

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	23-SB-02
Project Title:	SB X1-2 Implementation
TN #:	256132
Document Title:	Jason Comments - SB X1-2 Does the opposite of what it is intended to do
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Jason
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	5/2/2024 4:07:36 AM
Docketed Date:	5/2/2024

*Comment Received From: Jason
Submitted On: 5/2/2024
Docket Number: 23-SB-02*

SB X1-2 Does the opposite of what it is intended to do

As refiners and distributors have to navigate higher operating costs due to the stringent reporting requirements and also potentially diminished profits they will seek friendlier states to operate in. We are seeing this in the industry already. Smart distributors are seeking opportunities outside of CA and investing in those states. Refiners are ceasing certain types of operations (Phillips and Marathon) or selling assets (Shell) in order to focus on state induced programs or leave the state as a refiner due to too stringent of regulations. Chevron and Valero have both been outspoken about their disdain for CA regulations that make doing business in CA much harder than other states. If they all throw in the towel CA will be starved for fuel, thus raising prices astronomically. The short term and long term effects of this government over reach is not being calculated very well, but so goes the way of governing in CA. Please reconsider adding this redundant added requirement for CA citizens energy allies. Imagine a state where refiners and distributors don't exist and you would have a very desperate, depopulated, and broke state of CA.