BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION

AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Implementation of Renewables Investment Plan Legislation

and

Implementation of Renewables Portfolio Standard Legislation Docket No. 02-REN-1038

Docket No. 03-RPS-1078



STAFF WORKSHOP

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

HEARING ROOM A

1516 9TH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 2011

10:00 A.M.

CRIGINAL

Reported by: Peter Petty

> CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 52 LONGWOOD DRIVE SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901 415-457-4417

STAFF PRESENT:

Kate Zocchetti

Gina Barkalow

Theresa Daniels

Gabe Herrera, Legal Counsel

ALSO PRESENT:

Public Comment:

Manuel Alvarez, Southern California Edison (SCE)

Evan Hughes

Index

Page

Introductions and Housekeeping - Kate Zocchetti	4
Overview of the RPS Procurement Verification Process Gina Barkalow	б
Public Comment	
Manuel Alvarez, SCE	11
Evan Hughes	15
Overview of the Load-Serving Entities Procurement Claims Theresa Daniels	16
Adjournment	20
Certificate of Reporter	21

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	10:00 A.M.
3	MS. ZOCCHETTI: I was waiting for the clock here
4	to strike 10:00.
5	I'm Kate Zocchetti, I'm the supervisor of the
6	Renewables Portfolio Standard here at the Energy Commission.
7	I'd like to welcome you to our workshop on the RPS
8	Procurement Verification Data Review.
9	We have some folks here in the audience, we have
10	some listeners on the WebEx and calling in.
11	We'd like to welcome everyone.
12	I'd like to go over our agenda for the day, for
13	the morning. First, we'll do some housekeeping for you.
14	We'll have an overview of the RPS Procurement Verification
15	process and a discussion of the outstanding issues, and that
16	will be done by Gina Barkalow.
17	And then we'll stop and have public comments.
18	And then Theresa Daniels will provide an overview
19	of the Load-Serving Entities Procurement Claims and tell you
20	what the next steps are following this workshop.
21	We'll again stop for public comments and then we
22	will adjourn.
23	So, under housekeeping, first and foremost the
24	rest rooms are located outside this room here, and to your
25	left.
	California Reporting, LLC 4

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 There is a snack bar on the second floor, under 2 the white awning, over in the corner, they have coffee, and 3 snacks, and sandwiches and things.

In the event of an emergency and if the building is evacuated, please follow the employees to the appropriate exits.

7 We will reconvene at Roosevelt Park. That's the 8 park located diagonally across from this building, across 9 the street. Please proceed calmly and following the 10 employees with whom you are meeting to safely exit the 11 building. We appreciate that.

We do have handouts of our presentations, they'reon the front desk, if you didn't get one.

Ground rules for participating today. We'd like to use blue cards to let us know that you would like to speak, and we will be using the podium there in the middle of the room.

18 Turn in the blue cards to Lorraine here, sitting19 in the room.

20 Please provide the court reporter, here, with your 21 business card so that he can appropriately record you for 22 his purposes.

And for the phone-in participants, we will unmute the phone lines during the public comments, but right now the phone lines are muted.

California Reporting, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 If you are participating on WebEx, on the 2 internet, you can view our slides. If you'd like to speak 3 during the public comment period, you could do that two 4 ways. You could raise your hand to ask a question and we 5 will unmute your line, or you could type in your question in 6 the chat box and the WebEx administrator will see it and 7 read it for you.

8 You are also muted at this time and we will unmute 9 WebEx users during public comments.

If you're not sure how to log in to WebEx and you're on the phone, please look at the workshop notice and the directions for how to do that are provided on page 4.

We're going to take the public comments in those two periods in the following order: first, we'll take comments from the audience that's present here in the room, and then WebEx, and then phone-in-only participants.

So, again, I'd like to thank you for participating, either here in person, or on the internet, or on the phone.

20 And I'd like to introduce Gina Barkalow, who will 21 give you the next presentation.

MS. BARKALOW: Good morning, thank you all forcoming today.

I'm going to talk about the 2007 RPS ProcurementVerification Data.

California Reporting, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 Under the Renewable Portfolio Standard Program the 2 Energy Commission is charged with certifying eligible 3 renewable facilities, designing and implementing an 4 accounting system to verify renewable portfolio standard, or 5 RPS, procurement, and establishing a system that protects 6 against the double counting of the same renewable energy 7 credit, or REC.

8 The RPS Procurement Verification Report presents 9 the amount of eligible RPS procurement made by electricity 10 retail sellers each year and is used to determine RPS 11 compliance.

To verify retail sellers procurement claims are RPS eligible, Energy Commission staff check that all claims are from RPS certified facilities, we compare all loadserving entities' procurement claims from individual facilities to determine if there was sufficient generation for all of the procurement claims.

18 We determine, to the extent possible, that the19 claims were not double counted.

20 And verify that procurement from out-of-state 21 facilities satisfies RPS delivery requirements.

In all cases, staff requests supportingdocumentation as necessary.

24 There are some limitations to the interim tracking 25 system, which should be noted. Oftentimes, there is

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 difficulty in identifying the correct facilities because 2 sometimes different names are used for the same facility or 3 a facility may have a variety of generating units, but the 4 data is reported under one facility.

5 So, oftentimes, we might see an over-procurement 6 claim for one unit and under for another, and the problem is 7 that the facilities were -- the procurement was assigned to 8 the wrong unit. So, we have to sort that out with the 9 various retail sellers and, oftentimes, that's how we 10 resolve those issues.

11 Sometimes generation may be sold to other parties 12 that are not reporting to the Energy Commission and 13 collecting all of this verification data, from all of these 14 different sources, can be subject to delays.

There are a variety of sources of data that we use to verify the procurement claims. We get the procurement data from the RPS track forms and we also get procurement data that is submitted under the SB 1305 Power Source Disclosure Program. And that includes all the load-serving entities, including publicly owned utilities.

21 We get voluntary REC information. And the 22 generation data comes to us from reports that are submitted 23 to the various programs within the Energy Commission, 24 submitted to the U.S. Energy Information Administration, and 25 from the generating facilities, themselves. And sometimes

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

we get supporting documentation by the various retail
 sellers, too.

3 So, for the 2007 verification report we have 4 identified approximately 40 claim issues, including some 5 that were identified through our collaboration with the 6 Center for Resource Solutions, Green-e Energy's voluntary 7 REC program.

8 We were able to resolve most of these issues. In 9 some cases retail sellers corrected and re-filed their RPS 10 procurement claims.

And there are two outstanding issues and I'm going to talk about those in the next few slides.

The first one has to do with procurement for energy only. And some of you may have read about these issues in the workshop notice and some of you may be familiar with this particular issue because it was addressed in the '06 verification report.

Southern California Edison procured wind -procurement from Mountain View Wind facility and it did not
include the RECs. Energy without the RECS is not RPS
eligible and some of the Mountain View RECs are already
accounted for in the voluntary REC market.

23 So, as I mentioned, we discussed this in detail at 24 a workshop for the '06 verification report and also in 25 detail in the '06 verification report, and the Energy

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

Commission determined that the Mountain View claims were not
 eligible for the RPS.

3 So, for '07, staff also identified the Mountain View procurement claims as being ineligible for RPS. 4 5 The next issue has to do with fossil fuel usage that exceeded the fossil fuel usage limit. 6 7 The Colmac Energy Mecca facility, also known as 8 Colmac but, also, this is a facility that also goes by 9 different names and Mecca sometimes is one of them. 10 This is an RPS-certified biomass facility and it 11 receives existing renewable facilities program funds. 12 The RPS eligibility guidebook states that for 13 facilities participating in the existing renewable program 14 and in the RPS, the eligible annual fossil fuel limit is 15 five percent. 16 In 2007, Colmac exceeded the five percent fossil 17 fuel usage limit and, consequently, the Energy Commission 18 reduced its funding payment to match the amount of fossil 19 fuel used. 20 For 2007, SCE claimed 100 percent of the 21 generation associated with the Colmac facility for the RPS. 22 And for these reasons, staff has identified the 2007 23 generation associated with Colmac's fossil fuel use as 24 ineligible. However, the renewable portion is eligible. 25 So, those are our two issues. We will open up for

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

public comment, now. If you'd like to speak, please provide 1 2 a blue card. 3 If you have a blue card, you can raise your hand and Lorraine will come and pick it up. 4 5 Oh, okay, we have one here and it looks like 6 that's it. 7 Okay, Manuel. 8 MR. ALVAREZ: Manual Alvarez, Southern California 9 Edison. I believe on January 11th Edison filed some 10 comments with respect to the two issues you raised on 11 12 today's agenda. 13 The history on the Mountain View project is fairly clear and I guess there's always a general issue, so I won't 14 15 kind of rehash that for you here. I think we've kind of 16 discussed that issue as far as we can. 17 The other issue is the Colmac -- the Colmac 18 project, and I guess it gets to the question on the 19 percentage and the roles of the guidelines. 20 As you know, the Colmac project is a legacy issue 21 from the -- from the QF era, during the eighties, and was 22 operating under the PURPA requirements for an allowance of 23 25 percent for fossil fuels. And I guess, from Edison's 24 perspective, we believe that standard should still be 25 applied given its legacy history.

California Reporting, LLC

11

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 It's very similar to the situation that goes on in 2 the solar projects over the years and we think we need to 3 have, basically, equal treatment from a public policy 4 perspective for that activity.

5 So, that's the thrust of the issue on the Colmac 6 area. But I think it gets to both the Mountain View and the 7 Colmac, get probably to a more substantive question on the 8 roles of the Commission's guidelines and the evolution.

9 I think everyone will agree that, you know, the 10 guideline process was established historically, basically, 11 because the Commission knew that the evolution of the 12 renewable program was evolving, so it chose not to go to a 13 formal regulatory arena and pass regulations through the 14 normal course of action.

And the flexibility and interpretation has been acornerstone of the guideline process.

And so, I guess what we're asking is for the Commission to kind of recognize, you know, the evolutions that have taken place over the last few years. And whether we're actually in a more permanent situation going forward, from 2008, as we look at verification in the future, hopefully, we've kind of gone over most of the road bumps in this verification process.

24 So, that's our request and I think it's just a 25 matter of equity of how certain projects are treated over

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 others, over the years.

25

So, thank you.
MR. HERRERA: Manual, can I ask you a question?
This is Gabe Herrera, with the Commission's legal office.

5 So, the change is to the existing renewable 6 facilities program, those went into effect in the beginning 7 or near the beginning of 2007 because of changes in law as a 8 result of Senate Bill 1250.

9 MR. ALVAREZ: Right.

10 MR. HERRERA: And I do know that it was in 11 December of 2006 that the Commission issued proposed changes 12 to the guidebook for the existing renewables program just to 13 let folks know these changes were coming.

Do you recall if Edison provided comments, back then, to those changes? Because that's the first time we would have identified that these new -- excuse me, these existing facilities, that had been participating in the existing account were going to be subject to these new requirements.

20 MR. ALVAREZ: You know, that would have come 21 through me but, actually, I just don't remember. But I can 22 go back and check my records and get back to you on that. 23 So, just off the top of my head, I don't remember what 24 happened.

MR. HERRERA: Yeah. No, I didn't mean to catch

California Reporting, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

you off guard. I just thought, you know, if you had 1 2 provided some comments, it might be good to kind of refresh 3 our memory in terms of what the comments were. 4 MR. ALVAREZ: Okay. As you know, we've been 5 pretty active in this program over the years --6 MR. HERRERA: Right. 7 MR. ALVAREZ: -- and the history of folks dealing 8 with how the accounting is done from the beginning of this program, in '98, I guess it was, or '97. 9 10 So, I'll just have to go back through my records 11 and see if there's actually something we filed in that year 12 or not. 13 MR. HERRERA: Okay. 14 MR. ALVAREZ: But I'll get back to you on that. 15 Thank you. 16 MS. ZOCCHETTI: Thank you. 17 We have another question from someone in the 18 audience? Okay. And this is Evan Hughes, he is a 19 consultant. 20 Also, if you have a business card, would you please provide that to the court reporter? Okay, got that. 21 22 Thank you. 23 MR. HUGHES: I'm Evan Hughes, I'm an energy 24 consultant, Biomass Energy and Geothermal. I guess I could 25 describe myself as a biomass advocate, also. California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

So, my question is on the Colmac project, as to
 how big is this ineligibility in effect?

3 I got the impression that you're giving -- giving renewable energy credit for the fraction that was generated 4 from the biomass. I wondered if you had some numbers as to 5 how big that is, and is it about 90 percent of the credit 6 7 that would otherwise be there, and how do you determine it? 8 MS. BARKALOW: You know, I actually don't have 9 those numbers on me. I don't know if it's in the workshop 10 notice, but it was about --11 MR. HERRERA: I think, generally, the total annual 12 amount of fossil fuel use was calculated at 13.5 percent. 13 So, I think that translates across the board, in terms of 14 generation, I think the Colmac facility was reduced by 13.5 15 percent of its total generation. 16 So that Edison could claim 86.5 percent of the 17 total generation, essentially. 18 MR. HUGHES: That sounds fair to me. I just 19 wanted to make sure that they were getting appreciable 20 credit, because it's mostly biomass. 21 MS. BARKALOW: Yes. 22 MR. HERRERA: Right. And as I recall, what they 23 said at the time was that it was a wet year and that they 24 had to use fossil fuel because they weren't getting as much 25 heat, or perhaps it was more expensive to dry the fuel.

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1

25

MR. HUGHES: Thank you.

2 MS. ZOCCHETTI: You're welcome. Okay, it looks 3 like those are all the questions and comments from the 4 audience.

5 Is there anyone on WebEx that would like to ask a 6 question or make a comment?

7 It doesn't look like that.

8 Okay, thank you all for participating.

9 Next, we have Theresa Daniels and she's going to 10 go over the individual procurement claims.

MS. DANIELS: Hi, everyone. My name is Theresa Daniels and I'm going to present an overview of the 2007 RPS Procurement Verification Data and the individual retail sellers' RPS procurement summary tables.

15 The 2007 RPS Verification Report will include a 16 total of 14 retail sellers, three IOUs, nine ESPs, and two 17 MJUs.

18 There are three retail sellers that will be 19 included in the verification report for the first time; 20 these are Commerce Energy, Coral Power, and Sierra Pacific 21 Power.

This report will verify approximately 22.8 terawatt hours of renewable procurement from 495 facilities as RPS eligible.

On the screen is a blank template for the draft

California Reporting, LLC

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

RPS procurement summary table which will be used in the
 verification report.

3 As you can see, the table identifies the total RPS procurement amount claimed by the retail seller and then 4 accounts for any procurement determined to be ineligible for 5 6 the following reasons. 7 Line one is the procurement from facilities 8 without RPS certification. 9 Line two is facilities -- is instances where 10 procurement exceeds generation by five percent or greater. 11 Line three is procurement from distributed 12 generation facilities. 13 Line four is procurement of nonbundled energy. 14 Line five is procurement from facilities that 15 exceed their fossil fuel usage limit. The table then lists the total of the 16 17 disallowances, the total RPS eligible procurement and the 18 2007 annual retail sales. 19 You can see that the template has several footnotes. But for ease of viewing, the footnotes in the 20 21 tables in the next few slides have been removed. 22 I'm going to move through these next slides rather 23 quickly by identifying the retail seller and if there are 24 any outstanding issues. 25 Remember that these are draft tables and we would

California Reporting, LLC

17

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

appreciate any comments or corrections that you may have in
 order to verify that this information is correct.

3 The first retail sellers is Three Phases Energy Service and they have -- there are no outstanding issues. 4 5 APS Energy Services, no outstanding issues. 6 Calpine Power America, no outstanding issues. 7 Constellation New Energy, no outstanding issues. 8 Commerce Energy, no outstanding issues. 9 Coral Power, no outstanding issues. 10 Pilot Power, no outstanding issues. 11 Sempra Energy Solutions, no outstanding issues. 12 Strategic Energy, no outstanding issues. 13 PacifiCorp, no outstanding issues. 14 Sierra Pacific Power, no outstanding issues. 15 Pacific Gas & Electric Company, no outstanding 16 issues. 17 San Diego Gas and Electric, no outstanding issues. 18 I would also like to mention that while there are 19 no outstanding issues at this time with the retail sellers 20 that we have just viewed, as Gina mentioned earlier, there 21 were 40 over-claims and various other issues that we have 22 worked on with the retail sellers to resolve. 23 If any new issues come to our attention, we will 24 address them in the draft report.

25 Southern California Edison. On the total

California Reporting, LLC 52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 disallowances line of SCE's table is the procurement amount 2 that we have determined to be ineligible.

As Gina mentioned earlier, there are three ineligible procurement claims. The Mountain View One and Two procurement claims are in the procurement of nonbundled energy line.

And the nonrenewable portion of the Colmac
procurement claim is on the procurement from facilities that
exceeded fossil fuel usage limit line.

10 To conclude, the next steps will be to include any 11 needed revisions of data into the draft report, which will 12 be made available for public comment.

The report will also include an appendix with all retail claims at the facility level. Once it is adopted by the Energy Commission, we will transmit the report to the CPUC for determination of compliance with RPS requirements.

17 I'll now open up the floor to any additional18 comments or questions.

19 I'd like to thank you, again, for all your
20 assistance in preparing this data review. Remember that
21 comments are due by Friday, February 11th. And if you plan
22 to submit comments by e-mail, please remember that an
23 original copy must also be submitted by mail or in person to
24 the Dockets Office.

25

If you need any further information, you can visit

California Reporting, LLC

19

52 Longwood Drive, San Rafael, California 94901 (415) 457-4417

1 our website or contact me directly.

2	If anyone has any comments for our panel, please
3	come to the microphone, or for WebEx users, please raise
4	your hands to be unmuted to speak. Thank you.
5	MS. ZOCCHETTI: No comments.
6	MS. DANIELS: Would any WebEx users like to speak?
7	MS. ZOCCHETTI: Okay. Well, that concludes our
8	workshop for today. Please feel free to submit comments by
9	the due date. Thank you.
10	(Thereupon, the workshop was concluded at
11	10:27 a.m.)
12	000
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF,

I have hereunto set my hand this 9th day of February, 2011.

PETER PETTY CER**D-493 Notary Public