STATE OF CALIFORNIA - THE RESOURCES AGENCY BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION (CEC)

Implementation of Renewables Investment Plan Legislation) _)	California Energy Commission	
and))	11-RPS-01	
)	Renewable Energy Program	
Legislation)	ocket No. 02-REN-1038	
Portfolio Standard)		
Implementation of Renewables)	11-RPS-01 RPS Proceeding	
)	Docket No. 03-RPS-1078 &	
In the matter of,)		

WORKSHOP Re: 2008-2010 RPS Procurement Verification and Proposed SB X 1-2 RPS Verification

California Energy Commission Hearing Room A 1516 9th Street Sacramento, California

Friday, September 21, 2012 9:10 A.M.

r

.



1

Reported by: Peter Petty

1

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

STAFF

Kate Zoccetti Gina Barkalow Theresa Daniels James L. Haile Gabriel Herrera Brian McCullough

Also Present (* Via WebEx)

Public Comment

Valerie Winn, PG&E *Tom Roth, SCPPA Michael Webster, LADWP *Barry Dong, LADWP Bill Westerfield, SMUD

Introduction/Housekeeping	
Kate Zoccetti	4
Overview of the Energy Commission's RPS Procurement Verification Process	
Gina Barkalow	7
Public Comment	
Valerie Winn, PG&E	26
Tom Roth, SCPPA	28
Mike Webster, LADWP	31
Barry Dong, LADWP	34
Dana Griffith via WebEx	37
Bill Westerfield, SMUD	37
Sergio via WebEx	40
Discussion of the 2008 through 2010 RPS Procurement Verification Process and the Current Results of the Analysis	
Theresa Daniels	41
Adjournment	51
Certificate of Reporter	52
Certificate of Transcriber	53

Page

1	PROCEEDINGS			
2	SEPTEMBER 21, 2012 9:3	10 A.M.		
3	MS. ZOCCETTI: So, good morning everyone.	I'm		
4	Kate Zoccetti, I'm the lead for the Renewables Por	rtfolio		
5	Standards here at the California Energy Commission	n		
6	I'd like to welcome you to the Commissior	ı on		
7	this fine, fall day.			
8	We have folks participating here in the			
9	audience. We have a reporter here that will be do	oing a		
10	transcript for us, for the first part of our			
11	presentation, and we also have WebEx, and folks ca	an call		
12	in as well.			
13	So, just to give you a little brief over	view and		
14	then I'm going to turn it over to staff. First,	I'd		
15	like to give you some housekeeping notes that, in	the		
16	case of an emergency, please follow staff. We'll	be		
17	going outside, across the street to the park kidd:	ie		
18	corner to the Energy Commission, until the all-cle	ear		
19	sign. Hopefully, that won't be an issue this morn	ning.		
20	We have restrooms located right outside t	his		
21	room, across the hall. And on the second story -	- the		
22	second floor there is a coffee room with sandwich	es and		
23	snacks.			
24	What we plan to do, we sort of have three	e parts		
25	to today's workshop. First, we're going to have a	an		
CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417				

overview of the Energy Commission's RPS Procurement
 Verification process. Then we'll have some public
 comments.

And then we're going to give you an overview of a draft summary of the Retail Sellers' Procurement claims for the years 2008 through 2010.

7 Again, we'll have comments on those issues. 8 If we finish that early enough, maybe we'll have 9 a break and move into the second or the third part of 10 our presentation, our workshop which is talking about 11 what staff is thinking about for verifying procurement 12 for the retail sellers and the publicly-owned electric 13 utilities for the years 2011 and thereafter under Senate 14 Bill X1-2.

15 If we go a little bit longer this morning, then 16 we'll just have a lunch break and then proceed with the 17 third part in the afternoon.

18 Of course, we'll have public comments then and 19 then there will be an opportunity for written comments, 20 as well.

21 Let's see, okay, I already went over
22 housekeeping. There are handouts there. I should
23 mention that if you want to speak here, in the Energy
24 Commission, Conference Room A, you should grab a blue
25 card at the front desk there, and fill it out, and give
CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 it to staff and then we'll call you up to the podium.

Please make sure that you state your name before you speak, for the court reporter, and he would appreciate it if you would give him a business card so that he spells your name correctly in the transcript.

I'm getting ahead of myself. Is someone -- was
there someone speaking? We'd like to go ahead and mute
the phone lines for now.

9 During the public comment period we will unmute 10 the phone lines.

If you are participating on WebEx, you can view the slides on your computer. You can raise your hand with the little raise hand function, and we can take your question verbally or you can type it into the chat. And we have someone here monitoring your comments and questions, and we would be happy to address those at the comment period.

18 As I mentioned, the WebEx users and the phone19 users will be unmuted during the public comment period.

20 And if you are listening in and you want to find 21 out how to get online, please follow our workshop notice 22 instructions.

23 During the public comment periods we will take 24 comments, first, from the folks here in person at the 25 Energy Commission, followed by the WebEx participants CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 and then the phone-in participants.

2 So, I'd like to introduce the staff here today. 3 I'll be sitting right there and to my immediate left is 4 Gabe Herrera, with our Legal Office, Gina Barkalow, who 5 leads the verification process and James Haile who works 6 on the verification.

7 And working the WebEx here is Brian McCullough.
8 We have other staff in the audience, too, who
9 worked on the publicly-owned utility regulations and so
10 they're here to answer questions, as well.

And so I'd like to have Gina come up and she can give you her presentation.

MS. BARKALOW: Hi everyone, thank you for coming
today. My name's Gina Barkalow and I am the lead for
the RPS Procurement Verification.

16 This slide is just a general outline of what I'm 17 going to cover this morning. There's a lot to talk 18 about today, so this presentation is designed to give a 19 high level overview of the Energy Commission's role in 20 regards to the California Renewable Portfolio Standard. 21 Theresa Daniels, as Kate mentioned, will be

giving a presentation going into the details of our verification process and the results, and while I'm more focused here on discussing the main issues that have been identified.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 Under the Renewable Portfolio Standard the 2 Energy Commission is charged with certifying eligible 3 renewable energy resources, designing and implementing 4 an accounting system to verify Renewable Portfolio 5 Standard Procurement, or RPS, establishing a system that 6 protects against double counting of the same renewable 7 energy credit, and developing and implementing RPS 8 regulations for the POUs.

9 RPS Procurement Verification Reports through 10 2010 present the amount of RPS-eligible energy procured 11 and reported by electric retail sellers toward meeting 12 California's RPS.

Staff is currently verifying years 2008 through '10, and we expect to have a draft verification report by the end of this year and a final within the first quarter of next year.

For 2011 and forward, staff anticipates
Compliance Period Verification Reports, one for retail
sellers and one for POUs, and I'll be talking more about
this later today.

21 The Energy Commission was mandated to develop a 22 system based on independently audited data to protect 23 against double counting. Until WREGIS was operational, 24 the Energy Commission relies on an interim tracking 25 system, but it has inherent limitations. And they're CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 listed here on this slide.

2 So, WREGIS stands for the Western Renewable 3 Energy Generation Information System. WREGIS tracks 4 renewable generation to help ensure the credibility of 5 the green value of renewable electricity.

6 WREGIS is a voluntary, independent renewable 7 energy registry and tracking system for the Western 8 Interconnection Transmission area. This includes 14 9 Western States, two Canadian Provinces, and a portion of 10 Northern Baja, Mexico.

11 WREGIS was launched in 2007. Retail sellers, 12 POUs, renewable facilities and third parties 13 participation in California's RPS are required to 14 register with, and use WREGIS. Generation is reported 15 by qualifying reporting entities, QREs.

16 The results, as of September 12th, show that 17 there are more than 537 companies and 2,600 generators 18 approved to be WREGIS account holders.

So, retail sellers began transitioning to WREGIS
starting in 2008. And by 2010 almost all procurement
claims were made using WREGIS.

22 WREGIS certificates are created for every one 23 megawatt hour of generation, so there may be kilowatt 24 hours from one year that do not make it into a

25 certificate until the next calendar year.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

With the interim tracking system, procurement
 was reported in kilowatt hours, but with WREGIS it's
 reported in megawatt hours through certificates.

For most retail sellers we saw a clean break.
The interim tracking system was used January through
April and then by May most all claims were made using
WREGIS.

8 In cases where the facility was in WREGIS, but 9 the retail seller did not believe the full generation 10 amount was to be represented in WREGIS, the interim 11 tracking system was allowed only after intense 12 scrutinizing of the interim tracking system claim.

13 This included a three-year analysis of procurement and generation amounts to make sure the 14 15 amount claimed was below the generation amount. So, we 16 were really concerned for not allowing for double 17 counting and during this transition period it's really 18 important that just because something is reported on the 19 interim tracking system, we wanted to make sure it 20 wasn't eventually -- those kilowatt hours weren't 21 eventually wrapped up into megawatt hours later on. 22 And so this three-year analysis looked at the 23 total amount of procurement for those three years, and 24 summed it up, and then summed up the generation from the 25 facility for the three years, and compared if there was **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 an over claim or not.

2 So, this process was very timely and burdensome, 3 and in most cases the claims ended up not being accepted 4 and removed.

5 So, if there are any POUs in the audience, this 6 is just sort of a lessons learned that once it gets into 7 WREGIS it's, you know, always important to pay attention 8 to what happens in WREGIS, but counting this little 9 kilowatt hours is really, really, really burdensome and 10 time consuming, so I just caution you there.

In 2009 and '10 there were a few RPS track
claims that were accepted, but documentation was
required from either WREGIS or the facility stating that
the WREGIS certificates were not available.

And in some cases we had initial claims on the RPS track form and then when the retail seller went to go get this documentation from WREGIS, it turned out that there were WREGIS certificates there.

And so this was a good double check to make andI think it was worthwhile.

All right, now I'm just going to jump right to the issues for 2008 through '10. There are three of them. the first one is verification of procurement date relative to the vintage of the renewable energy product. The second one is verification of biomethane-

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

related claims. This is just for years 2008 through
 '10, and then generation of energy delivery for out-of state facilities.

4 This first issue is worth mentioning, but we 5 don't have any pending or ineligible claims as a result. 6 It's just things are changing in the RPS world, as I'm 7 sure you all know.

8 The California Public Utilities Commission, the 9 CPUC, decisions regarding TRECS and Senate Bill X1-2 now 10 allow for procurement from one year to be applied to a 11 later year or compliance period.

But procurement from contracts executed after
But procurement from contracts executed after
13 2010 may not be used for compliance in years during the
14 2008 -- or through 2010 reporting period.

So, for example, 2009 vintage certificates
procured under a 2011 contract may not be used for
compliance until the first compliance period.

18 This point is to really just put people on 19 notice that while we're providing preliminary 20 verification data results here, if we later learn that a

21 claim was made during the 2008 through 2010 time frame,

22 but the amounts were actually procured after 2010, we

23 will need to make adjustments accordingly.

This is to really clarify in case anyone was not aware, or if they were unclear on this, that the bright

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

line for when procurement claims can be made is the
 procurement contract date, unless there was a special
 circumstance approved by the CPUC.

4 So, entities cannot make a purchase in 2011 and 5 report it as if it was procured before then, as this 6 would be falsely inflating their RPS progress.

7 So, in the earlier years of the RPS program we 8 did not have the situation where procurement from one 9 year could be reported in a later year. It was always 10 the amount of procurement for a given year that was 11 reported for the same reporting year.

12 So, this is just to say if you need to do any 13 double checks on what was reported to us, please go 14 ahead and do that, and let us know if there is anything 15 that needs to be changed.

Everyone is really anxious to close the books on these years and just move forward, and we would really hate to do that and then later find out that we need to make a change.

20 So, we're aware that the CPUC is reviewing the 21 ES -- some of the ESP contracts, so if anything comes up 22 as a result of that, we'll know.

But in terms of verification, this is a
difficult check for us to make because we don't normally
see the contracts unless there's a red flag for any
CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 particular reason.

So, it's really incumbent upon the retail
 sellers to report correctly.

Verification of procurement under SBX1-2 will require much more contract checking and I would expect this sort of concern to pretty much go away because we will be doing a lot of contract checks. So, it's just in these years here that it's a little bit difficult for us.

10 There are some cases where a WREGIS certificate 11 has a vintage year different than the reporting year and 12 this situation occurs when WREGIS certificates were 13 created for more than there was generation.

And in order to correct this excess amount of WREGIS, the QRE needs to reload the adjustment amounts in WREGIS.

Adjustment amounts are written into the database
and any increases or decreases will be applied to the
next available WREGIS generation period.

20 So, for example, say 110 WREGIS certificates are 21 created with a December 2009 vintage, but after the 22 prior period adjustments are made it's realized that, 23 really, only 100 WREGIS certificates should have been 24 created. So, there are ten excess December 2009 vintage 25 certificates that then would need to be used with the 26 CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 January 2010 vintage.

2 And this is really just to let folks know a 3 little bit more about how things are working in WREGIS. 4 And staff needs to understand when this is happening to 5 determine if we need to analyze the amounts differently, 6 as I'll get to in the next slide.

So, staff identified 2008 and 2009 vintage year certificate claims reported for 2010. And while this reporting strategy does not appear to violate any specific RPS requirements, it does complicate verification.

12 So, for example, 2008 year vintage certificates 13 for claims reported for 2010 cause over claims for 2010 14 that can only be resolved by removing the 2008 vintage 15 amount from staff's analysis.

16 So, it's maybe a little bit hard to understand, 17 but the way that we look at our procurement claims is on 18 an annual basis.

And so what is happening is these 2008 amounts are showing up with 2010, so it's blowing up the amount of procurement, as we see it, for 2008. So, it looks like a lot more was procurement than was generated, and that raises a flag for us.

24 So, what we had to do was remove the 2008
25 vintage amounts, put it back into 2008, make sure there
CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 were no over claims in 2008, and make sure there were no
2 over claims in 2010, and then we were able to add it
3 back in.

4 So, it's just a complication. It adds time and 5 effort to our process. And our internal database is 6 currently not set up to handle this sort of analysis. 7 We are hoping to get a more sophisticated 8 verification system in the future because these sort of 9 situations will probably be happening more often. 10 And, hopefully, that will help us automate these 11 sort of checks in the future so that we can check 12 vintage and generation amounts that may occur over 13 multiple years. 14 So, basically, in these three cases when it was a contract date that was after 2010, the retail seller 15

16 was required to remove the claim.

17 In cases where the reason for the vintage 18 reporting mismatch was a WREGIS functionality, staff 19 accepted the claims.

And in cases where vintage year and reporting year did not match staff -- if staff was able to verify that there was no double counting, then staff accepted the claims.

24 So, as I mentioned before, if we become aware of 25 additional cases where procurement was claimed for an CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

incorrect reporting year, staff will work with the
 retail sellers to correct the situations and we'll
 document the outstanding issues in the RPS Procurement
 Verification Report, as appropriate.

5 So, the second topic we have is Verification of 6 the 2008 through 2010 Biomethane Claims.

7 Listed on this slide are the requirements that 8 have been listed from the third edition through the 9 sixth. I'm just going to read here that, first, the gas 10 must be produced from an RPS-eligible resource, such as 11 biomass or digester gas.

12 Two, the gas must be injected into a natural gas 13 pipeline system that is either within the WECC region or 14 interconnected to a natural gas pipeline system.

15 In the WECC region, that delivers gas into 16 California.

17 Three, the energy content produced and supplied 18 to the transportation pipeline system must be measured 19 on a monthly basis and reported annually, illustrated by 20 month.

21 Reporting must be in units of energy, for 22 example MMBtu, based on metering of gas volume and 23 adjustment for measured heat content per volume of each 24 gas.

25 In addition, the total amount of gas used at the CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

RPS-eligible facility must be reported in the same units
 measured over the same period and the electricity
 production must be reported in megawatt hours.

Four, the gas must be used at a facility that has been certified as RPS eligible. As part of the application for certification, the applicant must attest that the RPS-eligible gas will be nominated to that facility or nominated to the LSE-owned pipeline serving the designated facility.

10 And what I just read was taken from the third11 edition of the guidebook.

12 The two types of documentation for biomethane 13 verification include physical and contractual data.

Physical data is about the physical delivery and use of the gas and it needs to come from both the source and the RPS facility.

17 Contractual data is proof of purchase of the gas
18 and proof showing an arrangement ahead of time to have
19 the gas delivered to California was made.

20 So, I'll talk a little bit about both of these 21 requirements.

Date required for physical verification at the biomethane source are monthly metered data reported annually for the amount of biomethane injected into the pipeline.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 The meter data can show the amount of biomethane 2 in two ways. The first is to show both the monthly 3 volume and the monthly average heat content, which we 4 would then use to calculate the total energy of the gas 5 injected each month. Or, the meter data can show the 6 monthly total energy of the biomethane injected into the 7 pipeline.

8 Invoices cannot be substituted for meter data. 9 Physical verification requirements for use at 10 the RPS-certified facility; here we also require monthly 11 meter data reported annually, showing the amount of 12 total pipeline gas consumed at the facility.

And just to clarify, we are looking here for the monthly total gas removed from the pipeline by the RPScertified facility. So, there's sort of two things here, the meter data, but also the total amount of electricity produced by the facility.

18 And again, invoices cannot be substituted for19 meter data.

We need the invoices to show that the facility did purchase the biomethane. We also compare the total amounts on the invoices to the total amount injected to verify that they match up. And if there is a difference, we take the lesser of the two.

25 So, for example, the biomethane might inject **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

more than is purchased, but the facility only gets
 credit for what was purchased.

3 Also, the facility might buy more than what is 4 injected and would just then get to count the amount 5 injected, unless the facility used stored biomethane and 6 then additional documentation would be required. 7 So, in some cases there could be multiple 8 sources and then the required -- all of this data is 9 required, the same documentation is required for each 10 source. 11 This slide here shows the status of the pending 12 biomethane claims. This is the first time we've really 13 had to verify biomethane claims, so it has been a 14 learning process for us. And these claims have actually 15 served as very good case studies. 16 So, the Xs here indicated that sufficient 17 documentation has not yet been received, and the 18 checkmarks indicate appropriate or complete 19 documentation has been provided. 20 The table does not indicate that a claim has 21 been verified as eligible or ineligible. All of the 22 claims are pending because we still don't have the data 23 points we need to complete the verification. 24 So again, the physical requirements include 25 meter data, and for the RPS-certified facility it also CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 includes generation data.

PG&E has provided some documentation and we are continuing to work with them to complete the verification. There are two sources of biomethane for PG&E's claims, so this adds to the amount of data requirement for verification purposes.

And the Pastoria facility used stored
biomethane, so we are working with the retail sellers to
get sufficient documentation regarding storage.

10 So, there's still work to be done before we can 11 make a determination of the eligibility of these claims. 12 the results of our analysis will be included in the 13 draft Verification Report.

14 It just gets a bit more complicated when there's 15 multiple sources or when there is stored biomethane, and 16 we just happen to have both of those situations in these 17 claims here.

18 The next issue is on energy delivery. The RPS 19 Eligibility Guidebook requires that a matching quantity 20 of electricity must be delivered to an in-state point of 21 delivery consistent with the North American Electrical 22 Reliability Corporation, or NERC, rules and documented 23 with a NERC e-Tag.

24 So, I do realize that this is changed and now 25 it's being done by NASB, and we have the OATI web CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

registry. And so maybe at some point we will update it
 to just say e-Tags, instead of NERC e-Tags. But for now
 we're using NERC e-Tags.

And the RPS Eligibility Guidebook requires the
RPS ID on the e-Tags to demonstrate that a matching
amount of substitute energy was delivered into
California for the RPS-certified facility.

8 The RPS Eligibility Guidebook states that the 9 annual report to verify delivery must include the source 10 point located out of California, and that is for the 11 substitute energy, the final point of delivery, the POD, 12 which is also the sink, and the RPS ID of the certified 13 facility or facilities with which the delivered energy 14 is being matched.

15 The California RPS ID must be shown on the 16 miscellaneous field of the NERC e-Tag, the amount of the 17 electricity delivered per month and annually.

So, because the tracking services using NERC e-Tags was not available in WREGIS in 2009, retail sellers reported using this interim tracking delivery form for 21 2008.

22 NERC e-Tags in WREGIS are created -- or e-Tags 23 are created when energy is scheduled to cross a 24 balancing authority area and they're used to track the 25 physical path.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

E-Tags are pulled into WREGIS if the RPS ID is
 on the miscellaneous token field line of the physical
 path of the e-Tag.

In WREGIS account holders can match e-Tags to
the corresponding WREGIS certificates to show that
energy was delivered to California.

7 Retail sellers submit NERC e-Tag summary 8 reports, along with the WREGIS compliance reports, which provide verification information included on the e-Tags. 9 10 So, this is the information that is provided to 11 us on the WREGIS NERC e-Tag summary report. The 12 generator name is the source, or the POR, and the load 13 is the sink, or the POD, and the load-serving entity is 14 the PSE.

This is the interim -- the information that is available to us on the Interim Tracking Delivery Form. So, there's two schedules. The first one includes various IDs, the POR and the POD. And then the second one includes the monthly and annual amount.

20 So, there were some cases in 2009 and '10 where 21 retail sellers were allowed to report using the delivery 22 form, and so we did see that in 2009 and '10, as well.

23 So, the situations that we identified through 24 the verification process, so while we received the 25 summary report from WREGIS, and also the delivery form CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

for the ITS reporting, that does not include the NERC e Tags. And so to avoid having to look at every single
 NERC e-Tag that was generated, we just asked for random
 samples.

5 And during this process we found that some of 6 the NERC e-Tags did not include the RPS ID number in the 7 miscellaneous field, but it was in the comments section 8 of the NERC ID.

9 So, when we asked why this occurred, their 10 justification was that in the second edition of the RPS 11 Eligibility Guidebook the requirement was to have the 12 NERC ID in the comments section.

And so, basically, I think the argument here was there was a transition time. So, the third edition of the RPS Eligibility Guidebook came out in January 2008, and so that's what we have here.

17 There were other cases where there was just no 18 RPS ID on the e-Tag, and the reasons for that are listed 19 here.

And so, the claims in these situations are listed, currently listed as pending, so they have not been determined to be eligible or ineligible.

23 Staff has been collecting information from the 24 retail sellers that did not meet this delivery

25 requirement, and we will determine if claims -- you

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 know, based on this supporting documentation, staff will 2 determine if the claims can be considered verified. 3 And, if so, we will include a recommendation in the 4 draft Verification Report. 5 So, basically, staff does not have the authority 6 to go against what is in the guidebook. So, in a 7 situation like this we want to get enough information to 8 be able to make a recommendation one way or another. It is the full Commission that votes on a 9 10 decision like this. 11 So, we can now be open to public comments. Feel 12 free to comment on any of the issues, now. 13 Theresa also will be giving her presentation and she'll be going into more detail of the various retail 14 sellers summary slides, and so it's also possible to 15 16 make comments after that presentation. 17 So, it's really up to you. We can wait for blue 18 cards and give you some time now to see if anybody wants 19 to comment, or you can hold your comments until later. 20 It looks like we might have a comment. 21 And if you're on WebEx, please send a request to 22 the coordinator that you would like to ask a question or 23 make a comment. 24 And Lorraine Gonzales will go around and maybe 25 look for blue cards, or feel free to bring it up. Okay. **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 MS. WINN: Hi, Valerie Winn with PG&E. I 2 believe back on slide 9 you talked about as you're doing 3 more of the verification going forward --4 MS. BARKALOW: Yes. 5 MS. WINN: -- that you're going to need to do 6 more contract checks. 7 MS. BARKALOW: Yes. 8 MS. WINN: Could you say a little bit about what 9 you're going to be looking for? Are you going to be 10 looking for the contract, are you going to ask people to 11 simply provide the date of the execution, or approval? 12 What's that going to look like? 13 MS. BARKALOW: Actually, that's really a 14 question that's more appropriate for the second portion 15 of today's workshop. 16 MS. WINN: Okay. 17 MS. BARKALOW: We're going to be talking about 18 that because it has to do with the role of the CPUC, as 19 well. 20 MS. WINN: Okay, good, because that goes to my 21 questions about confidentiality of the contract 22 information, which was really where I was going. 23 MS. BARKALOW: Okay. Well, I could just tell 24 you that we really do not want confidential information. 25 We're not interested in price information. And we'd **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 really appreciate it if all confidential information is 2 redacted. It makes our life a lot easier, too. 3 MS. WINN: Okay. 4 MS. BARKALOW: So, we usually tend to agree on 5 how to be able to get the information submitted without 6 a confidential request. 7 MS. WINN: Okay, thank you. 8 MS. BARKALOW: You're welcome. 9 And it's also possible to provide written 10 comments, so if you don't feel like speaking right now, 11 please just go ahead and submit your comments. And the 12 information is on the notice, and it looks like maybe we 13 have a question on -- through WebEx. 14 Okay, so Brian is going to write down the question and I will read it. 15 16 And folks can maybe start thinking about how we 17 want to go about the rest of the day. So, after 18 Theresa's presentation we can take a break. And if it's 19 still early, then perhaps we can launch into the 20 presentation or it's possible to break for lunch, 21 depending on the timing. So, we can think about that 22 and we'll just maybe probably take a vote or so, try and 23 get a sense of what people would like to do. 24 MR. ROTH: Gina, I'm calling from WebEx, can you 25 hear me?

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1

MS. BARKALOW: Yes, go ahead.

2 MR. ROTH: Good. Yeah, this is Tom Roth calling 3 with SCPPA.

4 You state in one of your slides. I didn't get 5 the number. It was going faster than I could write it 6 down.

7 MS. BARKALOW: Oh, sorry.

8 MR. ROTH: That the certified facility must show 9 that it is the purchaser and sole possessor of the 10 biomethane that's to be consumed for the purpose of 11 making a qualified RPS product.

12 Certain facilities and, of course, one of which 13 SCPPA owns and is operated by Burbank, does not purchase 14 gas for use in the facility. It owns the facility, but 15 the facility's gas is brought -- and this is the 16 biomethane. It's brought to the facility by the cities 17 that participate in the plant.

Does this give rise to a conflict with the proof of physical path, which we can certainly give you that, but the issue having to do with possession, title, and all those particular matters? Would you kind of tell me about that?

MS. BARKALOW: I'm going to ask if James Haile has anything to say, or Kate. I'm not really able to answer that question right now.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1

MR. ROTH: Okay.

2 MS. BARKALOW: We probably have to think more 3 about how that would work.

4 Certainly, feel free to provide that question in 5 writing, too, so we can think about it more.

6 MR. ROTH: Oh, I've made an effort -- okay, I've 7 made an effort to do that but I'm failing miserable in 8 the jackpot. So, I can get into it, but it isn't taking 9 my message.

10 MS. BARKALOW: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. ROTH: Anyway, you have somebody there who is doing a transcript of this so, hopefully, that will --

IS WIII

MS. BARKALOW: Yes, we will have a transcript.
We will have a transcript of this, yeah, and there will
be a WebEx recording, as well.

MS. ZOCCETTI: Tom, this is Kate Zoccetti.18 Thank you for your comment.

19 MR. ROTH: Yes.

20 MS. ZOCCETTI: I think probably we'll discuss 21 plans for future verification processes in the last 22 section of our workshop today, when we talk about how

23 we're going to verify RPS claims under SB X 1-2.

Hopefully, you're going to be on the line at that time, and I think probably others will have similar

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 questions, if you don't mind?

2

25

MR. ROTH: That's good.

MS. BARKALOW: Oh, actually, I'm sorry, just to
jump in, I was not actually planning to talk about
verification of biomethane in the afternoon portion.

6 MS. ZOCCETTI: That's true.

7 MS. BARKALOW: Just as more of the buckets so, 8 I'm sorry.

9 MR. HERRERA: Hey, Tom, this is Gabe Herrera 10 with the Commission's Legal Office. So, this issue is 11 one that comes up in the context of the AB2196 which, if 12 the Governor signs that bill, the Energy Commission will 13 have to revise its guidebook to talk about the rules for 14 verifying, both the delivery of the biomethane, itself, 15 as well as the delivery path, and then the attributes.

16 So, that discussion, I think, how we verify the 17 quantities of biomethane that were procured by SCPPA on 18 behalf of its members I think is a good point to raise 19 when we start talking about 2196.

20 MR. ROTH: We will raise it then and at any 21 other point in time that you suggest it's appropriate. 22 I guess we'd like to get it front of it before, you 23 know, it gets reported and then a year or two later it 24 gets questions.

> MS. ZOCCETTI: Sure, understood. Just a CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 reminder to everyone, though, that these morning 2 presentations are regarding 2008 through 2010 claims. 3 So, the verification --4 MR. ROTH: Okay. 5 MS. ZOCCETTI: -- processes that were in place 6 at that time are more of what's coming into play here. 7 MR. ROTH: I'll keep that in mind. Thank you, 8 Kate. 9 MS. ZOCCETTI: Thanks Tom. 10 MR. ROTH: Yeah. 11 MS. BARKALOW: I have a blue card from Michael 12 Webster, Associate Director of Power System Planning and 13 Development, LADWP. 14 MR. WEBSTER: Yes, Mike Webster, L.A. Water and 15 Power. 16 I might reserve this comment, but when you said 17 you weren't going to talk about biomethane verification, 18 it is a very important issue to the City of Los Angeles. 19 And I'll gen it up and maybe we can talk more about it 20 this afternoon. 21 But we were under our rules at the time, 387 22 delegated that authority to water and power 23 commissioners and our city council. 24 And so while we implemented RPS with an eye 25 towards what was going on in California, what the CEC **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

was doing under Guidebook Number 3, it was not clear to
 us that you were going to implement that through
 tracking the biomethane all the way through the
 pipeline.

5 While we can clearly demonstrate that it is 6 burned in our facility, some of the tracking mechanisms 7 that were deployed in historical verification are going 8 to be very, very hard in the future.

9 So, I'm going to gen that up and we'll talk more 10 about it this afternoon.

And I'll just raise one more comment about this historical verification. We're in 2012 and you're in the process of wrapping up verification for 2008 to 2010. So, when I think about the future, that's quite a long delay. And I know it's incredibly complicated, but if we were to find ourselves short in renewable energy how do we make up the difference?

And I'll just pose that as a question, not living with your process for all these years, how will we go back and make sure we comply when maybe two years later we find out we're deficient for whatever technical reason? Thank you.

23 MS. BARKALOW: Thanks. I would just sort of say 24 one of the lessons learned here is that we do require 25 documentation going back in time, so I would start

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 collecting it now and have it ready and available, so it
2 makes it a lot easier to get when it's time to present
3 it.

4 So, it has been hard. PG&E had to go back and 5 look for 2008 records, and a lot of time had passed 6 since then, so that's part of the problem in the delay 7 of getting information.

8 So, now that we know a little bit more, I would9 start saving that documentation right away.

10 MS. ZOCCETTI: This is Kate Zoccetti. I'd also 11 like to add a reminder that we are not planning to, as 12 Gina pointed out, really discuss biomethane tracking in 13 our presentation today regarding what the Energy 14 Commission is thinking about for 2011 and thereafter, 15 under SB X 1-2.

As Mr. Herrera mentioned, we're also watching carefully as to whether or not the Governor signs AB2196. And if he does, we will be charged with implementing most of that.

And so there's a lot that we don't know and we're trying to get ahead of the game and figure out, if it is chaptered, how we will address that.

And we expect to have a workshop later on this year to talk about that and other issues that will have to all be rolled into an RPS Eligibility Guidebook

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 revision process, which we'll have another at least one 2 opportunity for public participation.

3 So, I just want to assure everyone that today is 4 looking more at 2008 through 2010. And while we're 5 having this workshop, we wanted to take the opportunity, 6 while we have your attention, to also kind of just tee 7 up our thinking, our current thinking, nothing is in 8 stone at all, about how we might proceed with verifying 9 2011 and thereafter.

10 So, the last presentation is more of a 11 brainstorming, talking with you, and more informal 12 process. We won't be having the court reporter at that 13 time.

14 So, I sense people's concerns that we might have 15 made decisions about how we're going to do things in the 16 future that, you know, you might not have had a say in, 17 and that's not the case at all.

So, just be watching for future notices about workshops, or webinars, or things like that as we start to begin the guidebook revision process. So, thank you. MS. BARKALOW: Okay, hi, so I do have two blue cards here and I have a Barry Dong on the phone. Barry, if you'd like to go ahead and speak? MR. DONG: Yeah, this is Barry Dong from L.A.

25 Water and Power. I have a question on one of the slides

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

you showed that the June for 2010 biomethane contracts
 will have to be used as CEC certified facility in order
 to count the credits.

4 The thing is, the CEC certification was not 5 required actually out for the SB X 1-2 is in place. 6 So, our situation applied to out, our facilities 7 were not certified, even though we submitted 8 verification out of that. But right now it's still 9 under pending. 10 I was wondering what those facilities, the 11 contracts hired 2010, would that biomethane credit would 12 be counted in those facilities? 13 MS. BARKALOW: Just to clarify, are you asking about something that was an SB X 1-2 situation? 14 15 MR. DONG: No, no, our facilities were not SB X 16 1-2 --17 MS. BARKALOW: And, I'm sorry, could you speak 18 up a little bit louder, it's very hard to hear you. 19 MR. DONG: Yeah, our facilities are not 20 certified, have not been certified, yet, even though we 21 submitted application and it has been pre-certified, but 22 it has not been certified. 23 MS. BARKALOW: Okay. 24 MR. DONG: So, what the concern is for those 25 pre-2010 contracts, biomethane contracts. So, because **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 on one of your slides it's showing that it has to be 2 used in certified facilities, and our facility has not 3 been certified. So, we are concerned on how do we count 4 those credits?

5 MR. HERRERA: Hi. Gina, I'll address that.
6 This is Gabe Herrera, Mr. Dong.

So, this presentation and these requirements are really focusing on the retail seller requirements, and so as part of the retail sellers, in order for them to claim this procurement based on biomethane use, the facility designated for use of the biomethane needed to be certified by the Energy Commission.

Again, these were retail sellers. Back in 2010 LADWP was under no obligation, obviously, to follow the Energy Commission's rules. It had its own rules it adopted pursuant to Public Utility Code Section 387.

You know, going forward, starting in 2011, under
Senate Bill X 1-2, the Energy Commission will need to
address the situation of verifying procurement by POUs.
And at that point we'll need to address L.A.'s, you
know, contracts pre-June 2010 contracts.

21 know, contracts pre-June 2010 contracts.

22 But again, those rules and that discussion, I 23 think, will need to wait until such time as the Energy 24 Commission is implementing AB2196. Because if that bill 25 does get enacted into law there will need to be a number CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 of changes that will be addressed in our guidebook to 2 address the biomethane procurement issue. 3 MR. DONG: Thank you. Thank you. MS. BARKALOW: Okay, I have a blue card that 4 5 came in over WebEx, and the question is from Dana 6 Griffith. "I own the biomethane production facility. 7 8 There will be no invoice showing a purchase. How do we 9 meet the invoice requirement in that case?" 10 That is a good question. So --11 MR. HAILE: Hi, James Haile here. So, you're 12 the biomethane source facility but -- so, you're selling 13 the biomethane but there's no invoice. I would imagine 14 there would have to be some sort of proof of sale that would show the amount of biomethane that was sold by the 15 16 source facility to someone. 17 MS. BARKALOW: Do you have any comments, Dana 18 Griffith? 19 Okay, it's also possible to submit written 20 comments so I just want to leave it at that. 21 Okay, yes, we have someone from --22 MR. WESTERFIELD: Yes, I have a blue card. Bill 23 Westerfield with SMUD --24 MS. BARKALOW: Can you state your name and 25 information?

37

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1	MR. WESTERFIELD: Bill Westerfield with SMUD.
2	MS. BARKALOW: Okay.
3	MR. WESTERFIELD: Gabe, you had just made a
4	comment and I was trying to understand kind of the basis
5	for your comment. I think you had mentioned that should

6 2196 be signed by the Governor, then the Energy
7 Commission would have to look at potentially new
8 requirements for the certification of facilities for the
9 combustion of biomethane from pre-2011 contracts.

10 And I'm thinking over that bill and I can't 11 remember any requirements in that bill that might impose 12 extra requirements on the certification of facilities 13 for those -- those older contracts, or even for the 14 requirements for the eligibility of that fuel under the 15 old contracts.

16 I thought those old contracts were basically 17 grandfathered.

18 MR. HERRERA: So, you're right, so the 19 biomethane procurement contracts, not the procurement of 20 electricity contract, right, is what 2196 is focused on, 21 Bill.

But there are provisions in there, for example, that require I think a beefed up verification process that the Energy Commission will have to use in place. For example, we would need to take a look at our CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

existing rules to see if they are as rigorous as may be
 required by 2196, or if we need to impose some
 additional requirements.

2196 includes, for even these grandfathered
provisions, a requirement that the biomethane source be
online and injected into common carrier pipeline by
April 1, 2014.

8 So, for example, if you had an existing contract 9 for biomethane that included a source that wouldn't be 10 producing gas until after that April 2014 date, then I 11 think, you know, our rules need to address that.

So, there are some grandfathering -- there is a grandfather provision, but it's subject to some conditions.

And I think what I'm saying is we're going to need to take a look at those, those requirements in the statute and figure out how to apply them to these existing contracts.

19MR. WESTERFIELD: Yeah, I understand the general20point. But I was just wondering if you had any

21 particulars that you had in mind.

I know the 2014 date, it's my recollection this only applies to contracts that were signed after March 24 28th, 2012. So, I was just trying to think in the back 25 of mind whether there were any particular requirements

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 that you think needed to be developed.

2 MR. HERRERA: Right. So, we can chat 3 afterwards, Bill, but I think the provisions in the 4 statute actually -- that March -- excuse me, that April 5 1, 2014 date apply to contracts that were executed prior 6 to March 29th, 2012.

7 MR. WESTERFIELD: Okay. All right thank you.
8 MS. BARKALOW: Okay, I have a blue card here
9 from Sergio, and the question is; "Can you go over the
10 dates of which the draft report will be released and
11 finalized?"

12 And I don't really -- I'm not able to give any 13 firm dates right now. We will hope to turn around right 14 after this workshop and get ready to start drafting the 15 Verification Report.

16 And the goal would be to have a draft released 17 publicly by the end of this year. I really hope that's 18 possible, but I cannot promise.

And then we hope to have the final one shortly after the draft, so I'm hoping within the next six months or so.

22 Any other questions?

Okay. All right, then, we will go ahead withTheresa Daniels' presentation.

25 MS. DANIELS: Hello everyone. My name is

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

Theresa Daniels and I'm going to discuss the 2008
 through 2010 RPS Procurement Verification Process and
 the current results of our analysis.

The 2008 through 2010 verification process includes procurement data from a total of 16 retail sellers; however, not all of the retail sellers reporting RPS procurement for each year.

8 As you can see, the total RPS-eligible 9 procurement amount has increased each year. In 2008 10 approximately 23.8 terawatt hours of renewable 11 procurement from 520 renewable facilities will be 12 verified as RPS eligible.

In 2009, approximately 29.2 terawatt hours of renewable procurement, from 529 facilities will be verified as eligible.

16 And in 2010, approximately 31.8 terawatt hours 17 of renewable procurement, from 561 facilities will be 18 verified as eligible.

19 Please note that the 2010 renewable procurement 20 amounts include generation from years 2008 and 2009 that 21 were claimed in 2010.

During the verification process 551 claims in 23 2008 were verified as RPS eligible, and 608 claims in 24 2009, and 620 claims in 2010 were verified as RPS 25 eligible.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

Of these, staff identified approximately 38 over
 claim issues, 12 multi-fuel issues, and 13 energy
 delivery issues.

4 Eleven over claim issues were identified and 5 resolved through our collaboration with Green-e-Energy, 6 a program of the Center for Resource Solutions. 7 Staff resolved the majority of these issues by 8 having the retail seller submit supporting documentation 9 for their claims. 10 However, in some cases retail sellers re-filed 11 their RPS claims to remove ineligible procurement. 12 The sources of the 2008 through 2010 13 verification data; RPS procurement claims are reported 14 on the CEC RPS track form and WREGIS compliance reports. 15 We also use procurement data reported to the 16 1305 Power Source Disclosure Program, and voluntary 17 WREGIS sales information from Green-e-Energy in our 18 analysis to determine that the RPS procurement claims 19 are not double counted. 20 We also used generation data that we got from 21 various Energy Commission programs, EIA, and directly 22 from the generating facilities, themselves, on the CEC

24 This slide shows the information found on the 25 CEC RPS Track Form. It includes the facility name, fuel CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

23

RPS GEN form.

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 type, various ID numbers, and the annual procurement 2 amount.

3 There's also a Schedule 2 of this form, which4 includes monthly procurement data for each claim.

5 As Gina mentioned in her presentation, this form 6 was used to report RPS procurement data in 2008 when 7 retail sellers and generators were transitioning to 8 WREGIS.

9 In 2009 and 2010 this form was used for 10 generation that was not available in WREGIS, when 11 supporting documentation was provided confirming this. 12 This slide shows the information found on the 13 WREGIS compliance report. Among other things, it 14 includes the generator's name, fuel type, the vintage month and year of the certificates, the certificate 15 16 quantity, the certificate serial number and the NERC e-17 Tag ID, if e-Tags are matched with the certificates. 18 This is the 2008 through 2010 RPS procurement 19 verification methodology. To verify procurement is RPS 20 eligible, Energy Commission staff checked that all 21 claims are from RPS-certified facilities by internal 22 collaboration with the certification staff, compare all 23 load-serving entities' procurement claims from 24 individual facilities with generation data to verify 25 that there is sufficient generation for all procurement **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC** 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 claims.

2 Determine, to the extent possible, the claim was 3 counted only once by coordinating with other states, including Oregon, Washington, Nevada and Green-e-4 5 Energy's voluntary REC PROGRAM. 6 And to verify that procurement from out-of-state 7 facilities satisfies RPS delivery requirements through 8 2010; the sources for this include the CEC RPS Delivery 9 Form, NERC e-Tags, and the WREGIS NERC e-Tag Summary 10 Report. This is information that we included in the 11 12 appendices of the Verification Report to demonstrate the 13 detailed findings of our analysis. 14 We report the facility information, the annual 15 generation procured, the procurement from other sources, 16 annual generation of the facility, and the percent 17 difference between the total procurement and the 18 generation amount. 19 Staff works closely with retail sellers in the 20 verification process to gather supplemental 21 documentation to verify claims and to make adjustments 22 to retail sellers' procurement claims, including adding 23 in additional procurement claims and removing ineligible 24 procurement claims. 25 This is our first time using -- this is our

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

first time verifying using WREGIS data and we're
 developing process to address issues that have been
 identified using WREGIS.

4 One issue is when retail sellers want to make 5 corrections to their procurement data, WREGIS only 6 allows for certificates to be un-retired within 12 7 months of the retirement. After that, certificates 8 cannot be un-retired.

9 With the ITS, retail sellers were able to submit 10 a revised RPS Track Form with the ineligible claim 11 removed. But corrections cannot be made this way to the 12 WREGIS reports.

13 The process that we are using to address this is 14 to have retail sellers submit a letter to Energy 15 Commission staff documenting which procurement claims 16 should be removed as an ineligible claim for the 17 verification report.

In cases that WREGIS reduces the amount of certificates created in a future year to correct an error in the current year, retail sellers can submit an additional letter requesting that the procurement be reduced in the current year and credited back in the future year that WREGIS is reducing.

There may be cases in which the amounts claimed in the Verification Report and WREGIS will differ. The

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

end result of this is that the Verification Report
 should be used as the final determination of eligible
 procurement.

4 The RPS summary table shows the details of each 5 retail seller's current RPS procurement eligibility. 6 This is a template of the summary table and it includes 7 the total procurement claimed by the retail seller, and 8 lists the disallowances which include procurement from 9 facilities without RPS certification, procurement from 10 facilities in which procurement claims exceed generation 11 by five percent or greater, procurement from distributed 12 generation facilities, procurement of energy only, 13 procurement from facilities that exceed fossil fuel 14 usage limit, and procurement claimed before the 15 beginning on -- before the facility's beginning on date. 16 This table also lists pending claims. These 17 claims fall within the following categories; procurement 18 without standing issues regarding electricity delivery 19 verification and procurement without standing issues 20 regarding biomethane gas delivery verification. 21 There are also footnotes on this table 22 explaining each of the categories. 23 I will now go through each retail seller's 24 individual RPS summary table. Three Phases Renewables has -- Three Phases has 25 **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC**

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

a pending 2009 claim due to the NERC e-Tags used in the
 delivery of their out-of-state claim not having the RPS
 ID number in the miscellaneous field of the tag, as
 required by the RPS Eligibility Guidebook.

5 Three Phases has submitted supplemental6 documentation in support of their claim.

APS Energy Service has no outstanding issues.
Calpine Power America also has no outstanding
issues.

10 Constellation New Energy has three pending 11 claims. One in 2008 and two in 2009, due to the NERC d-12 Tags used in the delivery for their out-of-state claims 13 not having the RPS ID number of the facilities in the 14 miscellaneous field of the e-Tags, as required by the 15 RPS Eligibility Guidebook.

16 CNE has submitted supplemental documentation in17 support of their claims.

Commerce Energy has no outstanding issues. Direct Energy has a pending 2008 claim due to the NERC e-Tags used in the delivery of their out-ofstate procurement not having the RPS ID number in the miscellaneous field of the e-Tag, as required by the RPS Eligibility Guidebook.

24Direct Energy has also submitted supplemental25documentation in support of their claim.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

Paxel Playing Field has no outstanding issues.
 Pilot Power has a pending 2010 claim due to the
 biomethane gas delivery verification.

4 Noble Americas also has a pending 2010 claim due
5 to biomethane gas delivery verification.

6 Marin Energy Authority has no outstanding7 issues.

8 Shell Energy has pending procurement claims in 9 years 2008 through 2010 for the NARC e-Tags used in the 10 delivery of its out-of-state procurement not having the 11 RPS ID number in the miscellaneous field of the e-Tag. 12 Also, Shell's 2010 procurement amounts include 13 vintage 2008 and 2009 generation, which Shell chose to 14 apply to 2010.

15 PacifiCorp has no outstanding issues.

16 Sierra Pacific Power Company also has no
17 outstanding issues.

18 PG&E resubmitted it's 2008 RPA track form to 19 remove procurement that is also being reported in WREGIS 20 -- in PG&E's WREGIS compliance report.

PG&E also has pending claims in 2008 for not having the RPS ID number in the miscellaneous field of the NARC e-Tags for the delivery of its out-of-state procurement claim.

25 And in 2009 and 2010 PG&E has pending claims due CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417 1 to the biomethane gas delivery verification.

San Diego Gas and Electric has no outstanding
 issues.

4 This table shows Southern California Edison's 5 2008 through 2010 eligible procurement amounts. During 6 the verification process SCE revised their procurement 7 claims to remove ineligible procurement.

8 SCE currently has no outstanding issues. 9 This table shows SCE's updated RPS procurement 10 claims from 2001 and 2003, through 2007. SCE removed 11 previously reported ineligible procurement claims from its 2001 and 2003, through 2007 total procurement 12 13 claimed amounts, and also removed a portion of 14 procurement claimed that was determined to be ineligible 15 in each of those years, as well. 16 So, these are their updated procurement claim 17 amounts. 18 The next steps include finishing up the 19 verification analysis and reporting any revisions to the

20 data in the draft 2008 through 2010 RPS Procurement

21 Verification Report.

We are hoping that the draft report will be made available for public comment by the end of the year.

24 When the final report is adopted by the Energy 25 Commission, it will be transmitted to the CPUC for

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 compliance determinations.

2 If anyone has public comments, you can provide 3 them now.

4 Are there any comments?

5 Are there any comments for users on WebEx, WebEx 6 participants?

7 If anybody on WebEx has any comments, you're 8 unmuted so you can speak now, or any telephone

9 participants.

MS. BARKALOW: All right. So, I guess there are
no comments. Feel free to provide written comments.
They are due October 1st. And the information to submit
those comments is provided in the details of the
workshop notice.

So, we have wrapped up -- yeah. So, we've wrapped up fairly early here. It's about 10:20. I propose that we take a break, maybe meet back here about, let's see, 10:40, and then we'll get started about 10:45. And we'll go through the second presentation -- or, actually, it's the presentation on SB X 1-2.

And for this one we will not have a court reporter, but we will include WebEx, so people can participate via WebEx.

25 And this really will be more of an informal CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 discussion, so these are -- I've worked really closely 2 with CPUC staff on what we will be presenting, and this 3 is just our preliminary thoughts about how we will go 4 about with reporting and verification. We really want 5 this to be more of an exchange, and more of an informal 6 dialogue, so that's what you can expect. 7 And then we'll just take it from there. If 8 people want to break for lunch and continue talking, we 9 can do that, we'll just kind of play it by ear. 10 So, how about we meet back here about 20 'till 11 and we'll get started about quarter to 11:00. Sound 12 okay? 13 All right, thank you. 14 (Thereupon, the Workshop was adjourned at 15 10:19 A.M.) 16 --000--17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 **CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC**

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417