrated Level
- 23 1 and Level 2 charging stations integrated within
- 24 the same IT framework and the architecture, and
- 25 now we are adding a CHAdeMO. We just got a CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Nissan box and we're going to add it and it will
- 2 be connected to the same IT framework. So that
- 3 brings me to the IT interoperability, as well.
- 4 Now the next is the infrastructure level,
- 5 specifically at the power systems level. Do we
- 6 need to go back to the folks who are in the power
- 7 systems field and talk to them about, you know, I
- 8 mean, I was reading some very interesting
- 9 documents on the flight and technical documents,
- 10 and I saw that we're talking about how the
- 11 existing Grid deals with a fuse box and things
- 12 like that. Well, we're moving into the Smart
- 13 Grid age and I think that a lot of that will be
- 14 software-based controls, software-based
- 15 Regulations. We talk about communications and
- 16 software, so do we need to make fundamental
- 17 changes at the garage level so that it becomes
- 18 real easy to do this kind of plug and play within
- 19 a garage? So, you know, I put some marketing
- 20 slides of my own research projects up there.
- 21 We got massive publicity -- by the way, I
- 22 argue that, you know, many of us will be here
- 23 five, 10 years from now, you know, and I think is
- 24 an amazing crowd here, I think all the parties
- 25 are here -- I would say the most relevant parties

- 1 are here, and I think that we have to
- 2 collectively figure out how we can get from where
- 3 we are to this \$99.00 or \$100.00 charging
- 4 station, a smart charging station of the future.
- 5 So again, in a nutshell, I think we are still in
- 6 the early stages of this field, you know, and I
- 7 think we have to have some room for innovation,
- 8 and I like OCPP, and I support OCPP, and I
- 9 support Open ADR for the Demand Response, I
- 10 support more and more standards. I think that
- 11 the more technology that we have now that we can
- 12 bring to the table, I think things like OCPP can
- 13 be studied further and we can add more things,
- 14 but I think we have opportunity to innovate. So
- 15 I encourage CEC to focus on your research
- 16 technology and innovation and demonstration.
- 17 Thank you very much. [Applause]
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Rajit. Okay,
- 19 next up is David Peterson. David is West Coast
- 20 Project Manager for Electric Vehicles. Welcome.
- MR. PETERSON: Well, Rajit, you're working
- 22 on some amazing things, but I still can't charge
- 23 on Level 2 at my apartment. What's going on?
- 24 PROFESSOR GADH: I'll fix it.
- 25 MR. PETERSON: Please! I just signed a

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 new lease. So thank you to the CEC, ARB, the
- 2 Governor's Office for inviting Nissan. Again,
- 3 I'm David Peterson. I manage the Western U.S.
- 4 for Infrastructure Development for Nissan, among
- 5 other things.
- 6 What I'd like to give you a sense of today
- 7 is how Nissan is thinking about EVSE
- 8 interoperability. First of all, I'd like to tell
- 9 you what we're doing in the infrastructure space,
- 10 get into some of the details of how we perceive
- 11 the driver experience, and what we see as being
- 12 important for EVSEs, and then leave you with a
- 13 few thoughts.
- So Nissan is taking a three-pronged
- 15 approach to infrastructure development; we're
- 16 focused on dealer charging, community charging,
- 17 and workplace charging. All of this is, of
- 18 course, with the objective of increasing range
- 19 confidence in EV drivers, we're focused on
- 20 driving within the region, and so we've announced
- 21 that we're going to be installing 100 DC Fast
- 22 Chargers at dealerships throughout the United
- 23 States. We are working on achieving the
- 24 objective of hitting more than 600 DC Fast
- 25 Chargers in the public arena throughout the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 United States, today I think we're a little bit
- 2 over 300, and workplace charging where, of
- 3 course, these are all a mix of Level 2 and DC
- 4 Fast Charging, but seriously workplace fast
- 5 charging for increasing range confidence and, of
- 6 course, for providing charging needs for those
- 7 that need it on long commutes.
- 8 And so Nissan is thinking very
- 9 holistically about interoperability. Certainly
- 10 many of the things that Rajit talked about show
- 11 up in the Cloud, as well as below in terms of the
- 12 physical connections, the direct connections
- 13 between these three -- well, at least the direct
- 14 connections between the vehicle, the EVSE, and
- 15 thinking about the different stakeholder needs on
- 16 either side of that EVSE, really, the driver and
- 17 anyone who has any sort of, I guess, role in
- 18 managing, hosting, operating that EVSE.
- But of course, we're looking at Cloud-
- 20 based connectivity. We have our own Telematic
- 21 system, Nissan's Car Wings, and how that
- 22 interacts with all sorts of third party systems,
- 23 either the energy management systems, or the back
- 24 ends of different EVSPs.
- 25 But of course, today our immediate focus, CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 and we think our focus for the near term is going
- 2 to be on focusing on these direct connections
- 3 between the driver, the charging station, and
- 4 thinking about the stakeholder needs on either
- 5 side of that charger.
- And so in terms of the driving experience,
- 7 we're focused on a seamless and convenient
- 8 charging experience for our drivers. Today, many
- 9 have to carry multiple RFID cards to access
- 10 charging stations, of course, I mean, that's
- 11 already been brought up so I won't dwell on it.
- 12 But the other thing that we're focused on is
- 13 truly a low cost solution, rather having minimal
- 14 cost impact on drivers. We see this as
- 15 imperative because it needs to be competitive
- 16 with what refueling costs are today and we
- 17 certainly are in the early market stages and do
- 18 not want to provide any hindrance to market
- 19 growth.
- 20 Some of the benefits we see of
- 21 interoperability are that we expect to see
- 22 increased competition among EVSPs and we hope
- 23 that this leads to an improved product offering
- 24 and hopefully lower pricing, with the objective
- 25 of attracting and retaining drivers for EVSPs
  CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 because, if you think about it, if you take an
- 2 ATM solution or an ATM example, you have one ATM
- 3 provider that maybe is the most ubiquitous in the
- 4 marketplace in an area to have ATMs all over the
- 5 place, but then you have a second ATM provider
- 6 that is smaller, but then says we won't charge
- 7 you any fee for accessing all those other ATMs,
- 8 rather, the large one in the marketplace. Well,
- 9 you're kind of indifferent between the two and,
- 10 so, the basis for competition is different and we
- 11 hope that that actually benefits consumers in the
- 12 long run.
- 13 Some of the current issues that we're
- 14 seeing today, in terms of hardware, we'd like to
- 15 see more point-of-sale device flexibility. Some
- 16 hardware is exclusively RFID-based in terms of
- 17 accessing that charger, some of it is more
- 18 flexible where it can accommodate credit card
- 19 swipes. I think we need to think about the
- 20 stakeholder needs here, the consumer needs, you
- 21 know, if it's an environment that requires only a
- 22 credit card transaction, rather a physical credit
- 23 card point-of-sale device, then I think we should
- 24 be able to accommodate that. It's still an early
- 25 market and, if it's a make or break kind of  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING, LLC}$

- 1 opportunity for a site host, and they don't go
- 2 with that hardware simply because it doesn't have
- 3 a credit card point-of-sale device on it, then
- 4 that's unfortunate, I think, for the
- 5 manufacturer, and unfortunate for the market. I
- 6 think we need to think about the flexibility
- 7 needed for various stakeholders.
- 8 Another interesting issue that we've seen
- 9 in the marketplace is the issue of new market
- 10 entrance among EVSPs. In many cases, if you
- 11 think about our objective of having a seamless
- 12 driving experience, if 90 percent of a market is
- 13 dominated by one EVSP, should that next charger
- 14 be on that EVSP's network? Well, you would say
- 15 yes if you were thinking about having a seamless
- 16 driving experience, but in many cases -- and this
- 17 goes back to, so I guess that flexibility we're
- 18 talking about with hardware, but new market
- 19 entrance, the hardware requirements, rather, for
- 20 accessing the charger can be different from the
- 21 market incumbents. And that's where we really
- 22 need to see interoperability. And until that's
- 23 the case, we really can't be supporting new
- 24 market entrants because it just takes away, it
- 25 detracts from the objective of a seamless

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 charging experience.
- 2 In terms of managing the charging station,
- 3 obviously our objectives here are to provide
- 4 flexibility for the site host to meet ever-
- 5 changing market conditions and stakeholder needs
- 6 and we would be pleased to see increased product
- 7 diversity. Today we do have some vertically
- 8 integrated options in terms of software and
- 9 hardware integration, and we do have an
- 10 increasingly greater mix of interchangeable
- 11 hardware with ESVP networks, most of these are
- 12 OCPP. And again, these benefits, of course, from
- 13 the station host perspective or owner, or
- 14 operator perspective, low switching costs -- as I
- 15 think Brett had mentioned -- is critical. And
- 16 this is actually a typo, it should be
- 17 "competition to attract and retain EVSE site
- 18 hosts/owners/operators," which can lead to
- 19 improved product offering and lower consumer
- 20 prices simply because of the competition to want
- 21 to have those customers on your network.
- Some of the issues that we're seeing is,
- 23 if you are a site host with multiple EVSPs, what
- 24 do you do? Do you stay with one? Do you have
- 25 multiple? How do you accommodate that? And that CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 is something we're seeing a lot in the workplace
- 2 scenario. It's great business for those
- 3 workplaces that want to continue to provide
- 4 anyway services that are on a single network, but
- 5 many hardware options are not going to be on that
- 6 network, so how can we accommodate that
- 7 stakeholder's needs, right, that workplace's
- 8 needs, and it could be any stakeholder, I'm using
- 9 the example of the workplace.
- 10 And of course, we have to think about the
- 11 incremental cost of upgrading those charging
- 12 stations that are already installed. What is it
- 13 going to cost to comply with Standard A, B, or C
- 14 for interoperability? And I think who bears
- 15 those costs, and that's another consideration.
- 16 And certainly our objective is that the driver
- 17 will not bear any of the cost of these upgrades,
- 18 but I think that's an open question about how
- 19 those costs are addressed.
- 20 And so some final thoughts here and
- 21 perhaps some thoughts for how the CEC can start
- 22 thinking about interoperability, which is how we
- 23 think of interoperability, which is we need to
- 24 think about the customer, so we support customer-
- 25 centric products and services, we're thinking

- 1 about low cost solutions, and we are evaluating
- 2 any and all options for interoperability, we're
- 3 not trying to lock ourselves into a solution that
- 4 precludes us from providing the best charging
- 5 experience for our drivers down the road. I
- 6 mean, the market is quickly developing and
- 7 changing and stakeholder needs are continuously
- 8 evolving, so we need to keep that in mind.
- 9 So with that, I look forward to an
- 10 interesting discussion today, and thank you.
- 11 [Applause]
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you, David. All our
- 13 speakers have done a great job in this first
- 14 session to keep their comments to 10 minutes; in
- 15 fact, we're ahead of schedule and if it's okay
- 16 with you, Elise, we do have another speaker who
- 17 has a hip pocket presentation ready to go in case
- 18 there is time.
- 19 MS. KEDDIE: Okay. I do encourage after
- 20 that, yeah, if that presentation is available we
- 21 can --
- MS. BAROODY: Jordan Ramer, is he here?
- 23 Oh, Jordan, are you ready?
- MS. KEDDIE: Okay, bring it up and we'll --
- MS. BAROODY: Well, the presentation is in CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 the package, so people could refer --
- 2 MS. KEDDIE: Is it in the packet?
- 3 MS. BAROODY: Well, actually that was a
- 4 docket item.
- 5 MR. RAMER: Plus I think pretty much
- 6 everything in it was said.
- 7 MS. BAROODY: So you don't need to --
- 8 okay, all right. I just thought I'd give you an
- 9 opportunity. Okay, great. Thank you.
- 10 MS. KEDDIE: I assume you want the
- 11 speakers from this first section to come and sit
- 12 here?
- MS. BAROODY: Oh, that would be a good
- 14 idea.
- 15 MS. KEDDIE: That would be the easiest way
- 16 to handle questions.
- MS. BAROODY: Sounds great. So if the
- 18 speakers would come up and then we'll ask
- 19 questions. Okay, so we have our panelists here
- 20 and we'd like to take questions from the
- 21 audience, and I'll hand you the mic when you're
- 22 ready. Okay, if you could also state your name
- 23 before you ask the question, that would be great,
- 24 and who you're asking.
- MR. HALLIWELL: My name is John Halliwell.

- 1 I work with EPRI, the Electric Power Research
- 2 Institute. I have a question for Jason. As a
- 3 consumer, I find credit cards pretty convenient,
- 4 and you mentioned they're inefficient. I was
- 5 just wondering if you would elaborate on that,
- 6 what is sort of the back story, what makes it
- 7 inefficient from the EVSP perspective?
- 8 MR. WOLF: Great question. I know a lot
- 9 of people that prefer to use credit cards, I know
- 10 a lot of people that prefer to use apps and QR
- 11 codes, I know a lot of people that can't even do
- 12 that mobile stuff, I know we're all kind of hyped
- 13 about the mobile phone. I'm not against any kind
- 14 of payment method, that's the first thing that's
- 15 important, I'm just saying when you talk about
- 16 inefficiency, there should be an option and
- 17 someone that has multiple cards and multiple, I
- 18 think you should ask the question how many people
- 19 today are using credit card versus RFID, I think
- 20 the answer will be a lot more RFID. Now, it
- 21 doesn't mean that will be the case, it might be
- 22 the right solution for a lot of people to use
- 23 credit cards. But my point is that from a cost
- 24 perspective, the fact that we're clearing all the
- 25 transactions is going to be cheaper for the CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 industry and more money stays in all the
- 2 stakeholders' hands than individual credit card
- 3 swipes. That's what I'm saying.
- 4 MR. LOWENTHAL: Could I weigh in on that a
- 5 little bit, too?
- 6 MS. BAROODY: Absolutely.
- 7 MR. LOWENTHAL: So I think something to
- 8 keep in mind, we are a subscriberless system;
- 9 Chargepoint doesn't use subscriptions. All of
- 10 our transactions go through credit cards, so it's
- 11 sort of a matter of how you get there from here.
- 12 Our drivers prefer the sort of FasTrak model
- 13 where it's lower cost to process the transactions
- 14 because the cost of electricity for most times
- 15 you plug in is 50 cents. The cost that I have to
- 16 pay VISA is 25 cents. So just kind of minimizing
- 17 that and charging the consumer only one out of 10
- 18 times, or one out of 20 times, makes a lot of
- 19 sense on these tiny transactions. We do support
- 20 people who want to use their cell phone instead
- 21 and start and stop sessions with cell phones,
- 22 that's perfectly okay with us, I think that's
- 23 probably the way it's going to go. We support
- 24 things like Google Wallet, and there just hasn't
- 25 been much action there. The credit card reader CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 itself has some problems, so when you're talking
- 2 about swiping, there's a pretty significant
- 3 maintenance issue with credit card swipers and a
- 4 very significant security issue. And it's a
- 5 little different than the gas pump because these
- 6 things are in like weird places out in the woods
- 7 or behind parking lots, so there are some issues
- 8 with swiping. We have no issues with credit
- 9 cards, ultimately every dollar that comes in
- 10 comes from a credit card.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, great. Other
- 12 questions from the audience? Right over there,
- 13 Rick Teebay.
- 14 MR. TEEBAY: Hi, Richard. I'm Rick Teebay
- 15 with L.A. County. In your presentation, you said
- 16 OCPP is a station owner benefit. Can you expand
- 17 on that? Why is it a station owner benefit
- 18 versus a system that's non-OCPP?
- 19 MR. LOWENTHAL: Sure. What's good about
- 20 OCPP is you can diversify your hardware. So we
- 21 have, I think, seven vendors that put hardware
- 22 onto the Chargepoint network, which gives the
- 23 station owner a lot of choices in what hardware,
- 24 how much they want to pay, what they'll look
- 25 like, and all that. So that's their benefit is CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 it's more competitive. All these open network
- 2 standards lead to more competition which benefits
- 3 our customer. Our customer is the station owner,
- 4 and now they have choices, so I think that's
- 5 good. I think another benefit is that they can
- 6 choose in different environments different kinds
- 7 of things; for instance, we see DC chargers on
- 8 our network, we see Level 1 chargers on our
- 9 network, we see Level 2 chargers on our network,
- 10 so all of that kind of interoperability, the
- 11 choice for our customers. We think of our
- 12 customers as the site owner, the owner of the
- 13 EVSE. So it just gives more choice.
- MR. HAUSER: I just want to ask a follow-
- 15 up question. You said you guys are connected to
- 16 seven different EVSE manufacturers; are you
- 17 connected to those using OCPP?
- MR. LOWENTHAL: Some.
- 19 MR. HAUSER: Okay.
- 20 MS. BAROODY: Okay, Jim McKinney, Energy
- 21 Commission.
- MR. MCKINNEY: Good morning. Jim McKinney
- 23 with the Energy Commission. First, I just want
- 24 to thank the panelists for this very very

- 1 dynamite panel. What other data do we have
- 2 purely from the consumer perspective? I hear
- 3 lots of different points of view from you very
- 4 important people, but do we have enough data yet
- 5 from consumers, actual users of the cars in these
- 6 various ways to pay for the electricity? I don't
- 7 know if there are academic studies or things
- 8 coming out of the vehicle side of this, but I
- 9 think David alluded to that a little bit and I
- 10 know, Rajit, as an academic you may be aware of
- 11 that. But I think, you know, for us as
- 12 Government, we'd really like to know more about
- 13 the end users here.
- MR. HAUSER: I would say that J.R. DeShazo
- 15 from the Luskin Center, your former employer, has
- 16 done a lot of studies on pricing and pricing
- 17 payment preferences with respect to the consumer.
- 18 I don't have all the specific information with
- 19 me, but I would be happy to get it for you and
- 20 connect you with J.R., he's done a lot of work in
- 21 that area.
- MR. LOWENTHAL: I can give you just a
- 23 little bit from transactions in our network. So
- 24 we have 40,000 users of our network now, 12,000
- 25 stations, and so we're gathering a fair amount of CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 data. And 97 percent of our transactions now are
- 2 using RFID tags. They have the option of using
- 3 the wireless credit cards, you know, the various
- 4 credit cards that have RFID built in, and they
- 5 have the option of using their cell phone, they
- 6 have the option of calling our support center to
- 7 start sessions, about 97 percent remain using
- 8 RFID. It's somewhat surprising because they
- 9 complain about it until they use it and swiping
- 10 the card is actually fairly convenient, so,
- 11 anyway, that's just a statistic from our network.
- 12 MR. WOLF: On our network -- no, sorry,
- 13 not "our" -- Better Place Network in Hawaii had
- 14 almost 1,000 users at the time that we sold it to
- 15 OpConnect, and we did a survey to ask them what
- 16 are their top issues with the network. The
- 17 number one issue, which frankly Better Place
- 18 didn't do, which was to display the pricing on
- 19 the stations. The number two issue that they
- 20 said is that there are other charging stations in
- 21 Hawaii, like Aerovironment and Chargepoint, they
- 22 wanted interoperability. And those were the top
- 23 two key issues and that's why we started
- 24 discussing this user roaming, not
- 25 interoperability, but the roaming ability.

- 1 PROFESSOR GADH: So, I mean, there have
- 2 been studies done including the one by GR and
- 3 UCLA and other places, but I still think that --
- 4 I don't think we have a lot of analytics on the
- 5 data that we have gathered, and I think that as
- 6 -- and this is one of the reasons why I think
- 7 that, you know, we have to do some more studies
- 8 -- as we turn the scale up on the number of
- 9 charging stations in California, and I think in
- 10 California we are probably ahead of most of the
- 11 states in the country in terms of the number of
- 12 EVSEs, and these guys are experts at that, but I
- 13 think more studies are needed specifically on
- 14 issues like, you know, when the user goes into a
- 15 garage, I mean, we talk to users and do you
- 16 prefer to swipe an RFID tag? Do you prefer to
- 17 use the mobile app? Do you prefer none of the
- 18 above? Do you prefer that your card directly
- 19 talks to the charging station? Now, we have the
- 20 SAG 1772 plug and then we have some data
- 21 protocols, but are those data protocols
- 22 sufficient? I think more can be done. So I
- 23 think that the input from some of the consumers
- 24 has let me to believe that I think that the
- 25 technology still has a way to go.

- 1 MR. LANGTON: I agree. Just to build on
- 2 that a little bit, I mean, I think -- I mean,
- 3 frankly speaking, I'll just be direct here, I
- 4 think the problems of RFID in the U.S. is based
- 5 on the lack of choices with the payment methods,
- 6 so RFID is the de facto method, so that's what
- 7 you use -- which is okay, I mean, it suits the
- 8 needs of many people, but there are lots of
- 9 options out there. So I would build on what
- 10 Rajit said, I think there's still a wide range of
- 11 options that can be made available to the
- 12 consumer at minimal or no cost to offer those,
- 13 let's say, true roaming experiences. A direct
- 14 credit card reader is one example. In Europe,
- 15 obviously credit cards are quite prevalent, but
- 16 it's also a very cash focused society and also
- 17 now direct payment by phone, so we're working on
- 18 a number of projects. Again, this is not AD
- 19 specific, this is our customers are implementing
- 20 solutions that would be pay by SMS, pay by phone,
- 21 a prepaid card so you go into a gas station,
- 22 let's say, that the charger is co-located at a
- 23 gas station, you go into the gas station and buy
- 24 a prepaid card, scratch off the card, and have a
- $\,$  25 number, and type that number in your phone, and  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 that accesses the charger remotely. So there's a
- 2 whole host of other telecom-based models, in
- 3 addition to garages, integrated payment with the
- 4 parking garage system that are still really
- 5 working across Europe, too. And I think, I mean,
- 6 as far as data I don't have a specific dataset to
- 7 provide you, but I can provide you more details
- 8 on those, as well.
- 9 MS. BAROODY: Great. Thank you. It looks
- 10 like we have another question -- oh, I think
- 11 we'll go here first. Oh, first over there.
- 12 MR. HAWKINS: Dave Hawkins, kn-Grid. We
- 13 have little microchips we put in dogs, so we can
- 14 always recover a dog; what's wrong with getting a
- 15 little microchip in each vehicle and, whether I'm
- 16 driving the vehicle and need a charger, or my
- 17 wife, or the kids, or whatever, it can be charged
- 18 as long as, you know, no one stole the car. So
- 19 we can always put up an alert if someone steals
- 20 the car and it says you can't charge it anymore,
- 21 but what's wrong with getting a little microchip
- 22 in the car?
- PROFESSOR GADH: So three years ago my lab
- 24 demonstrated that concept -- Rick, I think you
- 25 may have seen that demonstration where the car

- 1 drove in, there was an RFID meter on the ground,
- 2 and it read the tag directly to the transaction.
- 3 So technically it's feasible, but I'll tell you,
- 4 the cost of putting the readers in the parking
- 5 garage under the floor is very expensive.
- 6 MR. LOWENTHAL: If I could weigh in, too.
- 7 SA is developing a standard, they're nearly
- 8 completed on a standard where the car can
- 9 identify to the EVSE its vehicle I.D. and its
- 10 state of charge and all that, so the technology
- 11 is coming along there; we still don't know
- 12 exactly how the market is going to go, but there
- 13 are very active discussions and their standards
- 14 are being established for the car to be able to
- 15 identify itself.
- 16 MR. PETERSON: Yeah, that's true. And
- 17 we're looking at that as well from Nissan's
- 18 perspective, but again, I think that's a solution
- 19 that's down the road. I don't think that's
- 20 something that supports the drivers today.
- 21 MR. LOWENTHAL: I like the down the road
- 22 thing.
- MR. PETERSON: No pun intended. But
- 24 honestly, today, I mean, we have thousands of

- 1 drivers out there, and they need to be able to
- 2 access all these chargers; so, yes, I think we
- 3 should be looking towards more innovative
- 4 solutions, but I think we need to find low cost
- 5 solutions that meet the need of the drivers
- 6 today.
- 7 MS. BAROODY: Okay, great. Thanks. Let's
- 8 go to Matt, and then we'll go back to Rick, and
- 9 then to Paul.
- 10 MR. ZEREGA: Thanks. I agree, it's a
- 11 great panel today, so thanks, quite a broad array
- 12 of perspectives and this is great. I'd like to
- 13 go back to this question about efficiency of
- 14 credit cards. It's pretty well established what
- 15 interchange fees are and what it costs to buy a
- 16 terminal and that kind of thing, so those costs
- 17 are very well known. I think what's not well
- 18 known today is what does it cost to use this
- 19 concept of I think what ELAD refers to on their
- 20 website as the central system. For those who
- 21 know out there, what are the costs today to those
- 22 using this concept of the OCPP central system?
- 23 What are the transaction costs as a percentage
- 24 basis and fixed fee per transaction?
- 25 MR. WOLF: I think you mean the role CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 meaning clearing, not so much the OCPP network
- 2 side. You mean the ability to take Network A's
- 3 whatever access phone, tag, whatever, and use
- 4 Network B's charger, what are the fees for doing
- 5 that transaction across networks?
- 6 MR. ZEREGA: More from the EVSE site
- 7 owner/site operator's perspective. What are the
- 8 costs to use the OCPP central system or back
- 9 office?
- 10 MR. WOLF: Okay, I just wanted to clarify
- 11 the question.
- 12 MR. ZEREGA: Sure.
- MR. LANGTON: So let me weigh in here,
- 14 Brett and then -- so let me try to answer your
- 15 question. So let's put it in the context of
- 16 ABB's business model, for example. So we have a
- 17 server, a server farm, basically. We rent out
- 18 space for a server that has our OCPP client and
- 19 where, you know, the end solution of all the
- 20 API's for ABB sits; that communicates via the
- 21 Cloud to all of our chargers, so all the chargers
- 22 have a 3G modem in there. For us, that's just
- 23 the cost of doing business. So for ABB there's
- 24 no per transaction fee. So the modem is included
- 25 with the price of the equipment, including the

- 1 ongoing 3G connection, and how we monetize that,
- 2 frankly we monetize that through service level
- 3 agreements on maintenance and connect the
- 4 services for the DC Fast Charger, so it's a
- 5 little harder with the AC charger because it's
- 6 much lower cost and can bear lower maintenance
- 7 fees. But we do have bi-leveraging, up time, and
- 8 things like that. So then, where does the
- 9 transaction piece come into play? So there's no
- 10 transaction part to that, so a company like
- 11 Greenlots would come in and provide the
- 12 transaction services for that group of chargers,
- 13 and then they would be able to set the
- 14 transaction fees themselves. So that's kind of
- 15 from a backend hardware perspective we're not
- 16 passing any of that on to the consumer directly.
- 17 We use it to leverage our network connectivity
- 18 and then let the business to consumer focus
- 19 companies, you know, have a low cost solution to
- 20 do their transactions on top of.
- MS. BAROODY: Go ahead.
- MR. HAUSER: I think that's correct. Said
- 23 another way, there's no fee for using OCPP
- 24 itself, right? That's a free lights and bolt
- 25 technology. And then, to Cal's point, whether CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 it's Blink, Chargepoint, Greenlots, EV Connect,
- 2 whoever it is, they're going to set their own
- 3 pricing policies and what they're going to charge
- 4 the consumer. The good thing about OCPP is you
- 5 know that if you don't like the pricing and the
- 6 transaction fees that you're getting from one
- 7 service provider, then you can easily make a
- 8 switch keeping the same charge station intact, so
- 9 ultimately the hope is that, because you have
- 10 that flexibility, those network management
- 11 solution providers will actually be very
- 12 competitive in their features and their pricing,
- 13 and then that will help to push prices down as
- 14 innovation becomes important in order to maintain
- 15 your customer base.
- 16 MR. LOWENTHAL: Now I have to weigh in.
- 17 We have to keep balance. Most of the fees are
- 18 set by our station owners, so the price for
- 19 charging, the price per kilowatt hour, the price
- 20 per time, all of that is set by our station
- 21 owners. We don't do that. We collect a small
- 22 percentage of fees when they charge the consumer
- 23 for charging the car because we have to clear
- 24 with VISA or whoever, and we have to cover our
- 25 cost of doing that. But the lion share is set CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 by, you know, if I charge in Los Gatos now, it's
- 2 \$3.00 a session, and if I charge in Cupertino,
- 3 it's \$1.50 an hour; all of that is set by our
- 4 hosts.
- 5 MR. HAUSER: Right, but just to clarify,
- 6 Chargepoint might be taking a percentage for
- 7 whatever business they need to do, so the
- 8 clearing, but another company, a network
- 9 management solution, might not be charging
- 10 anything. The site host does have the ability to
- 11 set the pricing, but the cost that that consumer
- 12 is being charged for using that service varies
- 13 depending on the network service provider they're
- 14 using.
- 15 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. Rick, I think
- 16 you have another question, and then we'll go to
- 17 Paul here.
- MR. TEEBAY: I'm thinking about the
- 19 roaming charge and I know in Europe, Cal, I don't
- 20 believe they have roaming charges. And I'm just
- 21 -- the number I've seen is \$2.00 for a roaming
- 22 charge for a session and I'm thinking, you know,
- 23 it would probably be cheaper to use a contactless
- 24 credit card and pay the transaction fee than it
- 25 would be for me to pay the \$2.00 roaming fee.

- 1 Any comments on that?
- 2 MR. WOLF: Yeah, no, it's not \$2.00, maybe
- 3 you saw \$2.00 which is the average price of a
- 4 roaming session today. Out of the hundreds of
- 5 thousands of roaming sessions, the average price
- 6 a consumer pays today is \$2.00. Out of that
- 7 \$2.00, basically it's the site host's decision if
- 8 they want to swallow the fee to clear an entity,
- 9 or they can pass it on to the driver, it's the
- 10 same as a shop with a credit card, they can say,
- 11 "I don't accept credit cards because I don't want
- 12 to pay the three or four percent, " or whatever.
- 13 But that \$2.00 refers to the total cost today on
- 14 average roaming fees. Out of that, if you're
- 15 going -- I can talk about Collaboratev, people
- 16 know the credit card gateways and interchange and
- 17 all those fees that add up -- Collaboratev is
- 18 charging \$.25 on that transaction plus seven
- 19 percent of a transaction. So a lot of these
- 20 roaming charges are free, but there's still a
- 21 service provided by Collaboratev and we charge a
- 22 base price of \$.25 per charge, so there's nothing
- 23 close to \$2.00 anywhere for a roaming charge fee.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. Let's go to
- 25 Paul.

- 1 MR. STITH: Paul Stith, Plug in America.
- 2 And actually, Richard, it's going to be your
- 3 question today.
- 4 MR. LOWENTHAL: Great.
- 5 MR. STITH: So I am a technologist, so I
- 6 know all the back office kinds of goodies and
- 7 OC's this and that's, but my question is the
- 8 consumer side. I didn't know you had any OCPP
- 9 compatible stations. Are there any in the
- 10 California market? And then the second follow-on
- 11 to it is, as a consumer when I go to charge at
- 12 that station, does it look any different to the
- 13 consumer to be at a proprietary version station
- 14 versus an OCPP-enabled one?
- 15 MR. LOWENTHAL: So we don't have them in
- 16 California yet, we don't have it available to us
- 17 yet at a UL approved OCPP compatible station; in
- 18 Europe we have OCPP stations. Part of the issue
- 19 is the current level of OCPP 1.2 doesn't support
- 20 all the services that we like to offer drivers,
- 21 so that you will see a difference. You know, you
- 22 can't do a payment system at the moment with the
- 23 released version of OCPP; so, yes, you may see
- 24 some differences in functionality. You know, we
- 25 started shipping network stations in 2008, OCPP

- 1 is catching up quickly, and I think we'll see
- 2 more functionality shift that way and us to be
- 3 able to support all services on OCPP stations.
- 4 So we embrace that, we're just waiting for
- 5 stations that are both UL approved and have the
- 6 breadth of functionality that consumers need.
- 7 MR. STITH: And then one more that goes
- 8 more to the nuts and bolts and technology, and
- 9 anyone to answer, the different between OCPP as a
- 10 Cloud technology, or device technology when
- 11 you're talking of communication? Anyone want to
- 12 take on the differences about how those things
- 13 look to the consumer?
- 14 MR. LOWENTHAL: I think the discussion,
- 15 Paul, so far -- and happy if other people want to
- 16 weigh in -- have to do with standards and
- 17 openness, so I think we're less focused right now
- 18 on the functionality you get, but actually
- 19 spreading that functionality to more places and
- 20 creating more flexibility, so at least we see
- 21 OCPP as a clear open standard to hardware and
- 22 less about its implications on the functionality,
- 23 except as where its current development might
- 24 limit the functionality, which we have now today
- 25 between 1.2 and 2.0.

- 1 PROFESSOR GADH: I just want to add one
- 2 more little -- since the discussion about
- 3 standards. There are other standards also that
- 4 are, for example, Smart Energy Profile 1.0, and
- 5 so basically you can convey a lot of energy
- 6 information and, you know, SEP 1.0 -- working on
- 7 top of the previous ITRIP 15.4 protocol, and now
- 8 you have SEP 2.0 that works on WiFi, it's just --
- 9 it's application of a protocol, so there are a
- 10 lot of other standards that are already out there
- 11 and if you want to support standards, you should
- 12 look at, for example, SEP 2.0 also, I think.
- 13 MR. STITH: Thank you.
- MR. CHERKAOUI: My name is Abdellah
- 15 Cherkaoui. I would like to just point out maybe
- 16 really a bit of comment. I know your Europe
- 17 pretty well, I've been working there and I
- 18 represent Hubject, which is a company doing
- 19 exactly the same thing Collaboratev is doing, but
- 20 in Europe. Just about OCPP, I hear a bunch of
- 21 different things and I would like to clarify just
- 22 one single point, is that OCPP is just a
- 23 language, it is just a protocol, a communication
- 24 protocol between hardware and software and it
- 25 allows the exchange of data. So the various CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 companies provide software, various companies
- 2 provide hardware, and it just happens to be one
- 3 of the first ones that was open source. I hear a
- 4 lot of things about standards, Standards 2.0,
- 5 Tech Time, I don't think there is any single
- 6 standards around OCPP except that it is openly
- 7 available today, and it is being used and
- 8 provided into standard, but here in the U.S. and
- 9 in Europe, so that it can be managed. We heard
- 10 about stranded assets, and a standard can be a
- 11 stranded asset, as well, if it's not managed in a
- 12 sustainable manner. And last, about costs;
- 13 different companies have to recoup their costs in
- 14 different ways, but ultimately, just to bring
- 15 back the last point about efficiency, if we want
- 16 to get drivers to adopt and to accelerate the
- 17 uptick of driving, it has to focus on the
- 18 convenience to EV drivers, that's what they
- 19 demand mostly, is that they are able to access,
- 20 to know where EV charging is available, and
- 21 according to their preference, the preference of
- 22 their car, the preference of payment, and most
- 23 importantly, the preference of where they park.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, thank you. All great
- 25 questions. Anybody else? We have time for maybe CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 one or two more. Okay, go ahead.
- 2 MR. BOYCE: David, you kind of talked
- 3 about point of sale as one of the metrics you had
- 4 up there, and one of the things I think about is
- 5 how to make this stuff as friendly and easy to
- 6 customers. And one of the comments I've had
- 7 anecdotally from a lot of our customers is, in
- 8 reality, a lot more interest in point of sale and
- 9 in things like, "If I have to go out and get an
- 10 RFID card, that can be another barrier." And you
- 11 know, using the same credit card systems that
- 12 they're used to, at least in my mind, and I'm
- 13 making more of a comment here, is much more
- 14 familiar to the average customer, not your
- 15 earlier adopters. And how much work have you
- 16 done in trying to make it as easy and convenient
- 17 to what they already know and can, I'll just say,
- 18 be comfortable with?
- 19 MR. PETERSON: Well, I think we're doing a
- 20 lot. We're working with various suppliers on
- 21 trying to identify -- basically build in the
- 22 flexibility that I think we need. And you speak
- 23 of the average driver; I mean, I think what we're
- 24 looking at is, you know, very context-based
- 25 situations. For example, you go to a work place CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 and workplace charging is one of our big
- 2 objectives this fiscal year for us, and that work
- 3 place has a closed network, and it has a bunch of
- 4 chargers already on a certain network. It's the
- 5 employer there that's controlling that situation.
- 6 I think if you're talking about general public
- 7 charging, I think we have to think about what
- 8 supports the business models that are in that
- 9 public realm. For example, if it's an amenity
- 10 model that's going to be supported, right, where
- 11 charging is being supported for free, no cost to
- 12 consumers, but access is important, so being able
- 13 to recognize that it's the customer that is going
- 14 to be a customer of Walgreens or something like
- 15 that. I think it's very nuanced. I think it's
- 16 easy to say, yes, we want credit card swipes
- 17 everywhere, and I think we have to look at the
- 18 various stakeholder needs that would maximize
- 19 benefits for everybody. But certainly for what
- 20 you're considering, average, I mean, yes, I think
- 21 everyone uses a credit card, it's easy, but let's
- 22 look at it at a more nuanced level and find the
- 23 right solutions that work for everyone.
- MR. LOWENTHAL: Could I weigh in on this?
- MS. BAROODY: Please.

- 1 MR. LOWENTHAL: So I think there are two
- 2 aspects to that, Bill -- and certainly we've had
- 3 wonderful debates inside of our company about
- 4 magnetic stripe readers -- one is there is a cost
- 5 value trade-off and the consumer also doesn't
- 6 like to pay more, so with a point of sale device
- 7 that maybe has \$250.00 worth of revenue a year,
- 8 you have a different economic than you do with a
- 9 gas pump that has \$250.00 an hour, so to the
- 10 extent you tax him for hardware that you've put
- 11 on there, the consumer doesn't like that either.
- 12 So we have to trade off a little bit of
- 13 functionality versus cost in all of these things.
- 14 But the other thing is the credit card
- 15 transaction is always anonymous, so if they want
- 16 reservation, or if they want to know when their
- 17 car has been unplugged, or if they want to know
- 18 when their car is fully charged, then they don't
- 19 want anonymity. And it brings up a broader
- 20 topic: charging cars is different than fueling
- 21 them with gasoline; because it takes so long, it
- 22 has a more intimate relationship for the driver,
- 23 the driver is more connected to his car when it's
- 24 fueling, rather than standing next to it for 90

- 1 reservations, things like notifications of when
- 2 it's busy. We're starting to do a thing now
- 3 where we notify -- in congested workplaces, we
- 4 notify the next driver that a station is
- 5 available to improve the asset utilization at a
- 6 workplace, right? This guy is finished, the next
- 7 guy wants to charge there, so we have to know
- 8 that guy so we can tell him, "Hey, there's a
- 9 station now available." So it's a new
- 10 functionality we're giving that, if you go to the
- 11 anonymous transaction, which is what the credit
- 12 card swipe is, you can't do those kind of things.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, great. Thank you. I
- 14 think we'll hold any questions until a little bit
- 15 later. So we're going to move on now to our next
- 16 group of speakers. Thank you very much for
- 17 answering all those questions. [Applause]
- 18 So is Mike Tinskey here? Oh, there he is.
- 19 We have Mike Tinskey here. He is Director of
- 20 Global Vehicle Electrification and Infrastructure
- 21 with Ford Motor Company. Welcome.
- MR. TINSKEY: Thank you very much for
- 23 having me. All right, thank you very much, Air
- 24 Resources Board, Energy Commission, Governor's
- 25 Office, for having Ford here today. Maybe I'll

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 start off with a funny story, a true story, from
- 2 last week on interoperability. Our company
- 3 helped along with other companies, sponsored a
- 4 retrofit of low cost lighting, LED lighting, and
- 5 charge stations at our local library, Dearborn
- 6 Library in Michigan. And as part of the ceremony
- 7 which was last Friday, I asked one of my team to
- 8 go take our vehicle down and check out the charge
- 9 station, make sure it works. And so he drives
- 10 down -- just to paint a picture, this is a turn
- 11 of the Century beautiful building, 7:00 a.m., he
- 12 drives in, the charge station freshly installed,
- 13 nobody around, plugs in the vehicle, and sure
- 14 enough he plugs it in and he hears somebody say,
- 15 "Nice car. Does it work?" And there's nobody
- 16 around this library. Once again, the question
- 17 comes back, "Does it work?" And so he couldn't
- 18 find anybody, he didn't even know where it was
- 19 coming from, so he drove back to the office which
- 20 was only a half a mile away, and is just baffled,
- 21 and he said, "Somebody was asking me how it
- 22 worked and there was nobody around." So he
- 23 thinks there's some higher power that's asking
- 24 about interoperability. The story revealed
- 25 itself on Friday, the electrician came up to me CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 during the event and said, "I was up in a bucket
- 2 truck and installing the lights, and your
- 3 engineer didn't say anything back to me." And I
- 4 said, "Well, I think you had a bigger impact on
- 5 him than you think." So anyways, a story on
- 6 interoperability. Anyway, thanks for having me.
- 7 Mike Tinskey from Ford Motor Company.
- 8 Just to give you a flavor of our products,
- 9 we do have six, there's five shown here, we have
- 10 a Lincoln MKZ that we all call electrified
- 11 vehicles, three of them are plug-ins, three of
- 12 them are hybrids. The reason why we always show
- 13 them all is because our hybrids are very similar
- 14 to our plug-in hybrids. In fact, the battery is
- 15 about the only major difference, so every hybrid
- 16 that we sell helps our cost equation for our
- 17 plug-in hybrids, so the C-MAX Energi, which is
- 18 the plug-in is very similar to the C-MAX hybrid,
- 19 etc. So we always like to ground everybody that
- 20 we're really focused on cost and this is one way
- 21 to get cost out.
- 22 And we're doing well. The whole industry
- 23 is doing well. I know it was mentioned earlier
- 24 about, you know, in terms of we have to celebrate
- 25 our successes. And I think that this is a proved CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 point. So what we like to say, what took the
- 2 first eight years took two percent of market
- 3 share only took 12 months to get the second two
- 4 percent market share. So in October of 2011, we
- 5 hit two percent hybrid sales, and the industry
- 6 hit the same milestone. And then in October of
- 7 2012, the industry hit just about four percent.
- 8 So once again we're seeing some good progress.
- 9 But to cut right to -- and once again,
- 10 thank you for asking for our opinion, this is our
- 11 point of view -- we see the growth, it's a key
- 12 part of our long term strategy. We do think
- 13 there's challenges that currently exist in the
- 14 fast charging protocols, hardware inter-
- 15 operability, and dynamic data. But this is one
- 16 thing that I was really happy to hear on the
- 17 panel they mentioned today is that we really need
- 18 to think about cost.
- 19 As you probably know, Ford is bullish in
- 20 the near term on plug-in hybrids, and when we
- 21 talk about all the frameworks we're talking about
- 22 today, think about that customer that's driving a
- 23 plug-in hybrid and the decisions they have to
- 24 make when they charge. They could charge at a
- 25 fuel pump, or they could charge at a charge CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 station, and I think it's upon us and the people
- 2 in this room to really think about them as a real
- 3 potential customer and keep the cost down so they
- 4 do charge using the charge station, rather than
- 5 use more Petrol. So we tend to focus on battery
- 6 electrics when we have these conversations, but I
- 7 encourage us all to also think about plug-in
- 8 hybrids as another potential customer.
- 9 So what do we advocate? Our list, first,
- 10 is we do support public infrastructure. We are
- 11 one of the companies that is supporting the DC
- 12 Combo fast charge arrangement, along with seven
- 13 other automotive OEMs, and we do encourage all
- 14 installation or infrastructure to be connected.
- 15 I know that that's a bit of a contentious item,
- 16 but we know that all the things we're talking
- 17 about in this room, one of the real requirements
- 18 is that we have to have that network capability
- 19 so that we can have more interoperability.
- 20 Number two is workplace charging
- 21 solutions. For us, this one is really important
- 22 because we're a big fleet company, we sell about
- 23 13 percent of our products to fleet, and when you
- 24 sell a plug-in hybrid to a large company, the way
- 25 to increase the number of electric miles is

- 1 really to get that second charging event and
- 2 workplace is a great place to do it.
- 3 Number three for us is hardware
- 4 interoperability. We've run into a few snags
- 5 relative to a charge station not working with our
- 6 vehicles; it's been more than one manufacturer in
- 7 terms of EVSE manufacturers. So this one is
- 8 really important to make sure that any new
- 9 product that is coming out, both physically works
- 10 and electronically is compatible with our
- 11 vehicles. And this is an industry issue, it's
- 12 not just a Ford issue, it's all product.
- Number four, we do think that customers
- 14 are going to need to do -- that we have been
- 15 getting feedback from our focus groups that
- 16 customers do want to use multiple networks, and
- 17 that has been a bit of a challenge. I'm not
- 18 saying that we need to have full interoperability
- 19 today. I think, as Cal mentioned, the 454 bill
- 20 addresses some of the near term options there,
- 21 but we definitely support it in the long term.
- Number five is really about this low cost
- 23 structure, but also how do we communicate -- and
- 24 I'll show you a graph later -- how do you
- 25 communicate where those public charge stations

- 1 are and their availability, and do it such that
- 2 the industry does not bear a lot of cost and
- 3 inefficiencies? And I'll tell you more about
- 4 that when I show you the graph.
- 5 And then finally is the interoperability,
- 6 which has been a lot of the conversation we
- 7 talked about today, and how do you do roaming and
- 8 payments, etc. So that is our prioritized list.
- 9 I'll show you a real quick just a little bit of
- 10 data that's coming off our vehicles.
- 11 We're selling quite well. The fact that I
- 12 like to say is that every 10 days Ford customers
- 13 drive another million all-electric miles, and so
- 14 right now we're running close to 16 million, this
- 15 is from a few weeks ago. The five million miles
- 16 is actually generated from -- it should say zero
- 17 carbon sources, so it's nuclear plus renewable.
- 18 So we take the customers' Zip Codes, we find out
- 19 where they charge, what the energy generation mix
- 20 looks like, and you can see that there's a good
- 21 amount of miles that are using no -- generating
- 22 no greenhouse gases.
- We're also finding that our drivers are
- 24 getting smarter at their ability to get more
- 25 miles of every charge happening, so this is from

- 1 the day they've activated their vehicle to now,
- 2 so it's all referenced to experience level, how
- 3 many of the trips they're getting on pure
- 4 electric. So you can see there's a trend over
- 5 time that the longer they own their vehicle, the
- 6 more miles they're getting out of it
- 7 electrically. So they could be figuring out how
- 8 to drive better, they could be charging more
- 9 often, we're still investigating the opportunity
- 10 there.
- 11 On average, about six times a week they're
- 12 charging, no surprise here. By the way, this is
- 13 our energy driver, so these are two plug-ins, our
- 14 C-MAX Energi and our Fusion Energi. And they're
- 15 charging about six times per week. And you'll
- 16 see there's an opportunity here because right now
- 17 they're charging about, well, I didn't show the
- 18 graph, but 75 percent of their trips are in all-
- 19 electric mode. Now, that's impressive because
- 20 three out of every four trips are all-electric.
- 21 Now, that can be better, workplace charging I
- 22 think would take that number up, but to get three
- 23 out of four what we're finding, if I showed you
- 24 all the data, is that the customers are actually
- 25 using these plug-in hybrids, so during the week CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 they generally function like a BEV, and then on
- 2 weekends they're generally functioning like an
- 3 electric hybrid and they're going to the wine
- 4 country, or wherever. And so we're seeing
- 5 opportunity for workplace charging there.
- 6 To give you a sense of where we're
- 7 charging, this is a heat map, you can see that
- 8 this past week our customers charged about 8,300
- 9 times in California alone.
- 10 Well, how are they charging? Well, most
- 11 of them are charging using a regular plug at
- 12 their home, 70 percent of those customers are
- 13 doing it that way. We'd like to see that number
- 14 go up because we think we can get more electric
- 15 miles the more customers using Level 2.
- And then maybe just to carve a little
- 17 deeper into the specific issue I referenced at
- 18 the beginning, so we have an app called My Ford
- 19 Mobile and this app allows the customer to map
- 20 where they want to go and it shows all the charge
- 21 stations along the way. All of the OEMs, all of
- 22 the automotive OEMs, are I think facing a similar
- 23 challenge, that you have these multiple networks
- 24 and we want to show all of those shared charge
- 25 stations and we actually want to show all the  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING\ }, LLC$

- 1 dynamic data, we want to say is it in use, not in
- 2 use, etc. And what's happening today, I'll give
- 3 you the Ford example, we essentially have to take
- 4 the API from every one of the networks and then
- 5 incorporate that into My Ford Mobile, roughly
- 6 about a \$50,000 cost to our company per API. So
- 7 if you take that number and, say there was 12
- 8 network providers, you can see that every
- 9 automotive OEM, assuming they have the same cost
- 10 structure, is bearing those type of costs to
- 11 integrate those charge stations into their app or
- 12 into their vehicle.
- So what we propose is to have an
- 14 aggregator. Now, in our case right now, we're
- 15 using an aggregator that exists today that's
- 16 called PlugShare, they're a California based
- 17 company. But essentially what they helped us do
- 18 is they bring in all that information to the one
- 19 spot and then we only have to integrate one API
- 20 into our vehicle.
- Now, you could change who this aggregator
- 22 is, you can change the framework a bit, but we
- 23 really think it's important because then all of
- 24 the automotive OEMs only have to do that once and
- 25 have a standard way of interfacing with all the

- 1 charge stations. So we think this is an
- 2 important framework as we think through some of
- 3 these interoperability challenges.
- 4 So I'll just close with the same points,
- 5 it's the same slide that I showed you earlier, I
- 6 just think I'll go back to the main point, two
- 7 points, one is cost is key both in terms of
- 8 product and interoperability, and don't forget
- 9 about the plug-in customers as being potential
- 10 charge station customers because I think they are
- 11 definitely out there in volume. So thank you
- 12 very much for having me. [Applause]
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Mike. Appreciate
- 14 that. Next up we have Matt Zerega with SDG&E.
- 15 Welcome, Matt.
- 16 MR. ZEREGA: Again, Matt Zerega. Just a
- 17 little bit about me; I've been an energy
- 18 professional for about 11 years. I am a former
- 19 IT professional. I like to call myself a
- 20 recovering IT professional. So I have an energy
- 21 view on things, I have a technical view on
- 22 things, my current role really is mostly
- 23 economics -- the numbers, the financial math. So
- 24 I just am telling you that so you know where I'm
- 25 coming from.

- 1 And I would try to think up a funny story,
- 2 but I don't have one. I have an example, though,
- 3 of something similar to what was talked about in
- 4 some prior presentations and that is I have an
- 5 example of an interoperable system that is easy
- 6 for customers to use, we have it at our
- 7 headquarters in San Diego at San Diego Gas and
- 8 Electric headquarters, and it's basically a
- 9 system where we have several different EVSE
- 10 represented and one place where employees go to
- 11 charge and they go up there with a pin number if
- 12 there's no RFED card, there's no credit card,
- 13 they go up with a pin number and they enter it in
- 14 and it identifies them as a unique individual,
- 15 and then the system records the use through a
- 16 variety of different charging equipment. And
- 17 then we build them internally; that was something
- 18 that we chose to do. Anyway, there has been a
- 19 lot of interesting learning in the development of
- 20 that system, implementation of it, and then again
- 21 looking at the data.
- I think the thing I want to emphasize here
- 23 ties to what David was talking about from Nissan,
- 24 and that is this seamless easy experience, this
- 25 is something that's really important and I think

- 1 you're going to hopefully see that in this
- 2 presentation because that's what I'm really
- 3 focused on, in addition to the express scope of
- 4 this session.
- 5 So this is the workshop scope, I'm putting
- 6 it up here just to acknowledge that, you know, I
- 7 read Leslie's document and so, you know, what
- 8 should the CEC do to support interoperability,
- 9 this thing in the red box there, I think, gets to
- 10 what David was talking about and the scope of
- 11 this session, which is the user, the customer,
- 12 that there was the concept of one credential
- 13 listed in the prep document, location and
- 14 reservation, changing networks without replacing
- 15 or retrofitting EVSE, all the things that have
- 16 been in the presentations, I'll talk to those
- 17 things, too. I'll also quickly cover the other
- 18 questions that were in the prep doc about the
- 19 availability of data and state priorities and
- 20 payment methods.
- 21 So with regard to evaluation criteria,
- 22 these are things that we think these are kinds of
- 23 evaluation criteria that should be put forth on
- 24 the proposal of any new kind of system. You
- 25 know, those that work, has it been proven to CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 work? Is it widely used? Security, privacy,
- 2 fraud protection, these are important things.
- 3 Those are often forgotten, especially when we're
- 4 trying to cut costs, but obviously we know how
- 5 important those things are and, you know, the
- 6 other sort of things that are easy to forget as
- 7 far as disputes and reconciliation, that's an
- 8 important thing, too. We think that's something
- 9 that needs to have been thought through as far as
- 10 how it's handled. And then this next point here
- 11 gets to why I was telling you I'm a former IT
- 12 professional, is because I used to be the guy in
- 13 the room talking about enterprise, service buses,
- 14 and common object request broker architecture,
- 15 and the business people in the room are saying,
- 16 you know, "Can we stop using terms and talk about
- 17 the problems we're trying to solve and how we're
- 18 going to solve them?" And before we think about
- 19 creating new systems, naturally we should look at
- 20 what we have already and how we might be able to
- 21 apply the things we already have to solve the
- 22 problems that we're trying to solve at the least
- 23 cost.
- 24 And again, that last bullet there just
- 25 again touches on this whole thing about focusing

- 1 on the customer. And here we kind of have two
- 2 levels of customers, we have the EVSE
- 3 owner/operator and then the more important one,
- 4 which is the person driving the car and so that's
- 5 really important.
- 6 We looked around, given this criteria, and
- 7 looked for the example and it's the example
- 8 that's already been talked about today, and that
- 9 is the credit card system, and we just sort of
- 10 looked at that and said, you know, what does that
- 11 look like? And it looks like the 17,000
- 12 financial institutions, again, back to the
- 13 question I was asking when I was in the audience,
- 14 a pretty well established fee structure,
- 15 everybody knows what it is, one and a half to two
- 16 percent of the transaction, 10 to 20 cents per
- 17 transaction. These are common fee structures,
- 18 very accessible to customers as far as the
- 19 owner/operator at the station. And again, I
- 20 acknowledged it's that station owner/operator's
- 21 option as to whether or not they decide to pass
- 22 those on to the customer, but overall that's
- 23 good, that fee structure is good because it's a
- 24 relatively small amount of money that that
- 25 station owner has to decide whether or not they CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 want to pass on. Equipment is relatively
- 2 inexpensive, as little as \$10.00. You've all
- 3 probably seen the little readers that are on some
- 4 of the iPhones that are used in a lot of retail
- 5 stores now. So equipment, there's a variety of
- 6 different equipment, but generally speaking you
- 7 can get at it pretty inexpensively.
- 8 And the network is vast, it currently
- 9 processes a whole lot of transactions, and
- 10 there's already Government regulation, there's
- 11 standards, there's specifications that have been
- 12 applied and thought about for quite a few
- 13 decades.
- 14 And then this is just an example of what
- 15 accompanies that credit card system, this is just
- 16 an example of the customer benefit as far as
- 17 making sure that customers have issues with a
- 18 particular provider of a credit card, that they
- 19 have a place to express their concern, and then
- 20 there's an organization behind the scenes that
- 21 then tracks that concern all the way through to
- 22 resolution. So this is a good thing.
- 23 And then on this topic of sort of locating
- 24 and reserving, let's talk about location first.

- 1 we have today, this is just a little screen shot
- 2 from the DOE's website that has all of the
- 3 publicly accessible stations available today and
- 4 there's a little function there that says, you
- 5 know, plan a route, find stations -- I went on
- 6 there and clicked on the find stations and then I
- 7 looked for the one nearest here and I found the
- 8 one that's in the little box there in the public
- 9 garage, and you can get details on that.
- 10 The other thing I want to point to is up
- 11 there in the upper right you see a little thing
- 12 that says "Developer Tools," so printing any
- 13 stuff, right, the DOE is making this data and the
- 14 interaction with the system behind it, they're
- 15 opening that up in kind of a potentially
- 16 interoperable way, right? You can now use that
- 17 data. So, again, this is another important thing
- 18 to look at, you know, do we want to enhance this?
- 19 Or do we want to duplicate it? Do we want to
- 20 create something else?
- Okay, so on our reservations, sort of the
- 22 thoughts that we had is just thinking about
- 23 reservations and whether or not that should be a
- 24 criteria potentially for grant recipients is
- 25 thinking it through and, if you kind of do this  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING, LLC}$

- 1 on an informal basis and you talk to people about
- 2 reservations and how that might work, you quickly
- 3 discover it's pretty problematic, or it can be,
- 4 it's challenging, it's a very challenging topic.
- 5 And I think what I'm trying to sort of
- 6 communicate here with this slide is that before
- 7 we kind of make that a requirement, I think it's
- 8 probably more important for us to decide
- 9 collectively what does a reservation system that
- 10 works in our opinion, what does that look like?
- 11 How exactly does that work? Technical people in
- 12 this world call that sort of use cases, sort of
- 13 describing the scenarios, you know, and we can do
- 14 that and we should do that, describe those
- 15 scenarios in a way that we're comfortable with
- 16 before we enforce that requirement.
- 17 And then on this topic of being able to
- 18 change networks easily with low cost, this is
- 19 just an example of -- it's a very small selection
- 20 of the variety of equipment that's available out
- 21 there to facilitate a credit card transaction.
- 22 You can see the prices are kind of all over the
- 23 place, they can go higher than that. There's a
- 24 variety of different features. And in one case,
- 25 actually, and I don't think they're necessarily CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 doing a very good job of letting people know that
- 2 it's out there, but Eaton is already integrated
- 3 with -- it's an NFC, or Near Field
- 4 Communications, so no touch credit card reader
- 5 and magstripe, and Eaton has that option, it
- 6 allows anyone to use the stations and it's up to
- 7 the station owner to decide the feed structure
- 8 and it's up to that station owner to decide
- 9 whether or not they want to pass the interchange
- 10 fees on to the customer, so this is again just a
- 11 couple of good examples of how easy it is to get
- 12 a hold of equipment.
- 13 And then another example of a customer
- 14 benefit, this is just one of many websites that
- 15 are out there on the Internet where someone, a
- 16 site owner/operator, can shop for a new provider
- 17 if they don't like their particular credit card
- 18 company that they're working with, or the
- 19 interchange arrangement they have through the
- 20 bank, they can go here and they can shop. And
- 21 they can shop based on customer ratings, or fees,
- 22 or equipment costs, that kind of thing. So
- 23 again, a great resource that's available to us
- 24 today. And so boiling it all down to
- 25 recommendations, really kind of the way we look CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 at it is that we just think strongly that before
- 2 we develop new things, we should fully consider
- 3 the systems that we have in place today, the
- 4 methods that we have in place, all of the
- 5 different standards that -- some of them are
- 6 global, in fact -- just to look at those and see
- 7 how we can leverage them. And we also really
- 8 like the whole spirit of the show, the cost to
- 9 charge to the customer at the point of sale
- 10 before they charge, that's a very good thing as
- 11 far as just everybody wants to know what they're
- 12 paying before they buy it, that's good. And
- 13 there was a specific question about data
- 14 availability for state funded equipment, and we
- 15 feel pretty strongly, especially after looking at
- 16 fairly recent funded projects that getting at
- 17 that data, the data is very valuable to a lot of
- 18 people -- policy makers, other business people,
- 19 you know, taxpayer funded accessibility to some
- 20 of the data has been challenging, and we think
- 21 that any further efforts should publish all data
- 22 just to obfuscate the users. And then, well,
- 23 that's it. I'll close on that. Thank you.
- 24 [Applause]
- 25 MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Matt. Adam

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Langton, you've got to load your presentation, I
- 2 think. Adam Langton is Senior Staff Analyst with
- 3 the California Public Utilities Commission. By
- 4 the way, Matt's presentation is not in your
- 5 package, but it is posted online.
- 6 MR. LANGTON: Sorry about the delay, we're
- 7 trying to pull up my slides here. All right,
- 8 there we go. All right, sorry about that. My
- 9 name is Adam Langton. I'm an Analyst with
- 10 California Public Utilities Commission and I'm
- 11 going to give you a little background on what we
- 12 think the interoperability issues are, talk a
- 13 little bit about what CPUC's role in
- 14 interoperability is, and then sort of specific
- 15 examples of how we've had to deal with
- 16 interoperability issues on the Smart Grid side
- 17 and kind of some general kind of broad principles
- 18 that we've had to use as we approach
- 19 interoperability.
- 20 Interoperability is just -- it's a fancy
- 21 word for how to get two different things to
- 22 communicate to each other. And when you need to
- 23 solve an interoperability problem, you usually
- 24 come up with a Standard. And you apply that
- 25 Standard to make sure that you can communicate, CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 exchange data, make transactions. So at this
- 2 meeting right now, we're using -- we had to deal
- 3 with an interoperability problem, we had to
- 4 figure out how we're going to be able to
- 5 communicate with one another, and the standard
- 6 that we're using is English, and not only
- 7 English, but we're using spoken English to
- 8 communicate. So if you came here and you were
- 9 going to use English and you were going to send
- 10 us text messages, that wouldn't work. We didn't
- 11 dictate that as a standard, but it's kind of an
- 12 understood standard that evolved over time that
- 13 we use. And furthermore, if you're taking notes
- 14 right now, we don't require that you use English,
- 15 you can use whatever standard you want to use,
- 16 there's not an interoperability issue there, so
- 17 we don't put a requirement on that.
- In terms of interoperability with a
- 19 charging station, there's kind of three
- 20 categories that I'm listing here, but I think
- 21 there's also a fourth category that I
- 22 intentionally didn't put on here. The first form
- 23 that everyone is familiar with is how we get the
- 24 vehicle to interoperate with the charging station
- 25 from a physical standpoint, and that's where a CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 couple of our standards come into play, the
- 2 J1772, CHAdeMO, SAE Combo Standard, those are all
- 3 standards that allow the vehicle to interact and
- 4 interoperate with the charging station.
- 5 Software interoperability came up a number
- 6 of times here, it's how the software can
- 7 interoperate with the hardware, and there's been
- 8 OCPP and other examples of different ways to
- 9 create that interoperability.
- 10 The third category that I've identified
- 11 here is billing interoperability and we talked a
- 12 lot about that already; cash is a form of billing
- 13 interoperability, credit cards, subscriptions,
- 14 roaming and networking are operability, are all
- 15 different ways that we could enable
- 16 interoperability between a user to pay for the
- 17 service that they want to use.
- 18 A fourth category of this is a little
- 19 more general, broader, it's the communication
- 20 that we want to enable between the different
- 21 entities involved, and I didn't put that on here
- 22 because we haven't really defined exactly what
- 23 communication we need to take place, to make
- 24 these transactions take place. If you are trying
- 25 to enable a Demand Response transaction, or if CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 you're trying to enable different kind of grid
- 2 services from a charging station to the grid,
- 3 there's a lot of different players that could be
- 4 involved in that. You have the driver, you have
- 5 the vehicle, you have the charging station, you
- 6 have the facility, you potentially have the
- 7 utility, and you potentially have the wholesale
- 8 market. So all those different entities could be
- 9 involved in those kind of transactions. So to
- 10 figure out what kind of interoperability we want
- 11 to enable there, we'd need to first make a list
- 12 of what kind of transactions that we want to
- 13 enable, and once we figure that out, then we
- 14 could start to say, okay, now that we understand
- 15 those different types of activities, those used
- 16 cases, as Mark mentioned, then we'd say, "Okay,
- 17 well where are the interoperability issues?" So
- 18 we wanted to find that first and we don't know
- 19 what all those different communication activities
- 20 or all those different activities are going to
- 21 be, and we don't know exactly who is going to be
- 22 involved in that yet, whether the utility is
- 23 going to be involved in it, whether the wholesale
- 24 market is going to be involved, and then we still
- 25 need to sort that out because, when we sort that

- 1 out, it's going to determine who, whether you
- 2 need the charging station involved, whether a
- 3 network is involved, and things like that. So
- 4 that's a key step that we need to take to figure
- 5 out what are the totality of the interoperability
- 6 issues.
- 7 So what is CPUC's authority here? CPUC
- 8 regulates investor-owned utilities and that's
- 9 kind of the beginning and end of our authority.
- 10 When we started on our electric vehicle
- 11 proceeding, one of the first questions we had to
- 12 ask ourselves is whether a charging station is a
- 13 utility or not. And we had a phase of one of our
- 14 electric vehicle proceeding that looked at this
- 15 and decided that charging stations are not
- 16 actually utilities based on the Public Utility
- 17 Code that defines what a utility is. And this
- 18 was later enacted by AB 631, put into statute
- 19 that said charging stations are not utilities.
- When we continued on that proceeding, we
- 21 had to ask ourselves whether investor-owned
- 22 utilities were allowed to own charging stations
- 23 and we said they're not allowed to own charging
- 24 stations, at least the charging stations that are
- 25 used in public areas. They're allowed to own

- 1 charging stations that are used for their own
- 2 fleets, for their own employees, and things like
- 3 that. So given these two decisions, the CPUC
- 4 doesn't have any direct authority related to
- 5 charging station interoperability standards.
- 6 Potentially as we go down the road and look at
- 7 the different use cases related to how a charging
- 8 station and a vehicle can interact in the grid,
- 9 that may bring up some issues where the utility
- 10 has a role in this, and if the utility has a
- 11 role, then it's going to be important to
- 12 determine what communications standards are used
- 13 to enable that transaction between the vehicle or
- 14 the charging station and the utility, so that
- 15 could bring up some issue that the Commission
- 16 needs to address.
- 17 Some of these issues that have come up
- 18 here, that are mentioned, some options for
- 19 addressing interoperability, were dealt with in
- 20 the energy settlement that we released last year.
- 21 But I want to clarify that those issues that we
- 22 addressed here, vehicle interoperability and
- 23 billing interoperability, were specific to the
- 24 context of that settlement. And they shouldn't
- 25 be interpreted as kind of the Commission's policy CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 toward interoperability and EVSE
- 2 interoperability. These were done within the
- 3 context of a settlement where we were trying to
- 4 make sure that there was value for the ratepayer
- 5 in that settlement. And to do that, we put on
- 6 some billing interoperability requirements and
- 7 required that the fast charging stations have
- 8 credit card swipes, that was one of those
- 9 requirements. And in terms of like the vehicle
- 10 interoperability, we required the use of both
- 11 CHAdeMO charging stations and also the SAE Combo
- 12 standard, as well, when that's ready and
- 13 available on the market, NRG is required to use
- 14 that standard, as well.
- 15 But here, this shouldn't be interpreted as
- 16 kind of the Commission's policy or statement on
- 17 what EVSE interoperability should be; instead,
- 18 it's our interpretation of what's required to
- 19 make sure that there is ratepayer value, here
- 20 where NRG is using ratepayer money to build as
- 21 part of a settlement, to build the charging
- 22 stations. So I wanted to clarify that.
- Now, CPUC has dealt with a lot of
- 24 standards issues as part of the Smart Grid
- 25 proceeding, so this is an issue that we've come

- 1 up with, approached a number of times in the
- 2 broader form of Smart Grid Standards, and we have
- 3 a few kind of rules that we've tried to apply in
- 4 that context that I think might be valuable here
- 5 in the EVSE interoperability context.
- 6 First, you have to really determine where
- 7 you need a standard and where you need
- 8 interoperability and where you don't, that's the
- 9 first step. And once you've identified a point
- 10 that requires interoperability, a few principles
- 11 that we tried to apply are to, 1) avoid a
- 12 California only standard, and this is really
- 13 tough because on the one hand California is
- 14 pushing ahead of the other states at the national
- 15 level, and pushing this technology, and in
- 16 pushing the utilities to work together with the
- 17 other Smart Grid technologies out there, and when
- 18 you don't have standards, when you're trying to
- 19 be out in front, you often don't have standards
- 20 in place. And so it's a challenge where we could
- 21 dictate a standard and say "this is what we're
- 22 going to use," but by doing that we could end up
- 23 with a California only standard that becomes
- 24 outdated, that we have to replace later on when
- 25 there's a new national standard, and that's no  $CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING, LLC$

- 1 longer acceptable to companies that are operating
- 2 on a national or international basis. So it's
- 3 one of the things we try to avoid.
- 4 We've also tried to avoid proprietary
- 5 standards, that's a standard where some entity
- 6 owns it and can charge royalties for it, and if
- 7 we were to adopt that in a way that it forced
- 8 customers, energy companies, or utilities to use
- 9 that standard, we could be in a situation where
- 10 we're creating greater costs for all those
- 11 entities.
- To help us avoid a California only
- 13 standard, what we've done is we've worked
- 14 together with some of the national standards
- 15 bodies to accelerate the standards development
- 16 process. Staff at CPUC sometimes participates in
- 17 that process to help communicate what we think
- 18 are the important objectives and activities that
- 19 we're trying to facilitate, and also make sure
- 20 that the utilities are involved in that, and also
- 21 provide an opportunity, a forum, to test out
- 22 different standards and use that as a way to help
- 23 accelerate the process.
- 24 And finally, we do sometimes find
- 25 ourselves in the situation where there's multiple CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 standards and that already exist, and what we
- 2 want to do there is avoid picking one and saying
- 3 that that's the standard before the market has
- 4 had a chance to weigh in on that issue. And so
- 5 an example of this that we applied in the NRG
- 6 settlement was we didn't want to just have the
- 7 CHAdeMO Standards charging stations, we wanted
- 8 NRG to also use the SAE Combo Standards, so that
- 9 was a requirement that came out of that
- 10 settlement. That's pretty much the approach that
- 11 staff is using on kind of an informal basis.
- 12 These are not principles that have been adopted
- 13 in a particular decision, but if you look through
- 14 our Smart Grid Decisions, you'll kind of see
- 15 these four principles kind of emerging as a way
- 16 we've approached the Standards issue. And that's
- 17 all I've got for now. [Applause]
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Adam. Appreciate
- 19 it. All right, next up is Paul Stith. He is
- 20 Legislative and Policy Advocate for Plug in
- 21 America. Welcome.
- MR. STITH: Well, great. It's good to see
- 23 many of the folks I know and meet some new people
- 24 that are a part of making EVs a success. Plug in
- 25 America is really the voice of the consumer, so I CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 think I'm in the room speaking on behalf of the
- 2 consumer. I am going to welcome all of your
- 3 questions. Our family, we no longer have a gas
- 4 car, we actually have two Leaf and we are driving
- 5 something on the order of 24 to 27,000 electric
- 6 miles a year, and it's a great experience and
- 7 we're really looking for anything that the State
- 8 can do that can actually reduce the barriers to
- 9 more families moving this way, more businesses,
- 10 and anything that can be done to increase
- 11 adoption, that's all that Plug in America is
- 12 about.
- 13 My presentation is a little bit more
- 14 broad, a little bit more like Rajit in terms of
- 15 these are some of the things that we're thinking.
- 16 I'm going to highlight actually where that
- 17 intersects with this particular session so that
- 18 it is going to make sense, and what is fantastic
- 19 is all the points have generally been made
- 20 already, so I get to say who I already want to
- 21 call out thanks to in terms of process. And like
- 22 Matt, I'm a recovery IT person, so I actually do
- 23 know the back office type things in terms of
- 24 protocols, I'm a former Government worker, and I
- 25 do a lot of things in the private sector, so I'm CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 glad to be here.
- 2 Plug in America has been around and came
- 3 from a really early time in the EV business where
- 4 we're pretty much activists, and if anybody has
- 5 been part of this space for a while, we were
- 6 actually out protesting just across the street
- 7 not that many years ago, and so what's fantastic
- 8 is that we're here, we're inside the building,
- 9 and you're asking us for our opinions. About 50
- 10 percent of us are actually here in California, so
- 11 pretty good odds, a good number are in Washington
- 12 and Oregon, and the rest are distributed around
- 13 the country and, of course, around the world.
- We've been pretty active and actually
- 15 towards the industry, driving initiatives that
- 16 maybe even industry gave up on, Plug in America
- 17 actually saw it through, held out support for
- 18 investment tax credits, has done an incredible
- 19 thing with regard to Federal and State and
- 20 Regional activities, so I just wanted to make
- 21 sure that you understand we're with you, anything
- 22 we can do to create a viable market out of this.
- 23 And a plug-In Day plug, September 28th and 29th,
- 24 find your Plug-In Day and go there and
- 25 participate. I'll be in Cupertino.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 For the purposes of this discussion, SB
- 2 454 has actually -- we want to thank Senator
- 3 Corbett, of course, we want to thank the EVSPs,
- 4 Synergy, Chargepoint, Ecotality, Greenlots, for
- 5 supporting us because that's actually what
- 6 drivers need, they need open access. They don't
- 7 really care about the internals of how does this
- 8 protocol get there from here to there, they want
- 9 a fair and a safe transaction that's transparent
- 10 to them. So in terms of this session, 454 has
- 11 actually accomplished a lot of the goals of what
- 12 the ZEV Action Plan has been out to accomplish
- 13 from the driver's point of view. And we need to
- 14 make it work for the market players, of course.
- 15 We're active in a lot of spaces within the
- 16 PUC, within again, the Governor's ZEV Action
- 17 Plan. Ask us for our opinions. We're drivers,
- 18 we're doing this, we're surviving without home
- 19 charging, we're surviving without workplace
- 20 charging. You'll find our membership and
- 21 supporters know a lot of the answers intuitively
- 22 already, so we appreciate the chance to talk.
- Again, Mike had it from the OEM side about
- 24 the data. We as drivers have our intuitive feel
- 25 for the data, we create our own data, we do our CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 own surveys, we understand what's going on. But
- 2 we feel that the State should have a role with
- 3 regard to data access and that is something that
- 4 is, whether it is location-type data, more
- 5 towards driving the proper infrastructure
- 6 investments, finding out that 70 something
- 7 percent of Ford drivers are charging at home on
- 8 120-Volt, for example, is a pretty important
- 9 piece of data that we want to work with. And I
- 10 think it's a high number of Leaf and every other
- 11 one, so we want to get that data and that's
- 12 actually at a partnership with the EVSPs, of
- 13 course, and the OEMs that have access to that, so
- 14 I think the State should do anything it can to
- 15 encourage data access. We all know the charging
- 16 triangle or pyramid, or however Mark would like
- 17 to call it, of the day from EPRI, but it all
- 18 falls in line in terms of our priorities,
- 19 charging at home, workplace, Level 2, specific
- 20 high demand locations that are going to enable
- 21 trips, that are going to be, say, a hotel that
- 22 you'll take the electric car instead.
- Open access in terms of our priorities,
- 24 that's pretty much number one, and we're well on
- 25 our way to actually having that as a standard CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 within California, so we can all go home now --
- 2 no, lots more to do, obviously lots more to do.
- 3 We do see that the State has a role, an
- 4 understanding about the drivers and which new
- 5 categories of drivers, whether it's 50 percent,
- 6 or more, that may live in urban areas, you know,
- 7 apartments, there needs to be infrastructure
- 8 that's certainly available to them for charging
- 9 capabilities and really working hand in hand with
- 10 where they work, and finding solutions, and I'm
- 11 going to have a slide about that.
- 12 All in all, just to make sure everybody --
- 13 it is a learning process, so as we're studying
- 14 this, learning it evolving, we jumped off a cliff
- 15 as a family, as a driver, without a DC Fast
- 16 Charger, we did it, we survived. Now the DC Fast
- 17 Chargers, we're super happy that enables
- 18 additional mobility, we got rid of our old gas
- 19 car when we felt confident enough, so it's about
- 20 reducing risk.
- Consumers, frankly, they want it to be
- 22 easy, they want it to be cheap. And don't fool
- 23 yourselves that they won't figure out how to get
- 24 it the cheapest possible way. They're going to
- 25 constantly be evaluating how much does it cost

- 1 for me to get home, how much does it cost for me
- 2 to get to work? There's a new mindset that
- 3 you'll actually see that you don't actually get
- 4 with petroleum-based fueling. What you do with
- 5 petroleum-based fueling is you pull into the
- 6 fueling station and you complain, you might
- 7 swear, but you fill your car and you move on. In
- 8 electric, your biggest access to energy, whether
- 9 it's 110, a driver can actually make choices,
- 10 they say, "Well, I can wait and have coffee," "I
- 11 can change how fast I'm driving." You can do
- 12 different things, so start to think and realize
- 13 that the consumers are doing this all the time.
- 14 And the plug-in hybrid drivers, they're also
- 15 making those choices, as pointed out, about
- 16 should they use petroleum, or should they
- 17 actually use electric. So these are customer
- 18 things, consumer things, always ask that
- 19 question, how is it that they're thinking.
- Transparency, obviously stated. The
- 21 Better Place note from Hawaii, consumers were
- 22 complaining they didn't know how much fuel they
- 23 were receiving, there you go, consumers actually
- 24 kind of know and if you interact with them,
- 25 you'll learn about that. I do participate on the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 NIST Working Group and that's one of the first
- 2 things that I'm going to stand up for every time
- 3 is transparency and the consumer being able to
- 4 know, how much is it going to cost them in order
- 5 to get home.
- 6 Again, OEMs here have pointed out
- 7 primarily people are charging at home.
- 8 Surprisingly, they're actually willing to wait a
- 9 long time in some cases to get charged, probably
- 10 while they're sleeping. It works out pretty
- 11 well. So that's something for the State to keep
- 12 in mind and, unbeknownst to me, the CEC actually
- 13 has some programs -- they're giving away money
- 14 for home charging that are hiding on Tuesdays,
- 15 David, that I didn't even know about. So what
- 16 the CEC can do in terms of priorities, there are
- 17 still things certainly in the home area. And if
- 18 you want to buy a Leaf, buy it on Tuesdays
- 19 because you can get a free home charger. Yes,
- 20 and now it's out, we didn't know that. People
- 21 are going to adopt EVs, they're going to enjoy
- 22 them, and they're going to think everything
- 23 possible how to get a second one and get rid of
- 24 the gas car. It's a fact of life, it's going to
- 25 happen, and so we need to think about that and we CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 need to think a little bit about from the State
- 2 whatever we can do on education for the rates,
- 3 PG&E -- a shout out to them, they just put up a
- 4 new rate calculator, the rates are out there,
- 5 we're trying to help consumers make choices.
- 6 Workplace. Think about it in terms of
- 7 what we're really trying to do. We love and
- 8 appreciate what Good Will is able to do, but the
- 9 small and medium businesses are not actually able
- 10 to easily jump into the game. There are costs in
- 11 front of it for them and we want to figure out
- 12 what is it that they really want to accomplish in
- 13 a workplace program. And what we feel is that
- 14 it's going to have them take an electric car to
- 15 work, and not because it's free fuel because they
- 16 could have charged somewhere else. We really
- 17 want to encourage new commutes that wouldn't have
- 18 happened with electric, and you can do 25-30
- 19 miles a day on top of whatever charging they
- 20 receive at home in 120. 240 -- there are market
- 21 solutions coming out to show those, but think
- 22 about it that it's per hour, that you're actually
- 23 able to free fuel that car, enables commutes, and
- 24 we do know people that go all the way from, say,
- 25 Pleasanton through to the Valley and they have to CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 get a full charge, they need one, they need 240.
- 2 The caution to this is that the success of
- 3 these programs that we're seeing in the Valley
- 4 and these are the companies that can afford to
- 5 put in some of the best charging infrastructure,
- 6 they're oversubscribed and that actually leads to
- 7 anxiety and quibbles at work, and Vice Presidents
- 8 unplugging other people, and it's real, and so
- 9 you need to be thinking about that actually as
- 10 the State invests into programs for low cost ways
- 11 and then employers know what they're getting into
- 12 so they don't create a nightmare because they
- 13 enable a few employees. And it goes without
- 14 saying, the data and understanding and education,
- 15 managing those programs. Facilities people, if
- 16 they don't drive an electric car, they hate them
- 17 because it's actually a very difficult job to
- 18 manage a fleet of growing people that can't get
- 19 home without charging.
- 20 This slide actually -- I think I'm almost
- 21 right there -- it gets really to the heart of
- 22 this. We feel that the business models will
- 23 evolve to match the needs, and we don't pick
- 24 winners either. We do know that drivers, when
- $\,$  25  $\,$  presented with this cost option, if they are  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 starting to think that roaming will cost them,
- 2 that they express an interest of like, "Well,
- 3 gee, I can just have two cards." And that goes
- 4 right to the heart of it, is that drivers will be
- 5 creative, they will think about it, and as much
- 6 as we all do want it to be seamless, in these
- 7 early days while we figure it out, roaming may
- 8 not be something we can afford. So we do want to
- 9 think about it in those terms because a driver,
- 10 the first time that they are presented with a
- 11 station that they can't interoperate with,
- 12 they'll figure out how to get there. And if a
- 13 credit card due to SB 434 is the first way they
- 14 interact with that station, and they find out
- 15 it's prevalent where they go, they'll subscribe
- 16 or they'll become part of that network. But we
- 17 want to get them through those pain points and we
- 18 don't know yet what the State's role would be in
- 19 financing that.
- 20 Mapping and driver locations certainly
- 21 important. And whether it's NREL data, as Matt I
- 22 think was sharing, or PlugShare, or other ways,
- 23 we do need to know where the stations are and if
- 24 they're available. That's what I've got for
- 25 driving electric. How many in the audience are

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 driving electric? Fantastic. Thank you very
- 2 much. [Applause]
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you very much, Paul.
- 4 Next up, our last speaker for the morning is John
- 5 Halliwell. He is Senior Project Manager of
- 6 Electric Transportation at EPRI.
- 7 MR. HALLIWELL: Thank you very much for
- 8 the opportunity to speak here today. I just
- 9 wanted to say a few things about EPRI if you're
- 10 not familiar with us. We are a not for profit,
- 11 we do research in the public interest, kind of
- 12 along those lines. One of the things we don't do
- 13 is advocate policy, so hopefully my slides will
- 14 point out issues and, after 10 presentations on
- 15 this with experts, I don't think I'll have
- 16 anything new, but hopefully I can reemphasize the
- 17 points that I think we think are pertinent to
- 18 moving this forward. But I'm not going to give
- 19 you the conclusion on what we think you should
- 20 do, that's really up to the CEC and the State of
- 21 California. And I am living proof there are EV
- 22 drivers outside of California, so -- I live in
- 23 Knoxville, Tennessee.
- I want to point out four key interfaces
- 25 that I think exist, that have been addressed in CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 different ways today, but I think these are some
- 2 really keys to making this move forward. You
- 3 know, interface 1 is the Consumer interface to
- 4 the charge station, and that happens in a number
- 5 of different ways. I'll talk a little bit about
- 6 that. There's the network that is behind the
- 7 EVSE, there's Internet work activity between
- 8 different network providers, they're the Arrow 3,
- 9 and then there's the access to real time
- 10 information that might exist in those networks,
- 11 the status of a station, making a reservation,
- 12 those sorts of things, so those are those four
- 13 areas.
- Right now, the Interfaces 1, 2 and 4 are
- 15 proprietary for the most part. I think how
- 16 people identify themselves at the charge station,
- 17 how the EVSE talks back to the network in the
- 18 U.S. primarily, and then this real time mapping
- 19 access, those are done through proprietary
- 20 interfaces. I think Ecotality and Chargepoint
- 21 have both opened up their mapping capabilities
- 22 through APIs, through the Cloud, but those are
- 23 proprietary interfaces and I think it was Mike
- 24 Tinskey mentioned that Ford has -- there's a cost
- 25 associated with having multiple networks like

- 1 that.
- 2 For the most part, this interface doesn't
- 3 exist, this internetwork interface, and
- 4 Collaboratev has been put together to try and
- 5 address that within the U.S. Hubject is looking
- 6 at that in Europe. So I'm going to talk a little
- 7 bit more about that.
- 8 When you talk to consumers, you know,
- 9 right now they have a lot of different things
- 10 that they may have to carry with them to use
- 11 charging. I've seen key fobs, I've seen RFID
- 12 cards, I carry one of the RFID cards in
- 13 Tennessee, we primarily have the one particular
- 14 brand of chargers, so it's not a big issue for
- 15 me, but in some places you may have to carry
- 16 multiples. And as consumers, this is somewhat an
- 17 inconvenience and I would have said this is just
- 18 an inconvenience until you hear the issue that
- 19 came up in Hawaii where the fobs that were issued
- 20 to consumers were proprietary, that company went
- 21 out of business, and now those charge stations
- 22 will have to be physically changed out to
- 23 maintain their function, and that's a cost that
- 24 doesn't need to be there if things were done in
- 25 an open fashion, so that's important.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

| 1 | The | other | question | t.hat. | VOU | miaht | want. | t.c |
|---|-----|-------|----------|--------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
|   |     |       |          |        |     |       |       |     |

- 2 think about is do all public EVSEs need to be
- 3 networked, and I think Mike commented that Ford
- 4 feels like they should be, but it is something to
- 5 think about. There's a cost associated with
- 6 making that happen. It does provide a number of
- 7 functionalities and features you will not get if
- 8 they're not on a network, but is that something
- 9 that needs to be there? That's something to
- 10 really think about.
- 11 When you look at the network portion, that
- 12 is from the EVSE back to the service provider, if
- 13 you choose networking, then there are two key
- 14 takeaways I wanted to point out and you can see
- 15 that their information flow is something that can
- 16 happen, the authentications and using processing
- 17 transactions, that can happen. But if these
- 18 networks are proprietary, it has two key
- 19 consequences, one is that, as someone that puts
- 20 in a charge station, so if you think about the
- 21 site host as being a consumer of charge stations,
- 22 they have to make a choice, and it may mean
- 23 there's a limited number of charge stations that
- 24 support a particular network, so you're limited
- 25 in your choices of, you know, type of equipment.

- 1 And if you turn that around, once that charge
- 2 station is installed, it can only support the
- 3 networks that were designed into it upfront
- 4 without having to replace hardware. So it can
- 5 lock people in, and if there's a proprietary
- 6 network, then that host needs to think about
- 7 that. If there's a way to do an open network
- 8 where, in a year from now, or two years, you
- 9 know, when I look at my service as a host, and I
- 10 say, well, hey, somebody else is offering a
- 11 different product, then that openness, having
- 12 something that's not proprietary can be a big
- 13 advantage.
- 14 The Internet work in Interface provides a
- 15 number of functions, it can achieve this sort of
- 16 interoperability for consumers, that is being
- 17 able to roam from station to station without
- 18 having to carry multiple identification cards. I
- 19 quess the one thing that comes to mind there is
- 20 that, if something like Collaboratev goes forward
- 21 in the U.S. and it's widely adopted, if you have
- 22 a network provider that doesn't join that
- 23 Collaboratev, then that leaves a hole in the
- 24 system, it doesn't gain you that full roaming
- 25 capability, so it's very important that if

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 there's a collaboration site like that, it needs
- 2 to be all encompassing if it's going to make this
- 3 go forward.
- 4 Real time information, this sort of last
- 5 interface right now, PlugShare is one of the
- 6 common apps that people have on their phones, you
- 7 can look up charge stations, I guess NREL
- 8 maintains a database at the DOE, there are a
- 9 number of these. Each of the vendors that have a
- 10 network also have their own sites, but it's
- 11 somewhat scattered from the consumer perspective,
- 12 again, it's more of a convenience sort of thing
- 13 by bringing those together. I think Collaboratev
- 14 is looking at providing this as a functionality
- 15 in their system; right now, it doesn't exist that
- 16 way. And without this link, then reservations or
- 17 live status data for stations is just not
- 18 available, and it's mainly a convenience issue
- 19 for the consumer.
- 20 So where the market might go in the
- 21 future, I mean, sort of the status quo, and
- 22 that's what this slide would be, you're going to
- 23 see a continued mix of ways of identifying
- 24 yourself to an EVSE, whether it's proprietary or
- 25 open, there's probably a mix of those. You might CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 see OCPP used to go between the EVSE and
- 2 networks, you might still continue to see
- 3 proprietary networks, so there's sort of a mix of
- 4 technologies is what you kind of expect and in
- 5 some cases you may have a network that is totally
- 6 isolated and others that will be joined, so the
- 7 status quo, sort of where you go, is kind of
- 8 where you end up.
- 9 And to summarize, I tried to put together
- 10 if you have proprietary interfaces, then there's
- 11 some consequences of that. Consumers have to
- 12 carry multiple credentials, you have to belong to
- 13 multiple networks, you have to have accounts with
- 14 different network providers to be able to roam.
- 15 Fielded chargers can be locked to particular
- 16 network charge stations. The designers of the
- 17 charge stations have to support multiple networks
- 18 if they want to reach a broad market, and there's
- 19 limited access to real time data, it's in pockets
- 20 that you have to gain access to. If you go
- 21 forward with interoperable solutions, then you
- 22 start having standard credentials, or this
- 23 interchange between service providers that allows
- 24 your information to carry across. And I guess
- 25 the one that I wanted to highlight here is the  ${\small \textbf{CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC} }$

- 1 one that we don't talk as much about, which is
- 2 between the EVSE and the service provider; that
- 3 seems like a critical link in terms of not
- 4 stranding assets and, you know, the announcement
- 5 Monday that Ecotality is having financial issues,
- 6 this really -- I was glad I had highlighted this
- 7 because, having proprietary interfaces at that
- 8 point can strand assets, but more than that, it
- 9 locks a host site into one particular product
- 10 that seems to be constraining in something that,
- 11 from the Open Standards perspective, that's where
- 12 EPRI has been involved in this is with SAE, we
- 13 really want to push the openness that will help
- 14 the whole industry go forward.
- 15 And I did have one I somehow managed to
- 16 miss. So this cautionary tale from Hawaii that
- 17 somebody had mentioned I think earlier this
- 18 morning, who was that, Brad, I think, had talked
- 19 about that. I initially had thought of this
- 20 consumer interface as being more of an issue of
- 21 inconvenience, and then I heard about the issue
- 22 in Hawaii where it literally was locking these
- 23 charge stations from future users who didn't have
- 24 the right credentials and they can't get them, so
- 25 there are a couple interfaces here that I think

- 1 are critical, that if they're not open they can
- 2 strand assets, and that would be this consumer
- 3 interface and this network interface. I think
- 4 those two go beyond just convenience of
- 5 consumers, they go to the interoperability of
- 6 things going forward. And that's all I have. So
- 7 thank you very much. [Applause]
- 8 MS. BAROODY: Great, thank you so much.
- 9 And thank you to all the speakers for really
- 10 great presentations. We're at a point now where
- 11 we can have some more Q&A, so if the second group
- 12 of speakers would come up, we have -- I know it's
- 13 five until 12, so if everyone is willing to spend
- 14 about 10 minutes, let's say, with some Q&A, that
- 15 would be great.
- 16 All right. I saw Richard first.
- 17 MR. LOWENTHAL: So I just wanted to sort
- 18 of augment maybe what you said, John, about the
- 19 better place issue. So it wouldn't matter how
- 20 much software interoperability you have there,
- 21 you have a station that has a reader that there's
- 22 no longer a credential to read, so that hardware
- 23 has got to come out. And similarly with
- 24 Ecotality, just because I hear a lot of people
- 25 thinking there's an easy solution to Ecotality, CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 but when you have connectors that melt, you have
- 2 to go out there and change hardware. So there's
- 3 like no substitute for having good product and no
- 4 matter how much software you throw out of a
- 5 melting connector, it's not going to fix it. So
- 6 I don't want people to look at software standards
- 7 as a panacea for fixing bad equipment.
- 8 MR. HALLIWELL: I guess one thing I would
- 9 say, so if you look at the Ecotality situation,
- 10 the concern I have wasn't so much the connectors,
- 11 that's certainly an issue, but not one I was
- 12 addressing. I was thinking more along the lines
- 13 of the network and the fact that, if their host
- 14 server goes down, that network is idle. Now, I
- 15 don't know what that means, I don't know if that
- 16 means the charge station just ceases to function,
- 17 or if it's suddenly open, that is, that the site
- 18 host wanted to charge a dollar an hour and
- 19 suddenly it's free and there's nothing they can
- 20 do about it, and that's a concern. And they
- 21 can't just simply call Chargepoint and say, "Hey,
- 22 put mine on your network" because it uses an
- 23 interface that won't talk with Chargepoint, and
- 24 so --
- 25 MR. LOWENTHAL: We won't take that melted CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 connector anyway.
- 2 MR. HAWKINS: Dave Hawkins, kn-Grid. I
- 3 thought one of your points was very interesting,
- 4 is that we do most of our charging at home,
- 5 residential. And I look at the price for a Type
- 6 2 charger and I'm looking at \$1,000 to \$1,500,
- 7 which is a bit of a show stopper, so I'm curious
- 8 as to how the OEMs and others are thinking about
- 9 how do we get the price down, how do you
- 10 encourage that? What kind of interoperability
- 11 should it have with that? It's behind the meter,
- 12 but, you know, the CPUC was also looking at some
- 13 of the issues about sub-metering and how you do
- 14 all of that, so I'm curious as to what your
- 15 thinking is, where it's all going with the
- 16 residential part of the charging, and what should
- 17 be the interoperability piece and how that should
- 18 interface with the utility or EVSEs.
- 19 MR. ZEREGA: Oh, thank you. A real quick
- 20 answer that doesn't fully get to the spirit of
- 21 your question, but we have chargers at our
- 22 workplace, they're \$500.00 each, and they're from
- 23 Clipper Creek and they work really well. And we
- 24 have access control and metering on those, and we
- 25 find that better than 90 percent of our employees CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 who have vehicles, and there's 44 of them at our
- 2 location alone, they're served through that
- 3 eight-hour day with those \$500.00 units, and we
- 4 have access to control metering.
- 5 MR. STITH: I was going to add to that
- 6 that, yeah, the \$500.00 -- the sub-\$500.00 EVSE
- 7 is here, they may not initially support the
- 8 higher charge rates, so you're getting Level 2,
- 9 you may get like five kilowatts out of them,
- 10 something like that, which is fantastic, it's
- 11 phenomenal. You're asleep. So it should work
- 12 out just fine with that. So that's one of the
- 13 barriers that people need to get through is the
- 14 education, actually. And the CEC does have some
- 15 programs which are interesting to learn, that you
- 16 can actually still get free EVSEs in California.
- 17 I just got to know the right place to go.
- 18 MR. LANGTON: One more thing I'd like to
- 19 add to this. We have an employee, a couple of
- 20 employees, actually, that had a Leaf, and now
- 21 they have a Model S, they still drive 12 miles to
- 22 work. So in other words, their charging needs,
- 23 even though they drive the Model S, it'll take,
- 24 what is it, a 90 KW or something? Even though
- 25 they drive the Model S now, they still drive 12 CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 miles, which means Level 1 still serves them.
- 2 They get there faster.
- 3 MS. BAROODY: Great.
- 4 MR. TINSKEY: Just real quick, to address
- 5 the second point of your question. So, yeah,
- 6 agreed, price points are falling, especially in
- 7 the basic, and we're following those price points
- 8 down. But, you know, work that is going on with
- 9 some of the CPUC is there's a challenge to get
- 10 more people on top of these rates because drivers
- 11 typically enjoy lower rates and lower cost to
- 12 transportation, and sometimes in the State of
- 13 California, that means a second meter, which is a
- 14 pretty cost prohibitive installation. So I'm
- 15 encouraged by some of the work that Adam is doing
- 16 and some of the work that you actually can get
- 17 that barrier into one install potentially and
- 18 allow the customer to really drive on low cost
- 19 electricity.
- 20 MR. LANGTON: And one thing I guess I'd
- 21 add to that regarding the communication with the
- 22 utility, there's still a lot of I guess unknowns
- 23 there as to how that exactly works. Does the
- 24 charging station communicate directly with the
- 25 utility, or does it go through a network? And if

- 1 it goes through a network and the network
- 2 communicates to the utility, you have different
- 3 requirements for those different communication
- 4 legs. So we have to make a little more progress
- 5 on that before we determine what the requirements
- 6 are and what we want to use the standard on. And
- 7 what we say, well, we don't need a standard for
- 8 this because this communication is taking place
- 9 between two entities that is kind of internal to
- 10 them, we don't need a standard there. So I think
- 11 there are still a lot of unknowns that we're
- 12 thinking about internally and we hope to address
- 13 through a new Electric Vehicle proceeding at some
- 14 point in the near future.
- 15 MR. ZEREGA: A couple more things on that
- 16 to all the time of use thing and also on the
- 17 metering thing. There are, at least in our
- 18 service territory, we have time of use rates that
- 19 are whole-house, so it includes the car, so
- 20 there's no extra meter installation needed, and
- 21 the other thing that I think is important to
- 22 stress with regard to rates in general, when you
- 23 kind of compare home charging and workplace
- 24 charging, is that what we've observed is that the
- 25 moment that charging at work is even a penny more CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 per kilowatt hour than it is at home, like
- 2 charging you wouldn't believe the drop-off, and
- 3 it's because most employees live, at least in our
- 4 service territory, somewhere between like 10 and
- 5 15 miles, you know, 85 percent or more. And so
- 6 what we've sort of been discovering is that, as
- 7 the rate at work, even on a time of use basis, or
- 8 whatever, as it gets equivalent to the home, then
- 9 you see people charging only when they need to.
- 10 In other words, you see a lot of people parking
- 11 in the spots, but not even plugging in, even when
- 12 the price is even a penny higher, so it's this
- 13 rate thing and managing usage, and everything
- 14 else, it's all interrelated and it's important.
- 15 MR. WOLF: Great discussion. John, a
- 16 question for you. There's been a lot of
- 17 discussion about, you know, protecting site
- 18 owners, making sure -- you spoke about stranded
- 19 assets -- are we sure we're not trusting the site
- 20 owners to make those decisions if it's not
- 21 involving any other problem? Are we saying that
- 22 the site owners cannot make that decision, do I
- 23 need to like Linux versus Microsoft or Apple, are
- 24 we saying we can't trust the site owners to make
- 25 that decision? Because you showed benefits of CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 interoperability on one side, and then you showed
- 2 all the cons of the proprietary networks, you
- 3 didn't show all the benefits of the proprietary
- 4 networks, which there are a lot of, and there are
- 5 a lot of cons of interoperable -- of Standards-
- 6 based solutions, like the ones we spoke about, a
- 7 standard that is not fully complete, or that
- 8 needs to go. So do you think that we should
- 9 basically choose for the site owner? Or should
- 10 we allow them to choose OCPP-based, proprietary-
- 11 based, or other standards-based software?
- MR. HALLIWELL: I guess what I was trying
- 13 to do was list out pros and cons, I didn't put it
- 14 in those terms and on my networks, but I did list
- 15 that, you know, the remote connectivity you can
- 16 do maintenance so that, if you're a coffee shop
- 17 owner, you don't really want to be the person out
- 18 there checking things out, then you can rely on
- 19 your network provider. So there are services,
- 20 they provide -- you're probably asking me there a
- 21 policy question, if they can mandate that, I'm
- 22 not going to say one way or the other, but I
- 23 think the bottom line is that that's the
- 24 education piece for the site hosts that have to
- 25 understand that there are consequences to

- 1 choosing a network. Open protocols mitigate that
- 2 to some extent, so if the EVSE uses a protocol
- 3 that's common across all the network providers,
- 4 then it's not an issue.
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The example you
- 6 gave about [indiscernible] --
- 7 MR. HALLIWELL: That's true. If OCPP
- 8 doesn't offer a feature that you have unique,
- 9 then that's possible.
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible]
- 11 MR. HALLIWELL: I don't know. I mean,
- 12 that is again a policy question and I just will
- 13 state consequences.
- MS. BAROODY: There we go. We have time
- 15 for one more question.
- 16 MR. CHERKAOUI: This might be a four-fold
- 17 question, in fact, it's such a great discussion.
- 18 But I'll try to keep it simple. The first one
- 19 was for Paul, you know, you mentioned that EV
- 20 drivers now always figure out the cheapest way
- 21 and how to plan around their EV driving. Do you
- 22 think it's because most EV drivers today are
- 23 early adopters and very enthusiastic, therefore
- 24 they figure out the cheapest way, rather than the
- 25 most convenient way for, let's say, mass

- 1 adoption? That's the first question. The second
- 2 one is with respect to mostly home charging. I
- 3 live in the City on Hyde Street, so just where
- 4 the Cable Car starts, and it's really great,
- 5 there are four EVs there and they're parked in
- 6 the street the whole time, so I'm trying to
- 7 figure out where everybody charges and it turns
- 8 out that everybody charges at work. And you
- 9 know, this is one of the key pieces because EV
- 10 driving is mostly city driving and obviously not
- 11 everybody has access to a garage or a carport.
- 12 And then two more pieces, Brett. The first one,
- 13 we talked about efficiency and using credit card
- 14 transactions. Small transactions have limits you
- 15 know, when all the providers actually charge a
- 16 flat fee when the transaction is below \$10.00 or
- 17 so, so it actually makes it a lot more a .1 or 1
- 18 percent, it goes to 10 percent almost. And then
- 19 the last piece about, you know, connected EV
- 20 charging. One of the things we've seen in
- 21 Europe, in fact, one of probably the best
- 22 examples of electric driving is the City of Paris
- 23 that I look at the 3,000 parking spots with 3,000
- 24 cars for car sharing, 20 percent of which are
- 25 available for private charging. It's going to

- 1 6,000 at the end of this year. And there are
- 2 about 50,000 subscribers and the most important
- 3 wide majority say -- and they're paying quite a
- 4 bit for a service -- they say that it's
- 5 reservation, the ability to just be able to
- 6 reserve a parking space where they know where
- 7 they're going with their EV is really key.
- 8 MR. STITH: I think I get the first one,
- 9 so -- I'll try the second. The question is, is
- 10 it just these geeky early adopters who are green
- 11 penny pinchers who will figure out the rates.
- 12 Okay, I think that's the question. And the
- 13 answer is they will figure it out faster and then
- 14 the other folks behind them will figure out darn
- 15 soon that they are not getting all the benefits
- 16 of driving electric. So we're looking at this as
- 17 that we're funneling however many hundreds of
- 18 dollars a family might have been putting into
- 19 petroleum-based transportation that we're trying
- 20 to maximize that, so in a session if I have a
- 21 driver that, I mean, education is continual. We
- 22 have drivers that actually think they're doing
- 23 great because they're paying \$200.00 in
- 24 electricity instead of paying \$450.00 in gas, and
- 25 actually, if they were on the proper rate, they

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 would pay more like \$50.00. So they do learn,
- 2 they do find out continual education, and I think
- 3 that, as people change their mode of
- 4 transportation they become acutely aware of what
- 5 a kilowatt is, it's just a matter of time. And
- 6 they do learn, I think it's in their better
- 7 interest and, by the way, their friends are going
- 8 to be comparing things in a social way, and
- 9 they're going to learn that they're a fool for
- 10 paying \$200.00 extra dollars. It'll happen.
- 11 MR. ZEREGA: I'd like to --
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, one more comment.
- 13 MR. ZEREGA: -- add something real quick.
- 14 I mean, I agree, it's going to be more than just
- 15 tech geeks that are going to figure out that, if
- 16 they're driving a plug-in Prius, or a Ford Energi
- 17 that at \$.25 a kilowatt hour, you can basically
- 18 go the same distance -- let's say your budget is
- 19 \$4.00, I'm going to go somewhere and I'm going to
- 20 spend \$4.00 on my fuel, I can go the same
- 21 distance on gasoline or \$.25 electricity. The
- 22 second that \$.25 electricity goes up above that,
- 23 they're not saving any money. The average
- 24 American is going to figure that out. And if the
- 25 price of electricity with all the other backend

- 1 fees and stuff stacks up and leads the station
- 2 owner to price at or above that, it's not going
- 3 to be helpful for the EV community. And then the
- 4 comment on the credit card transactions, my
- 5 understanding is that the per transaction fee, or
- 6 minimum transaction amounts, that's entirely up
- 7 to the retailer. It's entirely up to them. The
- 8 interchange fees don't have those kinds of
- 9 restrictions.
- 10 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thank you. I think
- 11 we're going to take a break for lunch, I'm sure
- 12 everybody is hungry, ready to go out. Thanks for
- 13 your attention and thank you, speakers and
- 14 panelists, for wonderful presentations today.
- 15 It's been very beneficial. [Applause] So we'll
- 16 reconvene here at 1:00. Thanks.
- 17 (Break at 12:15 p.m.)
- 18 (Reconvene at 1:20 p.m.)
- 19 MS. BAROODY: So if we could have the
- 20 panelists for the second session, if they're
- 21 here, please set right up. I'll call you by
- 22 name. So we need Rajit Gadh, Brett Hauser, Bill
- 23 Kramer if he's here, Jordan Ramer, Tim Lipman,
- 24 Richard Lowenthal, and Paul Stith. Okay, we'll
- 25 just take a few minutes to gather everybody.

- 1 Okay, I think we're going to get started
- 2 here now. We have our panelists available. Why
- 3 don't we just go down the line and introduce
- 4 ourselves one more time for everybody. We'll
- 5 start right here with Bill.
- DR. KRAMER: Hi. I'm Dr. Bill Kramer from
- 7 the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. I work
- 8 in Energy Systems Integration research area
- 9 there. I've been involved in Electric Vehicles
- 10 since the early '90s. I managed an Electric
- 11 Vehicle Program at Idaho National Engineering
- 12 Laboratory from about '94 to'98.
- MR. LOWENTHAL: I'm Richard Lowenthal with
- 14 Chargepoint.
- 15 PROFESSOR GADH: I'm Rajit Gadh. I'm a
- 16 Professor at UCLA, Director of the Smart Grid
- 17 Energy Research Center in New York on Smart EV
- 18 Charging.
- MR. HAUSER: Brett Hauser, President of
- 20 Greenlots.
- 21 MR. STITH: Paul Stith with Plug in
- 22 America.
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you. And with me is
- 24 Randall Winston who will help moderate the
- 25 session. This will last about an hour or so.

- 1 And we'll also want to involve the audience with
- 2 questions at some point. So I'm just going to go
- 3 ahead and read the four questions and we're not
- 4 going to necessarily take them one at a time, but
- 5 just knowing what the questions are, if we could
- 6 just start talking about some of the answers.
- 7 So the first one is: 1) what should be the
- 8 State's role in supporting industry efforts to
- 9 develop interoperability Standards? 2) What
- 10 should the State prioritize in a EVSE
- 11 solicitation to support the development of
- 12 network interoperability, driver access, cost
- 13 reduction, or other priorities? 3) What current
- 14 business models exist in the EVSE market with
- 15 regard to interoperability, and should the State
- 16 provide financial or other support for these
- 17 models? 4) What criteria should future State
- 18 EVSE solicitations require with regard to EVSE
- 19 interoperability? So those are the questions
- 20 that are on our mind and we'd love to hear from
- 21 the panel. We've already talked a lot about this
- 22 this morning, but we're going to dig a little
- 23 deeper, perhaps. So whoever would like to
- 24 volunteer first.
- 25 MR. WINSTON: Maybe just before we begin, CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 we had one other gentleman join us. If you don't
- 2 mind introducing yourself and where you're from?
- 3 MR. RAMER: Sorry about that. I got lost
- 4 in Sacramento. So I'm Jordan Raymer, CEO of EV
- 5 Connect. We are an enterprise class solution
- 6 provider for electric vehicle charging, and I'm
- 7 happy to be here.
- 8 MS. BAROODY: Thank you.
- 9 MR. WINSTON: I wanted to talk a little
- 10 bit about the driver -- let's call it roaming --
- 11 I'm going to adopt whoever started using the term
- 12 "roaming" because I think it's actually
- 13 clarifying.
- 14 MR. LOWENTHAL: So Chargepoint at its
- 15 current position is kind of -- is better off
- 16 without it because it forces people to come to
- 17 us, but we think that's not good for the industry
- 18 and we have fully embraced the need for drivers
- 19 to charge everywhere, for pricing to be clear,
- 20 for people to find stations when they need them
- 21 because we think it grows the whole market. So
- 22 indirectly, it helps us in that we think there
- 23 will be more drivers and they'll be happier and
- 24 all that. It's really clear to us what we need
- 25 to do, which is to put in an interface to a CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 standard for interchanging information with the
- 2 other players. So we kind of know exactly what
- 3 to do to do that, and I think it's up to the
- 4 State. If the State really wants to make it so
- 5 drivers can charge anywhere, then we need to be
- 6 encouraged, and we probably need to do it in a
- 7 timely way, we need financial support. It is not
- 8 a strategic issue for us, so we're doing this in
- 9 the interest of creating a better market, not in
- 10 the interest of advancing Chargepoint sort of
- 11 selfishly. So honestly, I think anybody -- we'll
- 12 all be in that position where, since it's for the
- 13 greater good, it's hard to justify spending a lot
- 14 of our current funds there, and I think the State
- 15 could help out. And I still think it's
- 16 reasonable for the State to require us to spend
- 17 half the money or whatever, but since it's not
- 18 core to us, it's hard to justify frankly to my
- 19 Board of Directors to spend money on something
- 20 that just invites a lot of -- all of our current
- 21 drivers, our 40,000 drivers, to use other
- 22 people's equipment. That's the way they look at
- 23 it, it's not so good for business, it doesn't
- 24 make a lot of business sense. So if we want to
- 25 do it, I think we need to be encouraged -- so I

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 think 454 has enough teeth, Senate Bill 454, for
- 2 the mandatory side of this. But I think to
- 3 accelerate it, State funding wouldn't help a lot.
- 4 You know, we've suggested some forms. I think it
- 5 should probably be competitive, it should be a
- 6 pot of money that whoever needs it to advance its
- 7 cause can compete for however the State wants to
- 8 run that offering. So we are ready to do it, we
- 9 could sure use some help to do it quickly,
- 10 because it is otherwise not strategic to us.
- 11 MS. BAROODY: Thanks, Richard.
- DR. KRAMER: If I could step back, I
- 13 guess. The definition of interoperability, I
- 14 think, is important here. You know, I think
- 15 quite a bit has been discussed today and this
- 16 morning, I quite enjoyed ABB's definition in
- 17 terms of the different interfaces, and you know,
- 18 I think if we only focus on one of those circles,
- 19 I think we are doing ourselves a disservice in
- 20 terms of the type of research and types of things
- 21 that I think the State should be funding. So I
- 22 think in terms of interoperability we have, yes,
- 23 the consumer, but we also have still issues
- 24 between the charging stations and back to the
- 25 vehicles in some cases, some cases we have it all

- 1 the way back up to how it is that that charger is
- 2 going to be controlled, for instance. One thing
- 3 we don't want to do is end up in the same kind of
- 4 situation that we have had with our utility
- 5 meters, where we don't have enough smarts in our
- 6 chargers, or when we go out to implement and we
- 7 put out a bunch of public charging stations, I
- 8 think we would be remiss in not considering
- 9 things such as perhaps in the future some sort of
- 10 a Vehicle to Grid type charging capabilities. So
- 11 I think when we talk about interoperability,
- 12 unless I'm mistaken from the Governor's Office, I
- 13 think it's more than just, yes, we want to
- 14 increase the penetration of plug-in type
- 15 vehicles, be it electric, be it hybrid vehicles
- 16 with charging capability, and I think in the end
- 17 that is the objective, is to try and increase the
- 18 penetration, but not end up in a situation where
- 19 a station, for instance, let's say somebody does
- 20 go bankrupt, we certainly don't want to have a
- 21 bunch of EVs and all of a sudden they can't get
- 22 from Point A to Point B.
- So I guess the one thing I must ask is,
- 24 going back, what is our definition of
- 25 interoperability in the context to which we're  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING, LLC}$

- 1 discussing?
- MS. BAROODY: Randall, do you want to take
- 3 that one on?
- 4 MR. WINSTON: I'm going to actually kick
- 5 that back to the group and the reason why is
- 6 because I think we're here today to define that.
- 7 I actually think that, just to be candid, the
- 8 Governor's Office, we didn't quite understand the
- 9 full definitions of interoperability as the
- 10 Action Plan was being drafted. As it was being
- 11 drafted we I think openly held out the caveat
- 12 that it's an evolving document, you know, that
- 13 everyone who was present at our stakeholder
- 14 meeting that we had last September, I think, felt
- 15 that this is a market that's evolving and
- 16 everything that we're naming or sort of trying to
- 17 stake as goal posts or targets might sort of
- 18 change, or we'll have to adapt to. I think
- 19 interoperability is actually one of those issues.
- 20 I would sort of hesitate to give a definition one
- 21 way or the other because I really want -- I would
- 22 like to see and I think the Governor's Office
- 23 would like to see some consensus around that
- 24 definition from you all.
- MS. BAROODY: Go ahead.

| 1 PROFESSOR GADH: I | 'd just | like <sup>.</sup> | to add | tc |
|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--------|----|
|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--------|----|

- 2 that in terms of definition, I think first of all
- 3 based on the presentations today, I think
- 4 interoperability -- and this is pretty typical
- 5 when any discipline is in sort of the early
- 6 stages, it's sort of a big elephant and everyone
- 7 is trying to figure out -- touching a piece of it
- 8 and trying to figure out what it is. So I think
- 9 that that interoperability -- first of all, we
- 10 need it, I mean, if we don't have it, it's like
- 11 in the telecomm space, GSM was a big thing that
- 12 came out of Europe where a cell phone could talk
- 13 to the cell tower, and in America we have
- 14 proprietary technologies like CDMA, but then they
- 15 leapfrogged the GSM capabilities. And then now,
- 16 you know, that GSM technologies are catching up.
- 17 So it's in the early stages. But I think that
- 18 looking at what we mean by interoperability based
- 19 on some of the presentations today, I think
- 20 everybody has their own view and I think two of
- 21 those points are mentioned here, for PEV drivers
- 22 to access charging stations and to backend
- 23 software management platforms. I think beyond
- 24 that, there's the networking, there are all the
- 25 algorithms, there's mobile apps, mapping, so if CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 you have a mapping app capability, you shouldn't
- 2 have to build APIs for everybody, right, there
- 3 should be some interface. So I think there's a
- 4 lot of scope for defining what we mean and I
- 5 think the definition is not completely clear
- 6 right now.
- 7 MS. BAROODY: So it sounds like we could
- 8 actually have more input on where we need
- 9 interoperability in this whole realm. And I
- 10 think actually Adam brought that up in his
- 11 presentation and basically that really made sense
- 12 to me, you know, where do we need
- 13 interoperability? Let's define that first. So I
- 14 think that's what Bill is trying to get at.
- 15 MR. HAUSER: And to that point, as Rajit
- 16 pointed out, we do have two areas that we've
- 17 defined where interoperability is important; one
- 18 is we're calling roaming interoperability for a
- 19 driver to be able to use multiple charge stations
- 20 irrespective of what network platform they're on,
- 21 and then, two, making sure that any charge
- 22 station can communicate with any backend network
- 23 management system. So those are the two points,
- 24 there's network interoperability and data
- 25 roaming. And I think that the Government's CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 responsibility in part is to allow the space to
- 2 evolve, you know, to scale, and it can be
- 3 flexible because it is such a nascent industry,
- 4 and there are things that we have yet to
- 5 understand, things we're going to learn, other
- 6 technologies that will evolve, and so we have to
- 7 enable those people that are going to be making
- 8 investments in the initial rounds, with this
- 9 first generation of technology, the ability to be
- 10 able to upgrade that, or change networking
- 11 systems and solutions without having to throw
- 12 away the investment they've already made. I
- 13 think that's the first thing, that's very
- 14 important, and that's on the network
- 15 interoperability.
- 16 And then with respect to the driver
- 17 roaming, I'll kind of repeat what I said this
- 18 morning, I think especially in this domain,
- 19 there's so many things that are happening around
- 20 what drivers experience, the driver usages, we
- 21 don't have a lot of data points yet back about
- 22 what their experience is like, what they do like,
- 23 what they don't like. The ones that are coming
- 24 back are somewhat limited in scope because they
- 25 really don't have a lot of optionality that they CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 can pick from, whether it's RFID card, credit
- 2 card swipe, whatnot. I do know we see clients or
- 3 site hosts that are putting things out, are
- 4 trying to allow for a whole suite of offerings
- 5 that anyone can pick. They can have an RFID
- 6 card, they can pay by credit card, they can pay
- 7 by phone, and they can use a mobile app. And so
- 8 they want those because they want to learn and
- 9 understand what it is exactly that the customer
- 10 is going to prefer.
- 11 And as I also said this morning, I mean,
- 12 we've been used to piling up credit ever since we
- 13 probably were in college and got our first credit
- 14 card and didn't know what the hell to do with it
- 15 except that it got us things, and a credit card
- 16 can still get us things, it can still get us
- 17 electricity, and we don't have to change consumer
- 18 behavior about how they purchase things if we
- 19 allow that to be one of the main components of
- 20 how we create for -- I don't know if you would
- 21 call that as much "roaming" or "interoperability"
- 22 as "open access."
- MS. BAROODY: Okay.
- DR. KRAMER: Maybe another way to think
- 25 about this is -- I think really what we're trying CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 to address are the roadblocks that are in front
- 2 of us for the implementation and penetration of
- 3 this transportation means. And it's not that I'm
- 4 trying to open it up even further, but when we
- 5 look at what the roadblocks are, many of them
- 6 have a tendency to be how do you pay for it,
- 7 where is that next charging station? Many of
- 8 these things have been growing out of just a
- 9 small pool of folks who were early adopters, but
- 10 now that we're seeing the numbers from the
- 11 automotive companies that the penetration is
- 12 increasing, when I think about it, I look at what
- 13 are the roadblocks that are stopping us from
- 14 getting these types of activities out there. And
- 15 when I think of interoperability from my
- 16 perspective, I can't help but think about what is
- 17 the interoperability back to the utility? What
- 18 is the interoperability of three vehicles that
- 19 may be sharing a transformer so that I don't blow
- 20 up that transformer, and they're not all charging
- 21 at the same time? When I think of
- 22 interoperability -- that's on the electricity
- 23 side -- when I think of interoperability of
- 24 chargers inside of a building, or in a residence,
- 25 I think about how can that charger be

- 1 interoperable with the other load devices that
- 2 are in my home so that I can be a good steward
- 3 and be able to shed load when I might need to for
- 4 time of day use?
- 5 So, I guess my idea when I came here was
- 6 that interoperability is larger than I think that
- 7 I've heard generally spoken today in the original
- 8 presentations. Each individual, each individual
- 9 area has, of course, its own merits, but I want
- 10 to emphasize that what we're trying to do here is
- 11 we're trying to increase this mode of
- 12 transportation, that is the objective, and
- 13 interoperability is what we believe is the major
- 14 roadblock. And in the end, if I ask my mom, and
- 15 I asked her, "Hey, mom, which car do you want to
- 16 pick, is she going to pick an electric vehicle,
- 17 or is she going to pick a fuel cell -- pick a
- 18 fuel cell vehicle! Way up there -- or pick a
- 19 normal vehicle, and she would not have the tools
- 20 herself in order to determine what was good, what
- 21 was bad, you know, be able to have her just able
- 22 to understand how to make that choice. And I
- 23 think in the end what we want is that choice to
- 24 be no different almost than any other type of
- 25 choice that you might make. So again, I'm not CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 trying to open this up to what are all the
- 2 roadblocks for EVs, or devices that need to be
- 3 charged; in the end, I think that's part of the
- 4 question that we're trying to answer.
- 5 MS. BAROODY: That's good. I think we'll
- 6 explore that a little bit more in our second
- 7 panel, as well. Paul?
- 8 MR. STITH: Yeah, definitely. So,
- 9 Randall, to the whole point of this is that
- 10 you've got roaming looked at as a holy get us all
- 11 a lot of things, and if you step back a little
- 12 bit and determine what have we accomplished so
- 13 far, if 454 goes through, the drivers are able to
- 14 charge without regard to which network they
- 15 subscribe to. And through their behavior and
- 16 where they are, they will learn maybe they want
- 17 to become a subscriber, that makes it more
- 18 economical. I used that theme earlier. Drivers
- 19 are going to be studying continually does it make
- 20 sense for them to move forward with something.
- 21 So we feel that the roaming part might be more
- 22 expensive in terms of investments today versus
- 23 other things on the priorities list, so not to
- 24 lose sight of it, that it's important, but we
- 25 could be talking about a transaction only happens

- 1 once or twice a month and it may only happen once
- 2 or twice for each driver until they solve it.
- 3 They may pick up that other RFID tag, they may
- 4 move with the market. So I would try to separate
- 5 charging anywhere at any time and hope that we
- 6 can check it off the list that we've already made
- 7 progress, and then look for the state whether
- 8 it's going to make any sense to require
- 9 interoperability moving forward in terms of the
- 10 roaming side.
- 11 Another point, and this goes back to being
- 12 able to charge and -- not really
- 13 interoperability, I'm going to call it non-
- 14 operability -- and that is that, if a station
- 15 network is down, that you should actually be able
- 16 to charge. And I think that might be something
- 17 you'd want to consider. I believe some vendors
- 18 do support that and that satisfies a lot of --
- 19 some of the concerns, and it certainly would give
- 20 an incentive to repair a station if its ability
- 21 to collect funds was not available.
- MR. WINSTON: And just so I'm clear, if
- 23 it's not roaming in your mind that's sort of at
- 24 the top of the list priority-wise, what are those
- 25 priorities?

- 1 MR. STITH: Well, in terms of enabling
- 2 drivers, that we see other things possibly in
- 3 terms of the mapping capabilities that can
- 4 actually be solved possibly in software sooner,
- 5 faster. And certainly we pointed to some of the
- 6 datasets that we'd like to see available, more so
- 7 to the state in terms of planning infrastructure
- 8 and understanding behavior. So it's push it out
- 9 and look at when we would solve it according to
- 10 the market.
- 11 MS. BAROODY: Paul, could you take just a
- 12 moment, I hate to put you on the spot, but could
- 13 you just recap for everybody here what SB 454
- 14 will accomplish if it goes through? Just a few
- 15 bullet points?
- 16 MR. STITH: So what we looked at with SB
- 17 454 is that, if you're a driver of a gas vehicle,
- 18 you're very familiar with pulling up to a
- 19 station, it may be open 24/7, but maybe not.
- 20 When you get there, if you need fuel, you're able
- 21 to purchase fuel for your vehicle, and that's
- 22 something that people can trust, they may have a
- 23 higher price for it, but they're able to purchase
- 24 it. And typically it's a credit card transaction
- 25 that is a common -- the least common denominator

- 1 that vehicle drivers will have, so 454 gives an
- 2 opportunity for that. It has a provision with
- 3 regard to encouraging signage to help vehicle
- 4 drivers find signage that they're looking for,
- 5 and it does have a provision with regard to
- 6 roaming interoperability in I think it's 2015 is
- 7 the stake that's out there in the ground, to help
- 8 with the adoption rates. Our research within the
- 9 community is finding that, as people are so cost-
- 10 sensitive that, if roaming increases the cost,
- 11 then roaming might not be doing an early service
- 12 to the adoption rates.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. Appreciate
- 14 it. Jordan.
- 15 MR. RAMER: Yeah, thanks. You know, when
- 16 I hear the conversations, I take it back to the
- 17 way we think about this, and I didn't do very
- 18 well in Economics, but I'll give you the way I
- 19 think about it. I think it just comes back to
- 20 there's two things, there's an ROI for the site
- 21 owner and there's an ROI for the driver, and I
- 22 think the State's role is to figure out how to
- 23 improve that ROI, or maximize that ROI for both
- 24 of those stakeholders. And to the extent you can
- 25 do that, all the other technology and all these

- 1 other things kind of fall into place. And so,
- 2 when you look at the ROI for the site owners,
- 3 which is really the world that we live in, it
- 4 comes down to how do you create an environment
- 5 where all the players in the market, including
- 6 companies like EV Connect, can compete for the
- 7 site owner's attentions, and win the business in
- 8 a way that is cost-effective for them. And then,
- 9 when you look at the driver side, it's in a way
- 10 that the drivers want to buy Electric Vehicles
- 11 that they enjoy using them, and that it's a cost
- 12 effective mode of transportation compared to the
- 13 alternative. And then, from there, you can just
- 14 take a tree down and, to me, what I just
- 15 described is 35,000 feet, and today's earlier
- 16 presentations were probably more like 5,000 feet.
- 17 And so the State needs to think about how we
- 18 probably go from the 35,000 feet down to 20, and
- 19 then go down deeper into that to make an impact.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay.
- 21 MR. LOWENTHAL: A counterpoint to a couple
- 22 things --
- MS. BAROODY: Of course.
- 24 MR. LOWENTHAL: -- that were raised. So
- 25 the roaming piece, I just want to focus on the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 roaming piece. You're right, there are other
- 2 pieces of interoperability that are quite
- 3 interesting, but just focusing on the roaming
- 4 piece, it may not be the prescient issue today in
- 5 that we're starting, but you know, I've already
- 6 shipped 40,000 of the wrong tag, I'm shipping 200
- 7 a week of this tag, I'm shipping 150 stations a
- 8 week to somebody, and to the extent that we don't
- 9 grab a hold of this issue now because it's early
- 10 days, that might not be wise. You may want to
- 11 grab a hold of some of these issues before we go
- 12 too far out into the weeds of our directions.
- MR. HAUSER: But why is it our problem
- 14 that you have the wrong RFID cards?
- 15 MR. LOWENTHAL: I'm not trying to make it
- 16 anybody's problem, this is a problem that we may
- 17 want to collectively address sooner, that's all
- 18 I'm saying. We may want to address the problem
- 19 sooner than later, so I'm not talking about
- 20 money, I'm talking about when. And so, yes,
- 21 correct, is this may not be the biggest issue on
- 22 the list today because things are small, not that
- 23 many drivers, they're kind of tolerant, they're
- 24 used to bending over backwards to figure out how
- 25 they charge their car, but it doesn't mean we CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 should address this issue sooner.
- 2 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. Anybody else
- 3 like to make a comment? Matt.
- 4 MR. HAUSER: I would just say, again, even
- 5 with respect to RFID cards, I mean, are you using
- 6 my fare compliant RFID cards?
- 7 MR. LOWENTHAL: No.
- 8 MR. HAUSER: It goes back to Standards,
- 9 too, like when we roll out RFID cards --
- 10 MR. LOWENTHAL: Standards compliant --
- 11 MR. HAUSER: -- yeah, but -- okay, you're
- 12 right --
- 13 MR. LOWENTHAL: -- Standards, but it is a
- 14 standard. Just like Collaboratev is all
- 15 standards-based, too, so you can't stand
- 16 completely on this standards high ground, we are
- 17 all using standards, we just haven't agreed on
- 18 which ones, so --
- MR. HAUSER: No, but when we start putting
- 20 public money into play and how it should be used,
- 21 then it is relevant, what's the best thing for --
- MR. LOWENTHAL: Agreed.
- MR. WINSTON: I'm just going to jump in
- 24 with a question really fast here, and it's on the
- 25 list. I would be interested to hear each of your CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 specific input. And that is, if the State were
- 2 to have a future EVSE solicitation at the CEC,
- 3 what would that look like? And what should the
- 4 criteria be? And very specifically addressing
- 5 that question.
- 6 MR. RAMER: Could you just clarify which
- 7 question?
- 8 MR. WINTSTON: It's number four. That of
- 9 was kind of hitting specifically on what the
- 10 criteria should be, and what might that look like
- 11 very specifically from where you sit. And I'll
- 12 open this up, of course, to the audience, as
- 13 well.
- 14 PROFESSOR GADH: I think one of the things
- 15 is, to me, it seems that, again, this is probably
- 16 repeating several times, but this is in the early
- 17 stages of this discipline and I -- because one
- 18 thing I want to say, you know, we have a very
- 19 small number of organizations here in the sense
- 20 that there's many many more organizations in the
- 21 world that are working in this space; 2) this is
- 22 not going to be a zero sum gain, this is a growth
- 23 opportunity, a phenomenal growth opportunity, and
- 24 I mean, you know, this can become into a mega
- $\,$  25 industry in its own right and there are things  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 that we can't even imagine now that, for example,
- 2 some students are playing around with fun stuff
- 3 like putting some TV screens on charging stations
- 4 and connecting them to mobile phones, and things
- 5 like that, so to me it is very early to put
- 6 straightjackets on things. That means that when
- 7 the State is giving support, there should be room
- 8 for some new concepts, some creative ideas, some
- 9 technology development, and in terms of standards
- 10 like what we were just talking about earlier, you
- 11 know, if the discussion of multiple standards, so
- 12 interoperability itself needs a clear definition.
- 13 So I think that Jordan talked about the 25, 50 or
- 14 million -- I don't know how many -- 35 --
- 15 whatever -- however high Jordan is -- go as high
- 16 as he's saying and go even higher, and so in a
- 17 sense there is still some investigation here, but
- 18 I think if we are to put California -- and I
- 19 think the key is we're talking about the State of
- 20 California having led so far, but we want to keep
- 21 that lead, and California brings a lot. I mean,
- 22 I'm going to throw something new here, solar with
- 23 EV, we didn't talk about that, okay? And putting
- 24 a solar EVSE interface, where the EVs are going
- 25 to be used to help fill in when the sun doesn't CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 shine for a few minutes. So we're just in very
- 2 early stages of this technology, and that
- 3 technology could be in the EVSE. In fact, one of
- 4 my students is putting in it right now, so there
- 5 needs to be plenty of scope for us to innovate
- 6 and be creative, and keep California -- and we
- 7 have Silicon Valley, I mean, so I think that it
- 8 should not be straight jacketed.
- 9 MS. BAROODY: So, to that point, so if we
- 10 require that all EVSE that we funded did not
- 11 allow for vendor lock-in, for -- just come right
- 12 out and say it -- so if we were to do that, what
- 13 would be the negative consequences? Would there
- 14 be any negative consequences?
- 15 MR. LOWENTHAL: So I'll suggest one. This
- 16 will be an exciting topic to discuss, I think.
- 17 If you did that right now, of our 30 percent of
- 18 customers who charge money for charging, they
- 19 couldn't do it because there is no
- 20 interoperability standard existent today that
- 21 allows people to charge for charging. And so
- 22 you'd be eliminating a business model, you'd be
- 23 eliminating a lot of customers from the EVSE
- 24 market who won't do it without that. So if the
- $\,$  25 standard is robust, and ready, and does what  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 people want, that's one matter; but if you make
- 2 mandates that they restrict the business models,
- 3 and they eliminate people from buying EVSE, it's
- 4 not good for drivers because there's not enough
- 5 EVSE. If you were to ask what problem is worse
- 6 today, is there enough EVSE, those are too hard
- 7 to use, I think most people agree that there's
- 8 not enough. So you have to be cautious about the
- 9 side effects of mandatory decisions.
- 10 MR. HAUSER: Although, I mean, I agree
- 11 with the last point, you have to be cautious.
- 12 We're on OCPP and we accept payments through
- 13 mobile apps, through RFIDs, and through credit
- 14 card swipes. So --
- 15 MS. BAROODY: Okay. We have some
- 16 questions here in the audience also. Jason?
- 17 MR. WOLF: Yeah. Just to get an
- 18 understanding because I think to tie all these
- 19 three comments together definitely in my view, if
- 20 you limit to one type of requirement, you're
- 21 going to stop some kinds of innovations and we
- 22 don't know how they're going to want to
- 23 communicate. But also, if you look back, and
- 24 that goes to the point about success, today, how
- 25 many of the charging stations, Brett, do you have CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 that are running your software in the U.S.
- 2 running?
- 3 MR. HAUSER: In the U.S.?
- 4 MR. WOLF: Yeah.
- 5 MR. HAUSER: Upwards of 200 -- 1,200
- 6 nationwide.
- 7 MR. WOLF: How many do you have, David, in
- 8 the U.S.?
- 9 MR. LOWENTHAL: 12,000.
- MR. WOLF: 12,000. I think we have to be
- 11 careful of -- and I love OCPP and I love
- 12 standards coming in, but I think the discussion
- 13 about timing, I think that's where the problem
- 14 comes. Bill mentioned this, we all go through
- 15 this, the question I would ask everybody to
- 16 answer is, is there a necessity now to start one
- 17 type of model? Or are we too early to decide
- 18 that? The same thing for Collaboratev, I don't
- 19 think it needs to be the only roaming, credit
- 20 cards are great.
- 21 MR. RAMER: Well, you now, just to address
- 22 that, I don't think that Leslie's question was
- 23 about any particular standard, I think it was to
- 24 avoid stranded assets --
- MS. BAROODY: Correct.

- 1 MR. RAMER: -- and so I would answer yes,
- 2 just a simple answer, but how that is defined and
- 3 constrained probably needs further discussion.
- 4 And OCPP is one of those. The other answer would
- 5 be if there is a proprietary network that they
- 6 allow for on the network side openness to be able
- 7 to swap out hardware. And so there's actually
- 8 two different angles with which to do that if you
- 9 were to define it that way, and in which case you
- 10 could answer your question very easily and put
- 11 that constraint out there, and I think solve the
- 12 problem.
- MR. LOWENTHAL: I think to go along with
- 14 that line of thinking, you know, some of the
- 15 beauty in the NRG settlement decision was the
- 16 focus on make ready is where you have common
- 17 ground. Another that we haven't talked about,
- 18 the Tesla DC Standard here today, I think it just
- 19 makes people's heads explode to know that there's
- 20 actually another one, but we do have common
- 21 ground there and now with modern EVSE, probably
- 22 two-thirds of the cost is in the installation, is
- 23 in the make ready part, as opposed to the
- 24 equipment itself, so that does happen to be a
- 25 place of common ground and it might be easier to CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 define the standard installation at this point
- 2 than it is some of the functionality-based
- 3 things.
- 4 MR. HAUSER: Well, I just -- going back to
- 5 that, I mean, I think what we're trying to solve
- 6 on this side of the interoperability is a site
- 7 host or whoever buys a charge station, puts it in
- 8 the ground, are they going to have the ability
- 9 going forward to pick another network management
- 10 solution, functionality that they want at their
- 11 discretion, or are they locked in? And how do we
- 12 accomplish that? Because any time that we roll
- 13 out these charging stations and they are stuck
- 14 with whatever they have been put in the ground
- 15 with, we are putting everyone at risk. And so
- 16 that's really what we have to try to solve for.
- 17 And if there are multiple ways to do that, I'm
- 18 all for that. If there are multiple protocols
- 19 that can be achieved with, I think we're all for
- 20 that, too, but we just can't afford to have these
- 21 stranded assets out in the field like with Better
- 22 Place and like what hopefully won't happen with
- 23 Ecotality, but it is a real risk.
- 24 MR. WOLF: There's not one stranded asset
- 25 in Ecotality or Better Place's case. Ecotality

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 is nothing is decided yet --
- 2 MR. HAUSER: I completely understand and I
- 3 hope that's not the case.
- 4 MR. WOLF: Okay, that's one thing. And in
- 5 Better Place's case, there was not one dime,
- 6 there was a network that was sold for money to
- 7 another operator, with the condition that that
- 8 operator replaces the stations not on Government
- 9 money, on its own money, so that a commercial
- 10 transaction which is one of the biggest
- 11 milestones for this industry, that there's value
- 12 in those networks. So saying that there's -- and
- 13 even if there was -- even if let's say this
- 14 wasn't that way and there were 77 stations,
- 15 looking at that and trying to blow it up as the
- 16 biggest issue in this industry? I think there's
- 17 been kind of a capturing of the attention of
- 18 California by a few that they have a lot of
- 19 assets in the ground and, you know, I love the
- 20 modeling and I love OCPP, so it's not about that,
- 21 but I think that the agenda has been a little
- 22 hijacked and that's my honest opinion.
- MR. CHERKAOUI: I would like to contribute
- 24 perhaps a return of experience from Europe where
- 25 actually OCPP comes from, in fact, from the CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 homeland, the Netherlands. The City of Amsterdam
- 2 chose about two years ago to actually avoid
- 3 vendor lock-in, the Government of the city
- 4 decided to submit a public tender, a European
- 5 public tender, for two contracts that would use
- 6 two backend office, so two software solutions,
- 7 with two hardware solutions, with the premise
- 8 that should one of the vendors go for whatever
- 9 reason out of operation, the other one would be
- 10 able to use and manage the EVSEs, the hardware,
- 11 or vice versa. And they asked to use an open
- 12 source protocol to link to, essentially it's just
- 13 a language, that would be common. The fact is,
- 14 the two are operating, the two are working really
- 15 well today, but should something happen because,
- 16 as Jason pointed out, the standards are not ready
- 17 yet, there would be quite a bit of development
- 18 work to actually use the stranded assets to be
- 19 working with the others. So even though based on
- 20 that experience the government has actually
- 21 provided that, there is still difficulty, it is
- 22 not a straightforward answer to just say that,
- 23 unless the standards have been developed and are
- 24 available and are managed and are implemented the
- 25 same way, it's still not something that is

- 1 existing today.
- 2 And I think I want to say something about
- 3 the priority of the state and about what -- when
- 4 you look at from the driver's perspective and for
- 5 what the State of California wants to achieve,
- 6 which is really to have accelerating the uptick
- 7 of EV sales by individuals, I think everybody
- 8 here agrees that what needs to be there is more
- 9 charging infrastructure, that's what EV drivers
- 10 demand. It's a perception rather than can I
- 11 access this or that. Europe has not had the luck
- 12 that you guys have here, which is to actually
- 13 have two large networks with the chances that, if
- 14 you are in a place, you actually see that there
- 15 is EV charging; and in Europe, it's not the case
- 16 yet. But the fact is, and that was one of the
- 17 reasons, is that's where it comes from, all these
- 18 breaking up things apart has not allowed any
- 19 business model that is sustainable. And I think
- 20 the key question, which I think we all agree, we
- 21 need more EVSEs to have more EVs, who is going to
- 22 fund that infrastructure? Somebody has to pay
- 23 and somebody has to put it in place, and I do not
- 24 know many models that actually work today except
- 25 for a couple that really have allowed to put in  ${\it CALIFORNIA\ REPORTING, LLC}$

- 1 place EVSEs. There was a great study by U.C.
- 2 Davis looking at 2,500 EV drivers, there is an
- 3 overuse of what's installed, so there needs to be
- 4 more EVSEs today, granted that interoperability
- 5 is the key, but most important, priorities to put
- 6 more EVSEs out there.
- 7 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks.
- 8 MR. ZEREGA: Thank you. Matt, SDG&E. A
- 9 couple of comments in reference to things I just
- 10 heard. One thing that I think is important for
- 11 all of us to remember is that open source doesn't
- 12 necessarily mean that it's the least cost
- 13 alternative, all things considered. The comment
- 14 about stranded assets, no matter what happens to
- 15 any of the equipment manufacturers or network
- 16 providers, let's all remember that, and I think,
- 17 Richard, you mentioned that the cost of
- 18 installation, three-fourths of it was
- 19 installation. If the charging station doesn't
- 20 work anymore, the circuits are still there, okay?
- 21 So that's important to remember. So three-
- 22 fourths of the assets really can't be stranded
- 23 too much. And then, with regard to this triangle
- 24 or showing the usage, the upside down triangle,
- 25 or the right side up one where it says, you know,

- 1 home is the biggest, and then the workplace, and
- 2 then public, remember when we talk about publicly
- 3 accessible EVSE, we're talking about the smallest
- 4 part of the triangle. And if you look at the
- 5 data that's publicly available for the EV
- 6 project, they can just do some simple math in the
- 7 data and you can check me on these numbers, but
- 8 when the price was free during the EV project, if
- 9 you look at the data from the point of view of
- 10 what proportion of the EV driving population on
- 11 any given day goes out and gets a charge away
- 12 from home, I think it peaked at like seven
- 13 percent, so it's not a very big number. I think
- 14 it was really hovering around three or four most
- 15 of the time. That's our price of free. As soon
- 16 as the price comes off free -- and I did do good
- 17 in economics -- but I know that when the price
- 18 comes off of zero, you start to see a drop-off in
- 19 demand. So in terms of solving problems, let's
- 20 keep this in perspective.
- 21 And then, now to get back to your question
- 22 about State priorities, what I'll say generally
- 23 is that the State's priority should be focused on
- 24 outcomes, not requirements for specific
- 25 standards, business models, specifications, not

- 1 on methods, we should not be focused on methods,
- 2 we should be focused on outcomes. And I just did
- 3 some simple math here and I was looking at -- I
- 4 don't know if it was SB 454, or the prep doc for
- 5 this session, but it said something like, you
- 6 know, in California we spent \$25 million and we
- 7 have 4,500 stations. Well, that's \$5,556 a
- 8 station. So I'm just throwing this out there.
- 9 Maybe one of the things we should think about in
- 10 any future solicitations is that it results in a
- 11 cost that's less than that, on average. Maybe we
- 12 say, hey, if you can bring something in of half
- 13 of that, you deserve some grant money. And
- 14 again, I'm just throwing that out there.
- 15 And the other number I kind of want to
- 16 remind people of, and it's been spoken to a
- 17 couple times by a few people in here, and that is
- 18 for plug in hybrids who have fuel optionality all
- 19 the time, whenever they're driving they can
- 20 always go to a gas pump or plug in, let's
- 21 remember -- and I use plug-in Prius as an example
- 22 just because it's the one I'm familiar with -- it
- 23 goes 48 miles to the gallon when the battery is
- 24 dead, okay? 48 miles to the gallon. That car,
- 25 when it has to pay \$.25 a kilowatt hour all in, CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 that's transaction fees, whatever is paid to the
- 2 utility, your ROI on the equipment, whatever,
- 3 it's got to stay below \$.25 or that Prius driver
- 4 is going to say, wait a minute, if I plug into
- 5 that gas pump over there, the effective energy
- 6 transfer rate -- and you can go do the math on
- 7 this, too -- it's 2.5 megawatts; the fastest
- 8 charging stations out there right now are 50
- 9 kilowatts. You know, so we really have to be
- 10 sensitive to outcomes. We really have to be
- 11 sensitive to what is the price to the driver, and
- 12 what does the equipment cost because that
- 13 equipment cost, I think everybody would agree,
- 14 eventually is going to affect the driver.
- 15 MR. LOWENTHAL: So I'd like to respond to
- 16 a piece of that if I could. As I said before, we
- 17 don't set the pricing for charging at our
- 18 stations and most of the time the customers who
- 19 do that do that either because they need that to
- 20 justify spending the money on the EVSE, or
- 21 sometimes it's the City who says it's a gift for
- 22 the public funds if they give away electricity
- 23 for free, those are kind of the two modes we see.
- 24 Occasionally it's a fairness issue like in an
- 25 apartment building where the tenant that is CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 charging its car should pay for that electricity,
- 2 otherwise all the tenants have to share for it,
- 3 and they don't like paying for the guy with the
- 4 \$100,000 car. But it is not our choice, it's
- 5 like we're trying to incentivize a market for
- 6 people to deploy enough EVSE, so we can give them
- 7 that choice and they set the price. A lot of
- 8 times they do what you said, they set it too high
- 9 and then nobody uses the station, so that doesn't
- 10 do anybody any good, especially them, and they
- 11 figure that out. So there is a little bit of let
- 12 the market be wise about those kind of things,
- 13 like pricing and, since the guy shelled out his
- 14 \$10,000 or whatever and put it in EVSE, they
- 15 wisen up pretty soon about adjusting.
- 16 The other thing I want to say, so I've
- 17 driven and owned a lot of EVs, so today the fact
- 18 that I could plug in down the street at a
- 19 charging station enabled me to drive my electric
- 20 vehicle, so sometimes it isn't a daily
- 21 occurrence, I charge usually at home, but I can't
- 22 use my Model S even to get to Sacramento and back
- 23 unless there is some public charging, so it may
- 24 be a small percentage, but sometimes your other
- 25 driving key is off the fact that there is an CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 available public charging, it enabled me to sell
- 2 my old Mercedes CLK because I could come here and
- 3 back because there's charging. So, yeah, the
- 4 percentage might be low, but I might be an
- 5 enabler.
- 6 MR. TEEBAY: Hi. I'm going to go after
- 7 the same point that Matt made, and that is that,
- 8 you know, what we really want to do, what my
- 9 mission is, what my outcome is, the outcome I'm
- 10 seeking, the County has 2,600 buildings, 50
- 11 million plus square feet of space, 35 percent of
- 12 our greenhouse gas emissions are heating,
- 13 lighting and cooling. We have 12,000 vehicles,
- 14 including 2,300 patrol vehicles. That group of
- 15 vehicles, that 12,000 vehicles is responsible for
- 16 11 percent of our greenhouse gas emissions. We
- 17 have 101,000 employees, and I don't know how many
- 18 the state has, but I would venture to say it's
- 19 probably double that. The employee's commute is
- 20 24 miles one way, the average employee's commute,
- 21 that's 32 percent of our greenhouse gases, just
- 22 their commute. So my focus is how do we get
- 23 these people to drive electric, and how do we get
- 24 them to drive electric home? And so when I look
- 25 at this, we have to put in infrastructure that's CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 low cost, we have to keep the costs low so that
- 2 when people pull up with their Prius or their C-
- 3 MAX, and they have a choice between paying \$2.00
- 4 an hour for 12 miles, or \$4.00 a gallon to go 40
- 5 or 50, that that's a false choice, and I know
- 6 they won't make the choice that's really better
- 7 for the environment. So we have to find a way to
- 8 keep our costs low.
- 9 The other piece that we're really
- 10 struggling with is interoperability in terms of
- 11 what happens if we put these systems in, and
- 12 we've seen the example of Better Place where
- 13 those machines actually continued to run if you
- 14 have the key fobs, but there's no record, there's
- 15 no cost accounting. I mean, we'd read about
- 16 ourselves in the L.A. Times if we were giving our
- 17 employees free and we had no way of capturing
- 18 that data. The final thing is, my background is
- 19 fleets and I know that every time that the fleet
- 20 management system, the software package that we
- 21 bought, that that company changed hands, it went
- 22 to somebody else, our fee structure changed, our
- 23 agreements changed, and we were powerless because
- 24 we had to do a solicitation and move the entire
- 25 fleet system to something else, or, you know, we

- 1 had to just suck it up and go with whatever that
- 2 was. So when I see an open back door that lets
- 3 me select the software provider who is going to
- 4 manage the network, who is going to manage the
- 5 hardware, and it gives me that flexibility, so
- 6 based on price, performance and cost, I can make
- 7 a decision. That's really important to me
- 8 because I've seen the other side of that
- 9 equation. So again, I'm looking at outcomes and
- 10 what am I going to do to keep my costs low to
- 11 make this a benefit, and to encourage employees
- 12 to make the right choice.
- MS. BAROODY: Thank you. We have one more
- 14 comment over here, or a question?
- 15 MR. HALLIWELL: Paul, I'll probably direct
- 16 this your way. Just thinking about the open
- 17 access and roaming, I'm trying to get my head
- 18 around that and then in terms of, so, what the
- 19 ultimate goal is, and it's part of this defining
- 20 interoperability. Open access to me implies that
- 21 you might get multiple bills, maybe that's not
- 22 the case, or is open access one bill? Maybe
- 23 Richard, same thing -- I guess, do I have to have
- 24 multiple accounts, I guess open access means I
- 25 don't have to have multiple accounts. But just

- 1 clarifying a little more, you know, what is the
- 2 ultimate goal of roaming because I think it
- 3 probably has different implications in near term
- 4 and long term.
- 5 MR. STITH: I'll start with this one.
- 6 This is back to 454, so the good news is that the
- 7 major charging companies in the room are already
- 8 compliance to 454, so 454 is just the driver's
- 9 assurance that they can arrive at a paid station
- 10 and have a way to pay for that even if they don't
- 11 have the key fob or the RFID. And the primary
- 12 way that that might occur is with another credit
- 13 card over the phone, or a credit card swipe, or
- 14 another way that they're enable that. So the
- 15 good news is that level of open access that we
- 16 seek is actually already in motion, as a state
- 17 supported initiative. Does that help you?
- 18 MR. LOWENTHAL: But let me answer -- I saw
- 19 the other question in there -- that means you're
- 20 going to get multiple billing sources. You'll
- 21 pay multiple bills. You'll pay a bill to your
- 22 credit card and maybe a bill to Blink, or
- 23 whatever, until we solve this issue. But it
- 24 reminds me, since 454 was brought back up, I want
- 25 to go back to your question here, which is now

- 1 454 mandates us to do roaming, so to some extent
- 2 now we have a State mandate that we adopt
- 3 interoperability standard, and the future
- 4 networks deployed in California comply to it, so
- 5 that's in 454. So if you need an incentive, it's
- 6 that the Legislature looks like it's about to act
- 7 and make that law that we have roaming. So now
- 8 we could use your help to implement the law
- 9 because it is not going to be the law, we won't
- 10 have this kind of discussion anymore, it's not an
- 11 option. We're going to have to do it. So to
- 12 some extent, I don't know if it's in this forum,
- 13 in this forum we are debating architectures and
- 14 things like that, but at some point we have to
- 15 talk about how do we comply with the law. And
- 16 certainly the CEC could facilitate that
- 17 compliance with the law, whatever, but that's not
- 18 a decision we need to make, we're going to do it
- 19 because it will be the law in California and it's
- 20 our most important customer state. So if there's
- 21 a way that it makes sense for the Energy
- 22 Commission to help realize the impacts of that
- 23 law, or if you want to leave it, I use the 30
- 24 unfunded mandate thing, you know, if you want to
- 25 leave it an unfunded mandate, you could do it, CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 those are not very popular and they're not very
- 2 effective, but it's going to be a mandate soon,
- 3 January 1, 2015.
- 4 MR. WINSTON: The Governor hasn't signed
- 5 any law with that yet --
- 6 MR. LOWENTHAL: He may not, he may not
- 7 sign it.
- 8 MR. WINSTON: But is a law making its way
- 9 through the Legislature.
- MS. BAROODY: We have about 10 more
- 11 minutes here.
- 12 MR. ZEREGA: I'll make this quick. So I
- 13 just have a totally hypothetical question. Let's
- 14 just assume that it becomes law. Let me paint a
- 15 scenario and then see if the scenario would be
- 16 compliant with the law. So let's just say
- 17 there's 50,000 publicly accessible charging
- 18 stations across the U.S. and every single site
- 19 owner/operator chose to install a credit card
- 20 reader from any one of 100 different providers of
- 21 credit card terminals, so all the terminals were
- 22 made by different companies, but all of the
- 23 terminals supported all major credit cards, and
- 24 the price to use it at each station was
- 25 different, some were a penny a kilowatt hour,

- 1 some were \$2.00 a kilowatt hour, or some were in
- 2 between. And so I as a driver, I could drive
- 3 across the U.S. and I could slide my credit card
- 4 at any one of these things, and I get one bill,
- 5 my credit card bill, whoever I chose for my bank,
- 6 but OCPP was not implemented. Would that be out
- 7 of compliance with the law?
- 8 MR. LOWENTHAL: Yes.
- 9 MR. ZEREGA: It would?
- 10 MR. LOWENTHAL: Yes, it would be.
- 11 MR. ZEREGA: It would be out of compliance
- 12 with the law, okay. So in that case, if that
- 13 were implemented, would that be the outcome we
- 14 were looking for, that we have a national network
- 15 of 50,000 stations all accessible by any major
- 16 credit card, I can drive across the U.S., but
- 17 that is illegal. Is that the outcome we want?
- MS. BAROODY: How is that not compliant?
- 19 Can you explain that?
- 20 MR. LOWENTHAL: Because the law says that
- 21 we have to adopt a national standard for
- 22 interoperability by January 1, 2015, and networks
- 23 that have deployed subsequent to that have to be
- 24 compliant within a year.
- 25 MR. ZEREGA: Is that the outcome we want?

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 MR. LOWENTHAL: I don't know.
- 2 MR. ZEREGA: I think we need to hope
- 3 that's considered before it becomes law.
- 4 MR. LOWENTHAL: Yeah, potentially that
- 5 could be the standard.
- 6 MR. HAUSER: Until January 15th, I mean,
- 7 the 1st of 2015, it would be compliant, right?
- 8 Because it allows everyone to charge regardless
- 9 if they have a subscription or not.
- 10 MR. LOWENTHAL: The law is not effective
- 11 yet, so --
- MR. HAUSER: I'm saying it wouldn't.
- 13 MR. ZEREGA: One last tiny comment and
- 14 that is I think what's inherent in my question
- 15 and what I'm trying to convey is that that is the
- 16 risk of focusing on methods as opposed to
- 17 outcomes.
- 18 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. We have a
- 19 question back there. Oh, is that -- oh, it's
- 20 Mike.
- 21 MR. TINSKEY: So a little bit off topic,
- 22 but related, just a question to the panel and to
- 23 the network providers. As an automotive
- 24 manufacturer, one of the things we're concerned
- 25 about is interoperability, but finding and

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 locating public charge stations. So it would be
- 2 good to get any views you have, and for many of
- 3 the network operators, of what's your vision. Is
- 4 that data -- so in other words, a data on a
- 5 charge station that's available and reservable,
- 6 is that something you're looking to monetize with
- 7 the automakers? Or is it something that you look
- 8 at as the automakers are referrers, right? We're
- 9 the ones sending customers to those charge
- 10 stations, so therefore we shouldn't necessarily
- 11 pay for that data. I'm sort of hinting at our
- 12 view, right? But, you know, that's the question
- 13 I guess I have and maybe, Richard, you could take
- 14 this.
- 15 MR. LOWENTHAL: I know it's aimed at me.
- 16 This is a topic that has gotten some work. First
- 17 I'm going to ask you if you'll give me all your
- 18 Ford sync data for free, but let's not go there
- 19 because I already know the answer. So we're
- 20 going to give away free static data, but real
- 21 time data should have a service level agreement
- 22 and a contract because it takes servers and
- 23 communication, bandwidth and all that stuff to
- 24 produce it, to produce it in any quality, and it
- 25 takes vetting and all of that. So what we CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 actually require is that we have a contract with
- 2 the company to whom we provide it. And will
- 3 there be fees? Generally, yes, because you're
- 4 going to want to pay for the extra servers and
- 5 communication and all that, that it will take to
- 6 implement it well. So the static stuff, we don't
- 7 incur any cost to, so we're going to give that
- 8 away. But the dynamic stuff, we incur a lot of
- 9 cost to produce it dynamically in terms of a
- 10 network and server resources, so in order -- so
- 11 we have to have a way to pay for that stuff. So
- 12 we require people to enter into a contract with
- 13 us.
- 14 MR. TINSKEY: So you're looking more
- 15 upstream at the automakers rather than the charge
- 16 station owners? Or both, for that data?
- 17 MR. LOWENTHAL: No. So we produce that --
- 18 the network produces that data, the network looks
- 19 at the EVSE and knows which ones are busy and all
- 20 that, but then we have to package it up and
- 21 provide it through an API, and that packaging up
- 22 and providing it with any level of quality takes
- 23 money to maintain and has to be paid for by
- 24 someone. What I can tell you is the EVSE owner
- $\,$  25 will not pay for that, they barely will pay for  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 EVSE. So you know, we just have to find a way to
- 2 pay for it. And we've always taken the stance
- 3 that we give data to this guy all the time for
- 4 free, but he doesn't require a service level
- 5 agreement, and I'm talking about NREL. So it
- 6 just depends on that. If you want to get a
- 7 guaranteed level of service, then there will be a
- 8 price tag associated with that.
- 9 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. I think there
- 10 was one more question.
- 11 MR. CHERKAOUI: I wanted to rebound on
- 12 Matt's comments. I think he's got a really good
- 13 point focusing on the outcome rather than on the
- 14 middle, is really important. And the second one,
- 15 to go back to my previous point, you compared
- 16 fueling times, you know, when it would be the
- 17 equivalent of having 2.5 megawatts. I think
- 18 that's the key piece there that says if you want
- 19 EV drivers to actually have that convenience, is
- 20 the ability to charge wherever they park, is
- 21 ubiquitous EV charging which actually requires,
- 22 therefore low cost infrastructure and models for
- 23 someone to fund it. And as Richard pointed out,
- 24 the EVSE owners have a hard time by themselves
- 25 just funding that stuff, but that's how it's CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 going to be. And in order for EVs to pick up,
- 2 you need to have as much ubiquitous charging as
- 3 possible, and that should be the outcome rather
- 4 than specifying how it's going to be done.
- 5 MS. BAROODY: All right. Thank you.
- 6 Let's see, we have about three minutes left.
- 7 Randall, do you have any other questions or
- 8 concerns?
- 9 MR. WINSTON: The data one was one that I
- 10 had and you guys touched upon it all. I'd
- 11 actually just ask those on the panel for any
- 12 final or closing thoughts with regards to the
- 13 State's role, in particular, obviously in light
- 14 of the upcoming SB 454, the EVSE solicitation
- 15 that Leslie mentioned, data, interoperability.
- 16 MR. RAMER: I'll just take one crack at
- 17 that. I mean, I think it's important for the
- 18 Commission to consider competition in the market
- 19 when it's putting these solicitations out, and
- 20 making sure that we do have a competitive market
- 21 as we go forward. And I think whatever gets
- 22 done, and that's I think where open and flexible,
- 23 however that ends up becoming defined, is
- 24 critical to the market and for all of us as
- 25 stakeholders.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks.
- 2 MR. LOWENTHAL: And I guess I'll add one.
- 3 A few people have commented that it is early
- 4 days, I think it's important to acknowledge that
- 5 there's still a lot of change going on in here,
- 6 and to the extent -- I'm going to resonate a
- 7 little bit with this outcome thing -- I think you
- 8 still want to see competitive ideas and new ideas
- 9 and new investment in ideas that reduce costs and
- 10 improves the ease of use for drivers, so I would
- 11 not get overly prescriptive on things, I wouldn't
- 12 frankly go to regulation on most of this because
- 13 you still want us to be creative. It's too early
- 14 to pick the winner.
- 15 PROFESSOR GADH: And I think I'm going to
- 16 echo some of those points. I think you want to
- 17 encourage creative innovation in this early stage
- 18 and I think the other piece of it is that,
- 19 because it's early stage, I think we do need more
- 20 data on the way consumers are using this and, you
- 21 know, sometimes data exists, but because of NDAs,
- 22 it's not available, but I think you do need more
- 23 data to be able to understand interoperability a
- 24 little bit better.
- 25 MR. WINSTON: Thank you. Brad or Bill,

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Paul?
- 2 MR. LOWENTHAL: Let me add one more thing
- 3 since nobody else was jumping in. So we talked
- 4 about the triangle a couple times today, I want
- 5 to remind people of a challenge in the triangle
- 6 where -- slightly off of this topic, but, you
- 7 know, the big piece of the triangle is home
- 8 charging, but 41 percent of that in California is
- 9 in places where the car owner doesn't control or
- 10 own his garage, so it's multi-family living,
- 11 either apartments or condominiums. It's an
- 12 extremely hard market for us to crack. There's a
- 13 bit of economic justice in it, there's some
- 14 alignment between people who are rich and have
- 15 their four-car garage and can afford to put a
- 16 charger in it, and the rest of us. And so to the
- 17 extent that the State can help with the multi-
- 18 family housing problem, that's a very significant
- 19 problem I think we share in common and it needs
- 20 to be addressed, and it is a very tough market,
- 21 but it means 41 percent of Californians can't be
- 22 in this market at all.
- MR. WINSTON: Thank you.
- MS. BAROODY: And we are looking at that,
- 25 absolutely.

## CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 DR. KRAMER: One thing I would maybe
- 2 suggest, I think there's ways for us to get data
- 3 and for us to normalize it such that proprietary
- 4 data is not provided, but can help to inform
- 5 infrastructure. The automotive companies have
- 6 quite a bit of information at their disposal, at
- 7 their hand. Making sure that we get the right
- 8 datasets to inform the proper infrastructure and
- 9 planning, I think, is very important, especially
- 10 in the front end of this, being able to site more
- 11 chargers, I think, is a high priority. Granted,
- 12 yes, many things are done at the home charge
- 13 level, but if we're going to actually have a
- 14 chance over time to extend the range of Electric
- 15 Vehicles past what we do have, we need to be
- 16 considering that, as well. There are
- 17 technologies that have been around for quite a
- 18 while, battery swap-out, that type of thing, and
- 19 we really can't determine what the next station
- 20 owner is going to look like in 2015. And I'm not
- 21 smart enough to know what it's going to look like
- 22 in 2020, but I think there are datasets that can
- 23 be pulled together to help us to put together a
- 24 much clearer plan in terms of siting and making
- 25 suggestions as to where infrastructure needs to CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 be placed.
- 2 MS. BAROODY: I actually have one more
- 3 question. I was going to ask Richard about NEMA
- 4 and where is NEMA now, and that whole process,
- 5 and what do they have to say.
- 6 MR. LOWENTHAL: So, it's a -- I don't want
- 7 to pick winners and losers either, but in terms
- 8 of national interoperability standards, NEMA is
- 9 developing -- ANSE identified four gaps in the EV
- 10 standards world of the United States, and they
- 11 identified these interoperability ones like
- 12 common authentication, like billing
- 13 reconciliation method, like station location. So
- 14 they are drafting those standards, and they're
- 15 about three-quarters the way through drafting
- 16 standards for those. And speaking a bit for
- 17 Jason, but so Collaboratev is building to those
- 18 standards, those national standards that ANSE is
- 19 -- that NEMA is developing and ANSE is likely to
- 20 adopt. And that was in response to a gap
- 21 analysis that ANSE did a few years ago, so these
- 22 standards make it so that the driver experience
- 23 is uncertain, and ANSE has identified them and
- 24 NEMA is working to fill those with national
- 25 standards. And my expectation, I got over my CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 skis a little bit when I talked about the
- 2 national standard being this or that, but my
- 3 expectation is that that would be the national
- 4 standard that California would be referring to
- 5 for interoperability, really roaming.
- 6 MS. BAROODY: Okay, thanks. All right,
- 7 any other last minute comments? I think that's
- 8 it.
- 9 MR. WINSTON: Thank you, all.
- 10 MS. BAROODY: Thank you very much,
- 11 appreciate it. [Applause] We're going to take a
- 12 five-minute break. How about that? Five
- 13 minutes.
- 14 (Break at 2:25 p.m.)
- 15 (Reconvene at 2:39 p.m.)
- 16 MS. ALLEN: Okay, so we'll go ahead and
- 17 get started. I'll introduce myself and then
- 18 Elise will introduce herself. My name is
- 19 Jennifer Allen, I'm one of the Supervisors in the
- 20 Emerging Fuels and Technologies Office, and I've
- 21 been with the Electric Vehicle Program since the
- 22 '90s, so with the Energy Commission, I was one of
- 23 two people who worked on Electric Vehicles back
- 24 in the old days, the old T van days, and so I not
- 25 only remember all the skeletons, I still

- 1 remember where some of them are buried.
- MS. KEDDIE: And I'm Elise Eddie, I'm
- 3 substituting for Analisa Bevan, who has a meeting
- 4 conflict shortly. I am Manager of the Zero
- 5 Emission Vehicle Implementation Section here at
- 6 the Air Resources Board, and one of the program
- 7 areas in my group is the Zero Emission Vehicle
- 8 Regulations, so you can imagine with the vehicles
- 9 that we've seen out and on the roads today, we're
- 10 quite enthusiastic about, very enthusiastic about
- 11 the automakers and their continued compliance
- 12 with our requirements. And with that, I'd like
- 13 to have the panelists go ahead and introduce
- 14 themselves, and we'll start with Cal on this end.
- 15 MR. LANGTON: Hi. I spoke earlier, so
- 16 I'll give you a quick intro, I'm Cal Langton,
- 17 Director of ABB's EV Charging Infrastructure
- 18 business for North America.
- 19 MR. WOLF: Jason Wolf, CEO of
- 20 Collaboratev.
- 21 MR. HAUSER: Brett Hauser, President of
- 22 Greenlots.
- PROFESSOR GADH: Rajit Gadh, UCLA.
- 24 DR. KRAMER: I'm Bill Kramer from National
- 25 Renewable Energy Lab.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 Ms. Allen: So what we're going to do is
- 2 follow the same format. I'll go ahead and read
- 3 off the questions, and then what we'd like to do
- 4 is talk about whether or not there's anything
- 5 within these questions that hasn't been covered
- 6 under previous discussions, so that we're not
- 7 going back over the same material. So let's go
- 8 with whatever is new that you see in these
- 9 questions.
- 10 So: 1) What are the advantages of
- 11 ensuring that EVSE in California have hardware
- 12 interoperability? Are there any disadvantages?
- 13 And if so, what are they? 2) What are the
- 14 overlapping issues and relationships between
- 15 network and hardware interoperability? Where do
- 16 they intersect? And what are the future
- 17 implications of adopting network interoperability
- 18 without hardware interoperability? 3) How can
- 19 the open Chargepoint protocol used in Europe
- 20 serve as an example to California? So we'll just
- 21 open it up if you'd like to jump in.
- MR. HAUSER: I do think we have touched on
- 23 some of these throughout the day, so as long as
- 24 you don't mind us going over them again. That
- 25 being said, I would say the disadvantages, again,

- 1 the risk that was brought up was one, the other
- 2 thing that was brought up by Richard earlier was
- 3 that, if there is some functionality the de facto
- 4 standard doesn't allow for and someone else's
- 5 proprietary standard has that, then that
- 6 particular customer would not be able to get that
- 7 functionality until it was brought into that open
- 8 protocol. I think one of the speakers, it
- 9 actually might have been Cal earlier today said,
- 10 you know, with their customer base -- I don't
- 11 know if they're on -- there's been two versions
- 12 of OCPP released thus far, 1.2 and then 1.5, and
- 13 2.0, at least the roadmap and functionality is
- 14 being released in O4, but I believe whatever
- 15 instance they're on, I think it covers 98 percent
- 16 of their customer requirements. So there is a
- 17 risk, I think it's a very low risk.
- 18 PROFESSOR GADH: So I'd like to answer
- 19 this question, what are the advantages of
- 20 ensuring that EVSE in California have hardware
- 21 interoperability. And I look at just like what
- 22 happened in the WiFi space, the price of the
- 23 product, the price of the charging station will
- 24 come down and my challenge to my students of
- 25 having a \$99.00 or \$100.00 charging station might

- 1 come true much faster; secondly, the quality of
- 2 the technology due to, you know, one of the
- 3 things that interoperability does is it increases
- 4 competition, you know, there's many many
- 5 providers of WiFi, so they compete, and the
- 6 quality goes up, and the quality of the product
- 7 and the quality of the service goes up; thirdly,
- 8 there's an implicit thing that happens is that
- 9 the moment you have things that start to get
- 10 standardized, the volume of product goes up, it's
- 11 easier to justify, I mean, if I knew that there
- 12 was a certain standard, I mean, I'd go make a
- 13 certain semiconductor chip that can maybe do the
- 14 pulse with modulation signal, the PWM signal for
- 15 the Level 2 charging, for example, that feeds the
- 16 (indiscernible) standard. Maybe I'd make a
- 17 million of those, and so the volume goes up, and
- 18 so that results in this sort of -- it's called a
- 19 virtuous cycle, which Silicon Valley actually is
- 20 the expert on. And so are there disadvantages of
- 21 interoperability? I just think, again, I go back
- 22 to that I think we're in the early stages, so we
- 23 have to be very very careful not to put boxes
- 24 around innovation by way of the constraints due
- 25 to interoperability, you know, too early.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 MR. WOLF: I will mention one thing
- 2 because I've spoken about this before and I think
- 3 I do want to strengthen -- I agree with all the
- 4 positives of it, but I want to strengthen what
- 5 Rajit just said. Twenty years of Silicon Valley,
- 6 lots of high tech companies, one of the key
- 7 things is not too early putting that box on and
- 8 saying, "Oh, we've got it. Now we're going to
- 9 start mass producing and increasing the volume on
- 10 this thing, "because if we do it the wrong way,
- 11 we might have been doing just Microsoft and no
- 12 Linux, but we might have decided to do only Linux
- 13 and not allow any kind of MAC OS, or Linux, or
- 14 Microsoft. So it's a question of timing and what
- 15 the outcome will be, like someone said, we risk -
- 16 and this is something that was mentioned here,
- 17 we risked losing some amazing innovations that, I
- 18 was just speaking to Bill at lunch, that the
- 19 backend side of energy services, so if someone
- 20 wants to integrate and come up with a solution
- 21 that's going to make charging free for everybody
- 22 because, I don't know, SMUD has now a smart way
- 23 to do frequency regulation and Demand Response
- 24 that's going to offset the price in their area,
- 25 but there's a vendor that can provide it to them CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 with the current pool of 1,000 cars, God bless if
- 2 they don't want to do it OCPP, but I think it's
- 3 too early to decide.
- 4 PROFESSOR GADH: Well, yeah, so two more
- 5 things. This is interesting that you're talking
- 6 about this because that's exactly the kind of
- 7 research coming out of my lab, so if the
- 8 standards preclude this kind of -- this specific
- 9 idea you're talking about is actually being
- 10 developed in my lab, and if any standard
- 11 precludes that from happening, so then that's a
- 12 negative. I want to add one more thing. You
- 13 know, venture capitalists should also be invited
- 14 to participate in such a discussion, the
- 15 Government doesn't have to take all the burden,
- 16 the financial burden, and I think if you bring
- 17 venture capitalists into the discussion here when
- 18 it comes to interoperability and standards, then
- 19 I think you're sharing some of the risk with
- 20 them.
- 21 DR. KRAMER: I think sometimes we take
- 22 maybe -- the role today, I think, is, yes, again,
- 23 I've said this before, we want to increase the
- 24 penetration of Electric Vehicles on the grid.
- 25 With that said, there's still quite a bit of CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 research and quite a bit of work that's been done
- 2 in many places, including the National Renewable
- 3 Energy Laboratory, and having the ability to have
- 4 these vehicles participate in Grid operations is
- 5 a huge part and will eventually have a huge play
- 6 in all of this; we've all known this for many
- 7 years. And I think as we look at research and we
- 8 look at the different types of standards that
- 9 might need to be developed, we have to consider
- 10 the distribution system itself, we have to
- 11 consider the utility, we have to consider the
- 12 person who is operating the vehicle. So from a
- 13 context of actually having all the different
- 14 types of new technologies play in the way that we
- 15 want them, we have many different roadmaps that
- 16 are before us here in the State of California, we
- 17 have a roadmap for solar, we have different
- 18 roadmaps for Electric Vehicles, and at some point
- 19 here we need to make them all come together in a
- 20 way that makes sense. And there is no one answer
- 21 to any one of the types of things that we're
- 22 trying to go after here, but I think in the end
- 23 trying to reduce our emissions and those types of
- 24 goals are extremely important and always have
- 25 been. So I think when I think of hardware

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 interoperability, my idea of hardware
- 2 interoperability goes past just the charger and
- 3 providing charging to the vehicle, it includes
- 4 down regulation if you're just charging the
- 5 vehicle. If it's a V to G vehicle, then that can
- 6 also perhaps provide voltage regulation or
- 7 frequency regulation if it makes sense. Each
- 8 answer has a different regional application. We
- 9 look at our distribution feeder systems, some
- 10 homes are served by one transformer for three
- 11 homes, some have multiple transformers for
- 12 multiple homes. What we see with electric
- 13 vehicles and hybrid vehicles is that we see
- 14 pockets, we see them growing up in little spaces
- 15 just like we saw from Ford's mapping there. So
- 16 we start to see these concentrations of vehicles,
- 17 it's not by per chance, we know that this is
- 18 occurring and a lot of it is societal, and if
- 19 you've got a car and you're my neighbor, and I
- 20 see that you're making money on it, or you're
- 21 having a good time with it, I might like to have
- 22 one, too. So we have to start to consider the
- 23 integration aspects of the vehicles and solar and
- 24 energy storage, these all play together, and they
- 25 play together in one place. When we look at CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 interoperability standards from a hardware
- 2 perspective, the thing that I would want to make
- 3 sure is that if public money is being put
- 4 towards, for instance, public charging, is there
- 5 should be a simple way to replace that charger
- 6 with something new. You know, you've got plug
- 7 and play, yes, to the vehicle, but what about
- 8 plug and play, you know, at the point of where
- 9 the station itself is? Are there easier ways to
- 10 make those types of connections? So we need to
- 11 look at the boundaries of the things we're
- 12 looking at; in this case, it's the hardware, so
- 13 if you're looking at the charger system itself,
- 14 you have power being delivered to it, you have
- 15 the potential of power being delivered back out
- 16 from that vehicle, especially in a home type
- 17 environment, so I think from a safety
- 18 perspective, yes, I think there has to be some
- 19 sort of physical hardware standards that need to
- 20 be lived by, but you don't want to keep them so
- 21 open that it's not safe -- to answer that first
- 22 question.
- PROFESSOR GADH: So I'm going to add to
- 24 your comment on the hardware interoperability.
- 25 So for example, one of the experiments we are CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 doing is with Electric Vehicle, we are sending
- 2 power back to the homes, it's called V to Home or
- 3 V to Grid, and as we have embarked on this
- 4 journey, it's been a challenge because the
- 5 standards have not even been written in this
- 6 space, in the Vehicle to Grid. You know, we are
- 7 barely getting an understanding of charging
- 8 stations and having power flowing into the car,
- 9 power flowing out of the car is at best in
- 10 research labs right now and research
- 11 demonstrations. So what does that mean? It
- 12 means that we don't have a clear cut consensus or
- 13 conclusion on what interoperability even means in
- 14 this context, and so you know, the way I look at
- 15 it is, when you talk of hardware, hardware
- 16 interoperability, I mean, you know, a NEMA plug,
- 17 right? I mean, that's an interoperability
- 18 device, it's a very basic thing, but it's at the
- 19 hardware level, at the very basic level. And
- 20 communications basically, you know, are you
- 21 operating in the right frequency? Are you
- 22 operating if you have a WiFi, are you operating
- 23 at the right frequency, that protocol, and so on
- 24 and so forth. And then there's the data level
- 25 communications, right? For example, the kinds of CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 things we're talking about with open ADR, CP 1 or
- 2 2, and things of that nature. So I think that we
- 3 are barely able to get our hands around what it
- 4 is that needs to be interoperable when it comes
- 5 to this kind of an environment, and if you start
- 6 adding more and more, it gets more tricky. So,
- 7 again, going back to the same thing, I think that
- 8 from the standpoint of CEC, I think that there is
- 9 still a lot of investigation that is needed. I
- 10 think on the hardware level, there is
- 11 investigation needed right now before you start
- 12 to box in on the interoperability because, I
- 13 mean, some of that stuff is still behind, well
- 14 behind even the charging station technology
- 15 itself.
- DR. KRAMER: And just for my colleague, we
- 17 finished a draft standard for V to G and it's up
- 18 on the NREL.gov website, it's more for the
- 19 interconnection to the utility and how to
- 20 evaluate the chargers. So we do have a draft out
- 21 there, you might want to go take a look at that,
- 22 it's a starting point, it doesn't address
- 23 everything.
- PROFESSOR GADH: That's good, yeah.
- 25 MR. WOLF: At the risk of trying to cut to CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 the summary, because I see it's a tough hour,
- 2 people are quite quiet, I'll just give my kind of
- 3 take from the day about the two areas that we're
- 4 talking about here, one which is the
- 5 interoperability, we'll call it roaming, and the
- 6 other one is around the hardware. I think on the
- 7 roaming side, and I wasn't sure how the
- 8 discussion would happen, I think that's where, of
- 9 course, I have a vested interest as Collaboratev,
- 10 but I think, like on the other side, we shouldn't
- 11 dictate one thing, I don't want the State to
- 12 think that, you know, Collaboratev should be the
- 13 standard and everybody should be forced to do
- 14 that. I think we've established here and I don't
- 15 think anybody disagrees that credit card is a
- 16 good least common denominator that anybody can
- 17 choose, and it keeps everybody else honest. So I
- 18 think that when you go for solicitations and when
- 19 you go to see the outcomes of how you drive more
- 20 EV adoption, if you see a barrier in roaming,
- 21 allow multiple models to come and see if you want
- 22 to support it. Same thing on the hardware side,
- 23 we're hearing all these discussions which, to me,
- 24 is kind of the summary is it's all true, but it's
- 25 too early to say only, you know, that's something CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 we have to add to the stack of compliance that we
- 2 had before. Each solicitation that has -- and
- 3 I've been in five years in these solicitations --
- 4 has added new dimensions that weren't known
- 5 before, and in hindsight, we would have loved to
- 6 have them in on the first solicitation, but they
- 7 do cause some friction on the process. But it's
- 8 part of business, part of life, in all these
- 9 industries -- you mentioned WiFi -- I'm sure it
- 10 wasn't a decision that we're all doing WiFi
- 11 suddenly, there were the competing things, and
- 12 the market somehow said, okay, this is the least
- 13 costly. And then, from that point on, no one is
- 14 going to be stupid to do anything but that. But
- 15 I think that in both of these areas we should not
- 16 dictate in any kind of solicitation -- I do think
- 17 we need to support both areas, I think more
- 18 charges are needed, and I think roaming is
- 19 needed, but I don't think we need to dictate any
- 20 one solution that we don't have today.
- 21 MR. HAUSER: Just kind of adding on to
- 22 that, I mean, hearing the discussion and, you
- 23 know, what is the end goal or the end objective,
- 24 and how do we solve that, clearly we want to be
- 25 able to get as many EV chargers out there as

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 possible and we want side hosts to have the
- 2 flexibility to mix and match hardware with the
- 3 network management solution as they see fit, that
- 4 should spur on innovation and create, you know,
- 5 lower price points for everybody. So we should
- 6 all be happy for that. But going as far maybe as
- 7 specifying one particular standard over another,
- 8 you know, maybe it is too soon to do that, and
- 9 rather than picking that, what we should be doing
- 10 is listening to the criteria for what kind of
- 11 standard could be submitted. So, you know, maybe
- 12 it's royalty-free, you know, whatever the terms
- 13 are, but if we lay that criteria out for that
- 14 standard, then maybe that's the best of both
- 15 worlds and it allows multiple standards to be
- 16 able to comply with the end result.
- 17 DR. KRAMER: As with just about every
- 18 standard that I'm aware of, they take time. And
- 19 in the process of developing any kind of standard
- 20 or being involved in the standard, we have to
- 21 leave flexibility as we're proceeding through the
- 22 standard process. I mean, sometimes we'll have a
- 23 national standard and it can be viewed as slowing
- 24 progress down. And in many cases, it's because
- 25 of that standard that progress has moved forward.

- 1 So I think what we have to do is consider that,
- 2 if we do define standards, which standards are
- 3 required, we can't just say they're not going to
- 4 be, interoperability standards at whatever depth
- 5 we decide to take on, I think, is, yes, they need
- 6 to be flexible, but they also need to allow for
- 7 innovation within it while it's being developed.
- 8 I think there has to be means for demonstration
- 9 sites, for instance, very much like what we've
- 10 done on some of the other stationary
- 11 demonstration sites, to give that site more
- 12 flexibility as they're allowing that innovation
- 13 to be developed at their site. So I think, as
- 14 with any kind of standard development, you have
- 15 to have demonstrations, and you have to have
- 16 research that feeds those demonstrations. So I
- 17 think a well rounded program would be anything
- 18 from more the soft side all the way down to the
- 19 actual implementation side. I don't think that a
- 20 solicitation necessarily would require that you
- 21 would pick one thing. I think if you were able
- 22 to say here are four different areas, or four
- 23 different possibilities, or whatever that might
- 24 be given the amount of funding that's available,
- 25 you never really have to pick an answer. Any CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 time that we take State or Federal money and we
- 2 apply it to any type of technology area, we're
- 3 kind of driving it in that direction, we're
- 4 placing the vector in that direction. On the
- 5 start of something like this, being able to have
- 6 two or three or four vectors going out, to see
- 7 what happens with that, see where the
- 8 demonstrations might lead you, and then be able
- 9 to then kind of do a go, no go type of solution
- 10 and find your way to something that is more
- 11 market driven, but still allows innovation and
- 12 still, you know, you can sit here and say
- 13 proprietary versus open. There are benefits to
- 14 proprietary. There are benefits to open. Let
- 15 the market choose that direction. I think that
- 16 is -- but leave your solicitation open enough so
- 17 that enough data can be collected to allow us to
- 18 see into what the directions seem to be going in.
- 19 MR. HAUSER: Just one -- I'm sorry -- just
- 20 one point to that is I think part of the
- 21 challenge at the same time is education of the
- 22 consumers or the site hosts. You know, we can
- 23 say that on this side, but if they think they're
- 24 making an educated decision, but they're really
- 25 not, they might not be thinking ahead, and CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 there's been a number of instances where people
- 2 in the last year thought they might have been
- 3 able to have some flexibility with the system,
- 4 then they come to find out that they don't have
- 5 that, and that's because there's not a lot of
- 6 understanding or education in the market. So,
- 7 with that, and being able to provide those
- 8 multiple options, I think we run the risk of more
- 9 of the same, and we don't want to have the same
- 10 problem, like I don't want us to kick the can
- 11 down the road either. So with that also has to
- 12 come a full PR effort, if you will, to have
- 13 people aware of the differences in the options
- 14 and what they're getting when they make that type
- 15 of purchase decision.
- 16 PROFESSOR GADH: Yeah, and to add to that
- 17 comment, hardware standards, hardware
- 18 interoperability, let's look at SAE J1772 plug-in
- 19 and let's look at CHAdeMO. SAE is the Society of
- 20 Automotive Engineers Standard and there basically
- 21 are three power ports and two data ports, and one
- 22 of my students who was building some
- 23 communications between the EVSE and the car, he
- 24 looked at the communications, this was a few
- 25 years ago, and he said, "Wow, this is really very CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 trivial, " or something like that, and so I talked
- 2 to him and basically he said that, you know, the
- 3 communications protocol is so basic that you
- 4 can't do a whole lot, and this is the protocol
- 5 today. And I said, "What do you mean?" He said,
- 6 "Well, if you compare it to what is possible in
- 7 the field of communications, this is very very
- 8 basic and if they were going to standardize this,
- 9 they could have added a lot more capability and
- 10 functionality within the SAE J1772 plug, " so this
- 11 is now I'm talking only a few years ago. But
- 12 second is standard -- and it's not a standard,
- 13 it's the CHAdeMO protocol, right, the DC Fast
- 14 Charge protocol and, you know, in the DC side, we
- 15 have some challenges now between America, Japan
- 16 and Europe, and I think you guys are the experts
- 17 in that, and I guess we have expertise right
- 18 here, and once again, you know, there's a history
- 19 of where all of this is coming from, but we have
- 20 to be careful that, again, I mean, I look at SAE
- 21 J1772 and my students comment that, you know, in
- 22 two days, we could have had a very sophisticated
- 23 communication protocol between the car and the
- 24 EVSE, and we don't, and why don't we? So I think
- 25 we have to be very careful that, when we start to

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 set boxes, that we allow for innovation and
- 2 creativity and we don't stifle innovation and
- 3 creativity.
- 4 MR. LANGTON: Yeah. I think that's a good
- 5 point. I think it goes back a little bit to what
- 6 Bill mentioned about the benefits, the trade-offs
- 7 between proprietary and open standards, so J1772
- 8 is an open standard. So with an open standard,
- 9 I'll use OCPP just as an example, not to hold it
- 10 up in high regard, there had to be a balance
- 11 between the complexity and the applicability, so
- 12 the more functionality you toss on top of OCPP,
- 13 then the more costly it is for development to
- 14 happen at either end. So for any standard
- 15 there's going to be a tradeoff of that
- 16 functionality vs. implementation, and I think
- 17 that finding that balance is tough. And that
- 18 also is, at the end of the day, I think a
- 19 marketplace decision. So what is the
- 20 functionality that customers require? Does it
- 21 really require building the best mousetrap, or is
- 22 what we have available in an open platform
- 23 adequate to meet the needs of the marketplace?
- DR. KRAMER: Sometimes standards, when we
- 25 use the word "standard," it sounds like, well, it CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 absolutely has to be this way. If you really get
- 2 into some of the standards, you'll see that
- 3 they're guidelines, you'll see that the set
- 4 points are open. They might tell you what the
- 5 set points they recommend you use, but they're
- 6 recommended settings. So be careful when we call
- 7 out a standard saying it must absolutely be this
- 8 way, it's always going to be this way, it's going
- 9 to look like the 110 Volt Plug, it's going to be
- 10 110 Volt Plug, and it's going to be the same
- 11 place everywhere, like in your home. What I'm
- 12 trying to indicate here is that a standard
- 13 typically gives a guideline, it's directing
- 14 someone in a design as to how to operate or put
- 15 something together in a safe manner. We still
- 16 have to have these types of standards, they are
- 17 required. But with that said, the way that they
- 18 are put together has to take into consideration
- 19 innovation in the future as they're being put
- 20 together. And I think in some cases we just --
- 21 we sign off on some standard and this is just not
- 22 a good thing for California, I don't think, is to
- 23 lay down the law and say, "It must absolutely be
- 24 this way." There are parts that it must be. I
- 25 don't know, I think from a safety perspective, CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 yes, for the equipment itself, even the
- 2 manufacturers themselves, have to meet you well
- 3 and have to meet certain requirements, and dig
- 4 even deeper into that and say, well, what are
- 5 UL's requirements? And so I guess when I look at
- 6 a standard and we're looking at interoperability
- 7 standards, it's more than just software, it's
- 8 software and hardware, it's software working with
- 9 hardware, it's not just one thing. It's not just
- 10 the cost of how to do a transaction, it's the
- 11 whole system. And I think in terms of
- 12 interoperability and a standard, I think it has
- 13 to take into consideration all of those legs of
- 14 the stool.
- 15 MR. WOLF: I have to keep talking. So,
- 16 Bill, you know, I don't want to challenge that, I
- 17 just want to ask a question on that. Because
- 18 it's such a complex issue to take all those
- 19 things in consideration, and there's things that
- 20 we don't know, do we wait until we get all the
- 21 smartest people and design that system to the
- 22 future, or do we continue evolving and knowing
- 23 that there's an evolution, there's some trees
- 24 that are going to be extinct over time, but
- 25 overall we're going to get to the right

- 1 direction. Because it seems very complex to do
- 2 that. I love all the backend energy services
- 3 stuff, I've been talking about it for years, V2G
- 4 and that, but it's not happening for a multitude
- 5 of reasons yet in the field; is it because we
- 6 haven't really thought through the problem
- 7 academically enough? Or is it just not mature
- 8 market-wise?
- 9 DR. KRAMER: Well, 1) we know how to
- 10 charge Electric Vehicles, Electric Vehicles are
- 11 here, there's no reason why people shouldn't be
- 12 using them, at least in Bill Kramer's opinion. I
- 13 think the cost points are here. It really comes
- 14 down to the individual owner as to what he or she
- 15 decides he wants to drive or what he or she wants
- 16 to put their money towards. Range, of course, we
- 17 all know is at least -- is not as long as it can
- 18 be for our regular vehicles, so, no, I think we
- 19 continue down the path that we are, but what we
- 20 don't want to do is we don't want there to be a
- 21 roadblock in the penetration of renewables -- or
- 22 of both renewables and hand plug-in vehicles,
- 23 actually. And those roadblocks, I think even if
- 24 you study both renewables and plug-in vehicles,
- 25 as I have, they do go hand in hand. So you don't CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 just stop progress, you don't just stop saying,
- 2 "No, we're not going to do it," but what we do
- 3 need to do is take a look and say, "Well, why is
- 4 the growth only this much a year? Why couldn't
- 5 it be bigger? What kind of incentives could the
- 6 State give in order for it to be bigger? Is it
- 7 really the charging station?" Maybe it's not, I
- 8 mean, that's not what I'm hearing today. I'm
- 9 hearing that most charging is happening at home.
- 10 But, you know, if there were more charging
- 11 stations at county locations, at companies, I
- 12 think, yeah, maybe there could be incentives to
- 13 be given to them to say, yes, maybe have your
- 14 employees incented so that they might have a
- 15 vehicle. What kind of other tax breaks might
- 16 they be able to see? Is it a tax break you want
- 17 to go after? It's the incentives to try to get
- 18 this technology out there -- it's here, why
- 19 aren't we using it? Together with any kind of
- 20 solicitation, you can try to meet the near term
- 21 needs and many times we rely on the market, you
- 22 know, if the market is stuck, then we use
- 23 standard government funding to get it unstuck if
- 24 we need to. Are we stuck? Is that why we're
- 25 stuck? I guess from my perspective, it's the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 infrastructure and it's the education of the
- 2 users. Those seem to be two very large things to
- 3 me that are holding up the amount of vehicles. I
- 4 used to say in the '90s, I'd say to people, you
- 5 know, the only way we're going to make this work
- 6 is if we make this Electric Vehicle be part of
- 7 the home. It needs to look just like the washer
- 8 and dryer in my house so I can put it on my
- 9 mortgage. I still kind of think that way because
- 10 at that time, range was 100 miles, so it was kind
- 11 of a unique thing to be able to have a vehicle,
- 12 and you're still going to need two cars back then
- 13 because hybrids didn't exist. But being able to
- 14 find ways like that, I mean, I used to back in
- 15 the '90s say, you know, if gas is more than \$2.00
- 16 a gallon, I should be driving this little
- 17 Electric Vehicle that I built. And you could
- 18 base it on, you know, you could base it on even
- 19 replacement with the battery. That kind of
- 20 information, I don't think, is really out there
- 21 in the public for them to make those kind of
- 22 choices. But to answer your question, a long
- 23 breath here, but you don't stop it, you still
- 24 move forward, you decide what is going to get you
- 25 the biggest bang for the buck, you set your CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 goals, you set realistic goals, and then you
- 2 drive yourself towards those goals and reset
- 3 them, you know, as you need to.
- 4 MS. ALLEN: There's a lag time. Maybe if
- 5 I can distill this down to one question. So we
- 6 have a lot of different things that we have to
- 7 consider when we put together a solicitation, I
- 8 mean, there's a lot of things that drive the
- 9 needs for Electric Vehicle charging other than
- 10 just us considering interoperability. So maybe
- 11 the question is, is it too early to be adding
- 12 that into our solicitations at this point? So
- 13 like I said, there's a whole lot of other things
- 14 that we have to consider -- is this something
- 15 that we should be considering in our solicitation
- 16 at this time, today? You know, maybe six months
- 17 from now your answer would be different, maybe a
- 18 year from now your answer would be different, but
- 19 for right now, is it time to start putting that
- 20 in? Or is it too early?
- 21 MR. HAUSER: I would -- you know, whether
- 22 I'm answering now, six months, or a year from
- 23 now, I think my answer would clearly be yes. I
- 24 mean, again, we're in a nascent space, there's a
- 25 lot of innovation that's still to come, and

- 1 whatever we're putting out today has to have
- 2 flexibility and scalability, and if every time we
- 3 put out a set of hardware and network solutions,
- 4 and they can't move or evolve as the technology
- 5 improves, for whatever reason, then we're
- 6 limiting ourselves, we're never building on top
- 7 of what we've just done, we always have to go
- 8 back and fix everything we've just done, and then
- 9 you never get past the starting blocks. So I
- 10 think it's very important. I mean, again, in the
- 11 short term, when we said this before, and I'm
- 12 sure we said it many times, so I apologize for
- 13 being redundant, but it increases innovation,
- 14 lowers costs, gives site hosts the flexibility
- 15 that they need to make decisions today that they
- 16 think are going to meet their business needs.
- 17 But as their business evolves and they get a
- 18 better understanding of what their consumers or
- 19 what their constituents want or need, and we've
- 20 all said there's not enough information out there
- 21 right now to be able to definitively say what
- 22 that is, they need to be able to change and
- 23 whether that's getting a different -- having the
- 24 current system do something different, or moving
- $\,$  to a new software system altogether, for a number  $\,$  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC  $\,$

- 1 of management, they have to have the ability to
- 2 do that. And if we don't take initiative now to
- 3 make sure that they have that flexibility, I
- 4 think we're going to look back and we'll see
- 5 that, you know, "Electric Vehicles: What could
- 6 have been?" You know, what could we have done
- 7 better to actually make it happen? Because I
- 8 think there will be enough people that will get
- 9 frustrated out there, workplaces, public
- 10 locations will find it too expensive to keep re-
- 11 doing this, especially when utilization rates for
- 12 public infrastructure, you know, from reports
- 13 that have been published, there's still only five
- 14 to 10 percent at best, so we've got a long ways
- 15 to go and we need these people. So we need to
- 16 know that the charge stations we're putting in
- 17 today are going to be good five and 10 years from
- 18 now.
- 19 PROFESSOR GADH: So about a year ago, I
- 20 had a slide that showed all the EVs you could buy
- 21 in the market. I updated that slide last week.
- 22 The number of EVs has more than tripled in one
- 23 year. The sophistication of the technology in
- 24 the EVs has also kept pace. The new EVs are more
- 25 efficient, they can go faster, so the EV and, I CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 quess, our two EV experts have I quess left, so
- 2 the EVs are innovating at a rapid rate on their
- 3 own, the EVSE there is innovation happening, you
- 4 know, I go back to Matt's comment -- I guess he
- 5 also left -- where are we headed to? We want
- 6 more charging stations, faster, better, cheaper,
- 7 interoperable so that they work with the cars,
- 8 that's the most important, they've got to work
- 9 with the cars. And so that interface itself,
- 10 right, SAE J1772, CHAdeMO, Combo, whatever it is.
- 11 So all of those require innovation and so there
- 12 will be an iterative cycle. You have these
- 13 requirements, you have the EV, you have the EV
- 14 owner, and you put out something, then there will
- 15 be a feedback loop, and the feedback loop will be
- 16 based on the EV and the EVSE, both. So there's
- 17 the customer, there's the driver, EV, and EVSE,
- 18 they will use the technology and it will
- 19 iteratively prove. So no matter where I am in
- 20 time, that will be an infinite loop and it's like
- 21 the computer, when is the right time to buy a
- 22 computer? Right? If you've been waiting for
- 23 something a little bit faster, you always get
- 24 something faster, so the same thing is going to
- 25 happen here. The trick is -- and that's the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 thing that, for example, in U.C.L.A., I told my
- 2 students many years ago, I said, "Can you think
- 3 of our research in U.C.L.A., our Smart Network,
- 4 as separated hardware and software?" So that's
- 5 my approach -- that was my answer to that
- 6 problem, and that's how I've architected my
- 7 solution. And I'm assuming that's what a lot of
- 8 the other companies are doing. But I think
- 9 innovation is going to be continuous.
- 10 MR. LANGTON: So I'll just add on, I mean,
- 11 I agree with Brett's point, I think another way
- 12 of looking at it is, rather than say is the
- 13 market too early to adopt, you know, open
- 14 interfaces or adopt the ability to grow, I
- 15 actually would say that's an exact reason we
- 16 should be able to do adopt that. I mean, it's a
- 17 nascent industry, we have some experience, and
- 18 we're gaining experience every day, but I think
- 19 we are now saying that the industry shakeups are
- 20 still happening and will continue to happen, the
- 21 addition of new standards, new hardware players
- 22 coming in and coming out, so if we want to
- 23 enhance that competition, enhance that future
- 24 value, we're going to have to provide the
- 25 openness in the networks.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 PROFESSOR GADH: Can I add one more thing?
- 2 I have an Apple and I have an Android device,
- 3 both in my bag, I use both at the same time.
- 4 Apple is completely closed, the Android
- 5 completely open, but both are very innovative,
- 6 and so I think, you know, we have to keep that
- 7 innovation in mind, as well, as we're thinking
- 8 about our investment decision.
- 9 MS. ALLEN: Let me just expand this just a
- 10 little bit more, just to sort of focus the
- 11 answer. So there are certain things in
- 12 interoperability that we know we have to do. We
- 13 have to say it has to be this in order to be able
- 14 to talk to the car, it has to do this in order to
- 15 do this; so there are some basic things that we
- 16 know now we have to do in order to make these
- 17 usable. And we can't predict, you know, we're
- 18 not going to try and predict what the automakers
- 19 are going to be making at some point; however,
- 20 there are some areas that we've talked about
- 21 today that are a little bit more flexible, we can
- 22 either say it has to be this or leave it open,
- 23 and so I've heard both sides, I've heard let the
- 24 market decide on some of these things where
- 25 everything is still up in the air, or add in a CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 little bit of some requirements so that there is,
- 2 for lack of a better term, what the legislators
- 3 like to call the avoidance of risk, or our
- 4 ability not to encourage the possibility to the
- 5 extent we can of having any stranded assets, even
- 6 though it may be that a stranded asset today is
- 7 really not a stranded asset tomorrow because
- 8 three-quarters of the cost is in the
- 9 installation, so you just pull out the pedestal
- 10 and you put in a different pedestal, and you're
- 11 on your way again. But for a small period of
- 12 time, you know, there are going to be folks out
- 13 there that are going to say, "Look at what you
- 14 did, you could have prevented this." So do we
- 15 need to worry -- for those things that are up in
- 16 the air, should we be worrying about that today
- 17 in our solicitations?
- MR. WOLF: Well, I think, you know, let's
- 19 look at a similar -- at the industry on the car
- 20 side, we invested in Coda, invested in Fisker,
- 21 invested in Tesla. Overall, would anybody doubt
- 22 that the investment in those categories --
- 23 somebody spoke about vectors -- was a smart
- 24 decision? We didn't choose, we didn't say,
- 25 "Well, Tesla is going to be the winner, let's put

  CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

  52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 all our money there." We invested in multiple
- 2 things and so did the Federal Government. I
- 3 think there's no -- I don't think with time that
- 4 there's any disagreement here, I think the
- 5 question is a judgment call because it's when is
- 6 it ready. We spoke about the car, the charger,
- 7 the NOC, the Network Operating Center, the data,
- 8 and the energy side, there's kind of a level of
- 9 maturity that these things are happening like
- 10 geological layers, and they kind of become -- as
- 11 they go down, they become more compressed and
- 12 more standardized. Rushing it creates problems
- 13 because it creates situations that, "Oh, we don't
- 14 have enough communications in J1772," but there's
- 15 also positives because now everybody knows what
- 16 the marching orders are and they move ahead. So
- 17 no one is going to argue that there's no
- 18 positives without a cost. I think, when I look
- 19 at that question, you've got the two questions
- 20 you asked, should you even solicit for EVSE
- 21 deployment at this point? Should you solicit for
- 22 roaming, basically provide funding for those
- 23 things? And if you do, should you put
- 24 requirements beyond the ones that you're talking
- 25 about, the basic basic ones? What else should CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 you add from last time? Based on what I've heard
- 2 today, I think that you're talking about a
- 3 potential on OCPP, on the hardware side, I think
- 4 you're talking about a potential for NEMA on the
- 5 roaming side, but I don't think you can mandate
- 6 those things yet in solicitations and I don't
- 7 think you should stop soliciting for those areas.
- B DR. KRAMER: In the development of any
- 9 kind of standard, what many of us seem to
- 10 sometimes lose sight of is the process. You have
- 11 multiple disciplines here. Even from an
- 12 engineering perspective, it's hard to find that
- 13 student who has communications, power electronics
- 14 background, and network background -- very
- 15 difficult. We're not there yet, okay? Try to
- 16 find one person who has all of that knowledge
- 17 rolled up in one place, there's very few. When
- 18 you're bringing together people from disparate
- 19 technology areas, even in the same company, the
- 20 process of developing the standard whereby there
- 21 is a place where people can come and they can
- 22 discuss what they think is the best way to go
- 23 about it, or they're evaluating different
- 24 methodologies, don't -- you have to always
- 25 consider the fact that it's the process of CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 developing the standard that brings people of
- 2 different companies, different disciplines,
- 3 education comes together, industry comes
- 4 together, this is an opportunity for you to stay,
- 5 if you will, current. So when you are in the
- 6 process and you're supporting or you're
- 7 developing any kind of standard, including an
- 8 interoperability standard, that process of being
- 9 involved in that process and being part of it,
- 10 and being a member of it, or attending it,
- 11 there's quite a bit to be said about how that can
- 12 help. But again, it takes time to develop
- 13 standard, okay? You don't want to slow yourself
- 14 down for innovation. My answer is yes, so I
- 15 think you've got a yes from all of us that that
- 16 should be included in -- at least we believe it
- 17 should be, at least this panel believes so.
- MR. CHILDERS: I have two questions and I
- 19 want to restrict this to just the EVSE to network
- 20 side of this discussion, and that is, with OCPP
- 21 and all these other standards we're talking
- 22 about, is it not true that they evolve? That is,
- 23 if someone comes up with an innovation, or
- 24 additional data to send back and forth in the
- 25 future, that you all get to go to the OCPP CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 meetings, suggest it, argue for it, and it
- 2 evolves. So it's not static, it does allow for
- 3 innovation in the future, and that's true of all
- 4 our wireless, J1772, all of these standards. So
- 5 it wouldn't be static or as restrictive, I think,
- 6 as some of you have portrayed. And my second
- 7 question is this, would it not be possible for
- 8 the solicitation to require a basic mode in which
- 9 the EVSE operates and meets OCPP, and then a
- 10 proprietary mode that might be EVSE with an
- 11 additional message set that somebody may think
- 12 that they want to do, as long as the host could
- 13 walk out, hit a jump or a switch, and have it
- 14 revert to some basic OCPP mode? I don't think
- 15 that would add a lot of cost to the EVSEs and it
- 16 would solve our problem and make them universally
- 17 applicable if something went wrong with a
- 18 particular proprietary entity. So first question
- 19 is, don't these things evolve? Second is,
- 20 couldn't we require just the ability to operate
- 21 in a basic OCPP mode and allow for innovation in
- 22 a separate mode, but that it reverts? So what
- 23 does the panel think?
- 24 MR. HAUSER: I'll take the first question.
- 25 You're absolutely right. I mean, right now, CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 let's see, the first version of OCPP, which was
- 2 1.2, was released in 2010, 1.5 was released in
- 3 2012, and then in October of this year, 2.0 will
- 4 be released and we'll be testing by the end of
- 5 the year, and then we'll have a lot more
- 6 functionality and added messages and the whole
- 7 roadmap, and the specification list will be
- 8 distributed in October, but it certainly is, to
- 9 your point, it's a dynamic protocol and it is one
- 10 that everyone is open -- welcome and open to
- 11 participate in when they have to get together for
- 12 their workshop, meetings, however many times a
- 13 year in person, and then over teleconferences
- 14 when required. So that's for sure. And then the
- 15 second question was, is it possible to have
- 16 multiple standards on a charge station? My
- 17 initial thought -- and anyone else can jump in
- 18 here -- is what you're talking about really for
- 19 like an open standard protocol, it's the whole
- 20 Communication Port, or Com Port, so in theory you
- 21 might have to have a second board in there to be
- 22 able to allow that to happen, which would
- 23 increase the overall cost of that charge station.
- 24 So there might be a barrier to cost to being able
- 25 to do that, but I think from a functionality CALIFORNIA REPORTING. LLC

- 1 perspective, that could probably be done. But
- 2 I'm not the hardware engineer, so help a brother
- 3 out, please.
- 4 MR. LANGTON: Yeah, so I actually -- I
- 5 like the second idea, so I agree with Brett on
- 6 question 1, so, yes, it's a dynamic and evolving
- 7 standard; and on the second piece, so what you're
- 8 basically saying, and I had the same thought, was
- 9 provide some basic level of functionality, and
- 10 then, as you talked about, if there's something
- 11 that -- that provides the protection from vendor
- 12 lock-in and the future proofing and the stranded
- 13 assets, which I think we're misusing a little
- 14 bit, but the stranded assets, and then the
- 15 enhancements can be made on top of that, which
- 16 would provide that functionality, which would
- 17 maybe be that special sauce that would enable not
- 18 just competition, but if that's what the standard
- 19 becomes in the future, then we grow from there,
- 20 so we grow in that direction. So it would
- 21 provide a certain baseline level functionality,
- 22 and I think that's more of a software issue than
- 23 a hardware issue.
- MR. LOWENTHAL: I agree with that last,
- 25 but I think the way you would do it, rather than CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 implement two standards, is have a standard and
- 2 then some enhancements that go beyond the
- 3 standard and find some escape mechanism within
- 4 the standard that lets you do these enhanced
- 5 functionality. That's what we would need to do
- 6 today, just for instance to implement 2.0 before
- 7 it's an agreed to standard, right? So you do 1.2
- 8 with extensions that brought you to the 2.0
- 9 functionality. So I think that works well.
- 10 MR. LANGTON: And we do that for customers
- 11 now, too. So for instance, mainly in Europe, so
- 12 Demand Response and things like that aren't part
- 13 of OCPP, but we're integrated with customers who
- 14 have that as part of their portfolio, so we can
- 15 add additional message sets next to the base
- 16 level of OCPP functionality, through the same
- 17 channel basically.
- MR. CHILDERS: Yeah, I think that makes
- 19 perfect sense.
- 20 MR. WOLF: I think, a) all these standards
- 21 are dynamic and change, I think that's actually a
- 22 brilliant idea because you don't require
- 23 necessarily all the features set, but you require
- 24 a minimum set that the Government knows today,
- 25 might lead to no stranded assets in a worst case

- 1 scenario, which is not the common scenario, but
- 2 you have a certain -- it might be a very
- 3 interesting solution that came out of today.
- 4 MR. CHILDERS: Does this address
- 5 everybody's objections to a solicitation that
- 6 might require that ability? Or is there
- 7 something I'm missing here? You could run
- 8 proprietary on top, but it has to be able to
- 9 revert to OCPP?
- 10 PROFESSOR GADH: I just want to add, you
- 11 know, OCPP is one particular protocol, there are
- 12 other protocols out there, and you know, the way
- 13 I look at innovation, innovation, I mean, the
- 14 protocols are the interfaces, that's the easy
- 15 stuff, I mean, anybody can write that language,
- 16 but I think that, I mean, there's like we've
- 17 talked about Step 1 or Step 2, and things like
- 18 that. I think the question is that, if you make
- 19 that a requirement, are you being too
- 20 restrictive, are you precluding some other folks
- 21 that are creating interface along some other
- 22 standard interfaces because there are other
- 23 standard interfaces, as well, like, you know, the
- 24 Madrine 5 (ph), so on and so forth. I mean, I
- 25 would suggest that, you know, you may want to CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 have a broader list of standard that you can
- 2 write to.
- 3 MR. CHILDERS: Well, is there some
- 4 compelling reason that I would pick another one
- 5 as the basic standard that it would be able to
- 6 revert to? I mean --
- 7 MR. LOWENTHAL: I'll answer from us -- no.
- 8 I think at this point, we can see that OCPP is a
- 9 good direction and we've already made the
- 10 decision inside, at Chargepoint, to support it.
- 11 So our only hesitation actually is its state of
- 12 development. I don't see a challenger to it.
- MR. CHILDERS: So I think what I'm hearing
- 14 is there will be very few objections if we
- 15 require that it run only -- only -- only OCPP
- 16 with no additional proprietary messages --
- 17 MR. LOWENTHAL: That's a problem.
- 18 MR. CHILDERS: -- that would be a problem.
- 19 But if we allow this flexibility to run
- 20 proprietary on top of OCCP and the ability to run
- 21 basic OCPP, that I don't see any objections in
- 22 the room, right?
- MR. LOWENTHAL: That's right.
- 24 MR. WOLF: It's kind of like a DOS and
- 25 Windows situation. That's a good analogy for CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 that industry and it didn't allow a huge amount
- 2 of innovation happening on top of Windows, and it
- 3 also was a basic thing you could do.
- 4 MR. CHILDERS: I could run backwards
- 5 compatible down to a certain base level.
- 6 MR. LOWENTHAL: So I wanted to add another
- 7 comment. So I sat in the discussions on the
- 8 National Electric Code and also for the
- 9 California Green Building Code, where they wanted
- 10 to mandate something on building and charging
- 11 infrastructure for new parking lots. And right
- 12 now in the Green Building Code in California,
- 13 it's optional, although Los Angeles has adopted
- 14 that as mandatory, Santa Clara County soon will,
- 15 the City of Sunnyvale did, whatever. And they've
- 16 had a lot of these discussions and basically what
- 17 they came down to is the only thing they could
- 18 mandate is conduit. But putting in conduit
- 19 reduced the cost of installation by 50 percent
- 20 because the retrofit cost of putting that in
- 21 later is horrible. So if you find common ground
- 22 -- maybe the only common ground you find is
- 23 conduit, and that's the only thing the State can
- 24 pay for at this point because it's the only
- 25 common ground we could find, but at least that's CALIFORNIA REPORTING.LLC

- 1 what you will find in the California Green
- 2 Building Code.
- 3 MS. ALLEN: Any other questions?
- 4 MR. HALLIWELL: Thank you. Just curious
- 5 if there's a concern. Now, OCPP is a protocol,
- 6 but it's not a standard, it's not been adopted by
- 7 any organization I know in SDO, Standards
- 8 Development Organization, and certainly not in
- 9 the U.S. I don't even think it's happened in
- 10 Europe, so is that a concern going with a
- 11 protocol that really isn't supported by kind of a
- 12 traditional Standards group? Anybody on the
- 13 panel want to address that? They are a Standards
- 14 development organization, though, they're
- 15 recognized by, I think, ANSI. It's a recommended
- 16 practice from an SDO.
- 17 PROFESSOR GADH: I guess one question that
- 18 should be asked is how many OCPP stations are
- 19 installed out there. Here's what happens, right,
- 20 I mean, is Microsoft Office a standard? I mean,
- 21 it's sort of so prevalent that, you know, you
- 22 write an application for Microsoft and then you
- 23 just run it, so it's sort of a pseudo standard.
- 24 So if OCPP is not a standard, then how many
- 25 installations are out there? I think that's a CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 very critical question -- in North America. Does
- 2 anyone know?
- 3 MR. LOWENTHAL [presumed]: In North
- 4 America, there's got to be probably under 1,000,
- 5 for sure, but in Europe there's over 6,000.
- 6 MR. CHERKAOUI: -- are based on OCPP.
- 7 MR. HAUSER: Yeah, I actually believe it
- 8 to be a bit higher than that.
- 9 MR. CHERKAOUI: No, I can guarantee you
- 10 it's not. In fact, it might be even very much
- 11 lower. Just to give you a simple example, the
- 12 largest network is in Paris, it's all running in
- 13 Microsoft embedded. Just that is 6,000 stations
- 14 in that, which OCPP has about 2,000 out there.
- MR. WOLF: And (indiscernible) is
- 16 definitely not OCPP.
- 17 MR. CHERKAOUI: Nor is RWE, which is one
- 18 of the big networks.
- 19 PROFESSOR GADH: How many in the USA?
- 20 MR. CHERKAOUI: I do not know for North
- 21 America.
- MS. ALLEN: So I think we're running out
- 23 of time, so this will be our last --
- MR. HAUSER: I will just make one comment.
- 25 The European Union, and I can't remember the name CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 of the body, I can look it up, but all future --
- 2 ISO -- no, not ISO -- has mandated that any
- 3 Government funding that's provided for the use of
- 4 purchasing and installing Electric Vehicle
- 5 infrastructure has mandated now that OCPP be used
- 6 within those charge stations.
- 7 MR. CHERKAOUI: [Indiscernible].
- 8 MR. HAUSER: Based on your accent, I'm
- 9 going to give you the benefit of the doubt there.
- 10 But I do -- I'll just double-check the document
- 11 that --
- 12 PROFESSOR GADH: I'm just concerned that
- 13 -- I'm going to go back to my comment -- are we
- 14 constraining things too early? Are we
- 15 constraining creative ideas too early? At a very
- 16 broad level, that's the only statement I would
- 17 like to just caution everyone about.
- 18 MR. LANGTON: Right. So I think, you
- 19 know, this is also Question 3, so OCCP, while
- 20 it's mandated open source, it's become the de
- 21 facto of those open sources, and a lot of those
- 22 actual projects are really private projects, as
- 23 well, so it's not just governments, but utilities
- 24 or private network operators who want to deal
- 25 with the scale, and then really at the base level

- 1 they want to be able to use multiple vendors are
- 2 requiring that standard, and it's become the de
- 3 factor standard as a result of that.
- 4 MR. HAUSER: And I'm sorry I blurted out
- 5 ISO before because that -- I thought you were
- 6 answering other questions of John's, but the
- 7 organization that most likely we'll take up, the
- 8 SD that we'll take up OCPP, is probably the ISO.
- 9 MR. WOLF: But I think that, listen,
- 10 you've got one of the larger players that's got
- 11 the most charge spots in the world, they're
- 12 deployed, you've got the software players, the
- 13 hardware players, you should pull the other guys,
- 14 the GE's, the Eaton's, and that, and if you don't
- 15 get any objection and you propose a set of things
- 16 that will allow you to revert back, then no one
- 17 is going to come in in hind sight and say that
- 18 was stupid. So, you've made something very -- I
- 19 don't usually see such progress in a daily type
- 20 discussion, but it's really interesting that we
- 21 could potentially put something in place that
- 22 doesn't achieve everything, but it allows to
- 23 safeguard any kind of fallback that is in the
- 24 industry.
- 25 MS. ALLEN: Okay, so I think we're going CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

- 1 to close on that very positive remark.
- 2 MS. BAROODY: Thank you, Jennifer and
- 3 Elise for monitoring that panel. And thank you,
- 4 Panelists, we really appreciate your time today
- 5 and your wisdom. We'll just give you a hand.
- 6 [Applause] So I don't know that we have any
- 7 public comment. Do we have any public comment?
- 8 MS. KEDDIE: Do you want to check the
- 9 sign-up sheet outside?
- 10 MS. BAROODY: Jennifer, do you want to
- 11 check the sign-up sheet out there just in case
- 12 somebody appeared? What about on the webcast?
- 13 Nobody there.
- MS. KEDDIE: People have been watching
- 15 this by webcast, but there have been no questions
- 16 asked as part of that.
- MS. BAROODY: Okay, so we had no public
- 18 comment, so I'm going to wrap this up really
- 19 quickly. I just want to thank everybody for
- 20 coming today. I thought it was a very productive
- 21 day. I know we learned a lot and I hope everyone
- 22 here learned a lot from one another. I also want
- 23 to thank Elise and her staff for helping set this
- 24 up today, thank Air Resources Board, Cal/EPA for

| _  | note takers, they we been working dirigently arr |
|----|--|
| 2  | day, Charles Smith and also Than Lopez, right    |
| 3  | there, thank you very much. And we will have     |
| 4  | notes from today and we will post them on our    |
| 5  | website.   |
| 6  | So our next steps are we have some               |
| 7  | solicitations to put out, now we are better      |
| 8  | informed on doing so. And please stay tuned via  |
| 9  | our Listserv, so I encourage you to sign up on   |
| 10 | our Listserv, it's fairly easy to do, just go on |
| 11 | our website, put in your name and email, and we  |
| 12 | will be in touch. Let's see, I think that's it   |
| 13 | for today. Thanks again.                         |
| 14 | (Thereupon, the Workshop was adjourned at        |
| 15 | 3:40 p.m.)                                       |
| 16 | 000  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |