

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	22-HYD-02
<b>Project Title:</b>	Senate Bill 643 Report
<b>TN #:</b>	254100
<b>Document Title:</b>	2023 Final Staff Report - Senate Bill 643
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	Spencer Kelley
<b>Organization:</b>	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Commission Staff
<b>Submission Date:</b>	1/24/2024 12:24:00 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	1/24/2024



**CALIFORNIA  
ENERGY COMMISSION**



**California Energy Commission  
FINAL STAFF REPORT**

# **Senate Bill 643: Clean Hydrogen Fuel Production and Refueling Infrastructure to Support Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Off-Road Applications**

**An Initial Assessment: Clean Hydrogen Production  
and Application in Hard-to-Electrify Sectors**

**Gavin Newsom, Governor  
January 2024 | CEC-600-2023-053-SF**

# California Energy Commission

David Hochschild

**Chair**

Siva Gunda

**Vice Chair**

## **Commissioners**

J. Andrew McAllister, Ph.D.

Patty Monahan

Noemí Otilia Osuna Gallardo, J.D.

Kristi Villareal

**Primary Author**

Tomas Ortiz

**Project Manager**

Elizabeth John

**Branch Manager**

**COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL ZEV TECHNOLOGIES AND  
INFRASTRUCTURE BRANCH**

Hannon Rasool

**Director**

**FUELS AND TRANSPORTATION DIVISION**

Drew Bohan

**Executive Director**

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Staff members of the California Energy Commission (CEC) prepared this report. As such, it does not necessarily represent the views of the CEC, its employees, or the State of California. The CEC, the State of California, its employees, contractors, and subcontractors make no warrant, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this report; nor does any party represent that the uses of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This report has not been approved or disapproved by the CEC nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information in this report.**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The following individuals and organizations contributed to this report:

### **California Energy Commission**

Jane Berner

Kaycee Chang

Peter Chen

Miki Crowell

Adam Davis

Maggie Deng

Katelynn Dinius

Magdulin Dwedari

Jamaica Gentry

Benson Gilbert

Antonio Gomez

Andrew Hom

Mark Johnson

Mark Kootstra

Esther Odufuwa

Vivian Nguyen

Sebastian Serrato

Michelle Vater

### **California Air Resources Board**

Shirin Barfjani

Analisa Bevan

Yachun Chow

Maryam Delavarrafiee

Matthew Diener

Katherine Garrison

Leslie Goodbody  
Andrew Martinez  
Junhyeong Park  
Cory Parmer  
Bill Robertson  
Lesley Stern

**California Department of Food and Agriculture**

Christina Daniels  
Kevin Schnepf

**California Public Utilities Commission**

Jack Chang

**California Transportation Commission**

Kayla Giese  
Kenneth Lopez  
Hannah Walter

**Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development**

Gia Brazil Vacin  
Tyson Eckerle

**South Coast Air Quality Management District**

Maryam Hajbabaei

## ABSTRACT

In 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom issued Executive Order N-79-20, which expanded sales and operation targets for medium- and heavy duty (MDHD) zero-emission vehicles (ZEV) and off-road applications. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has issued regulations that are accelerating the transition to zero-emission transportation. The California Energy Commission (CEC) and other agencies are investing in MDHD ZEV infrastructure statewide.

Under Senate Bill 643 (Archuleta, Chapter 646, Statutes of 2021), the CEC has prepared the first of three statewide assessments of the MDHD hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV) refueling infrastructure and clean hydrogen fuel production necessary to meet statewide goals and requirements relating to vehicular air pollution. This initial assessment provides an overview of operating and planned MDHD FCEV refueling stations and early scenarios of infrastructure needs. These scenarios use data from CARB's *2022 Scoping Plan*, the Alliance for Renewable Clean Hydrogen Energy Systems (ARCHES) partnership, the California Transportation Commission's analysis for SB 671, and a 2022 energy demand planning scenario from the CEC.

The scenarios produced a wide range of results, estimating anywhere from 1 to 601 MDHD stations needed by 2030, and by 2035, the range varied from 11 to more than 2,000 stations. On the supply side, clean hydrogen production is nearly nonexistent in California but is anticipated to grow in the near future.

At the end of 2022, more than 2,300 MDHD ZEVs were registered in California, and over 100 of these were fuel cell electric buses. CARB's incentive program for commercial MDHD ZEVs has funded 2,456 vehicles of which 49 are fuel cell electric. To date, the CEC has invested more than \$100 million for MDHD hydrogen infrastructure deployment, including funding awards to 18 publicly accessible refueling stations. The CEC will continue to evaluate new information and associated infrastructure requirements as the market develops.

**Keywords:** Fuel cell electric vehicles, transportation electrification, hydrogen fuel infrastructure, network planning, clean hydrogen production, zero-emission, off-road, fuel cell electric trucks, fuel cell electric buses.

Please use the following citation for this report:

Villareal, Kristi. 2024. *2023 Final Staff Report on Senate Bill 643: Clean Hydrogen Fuel Production and Refueling Infrastructure to Support Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Off-Road Applications*. California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-600-2023-053-SF

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Senate Bill 643: Clean Hydrogen Fuel Production and Refueling Infrastructure to Support Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Off-Road Applications.....	i
An Initial Assessment: Clean Hydrogen Production and Application in Hard-to-Electrify Sectors	i
Acknowledgements.....	i
Abstract.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Figures.....	vi
List of Tables.....	viii
Executive Summary.....	1
The SB 643 Report is an Ongoing Effort.....	4
2023 Report Analysis and Findings.....	4
Key Takeaways.....	4
CHAPTER 1: Introduction.....	7
Health Impacts of MDHD Transportation and Off-Road Applications on Disadvantaged and Low-Income Communities.....	9
Policy Context.....	10
Unprecedented Federal Investments in Hydrogen.....	11
California’s Clean Hydrogen Hub.....	12
CEC Investments in Hydrogen Technologies and Infrastructure.....	13
Developments in the MDHD Market.....	14
Defining Clean Hydrogen and Scaling Production to Meet Demand.....	16
CHAPTER 2: Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Infrastructure.....	21
Background.....	21
World-Leading Regulations.....	22
CEC Investments in MDHD FCEV Infrastructure.....	23
MDHD FCEV Drayage, Long-Haul, and “Last-Mile” Delivery Trucks.....	24
Drayage Trucks.....	25
Long-Haul Trucks.....	28
“Last-Mile” Delivery Trucks.....	29
Current MDHD FCEV Market.....	30
Announcements of New MDHD FCEVs.....	31
Existing and Planned MDHD Infrastructure.....	33
Estimating Demand for MDHD FCEV Stations.....	39

2022 Scoping Plan Infrastructure Scenario .....	40
ARCHES: California’s Hydrogen Hub Infrastructure Scenario.....	41
Senate Bill 671 MDHD Infrastructure Scenario .....	42
Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification 3 MDHD FCEV Energy Demand Scenario.....	44
Estimating a Range for Future MDHD FCEV Hydrogen Demand .....	45
Challenges in Deployment of MDHD FCEV Refueling Infrastructure .....	46
Investment Costs of Hydrogen Refueling Stations.....	47
Lead Time for Vehicles and Stations .....	48
Permitting .....	49
Fuel Supply .....	50
Fueling Standards for MDHD FCEVs .....	50
Equipment and Supply Chain Considerations .....	52
CHAPTER 3: Fuel Cell Electric Buses and Refueling Infrastructure .....	53
Innovative Clean Transit Regulation .....	53
CEC Investments in Transit Fleet Hydrogen Infrastructure.....	58
AC Transit – Early Adopter of FCEBs .....	58
SunLine Transit .....	59
Challenges and Opportunities for Transit Agencies.....	60
CHAPTER 4: Developments in Off-Road Fuel Cell Electric Applications and Infrastructure.....	61
Regulations and Incentive Programs for Off-Road Applications .....	61
CEC Investments in Off-Road Hydrogen Applications .....	63
Off-Road Incentive Programs .....	63
Emissions From Off-Road Applications at Ports.....	64
Off-Road Hydrogen Demonstrations in Rail, Aviation and Marine .....	68
CHAPTER 5: Hydrogen Supply — Production, Storage, and Distribution.....	74
Policy Context .....	75
Additional Clean Hydrogen Production Projects.....	78
Federal Investments .....	79
Developments in Electrolysis .....	81
Distribution .....	81
Dispensing .....	82
CHAPTER 6: Synergies Across the Sectors and International Developments.....	84
Synergies Across Sectors .....	84
Marine Ports.....	84
International Developments .....	85
International Developments in FCEVs and Refueling Infrastructure .....	86
International Developments in Off-Road Hydrogen Applications.....	87
International Developments in Electrolysis .....	88
CHAPTER 7: Using Clean Hydrogen to Support California’s Grid .....	90
Using Hydrogen to Support California’s Grid .....	90



Microgrids and Distributed Energy Resources .....	91
CHAPTER 8: Conclusions .....	93
GLOSSARY .....	95
APPENDIX A: Vehicle Classes .....	A-1
APPENDIX B: FCEB Data Reported by Transit Agencies in 2023 .....	B-1
APPENDIX C: Inputs and Assumptions for Infrastructure Scenarios .....	C-1

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

	Page
Figure 1: Simplified Version of Nearer- and Longer-Term Opportunities for Hydrogen Decarbonization.....	9
Figure 2: U.S. DOE Awarded Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs.....	13
Figure 3: Hydrogen Is a Versatile Technology for a Clean Energy Future .....	17
Figure 4: MDHD ZEV Deployment Due to ACT/ACF Regulations .....	22
Figure 5: HVIP Redeemed Vouchers.....	25
Figure 6: Unredeemed HVIP Vouchers.....	25
Figure 7: Truck vs. Train NOx Projected Emissions Under CARB Regulations.....	27
Figure 8: Fuel Cell Hybrid Electric Van Deployment Project.....	30
Figure 9: Toyota’s Zero-Emission Powertrain.....	32
Figure 10: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California* .	34
Figure 11: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Refueling Stations in Northern California With Disadvantaged Communities Highlighted .....	35
Figure 12: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in Southern California With Disadvantaged Communities Highlighted .....	36
Figure 13: CTC Approach for Estimating Energy Requirements and Infrastructure Needs for Priority Corridors .....	43
Figure 14: Comparing Number of Stations for Presented Scenarios .....	46
Figure 15: Hydrogen Refueling Station Investment Scenarios .....	47
Figure 16: Hydrogen Refueling Station Investment Cost by Scenario.....	48
Figure 17: Zero-Emission Bus Deployment in California .....	57
Figure 18: DPM Emissions Contribution by Category at San Pedro Bay Ports.....	65

Figure 19: Statewide PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions by Commercial Harbor Craft (CHC) Category.....	65
Figure 20: Fuel Cell Cargo Handling Equipment in Warehouse Operations.....	67
Figure 21: Zero-Emission Multiple Unit (ZEMU) Train .....	70
Figure 22: "Sea Change" Hydrogen Fuel Cell Ferry .....	71
Figure 23: Hydrogen Fuel Cell-Powered Airplane .....	73
Figure 24: U.S. Hydrogen Consumption .....	74
Figure 25: CEC-Funded Hydrogen Production Facilities.....	77

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Retail Price Comparison of Hydrogen, Gasoline and Diesel.....	18
Table 2: Drayage Truck Inventory in Calendar Year 2019.....	26
Table 3: HVIP Eligible MDHD FCEV Models* .....	31
Table 4: HD Models Announced at the 2023 ACT Exposition .....	32
Table 5: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California.....	37
Table 6: 2022 Scoping Plan Infrastructure Scenario .....	41
Table 7: 2022 Scoping Plan Scenario: Estimated Hydrogen Demand from MDHD FCEVs (kg)	41
Table 8: ARCHES Federal Hub Infrastructure Scenario .....	42
Table 9: ARCHES Federal Hub Scenario Estimated Hydrogen Demand.....	42
Table 10: SB 671 Balanced Scenario MDHD FCEV Infrastructure .....	44
Table 11: SB 671 Balanced Scenario Estimated Annual Hydrogen Demand (kg) .....	44
Table 12: AATE3 MDHD FCEV Infrastructure Scenario.....	45
Table 13: AATE3 Scenario Estimated Annual Hydrogen Demand (kg) .....	45
Table 14: Light-Duty Station Development Phases.....	49
Table 15: Eligible Hydrogen Fueling Protocols .....	52
Table 16: ICT Regulation — Annual ZEB Purchase Requirements .....	54
Table 17: HVIP-Eligible FCEBs.....	57
Table 18: Emerging Off-Road Applications NOPA.....	63
Table 19: FCEVs and Refueling Stations in Other Countries .....	87
Table B-1 FCEB Data Reported by Transit Agencies in 2023 .....	1
Table C-1: Transportation References.....	C-1
Table C-2: Using 2022 Scoping Plan Vehicle Stock and Assumptions to Estimate Infrastructure Scenarios for 2025, 2030 and 2035 .....	C-2
Table C-3: SB 671 High BEV Scenario .....	C-3
Table C-4: SB 671 Balanced Scenario .....	C-4
Table C-5: SB 671 High FCEV Scenario .....	C-4
Table C-6: AATE3 Station Scenario.....	C-5





# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

California's transportation sector — including passenger vehicles, medium- and heavy-duty (MDHD) vehicles such as trucks and buses, off-road vehicles, and the fuels required to power them — is responsible for roughly half of the state's greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions when including upstream fuel production. Within the transportation sector, MDHD vehicles and off-road sources have a disproportionate effect compared to passenger vehicles on the state's toxic air emissions, which together are responsible for more than 65 percent of nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions, more than 90 percent of diesel particulate matter (DPM), and more than 10 percent of GHG emissions statewide. These emissions pose a direct threat to the environment, the economy, and public health, especially to those residing or working in disadvantaged or low-income communities, which are most impacted by these toxic emissions. Therefore, it is a public health imperative that MDHD vehicles rapidly adopt zero-emission technologies and fuels.

In September 2020, the impacts of MDHD vehicles and off-road mobile sources on air pollution were specifically addressed when Governor Gavin Newsom signed Executive Order N-79-20, setting the following targets:

- By 2035, 100 percent zero-emission vehicle (ZEV) sales for new passenger cars and trucks, 100 percent zero-emission vehicle operations for drayage trucks, and 100 percent zero-emission off-road vehicles and equipment, where feasible
- By 2045, 100 percent ZEV operations for MDHD vehicles, where feasible

The goals set forth in Executive Order N-79-20 have influenced policies, requirements, and investments for numerous California agencies and municipalities. The California Air Resources Board (CARB), which is charged with developing programs and regulations to protect the public from the harmful effects of air pollution, recently adopted or amended regulations to reach the goals set forth in Executive Order N-79-20, including:

- Advanced Clean Trucks (ACT) regulation, adopted in 2021, which requires an increasing fraction of truck sales to be ZEVs through 2035, with specific targets for each vehicle class.
- In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets regulation (Off-Road Regulation), amended in 2022, which requires fleets to phase-out use of the oldest and highest-polluting off-road diesel vehicles and prohibits adding high-emitting vehicles to fleets.
- Advanced Clean Fleets (ACF) regulation, adopted in 2023, which requires fleet operators in certain segments to reach 100 percent ZEVs by 2035 or 2040.

Also, the Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) regulation, adopted in December 2018, requires all public transit agencies to phase in zero-emission buses (ZEB) when they purchase new buses. Under the regulation, CARB expects nearly all buses to be ZEBs by 2040.

The ACT and ACF regulations together are expected to result in about 510,000, 1,350,000, and 1,690,000 MDHD ZEVs on California's roads in 2035, 2045 and 2050, respectively. The ACT and ACF regulations provide clear direction to the stakeholders and industries to accelerate commercialization of zero-emission technologies and associated refueling and charging infrastructure. MDHD ZEVs are anticipated to be a mix of battery-electric vehicles

(BEV) and fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEV). Most of the commercially available MDHD models are BEVs, but more MDHD FCEV options are entering the market. Fleet owners with BEVs are generally expected to charge at their private depot and use public charging stations to make longer trips.

The *2023 Final Staff Report on Senate Bill 643: Clean Hydrogen Fuel Production and Refueling Infrastructure to Support Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Off-Road Applications* (2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report) provides an assessment of the state's existing and planned MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure. Some transit agencies adopted fuel cell electric buses (FCEB) years ago, and because they are used in real-world operations rather than demonstrations, the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report separates the discussion of FCEBs from MDHD FCEVs and provides use cases of transit agencies that have successfully incorporated FCEBs into their operations.

Three MDHD FCEV public refueling stations located in Southern California are open retail and serving heavy-duty FCEVs and FCEBs. A CEC-funded hydrogen refueling station near the Port of Oakland is anticipated to open during the first quarter of 2024. Public funding awards have been made to at least 28 planned public MDHD hydrogen refueling stations, 18 of which were from the CEC. There remains uncertainty regarding the technology type and location of infrastructure at this early stage of the transition to MDHD ZEVs. As fleet owners begin to make their decisions on which technology works best for their operations, there will be more data from which to draw assumptions.

By 2035, depending on the assumptions used in four scenarios provided in Chapter 2, MDHD FCEV infrastructure requirement scenarios varied from 11 stations to more than 2,000 stations. One of the scenarios differentiates between depot/private stations and public stations, while the other three do not. The level of uncertainty of what FCEV fleet owners will decide would work best for their operations including cost considerations is still relatively high. For example, a fleet owner could choose to have a "home base" depot station for long-haul trucking that is optimally located within the range where it could refuel at a public refueling station, and possibly another public station if there is a build-out of stations along major freight corridors.

Developments in off-road fuel cell electric applications are discussed and include early developments in construction, mining, and agriculture, as well as at ports and in the marine, rail and aviation sectors, as directed in Senate Bill 643 (Archuleta, Chapter 646, Statutes of 2021). The 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report provides initial infrastructure scenarios, with estimates of clean hydrogen fuel required to support the adoption of MDHD FCEVs and FCEBs at levels to meet specified goals relating to vehicular air pollution. Ports in particular have expressed high interest in fuel cell technology for equipment with demanding duty cycles such as top handlers.

There are competing definitions of clean hydrogen, and pending standardization, the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report recognizes the standard recently proposed by the United States Department of Energy (U.S. DOE). The U.S. DOE proposed a clean hydrogen production standard (CHPS) that established a target of 4.0 kilograms (kg) of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent produced per kilogram of hydrogen directly produced, for life-cycle GHG emissions of 4.0 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/kgH<sub>2</sub>. This framework allows for several pathways, including nonrenewable but low-carbon

pathways, such as hydrogen produced from fossil fuels paired with carbon capture. The CHPS is not a regulatory standard; however, awardees of federal hydrogen hub funding are required to demonstrably aid achievement of the CHPS by lowering emissions across the supply chain to the greatest extent possible. U.S. DOE will revisit the CHPS by 2028.

Federal assessments of zero-emission infrastructure requirements are ongoing as well, coupled with investments in clean hydrogen production. The U.S. DOE released a draft *DOE National Clean Hydrogen Strategy and Roadmap* in September 2022, providing a snapshot of hydrogen production, transport, storage, and use in the United States.

In recent years, unprecedented investments have been made at the state and federal levels. These investments are from the California state budget and two federal funding packages, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA, also referred to as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law [BIL]), and the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA). California's pathway to producing and using clean hydrogen in decarbonization efforts gained a significant boost October 13, 2023, when President Joseph Biden announced that the California Regional Hydrogen Hub was selected as the largest of seven hydrogen hubs to receive federal funding. This up to \$1.2 billion federal investment is planned to leverage 10 times this amount in projects aimed at achieving the goal of producing clean hydrogen at parity with diesel fuel by 2030.

The CEC continues to invest in the research, development, and demonstration of hydrogen applications, as well as in MDHD refueling infrastructure including transit, light-duty refueling infrastructure for passenger vehicles, and clean hydrogen production. The CEC's investments in hydrogen have recently grown due to increased state funding and an increased focus on MDHD refueling infrastructure, and to fund research, development, and demonstration in these sectors and market. To date, the CEC has invested more than \$472 million in hydrogen infrastructure, production, and research and development, including nearly \$107 million to support MDHD infrastructure deployment.

- \$86.7 million for MDHD infrastructure, including enhancements for transit agencies such as on-site fuel production and refueling
- \$19.8 million proposed for award under a recently closed Energy Research and Development Division (ERDD) solicitation on innovative hydrogen refueling solutions for HD transport
- \$257 million for light-duty FCEV refueling infrastructure
- \$17 million in fuel standards and equipment certification and light-duty FCEV deployment, MDHD FCEV demonstration, and regional alternative fuel readiness planning
- \$22 million for clean hydrogen production projects
- \$70 million in hydrogen production, storage, transmission and distribution, and end-use technologies

The CEC's Fuels and Transportation Division (FTD) will continue to invest in hydrogen refueling infrastructure and electric vehicle charging infrastructure for MDHD vehicles. Further, there is potential for an additional \$40 million toward large-scale centralized hydrogen production and \$30 million toward onsite hydrogen production and use from the new Clean Hydrogen Program.



## **The SB 643 Report is an Ongoing Effort**

The 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report provides an initial statewide assessment of MDHD FCEV, FCEB and off-road applications using fuel cell electric technology, associated refueling infrastructure, clean hydrogen production projects, and scenarios of future demand for clean hydrogen. This assessment is intended to provide an early glimpse into the potential of clean hydrogen to help meet the goals outlined in Executive Order N-79-20, regulations issued in response to the order, and other statewide goals. Unprecedented developments in the MDHD FCEV sector are highlighted, including North America's largest commercial deployment of 30 heavy-duty FCEVs for drayage operations, located near the Port of Oakland in Northern California. This assessment endeavors to inform policy makers, infrastructure developers, original equipment manufacturers (OEM), and other interested stakeholders while recognizing that all the answers are not known yet, and clean hydrogen production supply and market demand will evolve over time.

### **2023 Report Analysis and Findings**

Statewide regulations including the ACT, ACF, ICT, and the Off Road Regulation are advancing developments in zero-emission MDHD transportation and off-road applications.

Complementary state incentive programs include the Hybrid and Zero-Emission Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP), Clean Off Road Equipment Voucher Incentive Project (CORE), and the Energy Infrastructure Incentives for Zero-Emission Commercial Vehicles (EnergIIZE) Project. These programs, which offset the costs of purchasing or leasing ZEVs and zero-emission equipment, are critical investments as additional research is conducted and demonstrations provide proof of concept, driving applications toward commercialization. An increase in demand from other industries beyond transportation is likely to help drive large-scale clean hydrogen production.

### **Key Takeaways**

Key takeaways from this report include the following:

- Early government regulations adopted in response to climate change and complementary incentive programs to support research, development, and demonstration of hydrogen fuel cell electric products, and public-private partnerships to invest in infrastructure for FCEVs, FCEBs, off-road applications, and clean hydrogen production, have encouraged market development.
- Continued state and federal funding and private sector investments are needed to increase the scale of production and reduce the cost of clean hydrogen. Transportation applications alone may not be sufficient to reach the demand scale needed to justify large clean hydrogen plants – multiple sectors with high clean hydrogen requirements may help drive production.
- The level of uncertainty for future demand for MDHD FCEVs is demonstrated by the variance between four scenarios presented in this report. Meeting the demand will be contingent on the cost and availability of the clean hydrogen fuel supply, which depends on state and federal policies and investments toward clean hydrogen production and demand from sectors other than transportation.

- The *2022 Scoping Plan* scenario produced a need for 577 stations in 2030, and 1,797 stations in 2035.
- The ARCHES federal hydrogen hub scenario produced a need for 66 stations in 2030 and 117 stations in 2035. It is important to note that ARCHES scenario is project-specific and includes mostly heavy-duty FCEVs and a comparatively small number of medium-duty FCEVs that would mainly refuel at light-duty stations.
- The SB 671 scenario produced a need for 601 stations in 2030, and 2,157 stations in 2035.
- The *2022 IEPR Update* AATE3 scenario produced a need for one station in 2030 and 11 stations in 2035. It is important to note that the *2023 IEPR* is considering a scenario with a low hydrogen price, which would result in a higher number of MDHD FCEVs and a subsequent need for many more hydrogen stations. Using this data and CEC staff's infrastructure scenario model would result in a need for more hydrogen stations than the 2022 IEPR version, which uses today's higher hydrogen fuel prices. However, this modeling is in draft form and has not gone through public review as of the publication of the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report, so preliminary results are not included.
- FCEBs are a proven application of heavy-duty fuel cell electric technology successfully demonstrated more than 13 years ago and integrated into California's transit agencies' fleets. Transit agencies have different business models, some using onsite renewable energy and electrolysis to produce clean hydrogen for their fleets, while others have hydrogen supply contracts based on predictable demand, and onsite maintenance technicians. However, MDHD public refueling stations face greater challenges inherent to unpredictable supply and demand, and availability of trained technicians to maintain the stations.
- Although there are challenges, zero- and low-carbon hydrogen in hard-to-electrify transportation and off-road applications is a key part of a comprehensive portfolio of solutions to meet the clean energy future envisioned for California.



# CHAPTER 1:

## Introduction

---

California leads the nation in developing and implementing programs to reduce air pollutants from mobile and stationary sources to protect public health and combat the climate crisis. Transportation is a major source of the state's air pollution, contributing nearly 80 percent of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and 95 percent of toxic diesel particulate matter (DPM) emissions.<sup>1</sup> California's regulations, as well as past and current investments toward reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions continue to be impactful, with GHG and other harmful air pollution emissions from heavy-duty vehicles declining, with the greatest declines in disadvantaged communities.

The California Environmental Protection Agency's (CalEPA) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) reported that heavy-duty vehicles and industrial plants subject to California's Cap-and-Trade Program have reduced emissions over the last several years, with heavy-duty vehicles showing a clearer downward trend in emissions reductions in disadvantaged communities.<sup>2</sup> The Cap-and-Trade regulation established a declining limit on major sources of GHG emissions, creating an economic incentive for investment in cleaner, more efficient technologies.

The Cap-and-Trade Program has enabled, among other benefits, the advancement of zero-emission trucks to become commercially ready through CARB's demonstration and pilot programs. Overall, DPM concentrations have decreased across California over the last 20 years as a result of this program and other CARB regulations such as the ICT and the Truck and Bus regulation.

While progress continues to be made, a growing urgency to address GHG emissions from transportation is evidenced through new policies, regulations, and unprecedented investments over the last two years under the Biden-Harris administration, and at the state level through legislation and executive orders from Governor Gavin Newsom.

The *2023 Final Staff Report on Senate Bill 643: Clean Hydrogen Fuel Production and Refueling Infrastructure to Support Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Off-Road Applications* (2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report) follows Senate Bill (SB) 643 (Archuleta, Chapter 646, Statutes of 2021). This law requires the California Energy Commission (CEC) to provide a statewide assessment of clean hydrogen fuel production and infrastructure needed to support the adoption of medium- and heavy-duty (MDHD) fuel cell electric vehicles (FCEV), fuel cell electric buses (FCEB), and off-road applications and equipment to meet specified goals and

---

1 CARB. "[Advanced Clean Trucks Fact Sheet](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/advanced-clean-trucks-fact-sheet)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/advanced-clean-trucks-fact-sheet>. *Diesel particulate matter (DPM)* is tiny solid particles in the exhaust from trucks, buses, trains, ships, and other equipment with diesel engines. *DPM* includes hundreds of different chemicals, many of which are harmful to health.

2 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) 2022. [Impacts of Greenhouse Gas Emission Limits Within Disadvantaged Communities: Progress Toward Reducing Inequities](https://oehha.ca.gov/environmental-justice/report/ab32-benefits), <https://oehha.ca.gov/environmental-justice/report/ab32-benefits>.

requirements related to vehicular air pollution.<sup>3</sup> The CEC is required to complete the first assessment by December 31, 2023, and update the assessment at least once every three years until January 1, 2030.

A separate CEC report published August 24, 2023, *Staff Report on Assembly Bill 2127 Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Assessment: Assessing Charging Needs to Support Zero-Emission Vehicles in 2030 and 2035*,<sup>4</sup> assesses the infrastructure requirements for battery-electric vehicles (BEV), including light-duty (LD) electric vehicles and MDHD electric vehicles. The California Air Resources Board's (CARB) *2023 Annual Evaluation of Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Deployment and Hydrogen Fuel Station Network Development*<sup>5</sup> assesses LD FCEV deployment and refueling infrastructure. A joint report by the CEC and CARB, *Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2023 Annual Assessment of the Hydrogen Refueling Network in California*,<sup>6</sup> assesses LD FCEV refueling infrastructure.

Grid reliability and hard-to-electrify sectors such as MDHD on-road transportation, off-road applications including nonroad sectors such as aviation, rail, maritime, and industrial processes are areas with a high potential for increased use of low-carbon or clean hydrogen.

---


3 Executive Order No. N-79-20 and any state board regulatory action that requires or allows zero-emission vehicles or applications in the MDHD transportation and off-road sectors.

4 Davis, Adam, Tiffany Hoang, Thanh Lopez, Jeffrey Lu, Taylor Nguyen, Bob Nolty, Larry Rillera, Dustin Schell, and Micah Wofford. 2023. [Staff Report on Assembly Bill 2127 Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Assessment: Assessing Charging Needs to Support Zero-Emission Vehicles in 2030 and 2035](https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2023/second-assembly-bill-ab-2127-electric-vehicle-charging-infrastructure-assessment). California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-600-2023-048. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2023/second-assembly-bill-ab-2127-electric-vehicle-charging-infrastructure-assessment>.

5 CARB. December 2023. [2023 Annual Evaluation of Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Deployment and Hydrogen Fuel Station Network Development](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-12/AB-8-Report-2023-FINAL-R.pdf). <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-12/AB-8-Report-2023-FINAL-R.pdf>.

6 Crowell, Miki and Andrew Martinez. 2023. [Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2023 Annual Assessment of the Hydrogen Refueling Network in California](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-12/CEC-600-2023-069.pdf). <https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-12/CEC-600-2023-069.pdf>.

**Figure 1: Simplified Version of Nearer- and Longer-Term Opportunities for Hydrogen Decarbonization**



Source: CEC staff<sup>7</sup>

Many of the opportunities highlighted in Figure 1 are discussed in the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report.

### **Health Impacts of MDHD Transportation and Off-Road Applications on Disadvantaged and Low-Income Communities**

Replacing or retrofitting fossil-fuel powered MDHD vehicles with zero-emission technology will reduce criteria pollutant, DPM, and GHG emissions in disadvantaged and low-income communities near ports, distribution centers, and highways. Most major sources of diesel emissions, such as ships, trains, and trucks, operate in and around ports, rail yards, and heavily traveled roadways. These areas are often near highly populated areas, making elevated DPM levels an urban problem, with large numbers of people exposed to higher DPM concentrations. Off-road applications such as fossil fuel-powered agricultural equipment make elevated DPM and other pollutants in regions such as San Joaquin County a rural problem as well.

The OEHHA report referenced earlier in this chapter evaluated the environmental and health equity of California’s climate policies, finding that the communities that have benefited most from DPM reductions during the period of 2000–2019 were in high-scoring CalEnviroScreen

<sup>7</sup> Bailey, Stephanie, Jane Berner, David Erne, Noemí Gallardo, Quentin Gee, Akruhi Gupta, Heidi Javanbakht, Hilary Poore, John Reid, and Kristen Widdifield. 2023. [Final 2022 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update](https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/reports/integrated-energy-policy-report/2022-integrated-energy-policy-report-update). California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-100-2022-001-CMF, <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/reports/integrated-energy-policy-report/2022-integrated-energy-policy-report-update>.

(CES)<sup>8</sup> tracts. The report also found that zero-emission statewide goals for heavy-duty vehicles could result in PM<sub>2.5</sub><sup>9</sup> concentrations from those vehicles to be 58 percent lower in a scenario of complete transition to zero-emission heavy-duty vehicles by 2045, compared to the business-as-usual scenario.<sup>10</sup>

## Policy Context

There are several policies at the federal, state, and local levels that target GHG and criteria air pollutants and present goals for zero-emission vehicles (ZEV). The California Legislature has been instrumental in providing direction and funding toward the effort to reduce harmful emissions and protecting its residents, with a particular focus on disadvantaged communities.

To help address state climate change and air quality objectives, the California Legislature passed Assembly Bill 118 (Núñez, Chapter 750, Statutes of 2007), which created the Clean Transportation Program (CTP), which invests about \$100 million annually, leveraging public and private investments to support innovations in a broad portfolio of transportation and fuel technologies that help California meet its energy, clean air, and climate change goals. Assembly Bill 8 (Perea, Chapter 401, Statutes of 2013) reauthorized the CTP until January 1, 2024. In October 2023, Assembly Bill 126 (Reyes, Chapter 319, Statutes of 2023) reauthorized the CTP through July 1, 2035, and directed the CEC to allocate no less than 15 percent of the amount of funds appropriated by the Legislature from the Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Fund from revenues attributable to specified sources to fund hydrogen refueling stations for light-, medium-, or heavy-duty vehicles until July 1, 2030.

On September 23, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-79-20 set unprecedented, world-leading goals:

- By 2035, 100 percent ZEV sales for new passenger cars and trucks, 100 percent ZEV operations for drayage trucks, and 100 percent zero-emission off-road vehicles and equipment where feasible.
- By 2045, 100 percent ZEV operations for MDHD vehicles, where feasible.<sup>11</sup>

California leads the nation in developing a market for ZEV technologies to help meet its goals related to air quality and the environment and is home to many zero-emission OEMs due to its strong investment in the industry, education, and workforce.<sup>12</sup> Currently, BEVs, battery-electric buses (BEB), FCEVs, and FCEBs are the commercially available ZEV technology choices for

---

8 CalEnviroScreen (CES), or California Communities Environmental Health Screening tool, is a screening method that can be used to help identify California communities that are disproportionately burdened by sources of pollution.

9 *Particulate matter (PM)*, also referred to as particle pollution, is tiny particles or droplets in the air. *PM<sub>2.5</sub>* is particulate matter that is 2½ microns or less wide.

10 OEHA. 2022. [Impacts of Greenhouse Gas Emission Limits Within Disadvantaged Communities: Progress Toward Reducing Inequities](https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/environmental-justice/impacts_of_ghg_policies_report020322.pdf). [https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/environmental-justice/impacts\\_of\\_ghg\\_policies\\_report020322.pdf](https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/environmental-justice/impacts_of_ghg_policies_report020322.pdf).

11 Office of Governor Gavin Newsom. [Executive Order N-79-20](https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf), <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf>.

12 CARB. "[Zero-Emission Vehicle Manufacturing and Technologies for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicles in California](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-08/MapofZeroEmissionOEMs.pdf)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-08/MapofZeroEmissionOEMs.pdf>.

MDHD transportation. To date, a wide range of regulations have been adopted to meet emission reduction goals for passenger vehicles, transit buses, airport shuttles, trucks, ferries, locomotives, and off-road equipment. Incentive programs administered by local, state, and federal government agencies provide funding to help businesses comply with these regulations and accelerate commercialization of these technologies.

At the federal level, President Joseph Biden's Executive Order 14057 directs the United States to reduce its Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions<sup>13</sup> by 65 percent below 2008 levels by 2030, and achieve 100 percent ZEV acquisitions by 2035, with the goal of a net-zero emissions economy by 2050.<sup>14</sup> Under Executive Order 14008, President Biden established the Justice40 Initiative, which directs federal agencies to ensure that at least 40 percent of benefits achieved through the climate and clean energy investments are realized within disadvantaged communities.<sup>15</sup> In April 2023, the Biden-Harris administration announced new proposed vehicle pollution standards to make all vehicles cleaner and more efficient, including a new rule that built on the final standards that the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) released in December 2022 for criteria pollutant emissions from heavy-duty vehicles to help accelerate the clean vehicle transition in a technology-neutral way.<sup>16</sup>

## **Unprecedented Federal Investments in Hydrogen**

More than 50 years ago, the federal government's "moonshot initiative" helped the United States put the first people on the moon by using hydrogen as fuel for rocket propulsion and fuel cell onboard the spacecraft. Since then, U.S. DOE funding has resulted in more than 1,100 hydrogen and fuel cell patents, 30 commercial technologies, and more than 65 technologies that could be commercial within the next several years.<sup>17</sup>

---

13 Scope 1 emissions are direct GHG emissions that occur from sources that are controlled or owned by an organization. Scope 2 emissions are indirect GHG emissions associated with the purchase of electricity, steam, heat, or cooling.

14 President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. December 8, 2021. [Executive Order on Catalyzing Clean Energy Industries and Jobs Through Federal Sustainability](https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/12/08/executive-order-on-catalyzing-clean-energy-industries-and-jobs-through-federal-sustainability/), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/12/08/executive-order-on-catalyzing-clean-energy-industries-and-jobs-through-federal-sustainability/>. (Accessed May 14, 2023).

15 President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. January 27, 2021. [Executive Order on Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad](https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/01/27/executive-order-on-tackling-the-climate-crisis-at-home-and-abroad/), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/01/27/executive-order-on-tackling-the-climate-crisis-at-home-and-abroad/>. (Accessed May 14, 2023).

16 President Joseph R. Biden, Jr. April 12, 2023. [Fact Sheet: Biden-Harris Administration Proposes New Standards to Protect Public Health that Will Save Consumers Money and Increase Energy Security](https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/04/12/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-proposes-new-standards-to-protect-public-health-that-will-save-consumers-money-and-increase-energy-security/), <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/04/12/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-proposes-new-standards-to-protect-public-health-that-will-save-consumers-money-and-increase-energy-security/>.

17 L. Steele, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. "[2019 Patent Analysis for the U.S. Department of Energy Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office](https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2020/10/f79/hfto-2019-patent-analysis.pdf)," U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, September 2020. <https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2020/10/f79/hfto-2019-patent-analysis.pdf>.



Federal investments in clean hydrogen<sup>18</sup> production have accelerated in recent years.<sup>19</sup> The U.S. DOE built off the moonshot concept to develop the Energy Earthshots initiative, intended to accelerate development of clean energy solutions within the decade. The initiative began with the launch of the Hydrogen Shot on June 7, 2021, which endeavors to reduce the cost of clean hydrogen by 80 percent by 2030. In 2022, the United States Congress further acknowledged the importance of hydrogen as a clean energy carrier by passing legislation with provisions that provide \$8 billion over five or more years toward the establishment of at least four Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs with a minimum of one focused on renewable hydrogen production<sup>20</sup> through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), also referred to as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL).<sup>21</sup> In addition, the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) provides a tax credit of up to \$3.00 per kilogram (kg) of clean hydrogen produced, as well as tax credits for commercial heavy-duty, zero-emission equipment, both on and off-road, of up to \$40,000 per piece of equipment. The hydrogen hubs are one of the largest investments in U.S. DOE history. The historic funding through the BIL is complemented by new incentives in the IRA for qualified clean hydrogen produced with prevailing wages and apprenticeship requirements. Additional investments in clean hydrogen production through the BIL include \$1 billion for electrolysis and \$500 million for manufacturing and recycling.

## **California's Clean Hydrogen Hub**

In September 2022, the U.S. DOE opened a \$7 billion funding opportunity for regional clean hydrogen hubs. The law defines the term "regional clean hydrogen hub" as "a network of clean hydrogen producers, potential clean hydrogen consumers, and connective infrastructure located in close proximity." In response to this opportunity, GO-Biz, the University of California system, the State Building and Construction Trades Council of California, the Renewables 100 Policy Institute, and many other entities created a statewide public-private partnership named the Alliance for Renewable Clean Hydrogen Energy Systems (ARCHES).

In November 2022, ARCHES submitted a concept paper for California that requested \$1.25 billion from the U.S. DOE with a cost share of \$4.75 billion and a project duration of eight years to build the hydrogen hub. The full ARCHES project proposal totaled \$11.3 billion. Encouraged by the U.S. DOE to submit a full application, ARCHES submitted its proposal to become a national hydrogen hub on April 7, 2023.

On October 13, 2023, The U.S. DOE announced seven awardees of regional hydrogen hubs, with California receiving one of the largest awards of up to \$1.2 billion. Six additional hydrogen hubs, as shown in Figure 2, were also awarded funding.

---


18 U.S. DOE's proposed clean hydrogen production standard is an initial target for lifecycle GHG emissions of 4.0 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/kgH<sub>2</sub>.

19 U.S. DOE. "[U.S. Department of Energy Clean Hydrogen Production Standard \(CHPS\) Draft Guidance.](https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/docs/hydrogenprogramlibraries/pdfs/clean-hydrogen-production-standard-guidance.pdf)" <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/docs/hydrogenprogramlibraries/pdfs/clean-hydrogen-production-standard-guidance.pdf>.

20 ARCHES, LLC. "[Background: Federal Hydrogen Hub Program.](https://archesh2.org/about/)" <https://archesh2.org/about/>.

21 This report will reference BIL rather than IIJA, which are interchangeable, for consistency.

**Figure 2: U.S. DOE Awarded Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs**



Source: Office of Clean Energy Demonstrations (OCED)<sup>22</sup>

California’s hydrogen hub spans across the state and will, among other things, provide a blueprint for decarbonizing transit, heavy-duty trucking, and port operations, which are among the most difficult sectors to decarbonize. Additional discussion of California’s planned hydrogen hub is included throughout the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report.<sup>23</sup>

Other federal investments in MDHD ZEV infrastructure through the BIL include \$2.5 billion in the Discretionary Grant Program for Charging and Fueling Infrastructure. In February 2023, the U.S. DOE announced \$7.4 million in funding awards to seven projects that will develop MDHD charging and refueling corridor infrastructure plans. One of the awarded projects is focused on MDHD FCEV fueling network along the Interstate 10 corridor between Southern California and Texas. The “Houston to Los Angeles (H2LA)- I-10 Hydrogen Corridor Project” will result in a flexible and scalable blueprint for hydrogen fueling and heavy-duty freight truck network from Houston to Los Angeles.<sup>24</sup>

### **CEC Investments in Hydrogen Technologies and Infrastructure**

Within the United States, California leads in hydrogen technology development, manufacturing, and infrastructure investments. California has 69 completed open retail hydrogen stations, with many additional light-duty, mixed-use, and MDHD stations planned

<sup>22</sup> OCED. “[Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs Selections for Awards Negotiations – Where Are the H2Hubs Located?](https://www.energy.gov/oced/regional-clean-hydrogen-hubs-selections-award-negotiations)” <https://www.energy.gov/oced/regional-clean-hydrogen-hubs-selections-award-negotiations>.

<sup>23</sup> Ibid.

<sup>24</sup> U.S. DOE. February 15, 2023. “[Biden-Harris Administration Announces Funding for Zero-Emission Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Corridors, Expansion of EV Charging in Underserved Communities](https://www.energy.gov/articles/biden-harris-administration-announces-funding-zero-emission-medium-and-heavy-duty-vehicle),” <https://www.energy.gov/articles/biden-harris-administration-announces-funding-zero-emission-medium-and-heavy-duty-vehicle>.

through state and private investments. The only other state with an open retail station is Hawaii. Since 2008 and through November 2023, the CEC has invested more than \$472 million to support hydrogen research, development, and deployment projects. These investments include:

- \$257 million in hydrogen refueling stations for light-duty FCEVs. Of these, seven are planned to be multiuse<sup>25</sup> and include HD dispensers.
- \$40 million in MDHD hydrogen refueling infrastructure.
- \$46.7 million in MDHD hydrogen refueling infrastructure through the EnergIIZE program.
- \$17 million in fuel standards and equipment certification, light-duty FCEV deployment, MDHD fuel cell vehicle demonstration, and regional alternative fuel readiness planning.
- \$22 million in clean hydrogen production.
- \$70 million in hydrogen research and demonstration projects on emerging hydrogen production, storage, transmission and distribution, and end-use technologies.
- \$19.8 million for recently closed CEC Energy Research and Development Division (ERDD) solicitations on innovative hydrogen refueling solutions for heavy transport, hydrogen blending and lower NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in gas-fired generation, and assessing the role of hydrogen in California's decarbonizing electric system.

The CEC's Clean Hydrogen Program, administered by ERDD, is a \$100 million program to provide financial incentives to eligible in-state projects for the demonstration or scale-up of production, processing, delivery, storage, or end use of clean hydrogen. Through competitive solicitations, these funds will become available to support projects in California over the next year. Furthermore, in October 2023, the CEC released a \$30 million recurring funding opportunity for MDHD ZEV charging and hydrogen refueling infrastructure along designated transportation corridors.<sup>26</sup>

## **Developments in the MDHD Market**

In California's transportation sector, light-duty (LD) FCEVs were introduced in California starting in 2016. As of the third quarter of 2023, cumulative sales or leases of LD FCEVs in California were 17,422;<sup>27</sup> however, that number does not account for FCEVs no longer in use due to replacement or attrition. CEC staff estimates the total on-road LD FCEV population to be just under 15,000. Both vehicle manufacturers and fleets are showing more interest in MDHD FCEVs. FCEBs are a demonstrated heavy-duty ZEV technology, and more than 100 FCEBs are part of California transit agencies' fleets, with additional FCEBs on order and included in the agencies' plans to reach the zero-emission requirement of the ICT regulation.

---

25 A *multiuse hydrogen refueling station* includes light-duty and heavy-duty fueling dispensers.

26 CEC. "[GFO-23-602 - Charging and Refueling Infrastructure for Transport in California Provided Along Targeted Highway Segments \(CRITICAL PATHS\)](https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2023-09/gfo-23-602-charging-and-refueling-infrastructure-transport-california)," <https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2023-09/gfo-23-602-charging-and-refueling-infrastructure-transport-california>.

27 California Energy Commission. "[Zero Emission Vehicle and Infrastructure Statistics](https://www.energy.ca.gov/zevstats)," <https://www.energy.ca.gov/zevstats>.

Moreover, new applications of hydrogen as a replacement to fossil fuels are being demonstrated in rail, marine, aviation, and other off-road sectors.

In the United States, most MDHD FCEV applications have been prototype development and demonstrations of the technologies, but over the last year, some have become commercially available and eligible for HVIP. The CEC anticipates having additional data to inform scenarios included in future iterations of the SB 643 report. Examples of demonstrations, pilots, and lessons learned through them include an electric top loader with a fuel cell range extender and the Shore to Store demonstration, both at the Port of Los Angeles, and funded through the Zero- and Near Zero-Emission Freight Facilities (ZANZEFF) program.<sup>28</sup>

In addition, the Northern California Zero-Emission Regional Truck Operations (NorCal ZERO) project, which is near completion, will be the largest commercial deployment of Class 8<sup>29</sup> FCEVs in North America.<sup>30</sup> Off-road demonstrations of advanced hydrogen technologies include a hydrogen fuel cell electric passenger ferry that has operated in the San Francisco Bay and an electric top loader with a fuel cell range extender demonstrated at the Port of Los Angeles.<sup>31</sup> Incentive programs are offsetting the costs of new infrastructure and equipment for zero-emission applications. Incentives are offered to qualifying entities through public agencies including CARB, CEC, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), the California State Transportation Agency (CalSTA), the California Transportation Commission (CTC), and regional air quality management districts, among others. The importance of collaboration across agencies to ensure that public funds are invested in a manner that serves the state's economy, residents, and policy goals is becoming more apparent, particularly with the passage of the ACF regulation in April 2023.

Recognizing the need for a cohesive interagency approach to funding ZEV infrastructure, a joint statement of intent to collaborate on zero-emission vehicle infrastructure development in California was signed by the CEC, CARB, CPUC, Caltrans, Department of General Services (DGS), Governor's Office of Business Development (GO-Biz) and the CTC.<sup>32</sup>

Beyond the CEC's past and current investments in hydrogen research, demonstrations, workforce development, and infrastructure deployment, many incentive programs for zero-emission projects are funded through the California Climate Investments (CCI) program. The CCI program is a statewide initiative that puts billions of cap-and-trade dollars into the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) to help reduce GHG emissions, strengthen the

---

28 Port of Los Angeles. "[Zero- and Near Zero-Emission Freight Facilities \(ZANZEFF\) Shore to Store Project.](https://kentico.portoflosangeles.org/getmedia/db7c41ac-59b3-4491-8bb4-8a02d63b495d/zanzeff-fact-sheet)" <https://kentico.portoflosangeles.org/getmedia/db7c41ac-59b3-4491-8bb4-8a02d63b495d/zanzeff-fact-sheet>.

29 A Class 8 vehicle weighs at least 33,001 pounds; examples of Class 8 vehicles include large buses, drayage tractors, and refuse/recycling trucks. Appendix A includes a graphic of different vehicle classes.

30 Center for Transportation & the Environment (CTE). "[NorCal ZERO: Zero-Emission Regional Truck Operations With Fuel Cell Electric Trucks.](https://cte.tv/project/norcal-zero-zero-emission-regional-truck-operations-with-fuel-cell-electric-trucks/)" <https://cte.tv/project/norcal-zero-zero-emission-regional-truck-operations-with-fuel-cell-electric-trucks/>.

31 CARB. "[LCTI: Demonstration of Zero-Emission Technologies for Freight Operations at Ports.](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-demonstration-zero-emission-technologies-freight-operations-ports)" <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-demonstration-zero-emission-technologies-freight-operations-ports>.

32 CEC, CARB, CPUC, Caltrans, DGS, GO-Biz, CTC. April 20, 2023. [Zero-Emission Vehicle Infrastructure Joint Statement of Intent](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/ZEV%20Infrastructure%20Joint%20Statement%20of%20Intent%204-20-23%20final.pdf), <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/ZEV%20Infrastructure%20Joint%20Statement%20of%20Intent%204-20-23%20final.pdf>.

economy, and improve public health and the environment, especially in the most disadvantaged and low-income communities.

Across California, there are 75 CCI programs administered by 23 state agencies, with the Legislature appropriating funds from the GGRF to those agencies. In Fiscal Year 2022–23, the Legislature appropriated \$3 billion from the GGRF, bringing the total of appropriations to \$22.6 billion. CARB administers many CCI programs, including those that provide incentives to hydrogen MDHD transportation and off-road applications, such as ZANZEFF, Hybrid and Zero-Emission Truck and Bus Voucher Incentive Project (HVIP), Clean Off Road Equipment Voucher Incentive Project (CORE), and Advanced Technology Demonstration and Pilot Projects.<sup>33</sup>

### **Defining Clean Hydrogen and Scaling Production to Meet Demand**

Standardization of definitions for hydrogen are under development. Senate Bill 1075 (Skinner, Chapter 363, Statutes of 2022) requires CARB, in consultation with the CEC and CPUC, to prepare an evaluation on the development, deployment, and use of hydrogen, including policy recommendations and strategies for decarbonization, including definitions for different categories of hydrogen by June 1, 2024. Internationally, the definition varies, and the carbon intensity<sup>34</sup>(CI) of different production methods can be difficult to calculate. Hydrogen produced through electrolysis using electricity from renewable energy sources is generally considered zero-emission production. However, there could be emissions generated during other phases of the full life cycle of the fuel. For example, there may be distribution-related emissions if a diesel-fueled truck transports the hydrogen from the production site to the demand location.

Pending standardized definitions of hydrogen in California through the SB 1075 effort, the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report recognizes the definition of clean hydrogen production proposed by the U.S. DOE. In response to a requirement in the BIL, the U.S. DOE proposed a clean hydrogen production standard (CHPS) that established a target of 4.0 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent produced per kilogram of hydrogen that is directly produced, for life-cycle (from “well-to-gate”)<sup>35</sup> GHG emissions of 4.0 kg CO<sub>2</sub>e/kgH<sub>2</sub>.<sup>36</sup> This framework allows several pathways, including nonrenewable but low-carbon pathways, such as hydrogen produced from fossil fuels paired with carbon capture. The CHPS is not a regulatory standard; however, awardees of federal hydrogen hub funding are required to demonstrably aid achievement of the CHPS by lowering emissions across the supply chain to the greatest extent possible. The U.S. DOE will revisit the CHPS by 2028.

Clean hydrogen is a key element of a portfolio of solutions to reach California’s emission reduction goals, as it is a zero- or low-carbon fuel that can replace carbon-intensive, fossil-

---

33 CARB. “[California Climate Investments Funded Programs](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/california-climate-investments/california-climate-investments-funded-programs),” <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/california-climate-investments/california-climate-investments-funded-programs>.

34 *Carbon intensity* (CI) is a measure of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases per unit of activity. For example, it may refer to how many grams of carbon dioxide are released to produce a kilowatt hour of electricity.

35 The term “well-to-gate” evaluates the life-cycle emissions from feedstock through the point of production. This means emissions associated with upstream feedstock production, upstream transportation, and onsite hydrogen production.


36 U.S. DOE. “[U.S. Department of Energy Clean Hydrogen Production Standard \(CHPS\) Guidance](https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/clean-hydrogen-production-standard-guidance.pdf),” <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/clean-hydrogen-production-standard-guidance.pdf>.



derived hydrogen. Currently, clean hydrogen is produced in relatively small volumes in comparison to fossil-derived hydrogen because of costs and other challenges not uncommon to other nascent sectors, such as supply chain issues and equipment availability and permitting by local jurisdictions. New programs and investments are necessary to increase the scale of production and reduce costs and contribute to widespread decarbonization of California's economy. There are already many ways to produce hydrogen, but most are not nearly as clean as they need to be to achieve the decarbonization required to meet climate targets.

As shown in Figure 3, U.S. DOE's H2@Scale, low- or zero-carbon hydrogen can be produced through steam methane reformation (SMR)<sup>37</sup> with carbon capture utilization and storage (CCUS), gasification of waste, or at nuclear power plants, using high temperature electrolyzers to produce hydrogen.<sup>38</sup> Electrolysis using renewable energy sources such as solar or wind near the demand source can produce close to zero-emission hydrogen.

**Figure 3: Hydrogen Is a Versatile Technology for a Clean Energy Future**



Source: U.S. DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office.<sup>39</sup>

More than 95 percent of hydrogen produced in California is from fossil fuels. Stations funded under recent CTP hydrogen refueling infrastructure solicitations or stations that are receiving

<sup>37</sup> *Steam methane reformation* (SMR) is a process in which methane molecules are split to extract hydrogen with carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) as a by-product. Methane and CO<sub>2</sub> are GHGs.

<sup>38</sup> U.S. DOE. November 9, 2022. "[3 Nuclear Power Plants Gearing Up for Clean Hydrogen Production](https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/3-nuclear-power-plants-gearing-clean-hydrogen-production),"<https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/3-nuclear-power-plants-gearing-clean-hydrogen-production>.

<sup>39</sup> U.S. DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office. "[H2@Scale](https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/h2scale),"<https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/h2scale>.

LCFS HRI credits are required to comply with the renewable hydrogen requirements specified in CARB’s LCFS regulation. The current LCFS requirement for renewable hydrogen is 40 percent, which is commonly met through use of book-and-claim accounting. Book-and-claim accounting refers to the chain-of-custody model in which decoupled environmental attributes are used to represent the ownership and transfer of transportation fuel under the LCFS program, without regard to physical traceability. For reporting transactions, the LCFS program recognizes the use of book-and-claim accounting for pipeline-injected biomethane in North America that is either claimed as a transportation fuel or claimed as a feedstock to produce hydrogen for transportation purposes. This accounting allows a hydrogen producer to purchase biomethane from the natural gas pipeline even though what is withdrawn from the pipeline is fossil natural gas.

Most LD refueling stations rely on excess gaseous hydrogen from existing industrial applications. Hydrogen fuel costs for gaseous hydrogen have risen sharply at the LD stations in 2023. In July 2021, the average retail price at hydrogen refueling stations was about \$16/kg. In October 2023, the retail price at many LD stations was about \$36/kg. Hydrogen station operators have attributed the price increase to several factors, including rising natural gas prices that in turn raise the production cost of hydrogen, supply disruptions, inflation, higher energy costs spurred by the war in Ukraine, and decreased market value of LCFS credits that many station operators rely on to subsidize the pump price of hydrogen.

Many MDHD commercial fleet owners are likely to enter into long-term fuel purchase agreements with station owners at a reduced cost that is less than what a LD station would charge at the pump. These agreements are comparable to the transit agencies’ fuel supply contracts, in which the demand can be quantified and matched with the supply and cost savings can be realized.

CEC staff estimates that the fuel cell electric system used in a heavy-duty FCEV is about 1.9 times more efficient than an average internal combustion engine. A heavy-duty FCEV can travel the same distance with 0.5898 kg of gaseous hydrogen as a regular heavy-duty truck can travel on a gallon of diesel. With that estimate, a \$36.00 kg of gaseous hydrogen would be adjusted to \$21.23, still making diesel a much cheaper fuel than hydrogen. The price of hydrogen will likely need to reach or come close to price parity with diesel to encourage widespread adoption of MDHD FCEVs.

**Table 1: Retail Price Comparison of Hydrogen, Gasoline and Diesel**

<b>Fuel Type/Measure</b>	<b>Retail Price (October 2023)</b>	<b>Adjusted for Efficiency</b>
Gasoline/gallon	\$5.50	n/a*
Diesel/gallon	\$6.12	\$6.12
Gaseous hydrogen/kg	\$36.00	\$21.23

\*Heavy-duty trucks typically run on diesel fuel rather than gasoline.

Source: CEC staff

Liquid hydrogen, which is used by some transit agencies at the reported delivered cost of about \$9 per kg,<sup>40</sup> can be more cost-effective, depending on the size of the refueling system. Liquid hydrogen tanker trucks are generally larger and less expensive than gaseous tube trailer deliveries. Liquid hydrogen is likely to dominate in production, onsite storage, and dispensing in the future; as the storage costs and densities of liquid hydrogen far exceed those of gaseous hydrogen.<sup>41</sup> However, liquid hydrogen systems involve much higher conversion and storage losses than gaseous systems and can be more expensive in small volumes — stations that use liquid storage and cryopump refueling technologies typically face higher station costs. While many factors weigh into station operating costs, according to OEM Hyzon motors, liquid hydrogen has been estimated to be up to \$5 per kg less expensive all-in to dispense than high-pressure gaseous for MDHD FCEVs. OEMs are exploring the use of liquid hydrogen for MDHD FCEVs, citing increased range and no added weight in comparison to gaseous hydrogen.<sup>42</sup>

Current demand for hydrogen in California’s transportation sectors is primarily for FCEBs and for LD FCEVs. Emerging demand from other sources include MDHD FCEVs, cargo-handling equipment, yard tractors, and nonroad applications including rail, maritime, and aviation. These sectors alone are unlikely to create large-scale production of clean hydrogen without demand from other sectors, such as industrial uses and electricity generation.

The CEC Fuels and Transportation Division program staff collaborates with many experts on developing hydrogen refueling infrastructure and deployment of FCEVs, including:

- CARB, the CPUC, the Governor’s Office of Business and Economic Development (GO-Biz) and the California Department of Food and Agriculture, Division of Measurement Standards (CDFA/DMS).
- The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), and other air districts.
- The U.S. DOE and national laboratories, including the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL).
- Industry stakeholders, including the National Hydrogen Fuel Cell Partnership and the California Hydrogen Business Council.

Staff also considers input from public comments received in workshops and submitted to the docket to develop grant solicitations and analyses. The public is encouraged to visit the following web pages to become involved in CEC activities:

- [Subscriptions](https://www.energy.ca.gov/subscriptions): <https://www.energy.ca.gov/subscriptions>

---

40 The delivered cost of about \$9/kg is lower than the price at the pump. For broad adoption of FCEBs, the cost of hydrogen needs to be lower.

41 Fulton, L.; Jenn, A.; Yang, C.; Burke, A.; Acharya, T.; Li, X., et al. 2023. *California Hydrogen Analysis Project: The Future Role of Hydrogen in a Carbon-Neutral California: Final Synthesis Modeling Report*. UC Davis: Hydrogen Pathways Program. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841>.

42 Hyzon Motors. August 30, 2023. “[Hyzon Motors Successfully Completes First Customer Demo of Liquid Hydrogen Fuel Cell Electric Truck](https://www.hyzonmotors.com/in-the-news/hyzon-motors-successfully-completes-first-customer-demo-of-liquid-hydrogen-fuel-cell-electric-truck).” <https://www.hyzonmotors.com/in-the-news/hyzon-motors-successfully-completes-first-customer-demo-of-liquid-hydrogen-fuel-cell-electric-truck>.



- [Events](https://www.energy.ca.gov/events): <https://www.energy.ca.gov/events>
- [Solicitations](https://www.energy.ca.gov/funding-opportunities/solicitations): <https://www.energy.ca.gov/funding-opportunities/solicitations>

# CHAPTER 2:

## Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Infrastructure

---

### Background

This chapter discusses California’s existing and planned MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure and provides scenarios of how MDHD FCEVs could help reach statewide emission-reduction goals. Over the last two years, the pace of innovation, investment, and awareness of hydrogen as a truck fuel has increased. Most developments are with heavy-duty truck classes<sup>43</sup> used for freight movement. While freight vehicles provide many benefits to California’s economy, including domestic goods movement, international trade, and other essential services, they also come with serious environmental costs. Although freight vehicles represent less than 2 percent of California registered vehicle stock, they are responsible for about 23 percent of on-road GHG emissions in the state because of comparatively low fuel efficiency and high number of miles traveled per year.<sup>44</sup> The biggest trucks, Classes 7 and 8 tractors, have the highest NO<sub>x</sub> emissions within California’s transportation sector, amounting to about 118.7 tons per day (TPD).<sup>45</sup>

MDHD ZEVs offer compliance with established GHG reduction targets and an opportunity to address degraded air quality, particularly in some of the most impacted, under resourced regions. There are currently two MDHD ZEV options — FCEVs and BEVs. Most commercially available options for MDHD ZEVs are BEVs, although unprecedented developments with MDHD FCEVs have occurred over the last two years. Both technologies have the potential to contribute to meeting statewide emissions goals. LD FCEVs began demonstrating on California’s roads more than 20 years ago.<sup>46</sup> OEMs developing MDHD FCEVs and developers of MDHD refueling stations can leverage the knowledge and technologies developed for LD FCEVs and FCEBs and their refueling equipment and infrastructure.

Fleet owners likely consider multiple factors, including vehicle availability, refueling times, range, and cost-benefit analyses that consider fuel and electricity costs and vehicle maintenance in deciding which MDHD ZEVs best suit their requirements. The availability of refueling or charging infrastructure is an important factor as well. Figure 10, Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California, provides a statewide view of developed and planned MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure in California. Commercial

---

43 Appendix A defines and provides graphics of vehicle classes.

44 Based on analysis from the CEC’s Energy Assessments Division, with data from the California Department of Motor Vehicles and CARB. June 22, 2018. ["California Greenhouse Gas Inventory for 2000–2018."](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg_inventory_scopingplan_sum_2000-18.pdf)  
[https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg\\_inventory\\_scopingplan\\_sum\\_2000-18.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/inventory/data/tables/ghg_inventory_scopingplan_sum_2000-18.pdf).

45 CARB 2022 CEPAM v1.01.

46 Electric Vehicle Transportation Center. September 2014. [Analysis of Fuel Cell Vehicle Developments](http://fsec.ucf.edu/en/publications/pdf/fsec-cr-1987-14.pdf),  
<http://fsec.ucf.edu/en/publications/pdf/fsec-cr-1987-14.pdf>.


availability of MDHD FCEVs is discussed in Table 3 in the context of vehicles currently eligible for HVIP incentives.

## World-Leading Regulations

The Advanced Clean Trucks (ACT) and Advanced Clean Fleets (ACF) regulations are designed to work in tandem to cut air pollution and will be particularly effective to those who reside and work in low-income and disadvantaged communities. The ACT regulation requires manufacturers to accelerate sales of new zero-emission heavy-duty vehicles from 2023 to 2035, helping ensure that MDHD ZEVs are brought to the market. The ACF regulation works in conjunction with the ACT regulation by allowing manufacturers to sell only zero-emission MDHD ZEVs starting in 2036. When the ACF regulation was approved by CARB in April 2023, California became the first jurisdiction in the world to end the sales of traditional combustion trucks by 2036, which is instrumental in creating a path toward the state’s goal of 100 percent zero-emission MDHD trucks in operation by 2045.<sup>47</sup> The regulation affects MDHD on-road vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating greater than 8,500 pounds, off-road yard tractors, and light-duty mail and package deliveries. Furthermore, the ACF regulation provides different requirements for fleets to begin transitioning to zero-emission, including the following:

- Drayage trucks, local delivery and government fleets must transition by 2035.
- Garbage trucks must be zero-emission by 2039.
- All other vehicles covered by the regulation must be zero-emission by 2042.

**Figure 4: MDHD ZEV Deployment Due to ACT/ACF Regulations**



Source: CARB, [Proposed Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/rulemaking/2022/acf2022), Appendix F, Figure 5. (Online). Available at <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/rulemaking/2022/acf2022>.

<sup>47</sup> Office of Governor Gavin Newsom. April 28, 2023. "[California Approves World’s First Regulation to Phase Out Dirty Combustion Trucks and Protect Public Health.](https://www.gov.ca.gov/2023/04/28/california-approves-worlds-first-regulation-to-phase-out-dirty-combustion-trucks-and-protect-public-health/)" <https://www.gov.ca.gov/2023/04/28/california-approves-worlds-first-regulation-to-phase-out-dirty-combustion-trucks-and-protect-public-health/>.

For ACF to be successful, investments in public and private MDHD infrastructure are needed.

Local air quality management districts have developed rules to address the impacts of truck pollution as well. One of many examples include SCAQMD's Rule 2305, which established the Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions (WAIRE) program to cut truck pollution, increase electrification, and reduce health impacts in disadvantaged and low-income communities that are often most impacted by diesel exhaust.<sup>48</sup> The rule applies to about 3,000 warehouses across the region. WAIRE is a points-based program — warehouses subject to the rule must earn points by, for example, acquiring zero-emission trucks, receiving visits from zero-emission trucks, or installing an onsite solar panel system, among other options.<sup>49</sup>

## **CEC Investments in MDHD FCEV Infrastructure**

To date, the CEC has invested nearly \$107 million in MDHD FCEV and FCEB infrastructure through several solicitations, which includes funding invested through the CTP's GFO-20-603, "Block Grant for Medium-Duty and Heavy-Duty Zero-Emission Vehicle Refueling Infrastructure Incentive Projects." The grant funding solicitation intended to seek a block grant recipient to design and implement various MDHD ZEV refueling infrastructure incentive projects throughout California that would address critical barriers to infrastructure deployment. Clean transportation nonprofit CALSTART, Inc. (CALSTART) was awarded the agreement of up-to \$50 million in March 2021, which was increased to up to \$276 million in December 2021.

In early 2022, CALSTART launched Energy Infrastructure Incentives for Commercial Vehicles (EnergIIZE), California's first-ever block grant program designed to provide streamlined infrastructure incentives for public and private fleets, owners, operators, school bus fleets, and transit agencies that plan to deploy battery-electric or hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicle technology. The 2022 EnergIIZE hydrogen funding lane allocated \$17 million for five MDHD hydrogen refueling stations, one of which is for private fleet use only. Demand exceeded available funds, indicating a strong interest from station developers. The four publicly available hydrogen projects awarded funding are included in Table 5. The 2023 EnergIIZE hydrogen funding lane made available \$29.7 million, and the lane was fully subscribed, with seven projects awarded, all proposed to be publicly available.

These CEC investments in MDHD hydrogen infrastructure are in addition to significant other investments by the CEC in hydrogen infrastructure and production, including for light-duty hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles. The CEC will continue to make investments in MDHD infrastructure to support hydrogen fuel cell electric and battery electric trucks and buses.

---

48 South Coast Air Quality Management District. [Facility-Based Mobile Source Measure](http://www.aqmd.gov/home/air-quality/clean-air-plans/air-quality-mgt-plan/facility-based-mobile-source-measures/warehs-distr-wkng-grp). <http://www.aqmd.gov/home/air-quality/clean-air-plans/air-quality-mgt-plan/facility-based-mobile-source-measures/warehs-distr-wkng-grp>. (Accessed May 2, 2022.)

49 South Coast Air Quality Management District. [Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule](http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/reg-xxiii/r2305.pdf?sfvrsn=9). (Table 3, WAIRE Menu.) <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/reg-xxiii/r2305.pdf?sfvrsn=9>.

## **MDHD FCEV Drayage, Long-Haul, and “Last-Mile” Delivery Trucks**

The following sections discuss three applications of commercial trucks — drayage, long-haul and “last-mile”<sup>50</sup> delivery trucks. The ACF regulation prioritizes transitioning drayage and last-mile delivery trucks to zero-emission first because of the relatively short travel distances and impact on disadvantaged communities. Federal efforts such as the CFI program acknowledge the importance of building infrastructure along major corridors to support the transition of long-haul trucks to zero-emission.

Freight movement is complex, and the diverse requirements of drayage, long-haul, and regional last-mile delivery trucks pose unique challenges for new powertrain technologies. MDHD BEVs and FCEVs are the zero-emission option for these applications, and the discussion of which technology is the best fit for different uses is ongoing, with demonstrations of both technologies informing OEMs, investors, and fleet owners in the transition to zero-emission MDHD transportation.

There are more offerings across the range of MDHD vehicle classes available in electric charging vehicle options relative to hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicle options. In September 2023, CARB’s HVIP program lists 152 vehicles within three categories — battery-electric, hydrogen fuel cell electric, and electric power take-off (ePTO).<sup>51</sup> Of the 152, there are 139 electric charging options and six hydrogen fuel cell electric options. The six hydrogen fuel cell electric options consist of transit buses and Class 8 trucks, including one retrofit. Hydrogen fuel cell electric options are not available across all vehicle classes. For example, there are no hydrogen-powered school buses or medium-duty trucks that are commercially available, but demonstrations are underway.

HVIP data provided in Figures 5 and 6 below show that as of September 30, 2023, of redeemed vouchers, there were 2,407 BEVs and 49 FCEVs. For upcoming vehicle deployments, as indicated as unredeemed, there are anticipated to be 3,734 BEVs and BEBs and 169 FCEVs and FCEBs. This is not the full range of zero-emission vehicles that will be deployed in California in the coming years. Drawing a linear parallel to the potential magnitude between the two technology types at this time is possible but may be premature. Important ongoing activities such as California receiving a federal hydrogen hub funding award is anticipated to spur infrastructure buildout at scale. Uncertainty about the availability of clean hydrogen, lack of operating MDHD stations, few available models, and fuel price are likely factors in decisions about purchasing or leasing MDHD FCEVs. However, investments by the state and federal governments are intended to address these uncertainties over the next three years and beyond.


---

50 “Last-mile” delivery trucks are used to bring items from a local warehouse or fulfillment center for final delivery to customers.

51 An electric power take-off (ePTO) vehicle takes power from an on-vehicle source (like a battery or hydrogen fuel cell) that produces no emissions. Work vehicles with ePTO are deemed HVIP-eligible by CARB based on a demonstration that the vehicle engine does not idle to recharge the battery or to power the truck.

**Figure 5: HVIP Redeemed Vouchers**


Last Updated 9/30/2023



Source: CARB. [California HVIP Voucher Map and Data](https://californiahvip.org/impact/#deployed-vehicle-mapping-tool). <https://californiahvip.org/impact/#deployed-vehicle-mapping-tool>.

**Figure 6: Unredeemed HVIP Vouchers**

Last Updated 9/30/2023



Source: CARB. [California HVIP Voucher Map and Data](https://californiahvip.org/impact/#deployed-vehicle-mapping-tool). <https://californiahvip.org/impact/#deployed-vehicle-mapping-tool>.

**Drayage Trucks**

Traditional drayage trucks are on-road, diesel-fueled, Classes 7 and 8 heavy-duty trucks that transport containers and bulk items to and from ports, intermodal rail yards, distribution centers, and other locations. They are ideal early targets for ZEV adoption due to the relatively short travel distances and impact on disadvantaged communities surrounding ports and intermodal rail yards. As of December 2022, there are more than 140,500 trucks in CARB’s Drayage Truck Registry with 2010 or newer model year engines. Of those, about 51,500 are

based in California, and 189,000 are out of state. About 33,500 drayage trucks service California’s ports and intermodal rail yards annually.<sup>52</sup> The Ports of Los Angeles/Long Beach, referred to in Table 2 below as POLA, and the Port of Oakland (POAK) provided the information included in the table. Staff estimated the inventory for other seaports based on past survey data, and the inventory for trucks serving intermodal railyards was estimated based on aggregated data.

**Table 2: Drayage Truck Inventory in Calendar Year 2019**

<b>Vehicle Category</b>	<b>POAK</b>	<b>POLA</b>	<b>Other Seaports</b>	<b>Intermodal Railyards</b>	<b>Total</b>
In-State Class 8 Active Trucks	4,200	14,000	1,500	9,000	28,700
In-State Class 8 Inactive Trucks	n/a	2,800	n/a	n/a	2,800
In-State POAK Class 8 Already in POLA*	140	n/a	n/a	n/a	140
Classes 4-7	20	180	n/a	n/a	200
Out-of-State	820	850	n/a	n/a	1,670
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,180</b>	<b>17,830</b>	<b>1,500</b>	<b>9,000</b>	<b>33,510</b>

\* The 140 vehicles were registered at two ports – the POAK and POLA. The not applicable (n/a) for POLA is to prevent double counting the vehicles.

Source: CARB<sup>53</sup>

The ports and intermodal railyards that are required to comply with the ACF regulation are located within 1 mile of a disadvantaged community, as categorized by the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA), which uses CalEnviroScreen (CES), a mapping tool that helps identify communities that are most affected by pollution and where people are particularly vulnerable to pollution effects. CES uses environmental, health, and socioeconomic information to calculate scores for every census tract. These scores are mapped and provide a visual, comparative representation of different communities.<sup>54</sup>

Figure 7 provides an analysis of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions within 20 miles of ports from drayage trucks versus trains moving the same amount of freight. The analysis shows that under CARB’s

52 CARB. November 2022. ["CARB Fact Sheet: 2022 Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation — Proposed Drayage Truck Requirements."](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-2022-advanced-clean-fleets-regulation-proposed-drayage-truck#:~:text=Approximately%2033%2C500%20drayage%20trucks%20service,or%20112%20times%20per%20year.) https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-2022-advanced-clean-fleets-regulation-proposed-drayage-truck#:~:text=Approximately%2033%2C500%20drayage%20trucks%20service,or%20112%20times%20per%20year.


53 CARB. August 30, 2022. ["Emissions Inventory and Results: Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation."](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/acf22/appf.pdf) https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/acf22/appf.pdf.

54 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). ["About CalEnviroScreen."](https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/about-calenviroscreen) https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/about-calenviroscreen. (Retrieved April 2023.)

regulations, trucks will produce fewer emissions compared to trains by 2030, partly because of efforts including the Cap-and-Trade Program and the ACT and ACF regulations.

**Figure 7: Truck vs. Train NO<sub>x</sub> Projected Emissions Under CARB Regulations**

Total NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions in Communities within 20 Miles of the Ports



Source: CARB<sup>55</sup>

Drayage companies have a relatively straightforward compliance pathway under the ACF regulation. Any combustion-powered trucks must register in the CARB online system by December 31, 2023, or it will not be able to access any port, railroad, or other regulated area. These “legacy” drayage trucks can continue to operate through the minimum useful life. Beginning January 1, 2024, all new Classes 7 and 8 registrants to the CARB online system must be zero-emission or they will not be allowed to register or enter the regulated area. All drayage trucks entering regulated areas are required to be zero-emission by 2035.

The adjacent Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles in San Pedro Bay are the largest fixed sources of air pollution in California, and the South Coast Air Basin (SoCAB) is one of the most polluted air basins in the United States, largely because the trucks and equipment used at the ports burn diesel fuel. Other contributing and related factors include the emissions from warehouses located in SoCAB, as they are a key destination for trucks and have other sources of emissions, including cargo-handling equipment. The governing boards of both ports unanimously agreed to the *2017 Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP) Update*, which targets NO<sub>x</sub> and PM emission reductions and set the goal of 100 percent zero-emission operations. Since 2005, the strategies identified in the first adopted *Clean Air Action Plan* have resulted in reported emission reductions of more than 85 percent for PM, 50 percent for NO<sub>x</sub>, and 95 percent for sulfur oxides (SO<sub>x</sub>).<sup>56</sup>

Using the ports as demonstration sites of MDHD ZEV infrastructure, equipment, and vehicles is a strategic way to create broad reach to the supply chain providers that use domestic ports.

55 CARB. “[Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation – Drayage Truck Requirements](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-2023-advanced-clean-fleets-regulation-drayage-truck).”  
<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-2023-advanced-clean-fleets-regulation-drayage-truck>.

56 Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles. “[Clean Air Action Plan Strategies](https://cleanairactionplan.org/strategies/),”  
<https://cleanairactionplan.org/strategies/>. (Accessed May 15, 2023).



One of the first demonstration projects, the ZANZEFF program Shore to Store project, has concluded, and others are underway to evaluate and gain insights on MDHD FCEVs. To demonstrate the capability of MDHD FCEVs in real-world operations, the Shore to Store project was conducted at the Port of Los Angeles, the Los Angeles Basin, and the Inland Empire.<sup>57</sup> Toyota North America and Kenworth Truck Company HD jointly designed and built 10 Class 8 FCEVs for the demonstration. Equilon Enterprises LLC, doing business as Shell Oil Products U.S., built three MDHD hydrogen stations — two that received grant funding from CARB and SCAQMD for the Shore to Store demonstration and one additional station in the same operating region.<sup>58</sup> The project exhibited supply chain transport from the port to warehouses and was funded with a \$41,122,260 grant from CARB and an equal match from project partners including SCAQMD.<sup>59</sup> Chapter 6, Synergies Across the Sectors and International Developments, includes additional discussion regarding potential synergies between applications at marine ports.

The CEC and CARB jointly issued GFO-20-606, “Zero-Emission Drayage Truck and Infrastructure Pilot Project,” under the CEC’s Clean Transportation Program and CARB’s FY 2019–20 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives. The Center for Transportation and the Environment (CTE) was awarded \$9,185,045 from the CEC and \$11,979,914 from CARB for the NorCal ZERO project, which, when completed, will be the largest commercial deployment of Class 8 FCEVs in North America. The project will deploy 30 Hyundai XCIENT Class 8 FCEVs that will be refueled at a new hydrogen refueling station in Oakland to provide drayage services at the Port of Oakland and possibly longer-haul services in Northern California. As of September 2023, all 30 Hyundai XCIENTs had arrived from South Korea, and a mobile refueler was brought onsite to refuel them, pending completion of the refueling station.

## **Long-Haul Trucks**

Long-haul trucking is generally defined as long-distance transportation of cargo of 250 miles or more. Long-haul trucks can be either in-state or out-of-state vehicles, and the distinction is important in the context of California’s zero-emission truck regulations. Although long-haul truck drivers are required to adhere to federal and state laws, ensuring out-of-state vehicles meet California regulations requires greater and more coordinated outreach and enforcement effort than in-state operators. It is potentially less likely that out-of-state vehicles will be able to fuel at fleet “home-base” stations and may be more likely to rely on public charging or hydrogen refueling stations.

New federal and state investment funding opportunities that may affect long-haul trucking because of the need for well-planned publicly available stations include the United States Department of Transportation’s (U.S. DOT) Charging and Fueling Infrastructure (CFI) discretionary grant program. Established through the BIL, the CFI program provides up to \$2.5 billion to eligible applicants to create community plans for infrastructure, or strategically deploy

---

57 Port of Los Angeles. “[Zero- and Near-Zero-Emission Freight Facilities \(ZANZEFF\) Shore to Store Project](https://kentico.portoflosangeles.org/getmedia/db7c41ac-59b3-4491-8bb4-8a02d63b495d/zanzeff-fact-sheet),” <https://kentico.portoflosangeles.org/getmedia/db7c41ac-59b3-4491-8bb4-8a02d63b495d/zanzeff-fact-sheet>.

58 Table 5, Existing and Planned Infrastructure.

59 Ibid.

publicly accessible EV, hydrogen, propane, and natural gas fueling infrastructure along designated alternative fuel corridors. Strategic corridor infrastructure buildout within states and interstate is critical to the success of applications of ZEVs to prevent issues such as revenue loss by freight owners, range anxiety, and other undesirable outcomes that could result from insufficient publicly available charging and refueling infrastructure.

In April 2023, the North American Council for Freight Efficiency (NACFE) issued a report that provided its findings on zero-emission applications for freight, including hydrogen-based powertrains for Class 8 long-haul freight routes pulling van trailers.<sup>60</sup> The NACFE found that a mix of HD FCEVs and BEVs will be needed for a zero-emission freight future, with HD BEVs as the most economical and efficient choice for shorter-distance duty cycles, and HD FCEVs as a viable solution for heavier, longer-distance duty cycles. NACFE's conclusions included the following:

- Growth in the HD FCEV industry is more dependent on production and distribution of hydrogen fuel, rather than the availability of vehicles or refueling stations.
- Hydrogen costs should decrease as production scales up, and large-scale production requires multiple industries, such as steel and cement manufacturing industries would need to migrate to hydrogen, not just transportation alone.<sup>61</sup>

### **“Last-Mile” Delivery Trucks**

CEC staff is not aware of any commercially available MD FCEVs in California; however, there is an ongoing demonstration using retrofitted delivery vans. CTE is working with the United Parcel Service (UPS) and other project partners to develop an MD FCEV delivery van that aims to address concerns for zero-emission MD FCEVs. The “Fuel Cell Hybrid Electric Delivery Van Deployment Project” began in July 2014 and has since completed Phase 1, which consisted of a prototype fuel cell delivery van that operated for more than one year in West Sacramento and Gardena. Phase 2 included the manufacturing and engineering of 15 MD FCEV delivery vans using 30 kW fuel cell engines developed and built by Cummins. The vehicles were assembled and validated and began UPS package delivery service in Ontario in August 2023 and will be demonstrated through the end of 2024. The project, which serves a high concentration of disadvantaged communities in the Inland Empire, received U.S. DOE's Hydrogen Program 2023 Systems Development & Integration award in recognition of the achievements in the development and demonstration of a fleet of MD FCEVs operating in disadvantaged communities.<sup>62</sup>

---

60 NACFE. [“Hydrogen Trucks: Long Haul’s Future?”](https://nacfe.org/research/electric-trucks/hydrogen/#) <https://nacfe.org/research/electric-trucks/hydrogen/#>. (Accessed May 13, 2023).

61 Ibid.

62 CTE. August 2023. [“CTE Collaborates with Partners on Innovative Fuel Cell Delivery Van Project.”](https://cte.tv/cte-collaborates-with-partners-on-innovative-fuel-cell-delivery-van-project/) <https://cte.tv/cte-collaborates-with-partners-on-innovative-fuel-cell-delivery-van-project/>.

**Figure 8: Fuel Cell Hybrid Electric Van Deployment Project**



Source: CTE

## Current MDHD FCEV Market

In California and throughout the United States, there are only a few examples of MDHD FCEV refueling stations built and operating, adding to the challenges faced by infrastructure developers that are trying to navigate the processes of planning, constructing, and operating refueling stations. Without a high level of confidence, including transparency of infrastructure requirements, fueling standards, equipment availability and function, and uncertainty regarding the cost and reliability of infrastructure, potential developers have been cautious to invest. In parallel, OEMs have been slow to develop MDHD FCEVs in the United States.

The ACT and ACF regulations are driving commercial availability of MDHD FCEV models and hydrogen fuel cell powertrain retrofits. While the industry is still developing, the landscape is changing, as evidenced by Table 3, which provides the MDHD FCEV models eligible for HVIP. Before 2023, only one Class 8 HD FCEV model was eligible for HVIP, an early model from OEM Hyzon. In 2023, that model was removed, and three new models and a retrofit have been added, as well as a zero-emission powertrain. In May 2023, a fleet carrier for the United States Postal Service and a drayage carrier operating in the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach announced the execution of a purchase order for 50 Nikola Tre Class 8 FCEVs. The trucks are scheduled to be delivered between the end of 2023 and beginning of 2024.<sup>63</sup>

The timeline for commercialization of MDHD FCEVs and hydrogen engine technologies designed to meet different fleet applications and procurement models to purchase or lease MDHD FCEVs is key, as is scaling production from pilot projects to widespread use. Other

---

<sup>63</sup> AJR Trucking. May 1, 2023. Accessed May 13, 2023. "[AJR Trucking Announces Order for 50 Nikola Tre FCEVs](https://www.ajrtrucking.com/blog/ajr-trucking-announces-order-for-50-nikola-tre-fcevs/)," <https://www.ajrtrucking.com/blog/ajr-trucking-announces-order-for-50-nikola-tre-fcevs/>.

important market drivers include making total cost of ownership competitive through fuel savings and maintenance reductions. As discussed in Chapter 1, and presented in Table 1, the retail price of gaseous hydrogen fuel is much higher than diesel fuel, and a scale up in hydrogen production is considered an important factor to help drive hydrogen fuel costs down. Table 3, HVIP Eligible MDHD FCEV Models, provides the four Class 8 HD FCEV models were added as HVIP-eligible, and one OEM received CARB’s executive order certifying that it had developed a zero-emission powertrain, shown in Figure 8.

**Table 3: HVIP Eligible MDHD FCEV Models\***

Make	Model	Class	Tank Capacity (kg)	Tank Rated Pressure (Bar)	Range (Miles)
Hyundai	XCIENT	8	50	700	500
Hyzon Motors	HyHD8 Conversion	8	50	350	350
Hyzon Motors	HyHD8	8	50	350	350
Nikola	TRE <sup>64</sup>	8	70	700	500

CARB HVIP<sup>65</sup>

\*HVIP-Eligible FCEBs provided in Chapter 3, Table 17

OEMs using a CARB-certified powertrain may also be eligible for federal incentives, and if the MDHD FCEV is for drayage applications, it may be eligible for the Ports of Long Angeles and Long Beach Clean Truck Fund incentives. For MDHD FCEVs Classes 4 and up, a zero-emission powertrain certification will be an additional requirement for all new HVIP vehicle-eligible applications submitted to CARB on or after January 1, 2023.

### **Announcements of New MDHD FCEVs**

With growing public and private investments in MDHD FCEVs, OEMs are responding with announcements of new fleet applications of zero-emission technologies. The Advanced Clean Transportation Expo held in Long Beach in May 2023 is an annual event that, among other objectives, allows OEMs to present prototypes and models that are in development or demonstration.<sup>66</sup>

---

64 Nikola Motors. March 6, 2023. "[Specifications for Nikola TRE FCEV,](http://www.nikolamotor.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/FCEV09_FCEV-US-Spec-Sheet-03.06.23.pdf)" [http://www.nikolamotor.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/FCEV09\\_FCEV-US-Spec-Sheet-03.06.23.pdf](http://www.nikolamotor.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/FCEV09_FCEV-US-Spec-Sheet-03.06.23.pdf).

65 CARB. "[All Vehicles,](https://californiahvip.org/vehicles/?t_type=379)" [https://californiahvip.org/vehicles/?t\\_type=379](https://californiahvip.org/vehicles/?t_type=379). (Accessed December 19, 2023).

66 U.S. DOE. "[Advanced Clean Transportation Expo,](https://cleancities.energy.gov/events/28474)" <https://cleancities.energy.gov/events/28474>. (Accessed May 14, 2023).

**Table 4: HD Models Announced at the 2023 ACT Exposition**


Make	Model	Class	Tank Capacity (kg)	Tank Rated Pressure (Bar)	Typical Range (Miles)
Kalamar Ottawa*	CT195-70 Terminal Tractor	8	16	700	n/a
Hyundai	XCIENT Fuel Cell Tractor	8	68.6	700	450
Capacity*	H2 Terminal Truck	8	15.1	350	n/a
Kenworth	T680 FCEV Daycab	8	58.8	700	450

\*Terminal tractors are generally considered off-road equipment that can be registered to operate on road.

Source: CEC staff. May not include all announced models.

The Shore to Store demonstration project proved that hydrogen fuel cells can power heavy long-haul tractor-trailers that require long-range travel. The project also demonstrated that hydrogen can be used as a fuel to power a fuel cell powertrain that performs much like a diesel powertrain in terms of torque and reliability, with added benefits including zero tailpipe emissions and a quieter, smoother drive. Demonstration projects can result in proof-of-concept technologies that become commercially available. Toyota deployed 10 Kenworth T680 Class 8 trucks that served real-world customers via drayage routes for the Shore to Store project.

**Figure 9: Toyota's Zero-Emission Powertrain**



Credit: Toyota

In April 2023, CARB issued an executive order certifying Toyota's HD fuel cell electric powertrain kit as a zero-emission powertrain (ZEP), which is shown in Figure 9. The ZEP is a hydrogen-fueled kit that includes fuel cell stacks, batteries, electric motors, and transmission.<sup>67</sup> This powertrain kit and others that may be in development by other companies provide an alternative to traditional diesel powertrains currently used in commercial goods transportation for companies seeking to transition the vehicles they use in their fleets to zero-emission trucks.

### **Existing and Planned MDHD Infrastructure**

Based on information available to the CEC, three MDHD FCEV public retail refueling stations are operating in California, and 28 have been awarded funding and are in varying stages of development. Some of the stations are multiuse and will have dispensing platforms for both HD and LD fueling. Additional infrastructure that is planned or has been developed by private industry is not reflected in Figures 10, 11 and 12, and Table 5.

There are four general categories of FCEV refueling stations:


1. Private station that provides fuel to a single fleet
2. Private station that provides fuel to multiple fleets under a shared-use agreement
3. Public station that is restricted to a specific class of vehicles (LD vs. HD)
4. Public station that provides fuel for all on-road vehicle types

---

<sup>67</sup> Toyota. April 24, 2023. "[Toyota Receives Zero Emission CARB Executive Order for HD Fuel Cell Electric Powertrain Kit,](https://pressroom.toyota.com/toyota-receives-zero-emission-carb-executive-order-for-hd-fuel-cell-electric-powertrain-kit/)" <https://pressroom.toyota.com/toyota-receives-zero-emission-carb-executive-order-for-hd-fuel-cell-electric-powertrain-kit/>.




**Figure 10: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California\***



\*Chapter 3, Figure 17, provides FCEB refueling infrastructure, current and planned.

Credit: CEC staff


**Figure 11: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Refueling Stations in Northern California With Disadvantaged Communities Highlighted**



Credit: CEC staff



**Figure 12: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in Southern California With Disadvantaged Communities Highlighted**



Credit: CEC staff

**Table 5: Operating and Planned MDHD Public Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California**

Station Developer	Funding Agency	Operating/Planned	City	County	Capacity (kg)	Fuel Pressure (bar)	HD Fueling Positions
Shell	CARB	Operating	Wilmington	Los Angeles	1,140	350/700	2
Shell	CEC	Operating	Long Beach (POLB)	Los Angeles	1,140	350/700	2
Shell	CARB	Operating	Ontario	San Bernardino	1,140	350/700	2
FirstElement Fuel*	CEC	Planned	McClellan Park	Sacramento	18,000	700	2
FirstElement Fuel*	CEC	Planned	Fresno	Fresno	8,000	700	2
FirstElement Fuel*	CEC	Planned	Livermore	Alameda	8,000	700	2
FirstElement Fuel*	CEC	Planned	Kettleman City	Kings	18,000	700	2
FirstElement Fuel*	CEC	Planned	Oakland	Alameda	18,000	700	2
Air Products and Chemicals*	CEC	Planned	Galt	Sacramento	tba**	350/700	tba
Nikola	CTC	Planned	Ontario	San Bernardino	4,000	700	2
Nikola	CTC	Planned	Rialto	San Bernardino	tba	tba	tba
Nikola	CTC	Planned	Colton	San Bernardino	tba	tba	tba
Nikola	CTC	Planned	Hesperia	San Bernardino	tba	tba	tba
Nikola	CTC	Planned	Carson	Los Angeles	tba	tba	tba
Nikola	CTC	Planned	Otay Mesa	San Diego	tba	tba	tba

Station Developer	Funding Agency	Operating/Planned	City	County	Capacity (kg)	Fuel Pressure (bar)	HD Fueling Positions
Air Products and Chemicals	CEC	Planned	Paramount	Los Angeles	3,000	350/700	tba
Equilon	CEC	Planned	Carson	Los Angeles	tba	tba	tba
Pilot Travel Centers	CEC	Planned	Bakersfield	Kern	tba	tba	tba
Air Products and Chemicals	CEC	Planned	Visalia	Tulare	tba	tba	5
Prologis	CalSTA	Planned	Van Nuys	Los Angeles	tba	700	5
Prologis	CalSTA	Planned	Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino	tba	700	5
Prologis	CalSTA	Planned	Ontario	San Bernardino	tba	700	5
Prologis	CalSTA	Planned	Commerce	Los Angeles	tba	700	2
Prologis	CalSTA	Planned	Compton	Los Angeles	tba	700	2
tba	CEC	Planned	Indio	Riverside	tba	700	2
tba	CEC	Planned	Palm Springs	Riverside	tba	700	5
tba	CEC	Planned	Sacramento	Sacramento	tba	700	5
tba	CEC	Planned	West Sacramento	Yolo	tba	700	4
tba	CEC	Planned	Vernon	Los Angeles	tba	700	2
tba	CEC	Planned	El Centro	Imperial	tba	700	2
tba	CEC	Planned	Indio	Riverside	tba	700	2

Credit: CEC, CTC, CALSTART, CalSTA, Caltrans

**\*Planned for multiuse (light, medium, and heavy duty FCEV refueling)**

**\*\*To be announced (tba)**

**\*\*\*Planned as capable of dispensing 250 kg hydrogen per hour**

Two of the three operating Shell MDHD refueling stations were partially funded as part of the Shore to Store demonstration. Seven of the stations were funded through CEC grant funding solicitations and are planned to be multiuse stations, providing opportunities for HD and LD FCEVs to refuel at separate areas of the station. Table 5 includes four of the five MDHD refueling projects by developers that in total were awarded \$17 million through the 2022 EnergiIZE hydrogen funding lane solicitation. Four of the five awarded stations will be publicly available, and the fifth is for a private fleet. CALSTART opened its 2023 EnergiIZE Hydrogen Funding Lane in April 2023, with a total of \$29.7 million available. Seven additional MDHD refueling projects were awarded funding; these are included in Table 5 and will be publicly available open retail stations.

## **Estimating Demand for MDHD FCEV Stations**

Given the present uncertainty regarding the number and classes of MDHD FCEVs that will become commercially available, the timing of infrastructure development and decisions by fleet owners to opt for either BEVs or FCEVs, and the high cost of hydrogen as a transportation fuel, the future demand for MDHD FCEV infrastructure and clean hydrogen supply required is challenging to predict. Demonstrations such as Shore to Store and pilot projects such as the NorCal ZERO drayage project have proven or are demonstrating that MDHD FCEVs can be successful in drayage operations. The UPS project is demonstrating the viability of using MD FCEVs for last-mile deliveries.

The planning aspects for a hydrogen system are complicated and depend on several factors, including permitting, supply chain availability, and hydrogen supply availability. Modeling potential future systems across supply-and-demand sectors and ways that they could scale up over time is a complex undertaking.<sup>68</sup> The transportation sector would contribute to the development of a hydrogen ecosystem if there is strong demand growth for LD and MDHD FCEVs, with some research indicating that demand on a scale of 300–500 tons<sup>69</sup> per day by 2030 may be sufficient to reduce the costs associated with clean hydrogen.<sup>70</sup> However, infrastructure alone will not result in the proliferation of MDHD FCEVs. There are various factors that will have an effect, and, as such, public investments should be done in a pragmatic manner based on robust data.

The following section provides potential scenarios of MDHD infrastructure deployment from 2025 through 2035. A future estimated hydrogen demand scenario for FCEBs is provided in Appendix B. Methods, sources, and assumptions used to produce the following four scenarios are provided in Appendix C of the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report.

---

68 Fulton, L.; Jenn, A.; Yang, C.; Burke, A.; Acharya, T.; Li, X., et al. 2023. [California Hydrogen Analysis Project: The Future Role of Hydrogen in a Carbon-Neutral California: Final Synthesis Modeling Report](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841). UC Davis: Hydrogen Pathways Program. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841>.

69 One ton is equivalent to 917 kg.

70 Fulton, L.; Jenn, A.; Yang, C.; Burke, A.; Acharya, T.; Li, X., et al. 2023. [California Hydrogen Analysis Project: The Future Role of Hydrogen in a Carbon-Neutral California: Final Synthesis Modeling Report](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841). UC Davis: Hydrogen Pathways Program. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841>.

## 2022 Scoping Plan Infrastructure Scenario

In 2006, the Legislature passed Assembly Bill 32 (Núñez, Chapter 488, Statutes of 2006),<sup>71</sup> which created a multiyear program to reduce GHG emissions in California. AB 32 required CARB to develop a Scoping Plan to indicate how California can reduce emissions to 1990 levels by 2020.<sup>72</sup> CARB approved the inaugural Scoping Plan was in 2008 and three subsequent updates, the most recent version being the *2022 Scoping Plan*, released in November 2022.<sup>73</sup>

The *2022 Scoping Plan* explores the role low-carbon hydrogen can have in decarbonizing the transportation, industrial, and other sectors and highlights the importance of growth in the production and distribution of clean hydrogen, to help drive the scale of demand from those and other sectors. The *2022 Scoping Plan* provides scenarios that explore technology and fuel options to reduce dependence on fossil fuels. These scenarios are not forecasts but rather projections of GHG emission reductions that may be achieved through combinations of actions between the present and 2045. The modeling outputs and results are contingent on key assumptions, limitations of data sets, and model capacity to reflect the complexities of California's energy system.

The *2022 Scoping Plan Appendix H: AB 32 GHG Inventory Sector Modeling* provides technical support for the modeling analysis of the AB 32 GHG Inventory sectors. References for assumptions related to the transportation sector modeling are included in Table H-4 of Appendix H. CARB's Emission Factors Model (EMFAC) 2021 was used to develop baseline on-road energy consumption, providing vehicle miles traveled, vehicle stock shares by fuel type, and vehicle populations.<sup>74</sup> Infrastructure scenarios were not part of the assessment, and the CEC staff scenario does not differentiate between depot/private stations and public stations. FCEV fleet owners will decide what will work best for their operations, including cost considerations. For example, a fleet owner could choose to have a "home base" depot station for long-haul trucking that is optimally located within the range where it could refuel at a public refueling station, and possibly another public station if there is a build-out of stations along major trucking corridors.

CEC staff used the medium-duty and heavy-duty hydrogen fuel cell vehicle stock provided in *2022 Scoping Plan: GHG Inventory Sectors Modeling Data Spreadsheet* and the set of assumptions provided in Appendix C of the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report to produce the scenario provided in Table 6 and corresponding estimated hydrogen demand in Table 7. CEC staff is not aware of any MD FCEV vehicles that are commercially available at this time; as such, the likelihood of needing 17 medium-duty stations by 2025 is low. Moreover, there is an expectation that MD FCEVs may be able to refuel at light-duty stations. However, the light-

---

71 Also known as the California Global Warming Solutions Act.

72 CARB. "[About AB 32 Climate Change Scoping Plan](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/ab-32-climate-change-scoping-plan/about)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/ab-32-climate-change-scoping-plan/about>. (Accessed April 29, 2023).

73 CARB. November 2022. [2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp.pdf), <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp.pdf>.

74 CARB. [2022 Scoping Plan: Appendix H – AB 32 GHG Inventory Sector Modeling](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp-appendix-h-ab-32-ghg-inventory-sector-modeling.pdf), <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp-appendix-h-ab-32-ghg-inventory-sector-modeling.pdf>.

duty station footprint may not allow for larger vehicles and the LD FCEV customer experience is also an important consideration.

**Table 6: 2022 Scoping Plan Infrastructure Scenario**

<b>Stations</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
Medium Duty	17	395	1,129
Heavy Duty	30	182	668
<b>Total Stations</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>577</b>	<b>1,797</b>

Source: CEC staff<sup>75</sup>

**Table 7: 2022 Scoping Plan Scenario: Estimated Hydrogen Demand from MDHD FCEVs (kg)**

<b>Vehicle Class</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
Medium Duty	3,173,310	72,136,410	206,017,680
Heavy Duty	19,583,856	119,655,468	438,949,584
<b>Total Annual Demand (kg)</b>	<b>22,757,166</b>	<b>191,791,878</b>	<b>644,967,264</b>

Source: CEC staff

### **ARCHES: California’s Hydrogen Hub Infrastructure Scenario**

As discussed in Chapter 1, and throughout the 2023 SB 643 Final Report, the U.S. DOE recently selected ARCHES to negotiate up to \$1.2 billion for projects that would develop California’s regional hydrogen hub. The ARCHES infrastructure scenario is generally specific to those projects that were submitted in its proposal to the U.S. DOE, which may account for fewer stations in this scenario relative to a full statewide scenario.

Table 8 provides a heavy-duty station scenario developed by the University of California, Davis, an ARCHES partner, in collaboration with CEC staff. Medium-duty stations are not included in this scenario. An assumption of the ARCHES scenario is that most MD FCEVs will be able to refuel at light-duty or heavy-duty stations or both. Another assumption is that the stations will scale-up in size over time; with earlier stations having a capacity of about 3,500–5,000 kg/day, and stations in 2035 having a capacity of around 7,000–8,000 kg/day. A few private/depot stations that may be part of ARCHES are not reflected in Table 8. Additional details about the assumptions used to produce this scenario are included in Appendix C.

---

<sup>75</sup> CEC staff estimate based on MDHD vehicle stocks provided in *2022 Scoping Plan*. Staff assumptions: For MD FCEVs, 10 kg/day; MD station capacity of 1,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity. For HD FCEVs, 30 kg/day, HD station capacity of 3,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity. FCEBs are not included.

**Table 8: ARCHES Federal Hub Infrastructure Scenario**

<b>Stations</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
<b>Heavy Duty Stations (Public)</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>117</b>

Source: UC Davis, ARCHES

**Table 9: ARCHES Federal Hub Scenario Estimated Hydrogen Demand**

	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
<b>Total Annual Demand (kg)</b>	<b>8,940,325</b>	<b>74,502,705</b>	<b>120,859,530</b>

Source: UC Davis, ARCHES

### **Senate Bill 671 MDHD Infrastructure Scenario**

Senate Bill 671 (Gonzales, Chapter 671, Statutes of 2021)<sup>76</sup> required the CTC to prepare a Clean Freight Corridor Efficiency Assessment that identified freight corridors, or segments of corridors, and the infrastructure needed to support the deployment of zero-emission MDHD vehicles by December 2023. The CTC adopted the final assessment, which includes the same scenario presented here, at the December 6–7, 2023, meeting. CTC staff developed the assessment in collaboration with a SB 671 workgroup and several other state agencies, including CARB, the CEC, GO-Biz, Caltrans, CalSTA, and the CPUC. The workgroup was public, and CTC staff reached out to advocates and experts from the freight industry when establishing the workgroup.

CTC staff found that estimates of zero-emission freight infrastructure needs depend on several variables, and there is uncertainty when predicting what will occur over the next 20 years. Hence, three potential scenarios of infrastructure needs were prepared. The method used to develop three possible scenarios of zero-emission infrastructure is described in the Senate Bill 671 Infrastructure Needs Assessment prepared by CTC staff.<sup>77</sup>

---

76 Senate Bill 671 (Gonzalez, Chapter 769, Statutes of 2022). [Clean Freight Corridor Efficiency Assessment](https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202120220SB671), [https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=202120220SB671](https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202120220SB671).


77 CTC. "[Senate Bill 671 Infrastructure Needs Assessment](https://catc.ca.gov/-/media/ctc-media/documents/programs/sb671/092023-infrastructure-needs-assessment-a11y.pdf)." <https://catc.ca.gov/-/media/ctc-media/documents/programs/sb671/092023-infrastructure-needs-assessment-a11y.pdf>.

**Figure 13: CTC Approach for Estimating Energy Requirements and Infrastructure Needs for Priority Corridors**

**Approach for estimating total energy required and infrastructure needs for priority corridors**



AS OF 02/08/2023 DRAFT PRELIMINARY – FOR DISCUSSION



<sup>1</sup> Vehicle types include Classes 4-8. Trip types include urban, regional, long-haul.

Source: CTC staff

The assessment provided a high BEV scenario, a balanced scenario, and a high FCEV scenario. The information provided in Table 10 reflects the SB 671 balanced scenario infrastructure needs for FCEVs from 2025 through 2035, which assumes 19 percent of vehicle Classes 4–8 zero-emission trucks will be FCEVs by 2025. Based on HVIP data as of September 30, 2023, of redeemed vouchers, there were 2,407 BEVs and 49 FCEVs. For upcoming vehicle deployments there are anticipated to be 3,734 BEVs and BEBs and 169 FCEVs and FCEBs. While this is not the full range of zero-emission vehicles that will be deployed in California in the coming years it gives an indication that less than 19 percent may be FCEV in 2025. Future year estimates may need to be updated as California approaches out years. The CTC assumption is based on the likely total cost of ownership parity with internal combustion engines, with cost parity assumptions based on industry insights and a McKinsey Center for Future Mobility study.<sup>78</sup> The scenarios include Classes 4–8 trucks and exclude Classes 2b–3 vehicles because the vehicle class categories used are based on CARB’s class definitions as outlined in EMFAC 2021, which defines Class 4 as the first medium-duty public fleet truck vehicle. In contrast, the 2022 Scoping Plan scenario includes Classes 2b–8 vehicles.

78 McKinsey & Company. January 30, 2023. ["Why the Economics of Electrification Make This Decarbonization Transition Different."](https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/automotive-and-assembly/our-insights/why-the-economics-of-electrification-make-this-decarbonization-transition-different?stcr=F2E91F7E3B364985951002C7AEE3335D&cid=other-eml-alt-mip-mck&hlkid=5029d8f5ce4c43abb7c63ff53e942ad0&hctky=1234124&hdpid=4eb1e872-7192-48d7-b6cb-0642d205d4c5) https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/automotive-and-assembly/our-insights/why-the-economics-of-electrification-make-this-decarbonization-transition-different?stcr=F2E91F7E3B364985951002C7AEE3335D&cid=other-eml-alt-mip-mck&hlkid=5029d8f5ce4c43abb7c63ff53e942ad0&hctky=1234124&hdpid=4eb1e872-7192-48d7-b6cb-0642d205d4c5.



**Table 10: SB 671 Balanced Scenario MDHD FCEV Infrastructure**

<b>Stations</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
MDHD Private	7	150	539
MDHD Public	21	451	1,618
<b>Total Stations</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>2,157</b>

Source: CTC staff

CTC staff provided the estimated hydrogen fuel demand shown in Table 11 under the balanced scenario.

**Table 11: SB 671 Balanced Scenario Estimated Annual Hydrogen Demand (kg)**

<b>Vehicle Class</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
Medium-Duty	199,473	4,137,056	18,881,023
Heavy-Duty	7,943,377	171,585,233	611,006,435
<b>Total Annual Demand (kg)</b>	<b>8,142,850</b>	<b>175,722,289</b>	<b>629,887,458</b>

Source: CTC staff

### **Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification 3 MDHD FCEV Energy Demand Scenario**

The CEC prepares an Integrated Energy Policy Report (IEPR) every two years that provides updates on a variety of energy issues facing California. As part of the IEPR process, the CEC develops and adopts forecasts of end-use electricity demand. In the *2022 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update (2022 IEPR Update)*, the transportation energy demand forecast was modified in consideration of the world-leading state goals for ZEVs and strong supporting regulatory and programmatic initiatives. The Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification (AATE) framework begins with a baseline forecast that uses economic and demographic inputs, coupled with vehicle choice and vehicle travel models, which determine total vehicle stock and transportation energy demand for the LD and MDHD sectors. The *2022 IEPR Update* includes an AATE Scenario 3 (AATE3), which considers an increased amount of electric vehicle adoption and associated electricity demand, which guides resource procurement and grid planning.

The *2022 IEPR Update*, AATE3 shows a low uptake in MDHD hydrogen vehicles due to a range of modeling inputs, including a relatively high hydrogen fuel price received from NREL, which made hydrogen trucks less viable in the truck choice model.<sup>79</sup> As more data for MDHD hydrogen vehicles become available, the inputs for the MDHD models will be refined.

---

<sup>79</sup> The *2022 IEPR Update* AATE3 hydrogen price input was \$17.48 beginning in 2023 with a gradual decrease to \$9.82 by 2041.

The AATE3 Scenario was produced by CEC staff using assumptions and inputs provided in Appendix C of the 2023 Final Staff Report on SB 643. As with the 2022 Scoping Plan Scenario, this scenario does not differentiate between depot/private stations and public stations. FCEV fleet owners will decide what will work best for their operations which will include cost, location, fleet size, and other considerations.

**Table 12: AATE3 MDHD FCEV Infrastructure Scenario**

<b>Station Requirements</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
Medium-Duty FCEV	0	1	10
Heavy-Duty FCEV	0	0	1
<b>Total Stations</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>

Source: CEC staff<sup>80</sup>

**Table 13: AATE3 Scenario Estimated Annual Hydrogen Demand (kg)**

<b>Vehicle Class</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
Medium-Duty	0	43,483	685,862
Heavy-Duty	0	0	6,215
<b>Total Annual Demand (kg)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>43,483</b>	<b>692,077</b>

Source: AATE3 scenario prepared by CEC staff


### **Estimating a Range for Future MDHD FCEV Hydrogen Demand**

The variance among the four scenarios indicates a level of uncertainty at this early stage of the transition to MDHD ZEVs in California, as displayed in Figure 14 below, which compares the number of stations for each scenario.

---

80 CEC staff estimate based on MDHD vehicle stocks provided in AATE3. Staff assumptions: For MD FCEVs, 10 kg/day, 365 days; MD station capacity of 1,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity. For HD FCEVs, 30 kg/day, 365 days, HD station capacity of 3,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity. FCEBs are not included.

**Figure 14: Comparing Number of Stations for Presented Scenarios**



Source: CEC staff

Estimating MDHD FCEV station requirements and hydrogen demand in future iterations of this assessment will have a higher level of certainty:

- More fleet owners will have decided on the best ZEV technology fit for their operations, which will depend on several factors, including the commercial availability of MDHD ZEVs.
- Future assessments under SB 643 will include scenarios prepared in collaboration with Lawrence Berkeley National Lab using its Medium- and Heavy-Duty Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Load, Operations, and Deployment (HEVI-LOAD) model. HEVI-LOAD will assess the hydrogen refueling infrastructure needs of on-road MDHD FCEVs, mapping different percentages of vehicle stock breakdowns onto the AATE3 BEV populations to assess adoption scenarios. This information creates a foundation on which the model will build vehicle simulation functionality to determine the optimal refueling infrastructure needed to support them, including identifying ideal locations and associated energy demand quantification based on the vehicle simulation.

### **Challenges in Deployment of MDHD FCEV Refueling Infrastructure**

An overarching challenge in MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure deployment is that commercially available vehicles and public refueling infrastructure are necessary to the other, and investments need to be made in both. The CEC and other state agencies are already making significant investments in hydrogen station infrastructure. State, federal, and private investments toward researching, developing, and testing MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure

have generally concluded that common challenges in building the refueling stations include land acquisition, site readiness, permitting processes, and high capital and operational costs, even with government incentives. To date, these challenges, along with others, have affected the development of MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure. Additional challenges associated with MDHD FCEVs include lack of consumer choice in commercially available models, high purchase price or lease cost, and high price of hydrogen at the pump. Further, most hydrogen produced today is through the use of fossil fuels.

The CEC is committed to addressing the barriers within its control and has invested \$22 million toward building more in-state hydrogen production plants to help address several of the issues listed above and detailed below. Potential future investments in production through ERDD’s Clean Hydrogen Program include \$40 million toward large-scale centralized hydrogen production and \$30 million toward onsite hydrogen production and use. These are in addition to significant nation-leading investments by the CEC in hydrogen refueling infrastructure to serve light-duty hydrogen fuel cell passenger vehicles and MDHD hydrogen trucks and buses.

Further, to better understand consumer experience at refueling stations, the CEC is contracting with University of California, Davis (UC Davis), to conduct an LD FCEV customer survey, as well as interviews with MDHD FCEV fleets, fuel suppliers, and equipment providers. Furthermore, to help make existing LD FCEV stations more reliable, the CEC released a solicitation, GFO-23-604, on November 3, 2023, providing nearly \$11 million to support operations and maintenance of existing LD FCEV stations. The CEC is requiring a commitment to achieving 95 percent uptime as a condition for receiving future infrastructure grants.

### Investment Costs of Hydrogen Refueling Stations

There are many variables that determine the capital cost for constructing and operating MDHD stations. Figure 12, Hydrogen Refueling Station Investment Scenarios, and Figure 13, Hydrogen Refueling Station Investment Cost by Scenario, provide eight scenarios that offer a sense of the scale and costs for hydrogen stations that could be built by 2030 and serve the transportation sector in California.<sup>81</sup>

**Figure 15: Hydrogen Refueling Station Investment Scenarios**


	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
System size (tonnes/day)	500	500	1000	1000	500	500	1000	1000
Liquid or gaseous dominated	G	L	G	L	G	L	G	L
Nearby or distant production	N	N	N	N	DP	DPT	DP	DPT
Number of stations	300	200	600	300	300	200	600	300
Average station size (tonnes/day)	1.67	2.50	1.67	3.33	1.67	2.50	1.67	3.33
Average distance (km)	50	50	50	50	1000	500	1500	500
Electricity price	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.03	\$0.03	\$0.03	\$0.03
Electrolyzer cap factor	67%	67%	67%	67%	33%	33%	33%	33%

Source: UC Davis Institute of Transportation<sup>82</sup>

81 Fulton, L.; Jenn, A.; Yang, C.; Burke, A.; Acharya, T.; Li, X., et al. 2023. [California Hydrogen Analysis Project: The Future Role of Hydrogen in a Carbon-Neutral California: Final Synthesis Modeling Report](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841). UC Davis: Hydrogen Pathways Program. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841>.

82 Ibid.

**Figure 16: Hydrogen Refueling Station Investment Cost by Scenario**



Source: UC Davis Institute of Transportation<sup>83</sup>

Variables including the number of stations in the state network, station size, fuel delivery method, type of hydrogen (liquid vs. gaseous), cost of electricity, and others, as listed in the vertical column in the table at the top of Figure 12. Scenario 1 is the lowest investment with the capital cost of the station providing most of the investment, with nearby production and gaseous hydrogen delivered. Scenarios 2, 4, 6 and 8 show the high cost of storage when the station receives liquid hydrogen, as the stations must have storage and equipment to compress the hydrogen to gas for use by the MDHD FCEVs. In the future, if OEMs make MDHD FCEVs commercially available that use liquid hydrogen, these investment scenarios would include a lower storage cost.

### Lead Time for Vehicles and Stations

Table 14, Light-Duty Station Development Phases, from the CEC's *Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2022 Annual Assessment of Time and Cost Needed to Attain 100 Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California*, provides insight into lead times for the development of refueling stations.<sup>84</sup>

83 Ibid.

84 Berner, Jane, Miki Crowell, and Andrew Martinez. 2022. [Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2022 Annual Assessment of Time and Cost Needed to Attain 100 Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/CEC-600-2022-064.pdf). California Energy Commission and California Air Resources Board. Publication Number: CEC-600-2022-064, <https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/CEC-600-2022-064.pdf>.

**Table 14: Light-Duty Station Development Phases**

Phases	Description	Responsible Entity(ies)
<b>Phase One:</b> From start of CEC grant-funded project to initial permit application filing	Begins when the grant-funded project agreement is executed and includes site selection and site control, station planning, participation in pre-permitting meetings for confirmation of station design consistency with local zoning and building codes and filing the initial permit application with the authority having jurisdiction (AHJ). Equipment ordering could occur during this phase.	Grant recipient and AHJ
<b>Phase Two:</b> From initial permit application filing to receipt of approval to build	Consists of AHJ review of the application and potential site reengineering/redesign based on AHJ feedback. Minor construction work sometimes begins.	Grant recipient and AHJ
<b>Phase Three:</b> From approval to build to station becoming operational	Includes station construction and meeting operational requirements: fuel supply, hydrogen quality testing, dispensing per standard, successful refueling of one FCEV, and receipt of an occupancy permit from the AHJ.	Grant recipient and AHJ
<b>Phase Four:</b> From station becoming operational to becoming open retail	The station undergoes accuracy testing with the California Department of Food and Agriculture/Division of Measurement Standards (DMS) and protocol testing with auto manufacturers and the Hydrogen Station Equipment Performance (HyStEP) device. Once the station has been confirmed to meet the refueling protocol, the station is categorized as open retail.	Grant recipient, DMS, CARB (HyStEP), and auto manufacturers

Source: CEC

## Permitting

Hydrogen refueling stations that serve passenger and commercial vehicles require the approval of local building, planning, and fire officials. Many of California’s permitting entities may not have seen a drawing set of hydrogen refueling infrastructure yet. The project location, size, and other factors determine the specific permits and approvals needed. These approvals typically involve factors such as local governments’ land-use and zoning restrictions, state and local ordinances such as setback requirements, and possible grid interconnection agreements if the clean hydrogen is generated at the refueling infrastructure site. Ensuring consistent interpretation of fire and safety codes among authorities having jurisdiction (AHJ) would help alleviate uncertainty with permitting processes.

While state and federal agencies are providing education on hydrogen refueling stations, there continues to be a low level of public awareness of factors including potential risks, most of which can be addressed. Senate Bill (SB) 1291 (Archuleta, Chapter 373, Statutes of 2021) streamlines the permitting and approval process by requiring local government agencies to review applications administratively for the installation of hydrogen fueling stations and allows for denials based only on health and safety impacts. The legislative intent of SB 1291 was, in part, to encourage the installation of hydrogen fueling stations by removing the obstacles to and minimizing the costs of permitting the stations.

## Fuel Supply

Most of the hydrogen dispensed in California’s LD FCEV refueling network, and by transit agencies that are not using onsite clean hydrogen production, is produced using fossil fuel-powered SMR. An entity purchases and retires biogas credits to receive credits through CARB’s LCFS program.

While hydrogen fuel production relies predominantly on SMR of fossil natural gas or book-and-claim natural gas credits, in recent years through public-private partnerships and private investments, clean, renewable production projects are moving forward. With the U.S. DOE’s hydrogen hub award, the supply-and-demand landscape in California for hydrogen is expected to change over the next eight years and beyond, away from fossil fuel sourced hydrogen production. This will depend on factors such as private investments and project completion rates. Additional discussion of hydrogen fuel supply is included in Chapter 5: Hydrogen Supply — Production, Storage, and Distribution, of the 2023 SB 643 Final Report.

## Fueling Standards for MDHD FCEVs

Light-duty hydrogen fueling stations in California typically follow<sup>85</sup> the Society of Automobile Engineers’ (SAE) J2601 fueling protocol, which provides the allowable ramp rates of pressure during hydrogen fueling. Ideally, MDHD FCEVs would have faster fueling to account for larger tank sizes. The U.S. DOE provides 700 bar<sup>86</sup> fueling standards and specifications and a fueling rate of 8 kg per minute by 2030 as its current goal, with an ultimate goal of 10 kg per minute. Past station developers have used their own protocols; however, an updated fueling protocol for gaseous hydrogen-powered HD vehicles is needed to provide guidance for performance-oriented dispenser standards for safe refueling of hydrogen-powered transit buses and MDHD vehicles. SAE J2601-2, the current fueling protocol for MDHD FCEVs, allows refueling at 7.2 kg per minute, but that is not a standard; it is a maximum advised fueling rate.

In 2014, SAE International released a short guidance document for MDHD fueling, the *SAE J2601-2 Fueling Protocol for Gaseous Hydrogen Powered Heavy Vehicles*,<sup>87</sup> which provides an overview of operational limits for dispensing pressures of 350 bar but is not a comprehensive fueling protocol. The guidance document allowed for faster refueling, but some of the equipment, including a higher-flow nozzle, was not available at that time. The SAE J2601 fueling protocol has a Category D, which allows for dispensing pressures of 700 bar<sup>88</sup> fills above 10 kg. Developers of planned MDHD stations have indicated that they intend to deploy high-flow dispensers that use the SAE J2601-5 protocol, which is under development and will

---

85 This is voluntary unless, for example, it is a grant requirement. For stations that have received funding from the CEC, it is a grant requirement.

86 Dispensed hydrogen gas is compressed by the compression subsystem to either 350 bar (35 MPa) or 700 bar (70 MPa). Hydrogen station dispensing pressure was increased to 700 bar from 350 bar for LD FCEVs around 2009.

87 SAE International. [Fueling Protocol for Gaseous Powered Heavy-Duty Vehicles SAE J2601](https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j2601/2_201409/#:~:text=SAE%20J2601%2D2%20is%20a,powered%20vehicle%20fleet(s).). [https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j2601/2\\_201409/#:~:text=SAE%20J2601%2D2%20is%20a,powered%20vehicle%20fleet\(s\)](https://www.sae.org/standards/content/j2601/2_201409/#:~:text=SAE%20J2601%2D2%20is%20a,powered%20vehicle%20fleet(s).). (Retrieved March 2023.)

88 One megapascal (MPa) is equal to 10 bar. Conversely, 1 bar is equal to 0.1 MPa.



address high-flow 700 bar fills for MDHD FCEVs. A subgroup for J2601-5, within SAE's Fuel Cell Interface Task Force, is working on developing these standards.

The California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA) Division of Measurement Standards (DMS) is responsible for enforcement of the state's weights and measures laws and regulations and must certify any device used for metering the sale of commercial items within California. CDFA-DMS regulations ensure the accurate metering of hydrogen mass dispensed in each sale. In April 2021, CDFA-DMS announced a regulation for many device types used for commercial purposes, including low- and zero-emission motor vehicle-fueling devices. This regulation established the first inspection frequencies for the oversight of hydrogen fueling devices.

With funding provided by the U.S. DOE Fuel Cell Technology Office under the Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure Research and Station Technology (H2FIRST) program, Sandia National Laboratories and NREL contracted with Powertech Labs to develop and build the Hydrogen Station Equipment Performance (HyStEP) device.<sup>89</sup> The HyStEP device is a mobile, self-contained hydrogen refueling station testing unit that uses CSA Group's Hydrogen Gaseous Vehicle 4.3 test methods for hydrogen fueling parameter evaluation to determine compliance with *SAE J2601-1 Fueling Protocols for Light Duty Gaseous Hydrogen Surface Vehicles*. The device confirms that stations meet industry performance and safety standards before a station opens for public refueling. On October 18, 2023, CARB, in consultation with the CEC and NREL, released a \$1.05 million request for proposal (RFP) to design, engineer, build, test, and validate the next generation of the device, HyStEP 2.0, which will have a larger tank capacity with the ability to test MDHD hydrogen refueling stations.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is an independent, nongovernmental organization that develops and publishes international standards, including for hydrogen refueling and dispensing. ISO has acknowledged that cross-collaboration and coordination among different countries that may have standards in place that are working well could be improved. ISO includes standards for gaseous hydrogen refueling stations, including *ISO 19880-1:2020*, which is targeted for the fueling of LD FCEVs, but also provide guidance for fueling MDHD FCEVs.<sup>90</sup> New fueling protocols are being developed for integration into the *ISO 19885-3 Standard*. Other countries, including Japan and the European Union, have developed standards for HD fueling, but in the United States, industry lacks the fueling protocol standards for developing MDHD refueling stations.

An example of California's interim solution while MDHD fueling protocols are developed is the EnergIIZE hydrogen funding implementation manual, which requires applicants to conform to the most recent version of one or more of the following fueling protocols or an equivalently accepted industry standard.

---

89 Hydrogen Tools. "[HYSTEP Device](https://h2tools.org/hystep-hydrogen-station-equipment-performance-device)," <https://h2tools.org/hystep-hydrogen-station-equipment-performance-device>.

90 International Organization for Standardization (ISO). [ISO 19880-1:2020 Gaseous Hydrogen – Fueling Stations – Part 1: General Requirement](https://www.iso.org/standard/71940.html), <https://www.iso.org/standard/71940.html> (Accessed May 26, 2023).



**Table 15: Eligible Hydrogen Fueling Protocols**

<b>Fueling Protocol</b>	<b>Description</b>
SAE J2601-1 Category D	>10 kilogram tank sizes
SAE J2601-2	HD fueling
SAE J2601-4	Ambient temperature fueling
SAE J2601-5	MC Formula-based <sup>91</sup>
JPEC-S 0003	Japanese bus fueling protocol
SAE J2600	Defines geometries of receptacles for different pressure levels

Source: 2022 EnergIIZE Implementation Manual

### **Equipment and Supply Chain Considerations**

National codes and standards specify requirements for equipment and installation at hydrogen fueling stations to ensure that hydrogen is produced, stored, and dispensed safely. The CEC, GO-Biz, and the SCAQMD participate in the cooperative research and development agreement projects with H2@Scale national laboratories consortium for the advancement of hydrogen fueling infrastructure for HD vehicles. This research partnership will provide design considerations and risk analysis for HD hydrogen refueling stations, design concepts for a heavy-duty fueling performance test device, and design a model to evaluate the dispensing capacity of HD hydrogen refueling stations.

NREL’s Innovating Hydrogen Stations project is a research and industry partnership for an experimentally validated, high-flow rate fueling model and near-term hydrogen station innovations. This DOE-funded multiyear project is a first-of-a-kind experimental research capability of fueling 60+ kg of hydrogen at a rate of 10 kg per minute, the DOE’s ultimate fill rate target by 2030 for Class 8 long-haul trucks.

There are few examples of MDHD refueling stations in the United States; however, based on the CEC’s experience with LD refueling stations, equipment failure can result in stations being offline for extended periods due to a shortage of available equipment and supply chain issues.

---

91 Under development but not yet published.

# **CHAPTER 3:**

## **Fuel Cell Electric Buses and Refueling Infrastructure**

---

This chapter discusses FCEBs and refueling infrastructure used by California’s transit agencies, a future demand scenario for hydrogen fuel, use cases of transit agencies that are operating FCEBs, and opportunities and challenges in this sector. Policy drivers, regulations, and incentive programs have contributed to the success of the commercial availability of FCEBs in California and the fuel production and refueling infrastructure required to support them. FCEBs are a proven heavy-duty transportation application for fuel cell technology.

California transit agencies have used different operational models in deploying FCEBs. Alameda County Transit’s (AC Transit) cryogenic pumps enable large-scale back-to-back fueling. Orange County Transit Authority and Foothill Transit have adopted liquid hydrogen truck deliveries, and SunLine Transit Agency has the largest on-site electrolytic hydrogen production system in California. These models could provide other transit agencies — including those outside California — options and an understanding about how they could deploy their FCEBs based on their respective unique situations.

The other available option for zero-emission buses (ZEBs) is a battery-electric bus (BEB). Both technologies are being used by transit agencies. ZEBs are acting as a technology foothold in the heavy-duty zero-emission vehicle sectors. As more transit agencies deploy ZEBs or scale up their deployment, the technologies will further improve, and some aspects are transferrable to MDHD ZEVs. Drayage, yard, and delivery trucks have similar weight considerations, durability requirements, drivetrains, and components. The knowledge and experience gained from installing infrastructure, developing training programs, and operating ZEB technologies are enabling market expansion into other HD vehicle applications.

### **Innovative Clean Transit Regulation**

CARB’s Innovative Clean Transit (ICT) regulation was adopted in December 2018 to replace the Fleet Rule for Transit Agencies, and it is the first regulation in the United States to require a vocational HD vehicle application to achieve 100% emission reductions from in-use fleets. The ICT regulation was an important first step in transitioning California’s heavy-duty vehicles to zero emission, bringing awareness to zero-emission technologies and reducing NO<sub>x</sub> and GHG emissions statewide — particularly in disadvantaged and low-income communities, which are often in densely populated areas served by transit. About 13,000 transit buses in California are subject to the ICT regulation to achieve the emission reduction goal.

The ICT regulation requires a percentage of new bus purchases to be ZEBs, and this percentage increases gradually with time, as demonstrated in Table 16.

**Table 16: ICT Regulation — Annual ZEB Purchase Requirements**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Large Transit Agency</b>	<b>Small Transit Agency</b>
2023–2025 (standard 40-ft buses only)	25%	n/a
2026–2028 (all types, if passed federal testing)	50%	25%
January 1, 2029, and thereafter	100%	100%

Source: NREL

Large transit agencies are defined as those that are either:

- Operating more than 65 buses in annual maximum service in either the South Coast Air Basin or in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.
- Operating in an urbanized area with a population of at least 200,000 with at least 100 buses in annual maximum service.

Although the ZEB purchase requirement did not go into effect until 2023, transit agencies were early to respond. To date, more than 50 transit agencies have deployed ZEBs, and several transit agencies have their purchase orders in place. As of December 31, 2022, there were 636 ZEBs (540 BEBs and 96 FCEBs) in service and 458 ZEBs (405 BEBs and 53 FCEBs) on order. Early action provided early benefits toward community health and served as a pilot to provide experience for other transit agencies. This early action was due in part to availability, technology readiness, statewide policy support, and community support. With the inclusion of 2023 CalSTA Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program (TIRCP) and Federal Transit Authority funding awards, the total number of ZEBs in service – in service, on order and funded – exceeds the regulatory expectation for 2027 of 1,347 ZEBs.

The ICT regulation requires transit agencies to submit a complete Zero-Emission Bus Rollout Plan to CARB that provides detailed information on how the agency will incorporate ZEBs into their fleets without having to retire any of the conventional buses with internal combustion engines early. The rollout plans provide the planning map to ensure that the ZEB procurement, delivery, infrastructure build out, workforce training, and fuel cost management are synchronized. The rollout plans that are considered complete by CARB are posted online to provide awareness to communities and other interested parties and can also be used as models for other transit agencies to follow.<sup>92</sup> The rollout plans indicate that transit agencies

---

<sup>92</sup> CARB. Updated November 14, 2023. "[ICT — Rollout Plans](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/innovative-clean-transit/ict-rollout-plans)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/innovative-clean-transit/ict-rollout-plans>.

select ZEB technologies based on cost, range, and available bus models at the time of purchase. They are receptive to both ZEB technologies, and most have plans to adopt a mix.<sup>93</sup>

Rollout plans are blueprints but are also living documents and adjusted by transit agencies when necessary. When looking broadly at the ZEB deployment and the rollout plans together, it is evident that transit agencies may deviate from their original rollout plans and modify their technology paths. Such adjustments may be based on several factors, including lessons learned from early actions, continued technology improvement, and creative ideas that have been piloted or implemented.

AC Transit, which is based in Oakland and has the longest history of deploying fuel cell electric technology with publicly available data, recently updated its rollout plan. After a side-by-side study of different drivetrain technologies, AC Transit shifted its future bus fleet composition from 70% BEB and 30% FCEB, to 70% FCEB and 30% BEB.<sup>94</sup> Other examples of transit agencies that originally identified battery electric as their preferred original technology path in their rollout plans include Foothill Transit, Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, and San Mateo Transit (SamTrans). These transit agencies are now considering deploying a mixed fleet of BEBs and FCEBs.

- Foothill Transit's order of 33 FCEBs was delivered in 2022 and brought into service in 2023, with an additional 19 to be ordered in 2023-2024.<sup>95</sup>
- Santa Cruz Metro's 57 FCEBs will be in service in 2024.<sup>96</sup>
- SamTrans approved the purchase of up to 108 FCEBs in December 2023.<sup>97</sup>

Santa Cruz and SamTrans orders are the largest FCEB orders ever placed in the United States. Additional takeaways from ICT regulation implementation include that transit agencies' early actions are not fully captured in the rollout plans. Transit agencies need to prepare their infrastructure and establish their fuel supply ahead of ZEB deployment and build in redundancy for operations. These factors impact the decision on the technology path, as well.

When the ICT regulation was adopted in 2018, only AC Transit and SunLine Transit had deployed FCEBs. To date, 43 transit agencies, which together operate about 5,600 buses – have identified in their rollout plans that they plan to deploy FCEBs, as depicted in Appendix B, Fuel Cell Electric Bus Hydrogen Demand Scenario. These 43 transit agencies are a mix of

---

93 NREL. [Comprehensive Review of California's Innovative Clean Transit Regulation: Phase I Summary Report](https://www2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/ICT-ComprehensiveReview-Phase1_0.pdf), [https://www2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/ICT-ComprehensiveReview-Phase1\\_0.pdf](https://www2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/ICT-ComprehensiveReview-Phase1_0.pdf).

94 AC Transit. [Zero Emission Transit Bus Technology Analysis. Volume 4](https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2023-01/0430-22%20Report-ZEBTA%20v4_FNL_012423.pdf), [https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2023-01/0430-22%20Report-ZEBTA%20v4\\_FNL\\_012423.pdf](https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2023-01/0430-22%20Report-ZEBTA%20v4_FNL_012423.pdf).

95 August 22, 2023. Foothill Transit. "[Greening Big](https://www.foothilltransit.org/greeningbig)." <https://www.foothilltransit.org/greeningbig>.

96 Santa Cruz Works. September 22, 2023. "[Santa Cruz Metro Purchases 57 Hydrogen Powered Electric Buses](https://www.santacruzworks.org/news/santa-cruz-metro-purchases-57-hydrogenbuses)." <https://www.santacruzworks.org/news/santa-cruz-metro-purchases-57-hydrogenbuses>.


97 SamTrans. December 6, 2023. "[SamTrans set to make largest zero-emission bus purchase in the agency's history](https://www.samtrans.com/news/samtrans-set-make-largest-zero-emission-bus-purchase-agencys-history#:~:text=The%20San%20Mateo%20County%20Transit,away%20from%20diesel%20by%202034)." <https://www.samtrans.com/news/samtrans-set-make-largest-zero-emission-bus-purchase-agencys-history#:~:text=The%20San%20Mateo%20County%20Transit,away%20from%20diesel%20by%202034>.

large, small, urban, and rural agencies. Eleven of these transit agencies already have FCEBs deployed or on order and another 32 transit agencies are indicating plans to deploy FCEBs in the future.

Many small and rural transit agencies have expressed to CARB staff a willingness to provide public access to hydrogen fueling to serve local communities and increase station throughput. The interest in deploying FCEBs is across various regions, and includes:

- The North State area (Butte Regional Transit, Glenn County Regional Transit Committee, Humboldt Transit Authority, Lake Transit Authority, Redding Area Bus Authority, Shasta Regional Transportation Agency, Siskiyou Transit & General Express, Tehama County Transit Agency)
- The Bay Area (AC Transit, Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority, Livermore/Amador Valley Transit Authority, Monterey-Salinas Transit District, SamTrans, Western Contra Costa Transit Authority, San Benito County Local Transportation Authority)
- Central and South Coastal area (City of Torrance, Gold Coast Transit District, Long Beach Transit, North County Transit District, Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District, Ventura County Transportation Commission)
- The Greater Los Angeles-San Bernadino-Riverside area (Palo Verde Valley Transit Agency, City of Beaumont, Sunline Transit Agency, City of Corona, City of Culver City, City of Pasadena, City of Riverside, City of Santa Clarita, Foothill Transit, Montebello Bus Lines, Omnitrans, Orange County Transportation Authority, Riverside Transit Agency, Victor Valley Transit Authority)
- The US-Mexico border area (San Diego Metropolitan Transit System, Imperial County Transportation Commission)

**Figure 17: Zero-Emission Bus Deployment in California**



Source: CARB, 2022 Innovative Clean Transit reporting data, federal discretionary funding programs, various state incentive programs, and transit agency rollout plans. Map last updated 10/20/22.

AC Transit, Foothill Transit, Golden Empire Transit, SunLine Transit, and Orange County Transit, together, have more than 100 FCEBs in operation. FCEBs typically carry up to 40 kg of hydrogen and consume about 25–30 kg of hydrogen per day. A station that needs to fuel five buses will require a capacity of about 150 kg per day. Refueling a full tank of hydrogen for an FCEB takes about 10 minutes.

Appendix B includes transit agency data from those that have reported that they have or plan to incorporate FCEBs into their fleets.

Table 17 provides the HVIP-eligible FCEBs as of December 2023, which is analogous to Table 3 in Chapter 2 of the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report, which provides the HVIP-eligible MDHD FCEVs.

**Table 17: HVIP-Eligible FCEBs**

<b>Make</b>	<b>Model</b>	<b>Class</b>	<b>Tank Capacity (kg)</b>	<b>Tank-Rated Pressure (Bar)</b>	<b>Typical Range (Miles)</b>
New Flyer	Xcelsior Charge FC™ 40'	Class 8	37.5	350	370+

<b>Make</b>	<b>Model</b>	<b>Class</b>	<b>Tank Capacity (kg)</b>	<b>Tank-Rated Pressure (Bar)</b>	<b>Typical Range (Miles)</b>
New Flyer	Xcelsior Charge FC™ 60'	Class 8	56	350	370+

Source: CARB HVIP<sup>98</sup>

## **CEC Investments in Transit Fleet Hydrogen Infrastructure**

CEC investments in transit fleet hydrogen infrastructure for FCEBs include GFO-20-602, “Zero-Emission Transit Fleet Infrastructure Deployment.” Through the solicitation, the CEC approved \$36 million in funding for two hydrogen refueling infrastructure projects to support the large-scale conversion of transit bus fleets to FCEBs:

- North County Transit District was awarded \$6 million for refueling infrastructure to support a future deployment of 50 FCEBs.
- SunLine Transit was awarded nearly \$5 million to establish new hydrogen refueling infrastructure at the existing bus refueling and charging transit stations.
- AC Transit was awarded more than \$4.5 million to upgrade an existing FCEB refueling station.

Refueling infrastructure for transit is eligible for funding from the CEC’s EnergIIZE program.

### **AC Transit – Early Adopter of FCEBs**

AC Transit is one of the largest transit operators in California, with a fleet of more than 630 buses. Since 1999, AC Transit has advocated for fuel cell technology, organizing a public-private partnership to develop zero-emission solutions for HD transportation applications. AC Transit’s fuel cell bus program began in 2000 and included three buses that used fuel cell powertrains,<sup>99</sup> two hydrogen stations, and installation of an early generation electrolyzer, which operated from 2002 to 2008. AC Transit organized the Zero Emission Bay Area (ZEBA) bus project in 2008 as a partnership with four transit partners in the region, including Golden Gate Transit, San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans), Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, and San Francisco Muni.<sup>100</sup> The ZEBA demonstration included 12 FCEBs, which began revenue service in May 2010, and two hydrogen fueling stations. The hydrogen fueling stations, both designed and built by Linde, LLC at two locations adjacent to AC Transit’s bus

---

98 CARB. “[California HVIP Catalogue](https://californiahvip.org/vehicles/?t_type=379),” [https://californiahvip.org/vehicles/?t\\_type=379](https://californiahvip.org/vehicles/?t_type=379) (Accessed December 11, 2023).

99 Before 2010, a fuel cell powertrain was dominant in AC Transit’s demonstrations of fuel cell buses, AC Transit used a different technology than what is currently used, which is fuel cell electric.

100 Andrichak, Chris. (Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District). 2021 [Oakland Hydrogen Refueling Station](#). California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-600-2021-041, <https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2021/oakland-hydrogen-refueling-station>.

routes, provided hydrogen through a combination of delivered liquid hydrogen and hydrogen produced through electrolysis.<sup>101</sup>

With the \$4.5 million awarded through GFO-20-602, AC Transit is upgrading the existing hydrogen refueling station at the Oakland Seminary Avenue site in East Oakland. The existing infrastructure serves about 13 FCEBs, but funding for this project will enable operation of a larger fleet of up to 60 FCEBs that will be placed in service by 2024. AC Transit is upgrading the station to enable increased storage, more frequent deliveries of fuel, and additional dispensers. The new station will allow fueling of two buses at any time and will be capable of back-to-back fueling of the entire fleet in six to eight hours. The FCEBs serviced at the station serve 55 percent of the disadvantaged communities in the Oakland area. AC Transit's bus lines also provide connections to major job centers in San Francisco and Oakland. As detailed in its June 2022 *AC Transit Zero Emission Bus Transition Plan*, AC Transit will have fully transitioned to a ZEB fleet of 178 BEBs and 458 FCEBs by 2035.<sup>102</sup>

## SunLine Transit

SunLine Transit provides public transit services to Southern California's Coachella Valley. The community served is low-income and disadvantaged.<sup>103</sup> SunLine Transit's first hydrogen refueling station opened in 2006, using a hydrogen source of natural gas autothermal reforming. SunLine Transit completed a new station in late 2019 with the capability to produce 900 kg/day of clean hydrogen on-site through electrolysis, and the station can fuel about 32 FCEBs.<sup>104</sup> This station replaced SunLine Transit's older natural gas reformer that was not capable of producing enough hydrogen for the agency's growing FCEB fleet.

Through GFO-20-602, SunLine Transit was awarded nearly \$5 million to establish new hydrogen refueling infrastructure at the existing bus refueling and charging transit station.<sup>105</sup> The project will support the conversion of 17 compressed natural gas (CNG) buses to FCEBs, resulting in an estimated reduction of 512,000 kg of CO<sub>2</sub> annually. SunLine anticipates these net GHG reductions to increase up until 2033, when the station is expected to reach capacity, fueling 45 FCEBs each year.<sup>106</sup>

---

101 Eudy, Leslie, Matthew Post, and Matthew Jeffers (NREL). September 2017. TP-5400-68413. [Zero Emission Bay Area \(ZEBA\) Fuel Cell Bus Demonstration Results: Sixth Report](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/68413.pdf), <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/68413.pdf>.

102 AC Transit. June 2022. [AC Transit Zero Emission Bus Transition Plan](https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2022-06/0162-22%20ZEB%20Transition%20Plan_052022_FNL.pdf), [https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2022-06/0162-22%20ZEB%20Transition%20Plan\\_052022\\_FNL.pdf](https://www.actransit.org/sites/default/files/2022-06/0162-22%20ZEB%20Transition%20Plan_052022_FNL.pdf)

103 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. ["EJ and Supplemental Indexes in EJScreen | US EPA,"](https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/ej-and-supplemental-indexes-ejscreen) <https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/ej-and-supplemental-indexes-ejscreen>.

104 Collins, Elizabeth and Matthew Post (NREL). July 2022. [Sunline Transit Agency Fuel Cell Electric Bus Progress Report Data Period Focus: January 2020 Through December 2021](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/83559.pdf), <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/83559.pdf>.

105 SunLine Transit Agency. October 7, 2021. ["SunLine Celebrates National Hydrogen Day Tomorrow With Five New Hydrogen Fuel Cell Buses and Advancing Hydrogen Projects,"](https://www.sunline.org/news/sunline-celebrates-national-hydrogen-day-tomorrow-with-five-new-hydrogen-fuel-cell-buses-and) <https://www.sunline.org/news/sunline-celebrates-national-hydrogen-day-tomorrow-with-five-new-hydrogen-fuel-cell-buses-and>.

106 Comiter, Michael. 2021. [Localized Health Impacts Report Under Solicitation GFO-20-602 Zero Emission Transit Fleet Infrastructure Deployment](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-04/CEC-600-2021-004.pdf). California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-600-2021-004, <https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-04/CEC-600-2021-004.pdf>.



## **Challenges and Opportunities for Transit Agencies**

Capital costs for ZEBs are still higher than those of conventional combustion engine buses. However, federal and state incentive programs such as awards from FTA, incentives through the HVIP program, and low-carbon fuel credits from the LCFS program can help offset those costs. In addition, the fuel cost is a barrier, and FCEBs require financing that may be outside many of these agencies' budgets. Transit agencies operating FCEBs cite a reliable fuel supply, the cost of electricity and hydrogen fuel, and uncertainties regarding future fuel pricing as challenges to operate a fleet of FCEBs cost-effectively. Another challenge is the limited availability of needed bus types, such as cutaways and motor coaches. Demonstration projects are under development to address this barrier.

While it may be more expensive to adopt an FCEB fleet due to the capital costs of a hydrogen fueling station and the bus purchase price, costs decrease as the fleet size increases, making large transit agencies prime candidates for FCEB fleets. Small, rural transit agencies with hilly terrain and long distances between stops, and temperature constraints, are showing interest in adopting FCEBs to meet ICT targets.

Opportunities for transit agencies that have not yet incorporated FCEBs into their fleets include the prospect of using existing business models by transit agencies that are operating FCEBs. Benefits of incorporating FCEBs into transit agency fleets include fast refueling time (<10 minutes), a similar refueling infrastructure footprint as CNG refueling stations, and FCEBs being well-suited for long routes and colder weather.

# CHAPTER 4:

## Developments in Off-Road Fuel Cell Electric Applications and Infrastructure

---

This chapter discusses off-road applications and demonstrations using hydrogen fuel technologies. Hydrogen fuel-powered applications in these sectors are limited to mostly prototypes and demonstrations and a few real-world applications, such as forklifts, which have been commercially available for several years.<sup>107</sup> Off-road vehicles and equipment, such as other cargo handling equipment (CHE), excavators, and agricultural and construction applications, are major sources of harmful air pollutants.<sup>108</sup> Other off-road applications, also referred to as nonroad sectors,<sup>109</sup> such as marine, rail, and aviation, are major contributors, as well.

Hydrogen fuel technologies may help decarbonize some off-road applications that are challenging to electrify directly due to high energy requirements and continuous or multiple shift operations that require fast refueling times. Major challenges in off-road applications include the ability to store enough hydrogen onboard the vehicles to meet the operating requirements, commercial availability of mobile refueling options, and the need for additional research, development, and demonstration to understand the technology gaps. There are also growing opportunities, such as relocatable microgrids to support temporary worksites, supported by grant funding awards from the CEC, CORE vouchers, and other sources.

### Regulations and Incentive Programs for Off-Road Applications

Executive Order N-79-20 directs CARB to develop and propose strategies to achieve 100 percent zero emissions from off-road vehicles and equipment operations in California by 2035, where feasible. In response, CARB has amended existing and developed new regulations and incentive programs to help the state reduce emissions and drive market development in off-road sectors.

- The In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units<sup>110</sup> (TRU) and the TRU Generation Sets and Facilities Where TRUs Operate (TRU ATCM) regulations were

---

107 U.S. DOE. "[Early Markets: Fuel Cells for Material Handling Equipment.](https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/03/f9/early_markets_mhe_fact_sheet.pdf)" [https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/03/f9/early\\_markets\\_mhe\\_fact\\_sheet.pdf](https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/03/f9/early_markets_mhe_fact_sheet.pdf).

108 Pang, Kaili, Kaishan Zhang, and Shuai Ma. "[Tailpipe Emission Characterizations of Diesel-Fueled Forklifts Under Real-World Operations Using a Portable Emission Measurement System.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.07.011)" *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, Volume 100, 2021, pages 34–42, ISSN 1001-0742, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.07.011>. (<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1001074220303144>).

109 Marine, rail, and aviation off-road applications may also be referred to as nonroad applications in the 2023 SB 643 Staff Report.

110 A "transport refrigeration unit (TRU)" is a refrigeration system powered by diesel internal combustion engines designed to refrigerate or heat perishable products transported in various containers including truck vans, semitruck trailers, shipping containers, and railcars.

amended to include more stringent standards and zero-emission requirements, including that all truck TRUs operating in California must be zero-emission by December 31, 2029.<sup>111</sup>

- The Ocean-Going Vessel At Berth regulation requires the control of exhaust emissions from vessels while at berth using shore power, zero-emission technologies, or other CARB-approved strategies.
- New potential regulatory measures are being considered to achieve further emissions reductions from vessels that could include using zero-emission technologies, cleaner engines and/or cleaner fuels, vessel speed reduction, and emissions reduction while vessels are anchored within regulated California waters.
- Amendments to the existing Commercial Harbor Craft regulation became effective January 1, 2023, and include zero-emission requirements and opportunities.
- Possible amendments to the Cargo Handling Equipment (CHE) regulation (CHE regulation) may include a transition to 100 percent zero-emission operations by 2030.
- Recent amendments to the Off-Road Regulation, which applies to construction and mining, operations, and other industries, require fleets to phase out the oldest off-road diesel vehicles in California and prohibit adding high-emitting vehicles to a fleet.
- A proposed regulation for forklifts would drive greater deployment of zero-emission forklifts within fleets.<sup>112</sup>
- A new regulatory measure is under consideration to achieve additional GHG and criteria pollutant emission reductions by accelerating the development and production of zero-emission off-road equipment and powertrains.

The off-road sector's zero-emission transition to suitable applications with hydrogen fuel cells or propulsion is emerging. Some challenges for hydrogen infrastructure for off-road applications include the need for vehicle standards including onboard storage pressure and fueling interface; the cost of hydrogen fueling systems, along with the costs associated with the use of grid or off-grid power; and a power connection.<sup>113</sup> As with MDHD FCEVs, without sufficient refueling infrastructure or commercially available mobile refuelers, OEMs may be hesitant to invest in off-road technologies, develop and demonstrate them, and make them commercially available. Regulations coupled with incentive programs are driving development. Proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cells are being used in forklifts and demonstrated in other off-road applications but may not be suitable for all off-road applications.

---

111 CARB. October 2022. [2022 Amendments to the TRU ATCM](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-09/advisory_22_30_0.pdf), [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-09/advisory\\_22\\_30\\_0.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-09/advisory_22_30_0.pdf).

112 Forklifts are most prevalent in manufacturing and at freight facilities, such as warehouses, distribution centers, and ports.

113 Argonne National Laboratory. January 2022. [Mission Innovation Hydrogen Fuel Cells Off-Road Equipment and Vehicles Workshop Summary Report](https://www.anl.gov/sites/www/files/2022-07/H2%40Offroad-%20Workshop%20Report-07-11-2022.pdf), <https://www.anl.gov/sites/www/files/2022-07/H2%40Offroad-%20Workshop%20Report-07-11-2022.pdf>.

## CEC Investments in Off-Road Hydrogen Applications

The CEC has invested in emerging off-road and nonroad fuel cell applications and innovative hydrogen refueling solutions. A recent example includes GFO-22-502, “Innovative Hydrogen Refueling Solutions for Heavy Transport,” a jointly funded grant funding opportunity led by ERDD with funding from the CEC’s Gas R&D Program and the CTP. The goals of the funding opportunity include advancement of innovative hydrogen refueling solutions to support fuel cell technologies in emerging HD and on-road and off-road sectors. These goals may be accomplished by reducing hydrogen delivery and refueling costs, improving reliability, enabling higher fill rates, and minimizing energy losses.

**Table 18: Emerging Off-Road Applications NOPA**

<b>Project Applicant</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>CEC Funds Recommended</b>	<b>Match Funds</b>
ZeroAvia Federal, Inc.	Liquid Hydrogen Refueler for Hydrogen-Electric Aircraft Applications	\$3,250,000	\$1,625,000
Zero Emission Industries Inc.	Cryogenic Hydrogen Infrastructure Replacement Product (“CHIRP”) (formerly Portable, Zero Boil-off Liquid Hydrogen Bunkering System)	\$5,250,000	\$1,675,000
	<b>Total Funding Recommended</b>	<b>\$8,500,000</b>	<b>\$3,300,000</b>

Source: CEC GFO-22-502 NOPA

In 2023, the CEC partnered with CARB to support Advanced Technology Demonstration and Pilot projects. Specifically, the CEC will provide emerging opportunities for infrastructure to support vehicle demonstrations in zero-emission aviation, locomotive, and marine sectors, as well as funding for construction, agriculture, and other off-road sectors.

## Off-Road Incentive Programs

The Clean Off-Road Equipment Voucher Incentive Project (CORE) is intended to accelerate the deployment of advanced technology in the off-road sector by providing a streamlined way for fleets to access funding that helps offset a portion of the incremental cost of such technology. CORE targets commercial-ready products that have not yet achieved a significant market foothold. By promoting the purchase of clean technology over internal combustion options, CORE is expected to reduce emissions, particularly in areas that are most impacted; help build confidence in zero-emission technology; and support CARB strategies and subsequent regulatory efforts where possible. CORE is anticipated to provide other sector-wide benefits, such as technology transferability, reductions in advanced-technology component costs, and larger infrastructure investments. A CORE voucher also provides additional funding and

prioritizes investments of equipment deployed or domiciled in disadvantaged or low-income communities and small businesses investing in zero-emission equipment.

CORE received an initial allocation from FY 2017–18 funds and was subsequently allocated additional funding in FY 2018–19. In February 2020, CORE launched as a first-come, first-served voucher program for zero-emission off-road freight equipment and was met with substantial demand. Given that CORE was oversubscribed by greater than 100 percent, the program was closed to new vouchers for 18 months. Recognizing the successful launch of CORE and the continued need for funding in the off-road sector, CORE was allocated \$194.95 million in FY 2021–22, \$30 million of which was used to fund waitlist vouchers to minimize any further market disruptions and aid in market recovery. The FY 2021–22 and FY 2022–23 funds allowed CORE to expand eligible equipment beyond just freight enabling equipment and offer funding for construction, agriculture, switcher locomotives, and commercial harbor craft equipment and vessels.

### **Emissions From Off-Road Applications at Ports**


In addition to toxic air pollutants emitted by drayage trucks and trains, as discussed in Chapter 2, off-road applications at ports are major contributors of harmful emissions. The adjacent Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles in San Pedro Bay are the largest fixed sources of air pollution in California. Yard trucks are the largest source of emissions in all classifications of CHE but can be difficult to convert to zero-emissions due to the unique duty cycle and operating environment.

Commercial harbor craft are in the top three emitting categories at seaports, contributing more diesel particulate matter emissions than trucks in 2023 at the San Pedro Ports, as shown in Figure 18. CARB staff compared current emissions and future projected emissions from moving cargo by trucks and trains, using an example scenario from San Pedro Ports.<sup>114</sup>

---

114 CARB. [Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation – Drayage Truck Requirements](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-2023-advanced-clean-fleets-regulation-drayage-truck).  
<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-2023-advanced-clean-fleets-regulation-drayage-truck>.


**Figure 18: DPM Emissions Contribution by Category at San Pedro Bay Ports**



Source: CARB<sup>115</sup>

**Figure 19: Statewide PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions by Commercial Harbor Craft (CHC) Category**

**Statewide PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions by CHC Category<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>1</sup> In 2023, assuming no changes to the current CHC regulation

Source: CARB<sup>116</sup>

115 CARB. "[Proposed Amendments to the Commercial Harbor Craft Regulation,](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/proposed-amendments-commercial-harbor-craft-regulation/printable/print)" <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/proposed-amendments-commercial-harbor-craft-regulation/printable/print>. (Accessed April 25, 2023).

116 CARB. "[CHC Fact Sheets: Ferries,](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/chc-fact-sheet-ferries/printable/print)" <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/chc-fact-sheet-ferries/printable/print>. (Accessed May 5, 2021).

Tugboats or towing vessels are one of the largest emitting types of commercial harbor craft. In 2023, tugboats will emit 19 percent of total commercial harbor craft PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions<sup>117</sup> and 23 percent of total commercial harbor craft NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.<sup>118</sup> Many communities surrounding the ports are disadvantaged communities, and addressing emissions from harbor craft is critical for the state to achieve its air quality and environmental justice goals.

Due to the high level of commercial activity and traditional reliance on combustion engines for motive power, ports have become relative hot spots for air pollutant emissions. These air pollutants include emissions of fine particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen, and greenhouse gases, largely related to diesel fuel combustion. Since ports tend to be adjacent to and essentially embedded in the local communities, these emissions are of great concern to these communities, particularly for the associated acute and chronic health impacts.

Marine ports use a wide variety of mechanical equipment for their various operations. The equipment includes top and side loaders for moving shipping containers, rubber tire gantry cranes for related operations, day trucks that operate in and near the port, large forklifts, as well as the drayage trucks that move cargo from ports to rail lines and other destinations. A demonstration of a hydrogen-powered yard truck at the Port of Los Angeles concluded in 2023. The project was partially funded by the California Climate Investments (CCI) program and administered by CARB through its Advanced Technology Demonstration and Pilot projects, Zero Emissions for California Ports (ZECAP) program. The project system included hydrogen ground storage, and refueling did not rely on compression or cooling, but rather a cascade fill where the hydrogen flows from high pressure to low pressure. The only electricity required was to power the safety system of the project. The hydrogen was delivered through tube trailer, the fueling time was up to 15 minutes, and fill ups were required every two days to perform regular service for 8 hours a day.<sup>119</sup> Additional efforts to decarbonize the equipment at ports through demonstrations are discussed in the Off-Road Hydrogen Demonstrations in Rail, Aviation, and Marine section of this chapter.

### **Cargo Handling Equipment**

Cargo handling equipment (CHE) includes motorized vehicles used to handle cargo or perform maintenance at California's ports and intermodal rail yards. The types of equipment include, but are not limited to, yard trucks, rubber-tired gantry cranes, container handlers and forklifts.<sup>120</sup> CARB adopted the mobile cargo handling equipment regulation (CHE regulation) in 2005 to reduce toxic and criteria emissions from the equipment. The CHE regulation was fully implemented by the end of 2017. CARB plans to amend the regulation to transition to zero emissions and will assess the availability and performance of zero-emission technology that could replace combustion-powered cargo equipment and consider other solutions such as

---

117 PM<sub>2.5</sub> is fine, inhalable particles with diameter 2.5 micrometers and smaller.

118 CARB. November 2021. "[CHC Fact Sheet](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/chc-fact-sheet-tugboats-towing-vessels)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/chc-fact-sheet-tugboats-towing-vessels>.

119 ZECAP Webinar, December 12, 2022. "[ZECAP: Zero Emissions for California Ports](https://hydrogenyardtruck.com/)." <https://hydrogenyardtruck.com/>.

120 CARB. "[Cargo Handling Equipment](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/cargo-handling-equipment#:~:text=Mobile%20cargo%20handling%20equipment%20is,container%20handlers%2C%20forklifts%2C%20etc.)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/cargo-handling-equipment#:~:text=Mobile%20cargo%20handling%20equipment%20is,container%20handlers%2C%20forklifts%2C%20etc.> (Accessed March 1, 2023).



efficiency improvements.<sup>121</sup> A demonstration of CHE using hydrogen began in 2018, with an electric top loader that includes a fuel cell range extender and wireless charging, which was partially funded through the Low Carbon Transportation Investments (LCTI) program for zero-emission technologies for freight operations at ports.<sup>122</sup>

Lighter-duty industrial applications of CHE, also referred to as materials handling equipment, can be found at warehouses and similar distribution operations. According to the U.S. DOE, there are more than 50,000 hydrogen-fueled forklifts operating across the United States.

**Figure 20: Fuel Cell Cargo Handling Equipment in Warehouse Operations**



Credit: Plug Power

Since 2016, Plug Power has assisted Amazon with the deployment of more than 15,000 fuel cells to replace batteries in forklifts across 70 distribution centers.<sup>123</sup> In 2022, the companies signed a new supply contract for 10,590 tons of green hydrogen per year, which will provide enough annual power for 30,000 forklifts or 800 HD FCEVs. Across Amazon's operations, the company is testing HD FCEVs and fuel cell power generation stations.<sup>124</sup> In December 2023,

---

121 CARB. Retrieved March 1, 2023. "[Cargo Handling Equipment Regulation to Transition to Zero-Emissions.](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/cargo-handling-equipment-regulation-transition-zero-emissions)" <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/cargo-handling-equipment-regulation-transition-zero-emissions> (Accessed March 1, 2023).

122 CARB. LCTI: [Demonstration of Zero-Emission Technologies for Freight Operations at Ports.](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-demonstration-zero-emission-technologies-freight-operations-ports) [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-demonstration-zero-emission-technologies-freight-operations-ports.](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-demonstration-zero-emission-technologies-freight-operations-ports) (Accessed 11/20/2023).

123 Amazon. August 25, 2022. "[Amazon Adopts Green Hydrogen to Help Decarbonize Its Operations.](https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/sustainability/amazon-adopts-green-hydrogen-to-help-decarbonize-its-operations)" [https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/sustainability/amazon-adopts-green-hydrogen-to-help-decarbonize-its-operations.](https://www.aboutamazon.com/news/sustainability/amazon-adopts-green-hydrogen-to-help-decarbonize-its-operations)

124 Ibid.



Plug Power announced that it had installed and commissioned the first electrolyzer system at an Amazon site in Aurora, Colorado.<sup>125</sup> The hydrogen produced by the 1 MW PEM electrolyzer can support 400 hydrogen fuel cell-powered forklifts.<sup>126</sup> This model of on-site production, storage and utilization avoids the emissions generated from transporting the fuel from one location to another.

## **Off-Road Hydrogen Demonstrations in Rail, Aviation and Marine**

Off-road, as defined in the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report, includes nonroad sectors such as rail, aviation, and marine. This section briefly describes these applications in the context of how they may be used in hydrogen technology applications, and regulations that are in development or have been implemented to reduce emissions.

### **Rail Demonstrations**

In addition to the transport of goods by trucks, another traditional mode of cargo movement in California and throughout the nation is trains. Diesel-powered locomotives emit fine particulate matter, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and GHG. As of 2022, locomotives are projected to produce more than 640 tons per year of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and more than 29,800 tons per year of NO<sub>x</sub>.<sup>127</sup>

Regulatory actions related to the emissions from diesel locomotives have been implemented and continue to be revisited and refined. In April 2023, CARB approved updates to its In-Use Locomotive Regulation, which is expected to result in the following outcomes:

- Construction and operation of new or expanded manufacturing plants for zero-emission locomotive technologies, including fuel cells
- Construction of supporting infrastructure, including hydrogen fueling stations
- Displacement of fossil fuel extraction, refinement, manufacture, distribution, and combustion
- Increased demand for the extraction of raw minerals used in the production of fuel cells from source countries and states.<sup>128</sup>

One category of diesel-powered locomotives is a switcher locomotive. In 2021, CARB estimated that there were roughly 800 locomotives performing switcher operations in California. These locomotives are used within rail yards to assemble and disassemble trains within short distances, comparable to a tugboat in maritime operations. Sierra Northern Railway is building and testing a zero-emission hydrogen fuel cell switcher locomotive at the

---

125 Plug Power. December 27, 2023. "[Plug Power Completes First Installation of Electrolyzer at Amazon Fulfillment Center,](https://www.ir.plugpower.com/press-releases/news-details/2023/Plug-Power-Completes-First-Installation-of-Electrolyzer-at-Amazon-Fulfillment-Center/default.aspx)" <https://www.ir.plugpower.com/press-releases/news-details/2023/Plug-Power-Completes-First-Installation-of-Electrolyzer-at-Amazon-Fulfillment-Center/default.aspx>.

126 Ibid.

127 CARB. "[CARB Fact Sheet: Locomotives,](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-locomotives)" <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/fact-sheets/carb-fact-sheet-locomotives>.

128 CARB. April 27, 2023. [Board Item # 23-4-1 Summary,](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/board/books/2023/042723/23-4-1bis.pdf) <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/board/books/2023/042723/23-4-1bis.pdf>.

Port of West Sacramento, which is a proof-of-concept project that has already received additional funding to expand the project, as discussed below.

Senate Bill 198 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 71, Statutes of 2022) provided policy direction for CalSTA to implement the Port and Freight Infrastructure Program (PFIP).<sup>129</sup> The announced awards include a \$19.5 million project with the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District to expand on Sierra Northern Railway's hydrogen fuel cell switcher locomotive demonstration by converting three more locomotives and developing hydrogen refueling infrastructure and protocols. Another announced award from SCAQMD is a \$240 million project that includes a short-line hydrogen fuel cell locomotive demonstration operating in and around Southern California that will support the largest container ports in the United States and use the development of this locomotive to later demonstrate this technology in long-haul operations.<sup>130</sup>

In 2018, the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) was awarded \$3 million in (TIRCP) funding from CalSTA to pilot zero-emission rail technology for passenger rail service between San Bernadino and Redlands. SBCTA plans to debut North America's first battery- and hydrogen-powered train in 2024, the Zero-Emission Multiple Unit (ZEMU) rail vehicle, which will replace one diesel multiple unit and provide service along a 9-mile rail corridor.<sup>131</sup> In 2023, the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) was awarded \$3.45 million in TIRCP funding for a project concept report intended to result in zero-emission passenger rail service. The recommended optimal zero-emission technology (hydrogen fuel cell or battery electric, or a combination of both) is anticipated to be an outcome of the report.<sup>132</sup>

---

129 CalSTA. July 6, 2023. [Port and Freight Infrastructure Program Selected Projects – Project Detail Summary](https://calsta.ca.gov/-/media/calsta-media/documents/pfip-awards-summary-narrative-7-6-23-a11y.pdf). <https://calsta.ca.gov/-/media/calsta-media/documents/pfip-awards-summary-narrative-7-6-23-a11y.pdf>

130 SCAQMD. December 1, 2023. [Agenda Item 6 – Establish Special Revenue Fund, Recognize Revenue, Execute Contracts, and Reimburse General Fund to Demonstrate Fuel Cell Locomotive and Deploy Heavy-Duty Truck Charging and Fueling Infrastructure](https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/Agendas/Governing-Board/2023/2023-dec1-006.pdf?sfvrsn=2). <https://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/Agendas/Governing-Board/2023/2023-dec1-006.pdf?sfvrsn=2>.

131 SBCTA. "[ZEMU Facility Fact Sheet](https://www.gosbcta.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/ZEMU-facility-Fact-Sheet-ENG-120522.pdf)," <https://www.gosbcta.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/ZEMU-facility-Fact-Sheet-ENG-120522.pdf>.

132 Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission. April 24, 2023. "[RTC Receives \\$3.45 Million in State Funding for Zero Emission Passenger Rail & Trail Project](https://sccrtc.org/rtc-receives-3-45-million-in-state-funding-for-zero-emission-passenger-rail-trail-project/)." <https://sccrtc.org/rtc-receives-3-45-million-in-state-funding-for-zero-emission-passenger-rail-trail-project/>.

**Figure 21: Zero-Emission Multiple Unit (ZEMU) Train**



Credit: Stadler

The SBCTA pilot brought momentum to the state’s interest in zero-emission hydrogen rail service. In September 2022, CalSTA, Caltrans, and Stadler entered a contract for the design and delivery of four hydrogen zero-emission trains, with an option to provide 25 additional trains.<sup>133</sup>

The Tri-Valley-San Joaquin Valley Regional Rail Authority is leading implementation of the Valley Link project, a 42-mile passenger rail service that would provide a transit alternative to the congested Interstate 580 corridor. The Valley Link project seeks to explore expanding on transit agencies’ models of self-produced clean hydrogen and a production center able to support other transit and HD FCEV operators. The vision is to offset the project cost by producing its own clean hydrogen and selling the excess to other users. Construction of the initial operating phase, a 22-mile segment between Dublin/Pleasanton and Mountain House, is anticipated to begin in 2025.<sup>134</sup>

### **Maritime Demonstrations**

Zero-emission hydrogen fuel cell electric powered maritime vessels are predominantly in the research and development phase in California, but a recent project demonstrates a pathway for zero-emission options.<sup>135</sup>

---

133 Fender, Keith. September 21, 2022. “[California Orders 29 Hydrogen Trains for Inter-City Services.](https://www.railjournal.com/fleet/california-orders-29-hydrogen-trains-for-inter-city-services/)” *International Railway Journal*, <https://www.railjournal.com/fleet/california-orders-29-hydrogen-trains-for-inter-city-services/>.

134 Valley Link. “[Valley Link Rail Project.](https://www.getvalleylinked.com/)” <https://www.getvalleylinked.com/>. (Accessed June 10, 2023.)

135 CARB. “[LCTI: Zero-Emission Hydrogen Ferry Demonstration Project.](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-zero-emission-hydrogen-ferry-demonstration-project#:~:text=The%20Sea%20Change%20is%20a%2070%2Dfoot%20aluminum%20catamaran%2C%20desi-gned,Marine%20shipyard%20in%20Bellingham%2C%20WA.)” <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/lcti-zero-emission-hydrogen-ferry-demonstration-project#:~:text=The%20Sea%20Change%20is%20a%2070%2Dfoot%20aluminum%20catamaran%2C%20desi-gned,Marine%20shipyard%20in%20Bellingham%2C%20WA.> (Accessed April 25, 2023.)

**Figure 22: “Sea Change” Hydrogen Fuel Cell Ferry**



Credit: All American Marine

Figure 22 shows the first hydrogen-powered fuel cell ferry in the United States, a 70-foot, 75-passenger vessel named *Sea Change*, which will soon be operating in the San Francisco Bay, pending clearance by the U.S. Coast Guard. The vessel is equipped with a hydrogen fuel cell power package composed of 360 kilowatts (kW) of fuel cells and hydrogen storage tanks with a capacity of 246 kg. The system is integrated with 360 kilowatt-hours (kWh) of a lithium-ion battery and a 2x 300 kW electric propulsion system.<sup>136</sup> The project received a \$3 million grant through CARB and is administered by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

CALSTART was awarded \$500,000 from the CEC to develop an actionable fuel cell-powered tugboat design that will be ready for construction and implementation for tugboat operations at the Port of Los Angeles. CALSTART is leading a team of industry experts, which include Crowley, ABB, DNV, Chart Industries, Ballard Fuel Cell Systems, and the Port of Los Angeles, to develop a fully hydrogen-powered vessel design for the tugboat.<sup>137</sup> Due to space constraints on the vessel and high fuel usage requirements, liquid cryogenic hydrogen (LH<sub>2</sub>) is a more feasible on-board storage solution than gaseous hydrogen for a fuel-cell-powered tugboat.<sup>138</sup>

In October 2023, Zero Emission Industries was awarded CEC funding to develop and demonstrate their Cryogenic Hydrogen Infrastructure Replacement Product (CHIRP) that will be capable of providing liquid hydrogen fuel to marine vessels and other heavy-duty fuel cell power systems. The refueling system will be capable of supplying fuel at a rate of 35 kg/min at roughly 10 percent of the cost required to build fixed liquid hydrogen refueling infrastructure. The system will be housed in a standard International Organization for Standardization (ISO) container and will implement a zero-boil-off system to capture boil-off hydrogen gas and use it to power the refueling system. The findings from this project will be

---

136 All American Marine. "[AAM + SWITCH Maritime Announce the Launch of Sea Change, the World's First Commercial Vessel Powered 100% by Hydrogen Fuel Cells.](https://www.allamericanmarine.com/hydrogen-vessel-launch/)" <https://www.allamericanmarine.com/hydrogen-vessel-launch/>.

137 Crowley. July 2023. "[HyZET Tugboat.](https://www.crowley.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2023/07/23-05120-HyZET-Tug-Spec-Sheet.pdf)" <https://www.crowley.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/7/2023/07/23-05120-HyZET-Tug-Spec-Sheet.pdf>.

138 Ibid.

applicable to the decarbonization of California’s ports and could ease the adoption of zero-emission heavy equipment in various transportation sectors.

### **Aviation Demonstrations**

Early developments to decarbonize the aviation sector are ongoing. Aviation operations are looking to diversify and explore electrified options and sustainable aviation fuels (SAF), including hydrogen and other low-carbon fuels. This exploration will take time and resources as the interdependencies and relationships between aviation support systems require a holistic assessment and development of solution set pathways to achieve full decarbonization.<sup>139</sup>

In November 2021, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) published the *United States Aviation Climate Action Plan*, which identified electrification, and potentially hydrogen, as solutions for short-haul aviation.<sup>140</sup> In 2022, Airbus stated an ambition to develop the world’s first hydrogen-powered commercial aircraft by 2035. Airbus is considering using hydrogen propulsion — the combustion of hydrogen through modified gas-turbine engines — coupled with hydrogen conversion into electrical power that complements the gas turbine via fuel cells, creating a hybrid-electric propulsion chain powered entirely by liquid hydrogen.<sup>141</sup>

At the International Air Transport Association (IATA) 77<sup>th</sup> Annual General Meeting, the IATA approved a resolution for the global air transport industry to achieve net-zero carbon emissions by 2050. IATA estimates that to serve the needs of the flying population in 2050, at least 18 gigatons of carbon must be abated that year. One of the discussed strategies, as proposed by Airbus, is the potential of hydrogen as a new propulsion technology to help abate carbon emissions.<sup>142</sup>

---

139 Oakleaf, Brett, Scott Cary, Darin Meeker, Doug Arent, John Farrell, Marc Day, Robert McCormick, Zia Abdullah, Stanley Young, Jacquelin Cochran, and Chris Gearhart. 2022. [A Roadmap Toward a Sustainable Aviation Ecosystem](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/83060.pdf). Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A60-83060. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/83060.pdf>.

140 Federal Aviation Administration. November 2021. [Aviation Climate Action Plan](https://www.faa.gov/sustainability/aviation-climate-action-plan#:~:text=On%20November%209%2C%202021%2C%20the,net%2Dzero%20emissions%20by%202050), <https://www.faa.gov/sustainability/aviation-climate-action-plan#:~:text=On%20November%209%2C%202021%2C%20the,net%2Dzero%20emissions%20by%202050>.

141 Airbus. “[Hydrogen: An Important Decarbonisation Pathway](https://www.airbus.com/en/innovation/low-carbon-aviation/hydrogen),” <https://www.airbus.com/en/innovation/low-carbon-aviation/hydrogen>. (Retrieved June 8, 2023.)

142 International Air Transport Association. October 4, 2021. “[Net-Zero Carbon Emissions by 2050](https://www.iata.org/en/pressroom/pressroom-archive/2021-releases/2021-10-04-03/),” <https://www.iata.org/en/pressroom/pressroom-archive/2021-releases/2021-10-04-03/>. (Accessed May 14, 2023).



**Figure 23: Hydrogen Fuel Cell-Powered Airplane**



Credit: Universal Hydrogen. Dash-8-300 testbed aircraft.

Early demonstrations of hydrogen applications in aviation are occurring globally, including in the United States. In March 2023, Universal Hydrogen successfully demonstrated the largest hydrogen fuel cell-powered airplane, shown in Figure 23, ever to be airborne and the largest airplane to fly principally on hydrogen.<sup>143</sup> The flight was conducted under an FAA Special Airworthiness Certificate and was the first in a two-year flight test campaign anticipated to culminate in 2025 with entry into passenger service of ATR 72 regional aircraft converted to run on hydrogen.<sup>144</sup>

In October 2023, the CEC awarded \$5.25 million to ZeroAvia Federal funding to develop and demonstrate a mobile liquid hydrogen storage and dispensing system that will be mounted on a Class 6 truck and will serve to refuel its first liquid hydrogen fueled aircraft, the ZA2000. This first-of-its-kind mobile liquid hydrogen refueling product that will meet airside and Department of Transportation certification standards allowing it to move safely within an airport and public roads. It will also implement high fuel flow rates, a zero-boil-off system, and an automated control system to improve safety and ease of use. The refueler is scheduled to be demonstrated at the Mojave Air and Space Port in the third quarter of 2025.

---

143 Gates, Dominic. February 7, 2023. "[FAA Clears Hydrogen-Powered Airplane for First Flight at Moses Lake.](https://www.seattletimes.com/business/boeing-aerospace/faa-clears-hydrogen-powered-airplane-for-first-flight-at-moses-lake/)" *The Seattle Times*, <https://www.seattletimes.com/business/boeing-aerospace/faa-clears-hydrogen-powered-airplane-for-first-flight-at-moses-lake/>.

144 Business Wire. March 2023. "[Universal Hydrogen Successfully Completes First Flight of Hydrogen Regional Airliner.](https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20230302005768/en/Universal-Hydrogen-Successfully-Completes-First-Flight-of-Hydrogen-Regional-Airliner.)" <https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20230302005768/en/Universal-Hydrogen-Successfully-Completes-First-Flight-of-Hydrogen-Regional-Airliner.>


# CHAPTER 5: Hydrogen Supply — Production, Storage, and Distribution

---

This chapter assesses existing clean hydrogen production infrastructure, including storage, distribution, and dispensing. Through an assessment of the potential projected demand for clean hydrogen by MDHD FCEVs, FCEBs, and off-road applications, supply requirements were estimated from the demand scenarios in Chapter 2 and Appendix B. Clean hydrogen has the potential to reduce GHG emissions from many of the most polluting sectors of the world but will prove sustainably successful only if production can keep up with demand. Further, hydrogen must reduce the associated GHG emissions by moving away from using fossil fuels to create it. Relying on book-and-claim<sup>145</sup> or other accounting methods is not sufficient.

In 2023, the greatest demand for hydrogen in California, by far, is at refineries, with more than 1 million tons per year in use.<sup>146</sup> Due in part to the lower cost of production, most hydrogen produced in California is produced from fossil fuels through SMR. With SMR, methane molecules are split to extract hydrogen with carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) as a by-product; methane and CO<sub>2</sub> are GHGs. The types of production that support California’s clean energy future goals are not through large-scale natural gas reforming. Figure 24 provides context on the hydrogen consumption in the United States.

**Figure 24: U.S. Hydrogen Consumption**



### Assuming realized price of \$2/kg for hydrogen produced from SMR

Source: Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Energy Association. 2020. Road Map to a US Hydrogen Economy.

---

145 *Book-and-claim* is a model for verified information to flow (a chain of custody model) that allows clean fuel or materials producers to “book” the emissions savings of a good they have produced in one place, and customers to “claim” the emissions benefit from these goods for climate disclosures in a different place.

146 UC Davis Institute of Transportation Studies. 2022. [California Hydrogen Analysis Project: The Future Role of Hydrogen in a Carbon-Neutral California](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841), <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841>.

A resilient, diverse, and reliable hydrogen supply chain is vital to developing the hydrogen refueling network. The hydrogen supply chain refers to the production of hydrogen molecules and the delivery of those molecules to refueling for trucks and goods movement, and emerging opportunities in other sectors. Clean hydrogen production in California is relatively scarce, and public-private partnerships are needed to drive the market. Other potential sources of demand — including power generation and storage, heat, industrial processes, and ammonia production — are not assessed in the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report but are recognized as important in driving the scale up in clean hydrogen production.<sup>147</sup>

The BIL dedicated \$1.5 billion for technological advancements in qualified clean hydrogen production. The DOE is encouraging investments in research and development of technologies that can reduce the cost of clean hydrogen.<sup>148</sup>

## Policy Context

Under AB 32, in 2009, CARB approved the Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) regulation to reduce the carbon intensity (CI) of transportation fuel used in California by at least 10 percent by 2020 from a 2010 baseline. CARB has amended the LCFS regulation twice, and the current goal is to reduce the CI of the transportation fuel pool by at least 20 percent from the 2010 baseline by 2030. The LCFS requirement encourages through incentives the use of electricity and hydrogen as low-carbon transportation fuels by providing opportunities to generate LCFS credits, which can be traded in the California LCFS credit market. LCFS credits can play an important role in helping develop and maintain the MDHD charging and refueling network in California. LCFS credit generation related to hydrogen can be summarized into three categories:<sup>149</sup>

- An eligible fuel reporting entity that provides hydrogen as a transportation fuel may generate LCFS credits or designate a third-party to generate credits for the dispensed hydrogen. Eligible transportation applications are LD FCEV, MDHD FCEV, and fuel cell forklifts.
- Entities can claim zero CI or low CI used as transportation fuel using indirectly supplied electricity, claimed using book-and-claim accounting.<sup>150</sup> In this scenario the entity is still creating carbon emissions but using an accounting method to claim zero or low carbon.

---

147 Reed, Jeffrey, Emily Dailey, Brendan Shaffer, Blake Lane, Robert Flores, Amber Fong, G. Scott Samuelsen. 2020. [Roadmap for the Deployment and Buildout of Renewable Hydrogen Production Plants in California](https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2020/roadmap-deployment-and-buildout-renewable-hydrogen-production-plants-california). CEC. Publication Number: CEC-600-2020-002, <https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/2020/roadmap-deployment-and-buildout-renewable-hydrogen-production-plants-california>.

148 DOE. Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office. "[Hydrogen Production Pathways](https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-production-pathways)," <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-production-pathways>.

149 CARB. "[LCFS Electricity and Hydrogen Provisions](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/lcfs-electricity-and-hydrogen-provisions)," <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/lcfs-electricity-and-hydrogen-provisions>.

150 *Book-and-claim* is a model for verified information to flow (a chain of custody model) that allows clean fuel or materials producers to "book" the emissions savings of a good they have produced in one place, and customers to "claim" the emissions benefit from these goods for climate disclosures in a different place.



- An eligible fuel reporting entity that provides hydrogen for transportation at a publicly available refueling station may generate LCFS credits through the Hydrogen Refueling Infrastructure program, which guarantees LCFS credit generation.

Senate Bill 1505 (Lowenthal, Chapter 877, Statutes of 2006) set 33.3 percent as the renewable hydrogen requirement for publicly funded stations. LD or MDHD FCEV refueling stations that have received funding from the CEC or another public agency must meet this requirement. There would be very little clean hydrogen produced if book-and-claim was not allowed. There is no binding target for clean hydrogen production requirements in California.

### **CEC Investments in Clean Hydrogen Production**

The Clean Transportation Program has awarded a total of \$22 million to six clean hydrogen fuel production projects (four new facilities, two expansions) that will increase in-state production of hydrogen fuel supply for on-road FCEVs (both LD and MDHD) by nearly 40,000 kg/day. Three of the production plants, including the expansion, are using or will use electrolysis, while two will produce hydrogen through gasification.

- StratosFuel:
  - Awarded nearly \$4 million in 2018 to add capacity of 2,000 kg/day<sup>151</sup> produced from electrolyzers using electricity from wind power at its clean hydrogen production plant in Riverside County.
  - “Zero-Impact Production Facility Phase 2 Expansion.” Awarded an additional \$3 million to expand its existing development of a renewable hydrogen production plant with an additional 5,000 kg/day of production capacity and compression equipment, which will yield a total annual production capacity of 10,000 kg/day. Full development of the plant, which uses proton exchange membrane (PEM) electrolysis technology and will continue to with this expansion, is anticipated to be completed by the end of 2025.
- H2B2: H2B2 was awarded nearly \$4 million to provide production capacity of 1,000 kg/day of clean hydrogen using an electrolyzer and on-site solar power in Fresno (Kings County). H2B2 recently announced that the project began production with an initial capacity of 1,200 kg/day, and estimates that an expansion of the facility will be completed within the next 18 months and is expected to be one of the largest green hydrogen production plants in the United States.<sup>152</sup>
- Linde, Inc: “Expanding California’s Production Capacity for Renewable Hydrogen Transportation Fuel.” Linde was awarded \$3 million for 2,050 kg/day of hydrogen production capacity to an existing 25,000 kg/day facility in Ontario (San Bernardino County). The existing facility produces hydrogen through steam methane reformation (SMR), but the funded addition will produce clean hydrogen through electrolysis powered by renewable electricity.


---

151 In addition to 3,000 kg/day already planned prior to award from CEC.

152 H2B2 Electrolysis Technologies. June 15, 2023. H2B2’s [“SoHyCal Project in California Has Started Hydrogen Production,”](https://www.h2b2.es/h2b2s-sohycal-project-in-california-has-started-hydrogen-production/) <https://www.h2b2.es/h2b2s-sohycal-project-in-california-has-started-hydrogen-production/>.

- SG H2 Lancaster Holding Company LLC: “Lancaster Waste to Renewable Hydrogen.” The company was awarded \$3 million for 11,000 kg/day plant in Lancaster (Los Angeles County).
- Yosemite Clean Energy, LLC: “Yosemite Clean Energy Paradise Biomass to Carbon Negative Biofuels Plant.” Yosemite Clean Energy was awarded \$5 million for 18,000 kg/day of clean hydrogen from 90,000 tons of forest and farm waste biomass annually. The project is in Oroville and will contribute to Butte County’s annual forest management goal to treat between 58,000 to 91,500 acres of forested watershed.

**Figure 25: CEC-Funded Hydrogen Production Facilities**



Source: CEC staff

### **CEC Clean Hydrogen Program**

In addition to the investments made through the CEC’s CTP, Assembly Bill (AB) 209 (The Energy and Climate Change budget bill, Committee on Budget, Chapter 251, Statutes of 2022) requires the CEC to establish and administer a program to provide financial incentives to

eligible in-state hydrogen projects. The projects should demonstrate, or scale-up, the production, processing, delivery, storage, or end use of clean hydrogen derived from water using eligible renewable energy sources. The directives provided by AB 209 established the CEC's Clean Hydrogen Program in 2022, which is administered by the Energy Research and Development Division (ERDD). In May 2023, of the \$100 million dedicated to the program, ERDD committed \$20 million to cost-share funding for eligible projects to applicants that apply for and receive an award under an eligible federal funding opportunity announcement.<sup>153</sup> In October 2023, ERDD announced a notice of intent to release a large-scale clean hydrogen production<sup>154</sup> grant funding opportunity solicitation by the end of 2023.<sup>155</sup> ERDD subsequently released a draft solicitation concept for public comment for smaller-scale projects that are colocated on-site with the end user, with an anticipated solicitation release in 2024.<sup>156</sup> Solicitations are anticipated to have the following primary objectives:

- 1) Meet demand for low-carbon fuels and contribute to the overall hydrogen economy in California through distribution networks.
- 2) Establish a foundation for learning-by-doing to enable economies of scale and knowledge sharing.
- 3) Lower the cost barrier and carbon impact for clean hydrogen production by collocating renewable energy production with hydrogen production, delivery, and storage.

### **Additional Clean Hydrogen Production Projects**

In recent years, public and private investments have encouraged new low-carbon and clean hydrogen production projects.

- Plug Power is planning a 30-metric-ton-per-day liquid hydrogen plant in Mendota (Fresno County) for operation in 2024.<sup>157</sup> The project includes electrolyzers, 300 MW of solar generation, 500,000 gallons of liquid hydrogen storage, and a 1.2-million-gallon-per-day tertiary water treatment plant for Mendota.
- Air Products is building a 10-metric-ton-per-day liquid hydrogen plant in Casa Grande, Arizona, that will provide fuel for California's transportation sector. The facility will use

---

153 CEC. May 2023. [GFO-22-903 – Cost Share for Federal Funding Opportunities Clean Hydrogen Program](https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2023-05/gfo-22-903-cost-share-federal-funding-opportunities-clean-hydrogen-program).  
<https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2023-05/gfo-22-903-cost-share-federal-funding-opportunities-clean-hydrogen-program>.

154 Large scale is defined as more than five metric tons per day.

155 CEC. [Anticipated Solicitation: Large-Scale Centralized Clean Hydrogen Production \(H2CENTRAL\)](https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2024-01/large-scale-centralized-clean-hydrogen-production-h2central).  
<https://www.energy.ca.gov/solicitations/2024-01/large-scale-centralized-clean-hydrogen-production-h2central>.

156 CEC. [October 2023. Clean Hydrogen Program Draft Solicitation Concept for Distributed Clean Hydrogen with Onsite End Use \(H2ONSITE\)](https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=252528&DocumentContentId=87607).  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=252528&DocumentContentId=87607>.

157 Presentation by Brenor Brophy with Plug Power. "[Plug Power CEC IEPR Workshop](https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=243620)." June 21, 2022, IEPR Commissioner Workshop on Role of Hydrogen in California's Clean Energy Future.  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=243620>.

two alkaline water electrolyzers to produce gaseous hydrogen, which will be converted to liquid hydrogen. The plant will be powered with zero-carbon renewable electricity.<sup>158</sup>

- Raven SR Inc., Chevron U.S.A. Inc., and Hyzon Motors Inc. are planning a green waste-to-hydrogen production facility in Richmond (Contra Costa County), intended to supply hydrogen fuel to transportation markets in Northern California.<sup>159</sup> The plant is anticipated to produce 4,800 kg (5.3 tons) of hydrogen per day that would be exported offsite with no long-term hydrogen storage onsite. Production is expected to begin by the second quarter of 2024.<sup>160</sup>
- Linde will build, own, and operate the first of several planned 5 MW proton exchange membrane (PEM) electrolyzers to increase hydrogen capacity. Following this initial investment, the new electrolyzer, together with the existing plant, will produce enough green hydrogen to avoid up to 75,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions per year. Expected to begin production in the second half of 2024, the new electrolyzer is one of several green hydrogen projects Linde is planning across the United States.

## Federal Investments

Federal investments in clean hydrogen production have greatly accelerated in recent years. The BIL provides \$8 billion toward the establishment of regional clean hydrogen hubs<sup>161</sup> and a tax credit of up to \$3.00 per kg of clean hydrogen produced through the IRA. The hydrogen hub funding is one of the largest investments in U.S. DOE history. The historic funding through the BIL is complemented by new incentives in the IRA for qualified clean hydrogen produced with prevailing wages, apprenticeships, and other requirements.

In March 2023, the U.S. DOE also announced the availability of \$750 million for research, development, and demonstration efforts to reduce the cost of clean hydrogen. This funding launches the first tranche of implementation of two provisions of the BIL, which authorizes \$1 billion for research, development, demonstration, and deployment to reduce the cost of clean hydrogen produced via electrolysis. The BIL authorizes \$500 million for research, development, and demonstration of improved processes and technologies for manufacturing and recycling clean hydrogen systems and materials, as well.

On September 14, 2023, the U.S. DOE released an RFP for an independent entity to administer a demand-side initiative to help accelerate the commercial development of a clean energy economy. This entity will work directly with the Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs awardees to

---

158 Air Products Press Release. March 8, 2022. "[Air Products to Build Green Liquid Hydrogen Production Facility in California](https://www.airproducts.com/company/news-center/2022/03/0308-air-products-green-liquid-hydrogen-production-facility-in-arizona)," <https://www.airproducts.com/company/news-center/2022/03/0308-air-products-green-liquid-hydrogen-production-facility-in-arizona>.

159 Chevron. January 9, 2023. "[Raven SR, Chevron and Hyzon Motors Collaborate to Produce Hydrogen from Green Waste in Northern California](https://www.chevron.com/newsroom/2023/q1/raven-sr-chevron-hyzon-motors-collaborate-to-produce-hydrogen-from-green-waste)," <https://www.chevron.com/newsroom/2023/q1/raven-sr-chevron-hyzon-motors-collaborate-to-produce-hydrogen-from-green-waste>.

160 City of Richmond. "[Raven SR Bioenergy Project](https://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/4365/Raven-SR-Bioenergy-Project)," <https://www.ci.richmond.ca.us/4365/Raven-SR-Bioenergy-Project>.

161 U.S. DOE. Office of Clean Energy Demonstrations. [Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs](https://www.energy.gov/oced/regional-clean-hydrogen-hubs). <https://www.energy.gov/oced/regional-clean-hydrogen-hubs>.

incorporate their input to help the U.S. DOE structure a program that will de-risk clean hydrogen production by ensuring that both producers and end users have market certainty during early years of production.<sup>162</sup>

As discussed in Chapters 1 and 2, the U.S. DOE awarded up to \$1.2 billion to ARCHES, LLC (ARCHES) for a regional clean hydrogen hub in California.<sup>163</sup> ARCHES is a public-private partnership created to promote and oversee the design, development, and deployment of hydrogen infrastructure projects in California across at least three sectors, including power, heavy-duty transportation, and marine and inland ports. The overarching goal of ARCHES is to reach a total clean hydrogen capacity of 45,000 tons per day by 2045, significantly reduce greenhouse gas and pollutant emissions, and deliver benefits to the state's economy overall. ARCHES' stated objectives include the following:

- Produce clean hydrogen exclusively from renewable energy and biomass.
- Introduce clean hydrogen to heavy-duty transport through CHE and drayage to support the conversion of maritime equipment at ports and prepare the port for the potential export of hydrogen.
- Expand the use of HD FCEVs to drive improvements in air quality along highly polluted interstate transportation corridors, and promote greater connectivity and expansion of clean West Coast freight networks.

Upon receiving notice of award, ARCHES began the collaboration phase with U.S. DOE and is working toward laying the foundation to move forward with the projects submitted with its application.<sup>164</sup>

On December 22, 2023, the United States Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service issued a Notice of Public Rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed guidance for claiming the clean hydrogen production tax credit.<sup>165</sup> Among other things, the NPRM proposes rules for:

- Determining lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions rates resulting from hydrogen production processes
- Petitioning for provisional emissions rates

---

162 U.S. DOE. September 14, 2023. "[U.S. Department of Energy Seeks Independent Entity for New Demand-Side Initiative to Accelerate Clean Hydrogen Economy](https://www.energy.gov/oced/articles/us-department-energy-seeks-independent-entity-new-demand-side-initiative-accelerate)." <https://www.energy.gov/oced/articles/us-department-energy-seeks-independent-entity-new-demand-side-initiative-accelerate>.

163 U.S. DOE. October 13, 2023. "[Biden-Harris Administration Announces \\$7 Billion For America's First Clean Hydrogen Hubs, Driving Clean Manufacturing and Delivering New Economic Opportunities Nationwide](https://www.energy.gov/articles/biden-harris-administration-announces-7-billion-americas-first-clean-hydrogen-hubs-driving)." <https://www.energy.gov/articles/biden-harris-administration-announces-7-billion-americas-first-clean-hydrogen-hubs-driving>.

164 POLITICO Pro. "[California Wins up to \\$1.2 Billion From Feds for Hydrogen](https://subscriber.politicopro.com/article/2023/10/california-wins-up-to-1-2-billion-from-feds-for-hydrogen-00121465?source=email)." <https://subscriber.politicopro.com/article/2023/10/california-wins-up-to-1-2-billion-from-feds-for-hydrogen-00121465?source=email>.

165 The White House. December 22, 2023. "[Treasury Sets Out Proposed Rules for Transformative Clean Hydrogen Incentives](https://www.whitehouse.gov/cleanenergy/clean-energy-updates/2023/12/22/treasury-sets-out-proposed-rules-for-transformative-clean-hydrogen-incentives/)," <https://www.whitehouse.gov/cleanenergy/clean-energy-updates/2023/12/22/treasury-sets-out-proposed-rules-for-transformative-clean-hydrogen-incentives/>.

- Verifying production and sale or use of clean hydrogen; modifying or retrofitting existing qualified clean hydrogen production facilities
- Using electricity from certain renewable or zero-emissions sources to produce qualified clean hydrogen
- Electing to treat part of a specified clean hydrogen production facility instead as property eligible for the energy credit.<sup>166</sup>

## Developments in Electrolysis

Reducing the cost of electrolyzers, which separate water into oxygen and hydrogen, and the cost and availability of renewable energy is essential in producing competitive clean hydrogen. The cost and performance across electrolyzer technologies are not the same. Alkaline exchange membrane (AEM) and PEM electrolyzers are the most advanced and commercially available.

Electrolyzer manufacturers from other countries have announced that they are developing manufacturing plants in the United States. In May 2023, Norwegian company Nel Hydrogen announced that it would build a \$400 million hydrogen technology plant in Michigan, stating that it had decided to build another plant in the United States because of the new federal incentives passed through the IRA.<sup>167</sup> Nel's Michigan facility will have a production capacity of up to 4 gigawatts (GW) of both AEM and PEM electrolyzers.

## Distribution

Clean hydrogen can be produced on site at refueling stations if the site plan includes sufficient space for an electrolytic system, sufficient storage at the site, and additional cost of retail electricity. A wind or solar installation to power the electrolyzer must be correctly sized for efficient use.

Hydrogen is often produced in one location and then moved to a different location to be sold to consumers. The method of transportation will impact the life-cycle emissions created, such as when a truck that is not a ZEV needs to transport the hydrogen. Methods of transporting hydrogen to FCEB and FCEV refueling stations include gas tube trailer trucks, cryogenic liquid-carrying trucks, and pipelines. Distribution by tube trailers carrying gaseous hydrogen is currently the most cost-effective option for open retail refueling stations.<sup>168</sup> This could change

---

166 The Federal Register. December 26, 2023. "[Section 45V Credit for Production of Clean Hydrogen; Section 48\(a\)\(15\) Election To Treat Clean Hydrogen Production Facilities as Energy Property](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/12/26/2023-28359/section-45v-credit-for-production-of-clean-hydrogen-section-48a15-election-to-treat-clean-hydrogen)," <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/12/26/2023-28359/section-45v-credit-for-production-of-clean-hydrogen-section-48a15-election-to-treat-clean-hydrogen>.

167 Beggin, Riley. May 3, 2023. "[Michigan to Get \\$400 Million Hydrogen Technology Facility From Norwegian Company](https://www.detroitnews.com/story/business/2023/05/03/michigan-to-get-400m-hydrogen-technology-facility-from-norwegian-firm/70179864007/)." *The Detroit News*, <https://www.detroitnews.com/story/business/2023/05/03/michigan-to-get-400m-hydrogen-technology-facility-from-norwegian-firm/70179864007/>.

168 Fulton, L.; Jenn, A.; Yang, C.; Burke, A.; Acharya, T.; Li, X., et al. 2023. *California Hydrogen Analysis Project: The Future Role of Hydrogen in a Carbon-Neutral California: Final Synthesis Modeling Report*. UC Davis: Hydrogen Pathways Program. Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/27m7g841>.



if the daily demand at hydrogen stations exceeds 1,000 kg per day, which could make the delivery of liquid hydrogen via cryogenic tankers a more cost-effective option.<sup>169</sup>

Refueling stations that have liquified hydrogen storage onsite use tanker trucks that pump hydrogen into an above-ground tank. The hydrogen is held at a cryogenic temperature and then vaporized, compressed, and stored in above-ground cylinders for dispensing. Pipeline delivery is possible and being looked at with up to 20 percent hydrogen blending. The pipelines would need to be purified and then pressurized.

Mobile refuelers are another option for fuel distribution that can benefit high-throughput clusters, such as marine ports, or nomadic off-road applications, such as construction sites that are not close to refueling infrastructure. OEMs are developing mobile refuelers that are capable of directly fueling FCEVs at locations that meet the needs of the drivers. At the beginning of 2023, OEM Nikola announced that it had developed a heavy-duty 700 bar (10,000 psi) hydrogen mobile fueler that, coupled with its hydrogen tube trailer with a capacity of 960 kg, could refuel MDHD FCEVs back-to-back.<sup>170</sup> In January 2023, Foothill Transit leased a mobile refueler from Air Liquide, a system housed in a 40-foot trailer, with the hydrogen gas supplied from a shorter trailer that contains 400 kg gaseous hydrogen vessels. As discussed in Chapter 4, the CEC recently awarded funding to developers of mobile refuelers for aviation and other off-road applications.

## Dispensing

Senate Bill (SB) 1505 (Lowenthal, Chapter 877, Statutes of 2006) requires hydrogen refueling stations operating in California to dispense 33.3 percent renewable hydrogen. Hydrogen refueling stations receiving public funding must meet this requirement. Once the annual volume of hydrogen fuel dispensed reaches 3.5 million kg per year, this requirement will apply to all stations regardless of funding source.

According to CARB's *2023 Annual Evaluation of Hydrogen Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Deployment and Hydrogen Fuel Station Network Development*, roughly 8.29 million kg per year of hydrogen sales are estimated by 2026. In accordance with SB 1505, 33.3 percent of that hydrogen dispensed will need to be clean. Using data available through the LCFS program for all reporting hydrogen refueling stations, CARB staff estimates that around 53 percent of the hydrogen sold in 2023 was generated with renewable attributes.

In addition, California's hydrogen refueling station network has experienced fuel shortages and supply constraints to the hydrogen refueling stations, demonstrating the expedited need for dedicated hydrogen production. Reportedly these issues have been caused by hydrogen production plants going offline for maintenance, and fuel delivery trucks have been out of service for repairs more frequently.

Storing and dispensing fuel for MDHD FCEVs, compared to diesel-fueled trucks, are costly in part due to higher compressor costs and additional precooling costs incurred to adjust for

---

169 Argonne National Laboratory. "[Enabling Emerging Hydrogen Economies](https://www.anl.gov/aet/article/enabling-emerging-hydrogen-economies)," <https://www.anl.gov/aet/article/enabling-emerging-hydrogen-economies>.

170 Nikola Corporation. January 18, 2023. Press Release, "[Nikola Launches First Hydrogen Fuel Cell Truck Mobile Fueler](https://nikolamotor.com/press_releases/nikola-launches-first-hydrogen-fuel-cell-truck-mobile-fueler-223)," [https://nikolamotor.com/press\\_releases/nikola-launches-first-hydrogen-fuel-cell-truck-mobile-fueler-223](https://nikolamotor.com/press_releases/nikola-launches-first-hydrogen-fuel-cell-truck-mobile-fueler-223).

increased heat of compression. There are ongoing demonstrations of HD FCEVs using liquid hydrogen rather than gaseous. Some OEMs have stated a preference for liquid hydrogen in the development of hydrogen-based drives. Liquid hydrogen has a higher energy density in relation to gaseous hydrogen, and more liquid hydrogen can be carried by trucks, which increases the range. The cost of liquid hydrogen delivered to transit agencies is reported to be about \$9 per kg.

Equipment issues affect station availability, as well. For LD FCEV stations, according to NREL, 61 percent of unscheduled maintenance events from the third quarter of 2014 through the second quarter of 2021 were due to equipment failures. Dispenser failures were the most common, about half of the total events, followed by compressor failures. Station operators also reported that they have experienced equipment repair delays because of supply chain issues. To help make existing LD FCEV stations more reliable, the CEC released solicitation GFO-23-604 on November 3, 2023, providing nearly \$11 million to support operations and maintenance of existing LD FCEV stations.



# CHAPTER 6:

## Synergies Across the Sectors and International Developments

---

This chapter discusses synergies across the sectors and international developments in fuel cell applications and clean hydrogen production. Investments in clean hydrogen are encouraging development of production sites globally as a path to reach climate goals in sectors of the economy that may be hard to decarbonize via direct electrification or other established means, including heavy-haul transportation, long-term energy storage, and various industrial processes. Clean hydrogen has potential applications across numerous sectors such as industrial processes, including cement and steel production.

### Synergies Across Sectors

There are proposed and existing demonstrations that provide examples of potential synergies across the sectors in using hydrogen as an energy source. These include HyBuild Los Angeles,<sup>TM</sup> which is the Green Hydrogen Coalition's inaugural initiative to create the first scaled ecosystem for "green" hydrogen in North America, targeting under \$2.00/kg delivered clean hydrogen in the Greater Los Angeles Area.<sup>171</sup> Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) is working with the Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) in Lodi (San Joaquin County) to build an electrolytic hydrogen demonstration project that will test blending up to 30 percent hydrogen into a closed-loop methane stream composed of different pipeline types. The blend will feed into NCPA's Lodi generating plant to produce electricity for NCPA customers. The project will also include refueling stations to test the blend on vehicles, including heavy-duty trucks.

Angeles Link is an effort led by Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) to create a clean hydrogen energy pipeline system that would deliver that hydrogen to serve hard-to-electrify industries such as dispatchable electric generation, heavy-duty trucking, and industrial processes.<sup>172</sup> As proposed, the Angeles Link effort could replace up to 3 million gallons of diesel fuel per day by replacing diesel-powered trucks with HD FCEVs.<sup>173</sup>

### Marine Ports

California's maritime sector constitutes a major component of the state's economy and a critical piece of global trade network. Marine ports face the challenging task of minimizing emissions for CHE, oceangoing vessels, drayage operations, and other hard-to-electrify applications. There are synergies found at California's marine ports, including opportunities for

---

171 Green Hydrogen Coalition. [HyBuild Los Angeles Phase 2 Report](https://www.ghcoalition.org/ghc-news/hybuild-la-phase-2-report), <https://www.ghcoalition.org/ghc-news/hybuild-la-phase-2-report>.

172 SoCalGas. "[Angeles Link: Shaping the Future with Clean Renewable Hydrogen](https://www.socalgas.com/sustainability/hydrogen/angeles-link)." <https://www.socalgas.com/sustainability/hydrogen/angeles-link>.

173 Ibid.

shared infrastructure across trucks, CHE, passenger vehicles, and possibly rail and marine applications. Three of the largest container marine ports in the United States – the Port of Los Angeles, the Port of Long Beach, and the Port of Oakland – are in California. Together, these three ports represented 35.6 percent of the total goods throughput of all of the top 30 ports in the United States in 2022.<sup>174</sup> In 2017, the ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles updated their San Pedro Bay Clean Air Action Plan to include two new goals: 1) zero-emission marine terminal operations by 2030; and 2) zero-emission drayage truck operations by 2025. The plan does not specify how these goals will be accomplished; battery-electric, grid-tied electric, and fuel-cell electric technologies all have potential applications for port operations. As discussed throughout the 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report, port authorities have received funding awards for demonstrations of MDHD fuel cell electric technologies.

Marine ports have the potential to become major centers for the use of hydrogen and even hydrogen production. In December 2021, Toyota Tsusho Corporation in collaboration with Toyota Tsusho America, Inc. (TAI) received a funding award from the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization for a technology development project with the concept of establishing a hydrogen-based society. The project, titled “Demonstration Project for the Commercialization of the Port Hydrogen Model at the Port of Los Angeles in North America,” will explore using hydrogen fuel cell technology to power port CHE and drayage trucks and co-locating hydrogen production.<sup>175</sup> This project has been stated to be the world’s first project to implement and demonstrate fuel cell-powered port equipment and drayage trucks — as well as hydrogen production for a long period — in a real operating environment.<sup>176</sup> The project is expected to conclude in 2026.

ARCHES has expressed the intent to introduce clean hydrogen to heavy-duty transport through CHE and drayage trucks to support the conversion of maritime equipment at ports and prepare the port for the potential export of hydrogen. Los Angeles Mayor Karen Bass indicated, during a news conference at the Port of Los Angeles, that a significant amount of ARCHES’ awarded funding would go toward helping the port transition more MDHD FCEVs and CHE to zero-emission. In addition, the funding would support the conversion of one natural gas plant into a hydrogen plant.<sup>177</sup>

## International Developments

Globally, clean hydrogen is becoming increasingly recognized as an important option in supporting climate ambitions and enhancing energy security. The drivers for the interest and investments in clean hydrogen vary internationally, most notably the recent invasion of

---

174 Container Xchange. “[Know the Top 10 Busiest Ports in the U.S.](https://www.container-xchange.com/blog/busiest-ports-in-the-us/)” <https://www.container-xchange.com/blog/busiest-ports-in-the-us/>.

175 December 21, 2021. “[Toyota Tsusho to Start the World’s First Long-term Implementation Demonstration Project for the Local Production for Local Consumption of Hydrogen at the Port of Los Angeles in the United States.](https://www.toyota-tsusho.com/english/press/detail/211221_005880.html#:~:text=The%20project%20is%20taking%20place,Hino%20Motor%20Manufacturing%20U.S.A.%2C%20Inc.)” [https://www.toyota-tsusho.com/english/press/detail/211221\\_005880.html#:~:text=The%20project%20is%20taking%20place,Hino%20Motor%20Manufacturing%20U.S.A.%2C%20Inc.](https://www.toyota-tsusho.com/english/press/detail/211221_005880.html#:~:text=The%20project%20is%20taking%20place,Hino%20Motor%20Manufacturing%20U.S.A.%2C%20Inc.)

176 Ibid.

177 Valley News. October 14, 2023. “[California, Los Angeles to Benefit From the \\$7B Energy Program.](https://myvalleynews.com/blog/2023/10/14/california-los-angeles-to-benefit-from-7b-energy-program/)” <https://myvalleynews.com/blog/2023/10/14/california-los-angeles-to-benefit-from-7b-energy-program/>.

Ukraine by Russia and the goal of energy independence, with clean or low-CI hydrogen becoming a frontrunner to displace hydrogen derived from natural gas, which became cost-prohibitive in affected countries.

The most ambitious strategies for clean hydrogen production are proposed by the European Union (EU) and Japan. The EU has a target of 40 GW by 2030, which is supported by national targets from France, Germany, the Netherlands, Portugal, and Spain.<sup>178</sup> The EU strategy sets a target volume of 10 million tons of clean hydrogen per year by 2030, which would require 40 GW of electrolysis in neighboring countries.<sup>179</sup>

In 2017, Japan was the first country to publish a strategy based on a hydrogen economy, titled *Basic Hydrogen Strategy*.<sup>180</sup> In April 2023, Japan updated its target to increase its hydrogen supply to 12 million tons per year by 2040.<sup>181</sup> The original plan had set a target of 3 million tons per year by 2030 from its current point of 2 million tons per year.

### **International Developments in FCEVs and Refueling Infrastructure**

The European Union (EU), France, Germany, Hungary, the Netherlands, Portugal, Australia, Chile, and Canada have stated that developing medium- and heavy-duty hydrogen refueling infrastructure is a priority as part of their international strategies.<sup>182</sup> A study published by UC Davis reviewed international strategies, targets, and policies for creating a global hydrogen economy.<sup>183</sup> The study reviewed hydrogen policy in eight jurisdictions and then narrowed the focus to four: Japan, Germany, South Korea, and California. The study identified achieving GHG emission reduction targets and ensuring economic and energy stability as the main drivers for these jurisdictions to adopt hydrogen strategies. The study also identified different challenges these jurisdictions face in developing the hydrogen system and pointed to the lack of supporting upstream infrastructure, such as that for hydrogen distribution, to meet projected hydrogen demand as a common challenge for all jurisdictions.<sup>184</sup>

---

178 IRENA. 2020. [Green Hydrogen Cost Reduction: Scaling up Electrolysers to Meet the 1.5°C Climate Goal](https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2020/Dec/IRENA_Green_hydrogen_cost_2020.pdf). International Renewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi, [https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2020/Dec/IRENA\\_Green\\_hydrogen\\_cost\\_2020.pdf](https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2020/Dec/IRENA_Green_hydrogen_cost_2020.pdf).

179 Ibid.

180 2017. Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI). "[Basic Hydrogen Strategy](https://policy.asiapacificenergy.org/node/3698)," <https://policy.asiapacificenergy.org/node/3698>.

181 Reuters. April 4, 2023. "[Japan Aims to Boost Hydrogen Supply to 12 Million T by 2040](https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/japan-aims-boost-hydrogen-supply-12-mln-t-by-2040-2023-04-04/)," <https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/japan-aims-boost-hydrogen-supply-12-mln-t-by-2040-2023-04-04/>.

182 World Energy Council. 2021. [Working Paper: National Hydrogen Strategies](https://www.worldenergy.org/assets/downloads/Working_Paper_-_National_Hydrogen_Strategies_-_September_2021.pdf). [https://www.worldenergy.org/assets/downloads/Working\\_Paper\\_-\\_National\\_Hydrogen\\_Strategies\\_-\\_September\\_2021.pdf](https://www.worldenergy.org/assets/downloads/Working_Paper_-_National_Hydrogen_Strategies_-_September_2021.pdf)

183 Vijayakumar, V., Fulton, L., Shams, M., & Sperling, D. 2022. [Creating a Global Hydrogen Economy: Review of International Strategies, Targets, and Policies with a Focus on Japan, Germany, South Korea, and California](http://dx.doi.org/10.7922/G2N014VF). UC Davis: Hydrogen Pathways Program, <http://dx.doi.org/10.7922/G2N014VF> Retrieved from <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9f95p0m1>.

184 Berner, Jane, Miki Crowell, and Andrew Martinez. 2022. [Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2022 Annual Assessment of Time and Cost Needed to Attain 100 Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/CEC-600-2022-064.pdf). California Energy Commission and California Air Resources Board. Publication Number: CEC-600-2022-064. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/CEC-600-2022-064.pdf>.

In 2022, CEC staff asked representatives of these national governments and organizations about current and future investments in FCEVs and refueling infrastructure, to present in the *Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2022 Annual Assessment of Time and Cost Needed to Attain 100 Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California*.<sup>185</sup> Responses did not consistently specify if the stations were LD, HD, or both, but some information can be inferred by the vehicle populations.

**Table 19: FCEVs and Refueling Stations in Other Countries**

	<b>China</b>	<b>Germany</b>	<b>Japan</b>	<b>South Korea</b>
<b>Stations</b>	147 open; an additional 65 built and awaiting a designated operator; 10 under construction; 8 on pause	96 open and 9 in development	159 open and 15 in development	172 stations built as of March 2022 with a goal to install 138 more stations by the end of 2022
<b>Vehicles</b>	8,941 cumulative sales of commercial vehicles through 2021	1,399 LD FCEVs and 107 MDHD vehicles as of January 2022	7,232 LD FCEVs, 120 FCEBs and MD FCEVs* in demonstration as of April 2022	20,621 LD FCEVs, 152 FCEBs, and 5 MDHD FCEVs as of April 2022

Source: CEC staff<sup>186</sup>

**\*Described as small trucks**

## International Developments in Off-Road Hydrogen Applications

This section provides information on demonstrations of hydrogen fuel cell electric-powered off-road applications, which include construction, mining, agriculture, and rail applications.

- In 2022, Volvo Construction Equipment (Volvo CE), following completion of its research project aimed at hydrogen technology application developments, began testing the world’s first hydrogen fuel cell electric articulated hauler, a 22-ton construction rock truck, named the Volvo HXO4. The hauler is in testing toward 2024 customer trials.<sup>187</sup>

---

185 Ibid.

186 Berner, Jane, Miki Crowell, and Andrew Martinez. 2022. *Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2022 Annual Assessment of Time and Cost Needed to Attain 100 Hydrogen Refueling Stations in California*. California Energy Commission and California Air Resources Board. Publication Number: CEC-600-2022-064. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/CEC-600-2022-064.pdf>.

187 Volvo CE. June 13, 2022. “[Volvo CE Starts Testing of the World’s First Prototype Hydrogen Articulated Hauler](https://www.volvoce.com/global/en/news-and-events/press-releases/2022/volvo-ce-starts-testing-of-the-worlds-first-prototype-hydrogen-articulated-hauler/),” <https://www.volvoce.com/global/en/news-and-events/press-releases/2022/volvo-ce-starts-testing-of-the-worlds-first-prototype-hydrogen-articulated-hauler/>.

- In May 2022, international mining company Anglo American unveiled a prototype of the world's largest hydrogen-powered mine haul truck at its mine in South Africa in a 2 MW hydrogen-battery hybrid truck that generates more power than its diesel predecessor and is capable of carrying a 290-tonne payload. About 80 percent of Anglo American's diesel consumption is on its haul trucks. If the pilot is successful, Anglo American estimates that it can remove up to 80 percent of diesel emissions at its open pit mines by using the technology across its global fleet.<sup>188</sup>
- Germany, a leader in adopting FCEVs and refueling infrastructure as demonstrated in Table 19, is developing off-road applications as well. At the February 2023 German Hydrogen Summit, advancements in using clean hydrogen for agriculture were demonstrated, including a prototype of the world's first hydrogen tractor.<sup>189</sup>
- In November 2020, Siemens Mobility and Deutsche Bahn presented the H2goesRail project to the public. The first deployed zero-emission train is called the Mireo Plus H, which is refueled using a mobile refueling station. The hydrogen is produced by an electrolyzer that is connected to the railway grid. The Mireo Plus H is a zero-emission train with a range of about 1,000 kilometers that can reach speeds of up to 160 km/h and can be refueled quickly. A single train saves up to 45,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> over the estimated service life of 30 years, compared to passenger vehicle trips.<sup>190</sup>
- Canadian Pacific line haul is developing line-haul locomotives capable of operating at speeds and generating horsepower comparable to a road-service diesel locomotive.<sup>191</sup>

## International Developments in Electrolysis

In advanced European energy markets, electrolyzers are growing from megawatt to gigawatt scale. Germany and the European Union are using a 10 MW electrolyzer to produce up to 1,300 tons of electrolytic hydrogen per year for Shell's Rhineland refinery complex.<sup>192</sup>

In Canada, Air Liquide completed construction of the world's largest PEM electrolyzer. Compared to the traditional hydrogen production process, this new production unit will avoid the emission of around 27,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year. Bécancour, a city in Quebec, was chosen as the project location based on its site attributes, including access to renewable power from

---

188 Anglo American. May 6, 2022. [Anglo American unveils a prototype of the world's largest hydrogen-powered mine haul truck – a vial step toward reducing carbon emissions over time.](https://www.angloamerican.com/media/press-releases/2022/06-05-2022)  
[https://www.angloamerican.com/media/press-releases/2022/06-05-2022.](https://www.angloamerican.com/media/press-releases/2022/06-05-2022)

189 Fendt, February 2023 Press Release. "[Fendt Shows First Hydrogen Tractor at German Hydrogen Summit,](https://www.fendt.com/int/fendt-shows-first-hydrogen-tractor-at-german-hydrogen-summit)"  
[https://www.fendt.com/int/fendt-shows-first-hydrogen-tractor-at-german-hydrogen-summit.](https://www.fendt.com/int/fendt-shows-first-hydrogen-tractor-at-german-hydrogen-summit)

190 Siemens Mobility. June 2022. "[First Hydrogen-Powered Trains for the Berlin-Brandenburg Metropolitan Region.](https://press.siemens.com/global/en/pressrelease/first-hydrogen-powered-trains-berlin-brandenburg-metropolitan-region)" [https://press.siemens.com/global/en/pressrelease/first-hydrogen-powered-trains-berlin-brandenburg-metropolitan-region.](https://press.siemens.com/global/en/pressrelease/first-hydrogen-powered-trains-berlin-brandenburg-metropolitan-region)

191 Canadian Pacific. March 2021. "[CP to Employ Ballard Fuel Cells in Hydrogen Locomotive Project,](https://www.cpr.ca/en/media/cp-to-employ-ballard-fuel-cells-in-hydrogen-locomotive-project)"  
[https://www.cpr.ca/en/media/cp-to-employ-ballard-fuel-cells-in-hydrogen-locomotive-project.](https://www.cpr.ca/en/media/cp-to-employ-ballard-fuel-cells-in-hydrogen-locomotive-project)

192 Shell. July 2021. "[Shell Starts up Europe's Largest PEM Green Hydrogen Electrolyser.](https://www.shell.com/media/news-and-media-releases/2021/shell-starts-up-europes-largest-pem-green-hydrogen-electrolyser.html)"  
[https://www.shell.com/media/news-and-media-releases/2021/shell-starts-up-europes-largest-pem-green-hydrogen-electrolyser.html.](https://www.shell.com/media/news-and-media-releases/2021/shell-starts-up-europes-largest-pem-green-hydrogen-electrolyser.html)

Hydro-Québec and the proximity to the hydrogen mobility market in the northeast of the continent.

# CHAPTER 7:

## Using Clean Hydrogen to Support California's Grid

---

This chapter discusses the potential of clean hydrogen to support the state's clean energy and reliability goals. Clean hydrogen can play an important role in helping achieve 100 percent renewable electricity by supporting grid reliability. As intermittent renewable resources such as wind and solar become a larger proportion of grid-connected resources, there is a need for resources that can provide ramping capabilities and short- and long-term storage. Hydrogen could help support grid reliability through repowering targeted ramping resources running off fossil gas with clean hydrogen produced by renewable resources. Clean hydrogen-based resources can also be developed to provide support to the grid at the distribution level by reducing load at the sources through technologies such as fuel cells and linear generators. When part of a microgrid, these resources can also provide resilience. The solutions will be possible only through sufficient generation of clean hydrogen either onsite or generated remotely and transported and stored onsite. The California Independent System Operator's (California ISO) *2022–2023 Transmission Plan* discusses a more coordinated strategic direction and references the memorandum of understanding (MOU)<sup>193</sup> signed by California ISO, CEC, and the CPUC in December 2022, intended to memorialize the coordination needed for to support California meeting its reliability needs and clean energy policy objectives.<sup>194</sup>

### Using Hydrogen to Support California's Grid

Hydrogen could support California's grid through utility-scale electricity generation (for example, a power plant) or by reducing load at the customer site. Converting renewable electricity to hydrogen, which is then stored, can provide an alternative to fossil gas for thermal generation. Some utilities are already upgrading gas turbines for hydrogen combustion to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power's Intermountain Power Plant project in Utah and the Northern California Power Agency's Northern California Pacific H<sub>2</sub>ub project at the Lodi Energy Center are shifting to combustion turbines that incorporate hydrogen blending capability.

San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) is developing a power-to-gas-to-power (P2G2P) project that will use hydrogen as the energy storage medium. The energy storage system will use a grid-connected electrolyzer to generate hydrogen. The hydrogen will be stored in high-pressure tanks, and a fuel cell will consume the stored hydrogen to produce grid power. The system will initially provide long-duration (8+ hours) of energy storage, but the duration may be expanded through the addition of more hydrogen tanks to support longer durations. These units will not initially be 100 percent hydrogen, but plans are to shift to higher levels over

---

193 CEC. December 2022. "[Memorandum of Understanding Between CPUC, CEC and ISO Regarding Transmission and Resource Planning and Implementation](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-01/MOU_Dec_2022_CPUC_CEC_ISO_signed_ada.pdf)," [https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-01/MOU\\_Dec\\_2022\\_CPUC\\_CEC\\_ISO\\_signed\\_ada.pdf](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-01/MOU_Dec_2022_CPUC_CEC_ISO_signed_ada.pdf).

194 Independent System Operator. April 2023. [Draft 2022–2023 Transmission Plan](http://www.caiso.com/InitiativeDocuments/Draft-2022-2023-Transmission-Plan.pdf). <http://www.caiso.com/InitiativeDocuments/Draft-2022-2023-Transmission-Plan.pdf>.



time. At the power plant level, there is a need to have access to a substantial volume of hydrogen to operate a plant properly.

According to a report by Energy and Environmental Economics (E3), if electrolyzer costs fall and are paired with low-cost renewable electricity, including otherwise curtailed renewable energy, hydrogen could compete with other storage technologies. E3 estimated the potential market size for hydrogen storage to be 1.5–4.5 GW in 2035 and 5–10 GW in 2045.<sup>195</sup> Producing clean hydrogen for end-use markets, as well as for energy storage within the electricity system, can help manage generator dispatching and grid management.

## **Microgrids and Distributed Energy Resources**

Distributed energy resources (DER) are growing in application in California because equipment costs are decreasing, and state and federal incentives further make DERs more attractive to help customers reduce their electricity costs and provide greater resilience. Types of DERs include solar photovoltaics, thermal systems, battery energy storage systems, and fuel cells, which often use natural gas as fuel but could use hydrogen under certain circumstances.

In transportation applications, DERs can provide backup power for hydrogen refueling stations and provide additional benefits including cost reduction and increased renewable usage. A microgrid is a local network of loads and DER that can operate on its own when disconnected from the utility-controlled grid, increasing local electric reliability and resiliency. In the event of a blackout, or a catastrophic event such as a wildfire, microgrids can operate autonomously, and they have the potential to support a wider community during an emergency by exporting power.

The Borrego Springs microgrid is California's first renewable energy-based community microgrid and is connected to SDG&E's grid but can be disconnected and function independently during emergencies, supplying electricity to the local community through its onsite resources. The microgrid consists of SDG&E's substation, a 26 MW photovoltaic system in the Borrego Springs community, customer-owned rooftop photovoltaic systems, distributed generation resources, and storage batteries. Beyond the benefit to the Borrego Springs community of having noninterrupted energy resources, the project has increased microgrid knowledge base, including advanced microgrid controllers and implementing nonutility-owned resources.<sup>196</sup> SDG&E plans to test using clean hydrogen for long-duration (8 hours or more) energy storage. The clean hydrogen would be produced through electrolysis using local solar generation and water, stored in tanks, and converted back to electricity when needed via fuel cells.<sup>197</sup>

---

195 E3. June 2020. [Hydrogen Opportunities in a Low-Carbon Future: An Assessment of Long-Term Market Potential in the Western United States](https://www.ethree.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/E3_MHPS_Hydrogen-in-the-West-Report_Final_June2020.pdf). Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc., [https://www.ethree.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/E3\\_MHPS\\_Hydrogen-in-the-West-Report\\_Final\\_June2020.pdf](https://www.ethree.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/E3_MHPS_Hydrogen-in-the-West-Report_Final_June2020.pdf).

196 Katmale, Hilal, Sean Clark, Laurence Abcede, and Thomas Bialek. 2018. [Borrego Springs: California's First Renewable Energy Based Community Microgrid](https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-05/CEC-500-2019-013.pdf). California Energy Commission. Publication Number: CEC-500-2019-013. <https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-05/CEC-500-2019-013.pdf>.

197 SDG&E. 2021. "[Borrego Springs Microgrid](https://www.sdge.com/sites/default/files/documents/2021%20Borrego%20Springs%20Microgrid%20Green%20Hydrogen%20FINAL.pdf?nid=2)," <https://www.sdge.com/sites/default/files/documents/2021%20Borrego%20Springs%20Microgrid%20Green%20Hydrogen%20FINAL.pdf?nid=2>. (Accessed July 7, 2023).



Hydrogen fuel cells can provide emergency backup power that can replace diesel generators and provide zero emissions at the point of use. In Sonoma County, the Stone Edge Farm microgrid is a self-contained system of distributed electrical generation including solar panels, fuel cells, and a microturbine, as well as storage resources including batteries and hydrogen, to serve loads at the property. The solar energy of the microgrid powers the farm and uses an electrolyzer to produce clean hydrogen and includes an onsite hydrogen filling station to power three LD FCEVs. During the area's wildfires in 2017, Stone Hedge Farm islanded from the utility grid.<sup>198</sup> While the farm was evacuated, the microgrid was monitored from a safe distance by cell phone and operated the farm for 10 days, running the farm irrigation pumps. In August 2018, the owners of Stone Edge Farm returned the microgrid to island mode, and the farm remains off the grid to this day.<sup>199</sup> Senate Bill 1339 (Stern, Chapter 5, Statutes of 2018) required the CPUC to further develop policies related to microgrids. After a multiyear effort to remove barriers to microgrid development, in April 2023, the CPUC issued the *Decision Adopting Implementation Rules for the Microgrid Incentive Program*.<sup>200</sup> The Microgrid Incentive Program will distribute \$200 million to the investor-owned utilities for microgrid projects, some of which could use hydrogen.<sup>201</sup>

Fuel cells are an opportunity for backup power under the Distributed Electricity Backup Assets (DEBA) program that the CEC is implementing in accordance with Assembly Bill 205 (Committee on Budget, Chapter 61, Statutes of 2022). Established pursuant to AB 205 as part of the Strategic Reliability Reserve, the DEBA program provides incentives for constructing cleaner and more efficient distributed energy assets. These distributed energy assets will serve as emergency supply or load reduction for the state's electrical grid during extreme events, such as heat waves. On October 18, 2023, the CEC approved the DEBA Program Guidelines, First Edition. Initial funding will be issued through grant funding opportunities, the first of which was released on December 7, 2023, and is a \$150 million solicitation for bulk grid efficiency upgrades and capacity additions. CEC staff anticipate releasing a grant funding opportunity for distributed energy resources, including fuel cells, in Q1 2024.

---

198 Stone Edge Farm Estate Vineyards & Winery. "[Microgrid](https://www.stoneedgefarm.com/microgrid/)." <https://www.stoneedgefarm.com/microgrid/>. (Accessed July 10, 2023.)

199 Stone Edge Farm Estate Vineyards & Winery. "[Stone Edge Farm Estate Vineyards & Winery Microgrid](https://sefmicrogrid.com/)," <https://sefmicrogrid.com/>. (Accessed July 10, 2023.)

200 CPUC. April 2023. Docket No.19-09-009. [Decision Adopting Implementation Rules for the Microgrid Incentive Program](https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/resiliency-and-microgrids/505732868.pdf), <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/resiliency-and-microgrids/505732868.pdf>.

133 Ibid.

# CHAPTER 8:

## Conclusions

---

Transitioning medium- and heavy-duty transportation and off-road applications to zero-emissions is key to meeting California's climate change and air pollution reduction goals. Recent unprecedented federal, state, and private investments in clean hydrogen production and MDHD FCEV refueling infrastructure, as well as world-leading regulations such as Advanced Clean Fleets and Advanced Clean Trucks, are accelerating developments in the industry. New models of Class 8 FCEVs have become commercially available in 2023, and the largest deployment of Class 8 FCEVs and refueling infrastructure in North America, through the NorCal ZERO project for drayage operations at the Port of Oakland, is in progress. This project is funded by the CEC and CARB.

This report assesses the potential of clean hydrogen to help meet statewide goals in the medium- and heavy-duty transportation sectors and in nascent off-road sectors that are in early demonstration, and identifies the following areas as key for continued emphasis and review:

1. While the MDHD market is early in development, transportation applications alone may be insufficient to reach the demand scale needed to justify large clean hydrogen plants. Additional sectors with hydrogen requirements may be needed to drive production.
2. The level of uncertainty for future demand for MDHD FCEVs is demonstrated by the variance between refueling infrastructure requirement scenarios presented in this report. Meeting the demand for clean hydrogen for refueling stations will be contingent on available hydrogen fuel supply, which benefits from state and federal policies and investments toward clean hydrogen production, and demand from sectors other than transportation.
3. Private-public partnerships, including government incentives, private investments, public education, and workforce development programs, are needed to overcome barriers to hydrogen refueling infrastructure deployment.
4. The CEC and CARB have identified barriers to widespread light-duty FCEV commercialization and deployment in the *Joint Agency Staff Report on Assembly Bill 8: 2023 Annual Assessment of the Hydrogen Refueling Network in California*. These barriers include lack of refueling infrastructure, supply disruptions in the nascent industry of hydrogen production for transportation, high hydrogen fuel prices, hydrogen station downtime due to equipment failures and other factors, and the lack of vehicle models and consumer options. Enhancing the hydrogen station network reliability, resiliency, and availability is important to improve the customer experience and scale the market.
5. The hydrogen industry needs to reduce hydrogen fuel costs, especially for clean hydrogen that does not depend on fossil fuels for its production. Further, more transparency is needed on how hydrogen is produced and what accounting is being used to classify it as renewable, clean, or low-, zero-, or negative-carbon.

6. Clean hydrogen in hard-to-electrify transportation and off-road applications is a key part of a comprehensive portfolio of solutions to meet the clean energy future envisioned for California.

## GLOSSARY

Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification 3 (AATE3) – A planning scenario from the 2022 Integrated Energy Policy Report that incorporates the expected impact of the ACT and ACF regulations on ZEV ownership and use.

Authorities Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) – An organization, office, or individual responsible for enforcing the requirements of a code or standard, or for approving equipment, materials, an installation, or a procedure.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) – A public agency that regulates the stationary sources of air pollution in the nine counties of California’s San Francisco Bay Area, which are: Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, southwestern Solano, and southern Sonoma  
Battery Electric Bus (BEB) – Also known as an “all-electric” bus, BEBs use energy that is stored in rechargeable battery packs. BEVs sustain power through the batteries and therefore must be plugged into an external electricity source to recharge.

Battery Electric Vehicle (BEV) – Also known as an “all-electric” vehicle, BEVs use energy that is stored in rechargeable battery packs. BEVs sustain power through the batteries and therefore must be plugged into an external electricity source to recharge.

Clean Hydrogen – The standard proposed by the U.S. DOE, which is an initial target for lifecycle GHG emissions of 4.0 kgCO<sub>2</sub>e/kgH<sub>2</sub> from well-to-gate, and the standard provided in California Public Resources Code Section 25741, which is hydrogen that is produced from water using eligible renewable energy resources or produced directly from eligible renewable energy resources.

California Air Resources Board (CARB) – The state's lead air quality agency consisting of an 11-member board appointed by the Governor and more than 1,000 employees. CARB is responsible for attainment and maintenance of the state and federal air quality standards, California climate change programs, and motor vehicle pollution control. It oversees county and regional air pollution management programs.

California Energy Commission (CEC) – The state's primary energy policy and planning agency. It has seven core responsibilities: advancing state energy policy, encouraging energy efficiency, certifying thermal power plants, investing in energy innovation, developing renewable energy, transforming transportation, and preparing for energy emergencies.

California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) – A state agency created by a California constitutional amendment in 1911 to regulate the rates and services of more than 1,500 privately owned utilities and 20,000 transportation companies. The CPUC is an administrative agency that exercises legislative and judicial powers; its decisions and orders may be appealed only to the California Supreme Court. The major duties of the CPUC are to regulate privately owned utilities, securing adequate service to the public at rates that are just and reasonable to customers and shareholders of the utilities; and the oversight of electricity transmission lines and natural gas pipelines. The CPUC also provides electricity and natural gas forecasting, and analysis and planning of energy supply and resources. Its headquarters are in San Francisco.

Disadvantaged Community — Defined by Health and Safety Code 39711 as the most burdened census tracts in California. Burden scoring is determined by 20 pollution/health and socioeconomic factors.

Electrolyzer – A system or device that uses electricity to split water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen, producing hydrogen gas.

Foothill Transit Authority (Foothill Transit) — A transit agency that serves over 327 square miles of Southern California’s San Gabriel and Pomona Valleys in Los Angeles County. As of August 2023, Foothill Transit operates a fleet of 359 buses (307 Compressed Natural Gas, 19 BEBs, and 33 FCEBs).

Fuel Cell Electric Bus (FCEB) — A zero-emission bus that runs on compressed hydrogen fed into a fuel cell “stack” that produces electricity to power the vehicle.  
Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle (FCEV) – A vehicle that uses an electric motor for propulsion, much like a BEV, but powers the electric motor using hydrogen fuel cells rather than a large onboard battery. FCEVs are a subcategory of ZEVs.

Golden Empire Transit — A transit agency that serves the greater Bakersfield area in Kern County. Its fleet includes 10 FCEBs.






























Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) — Standard developed by CARB to reduce the carbon intensity of transportation fuel used in California.

North Coast Transit District (NCTD) — The agency responsible for public transportation in Northern San Diego County, including fixed-route bus services, commuter rail service, hybrid rail service, paratransit, and on-demand service. The NCTD was recently awarded federal funding to purchase FCEBs and fueling infrastructure

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) – A public agency that regulates air pollution for all of Orange County and the urban portions of Los Angeles, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties.

Zero-Emission Vehicle (ZEV) — A vehicle that emits no exhaust gas from the onboard source of power.

# APPENDIX A: Vehicle Classes

<b>Class 1</b> 6,000 lb & less	Small Cargo Van 	Compact Pickup 	SUV 	Minivan 	
<b>Class 2</b> 6,001 lb to 10,000 lb	Panel Van 	Standard Pickup 	Large SUV 	Large Passenger Van 	
<b>Class 3</b> 10,001 lb to 14,000 lb	Large Panel Van 	Heavy-Duty Pickup 	Straight Truck 		
<b>Class 4</b> 14,001 lb to 16,000 lb	Step Van 	Small Dump Truck 	Large Straight Truck 		
<b>Class 5</b> 16,001 lb to 19,500 lb	Step Van 	Large Maintenance Truck 	Large Straight Truck 		
<b>Class 6</b> 19,501 lb to 26,000 lb	Large Step Van 	Medium School Bus 	Large Straight Truck 		
<b>Class 7</b> 26,001 lb to 33,000 lb	Class 7 School Bus 	Transit Bus 	Large Straight Truck 	Drayage Tractor 	
<b>Class 8</b> 33,001 lb & Over	Coach Bus 	Large Transit Bus 	Large Straight Truck 	Drayage Tractor 	Refuse/Recycling Truck 

# APPENDIX B:

## FCEB Data Reported by Transit Agencies in 2023

---

Table B-1 includes data reported by transit agencies in 2023 through CARB’s Innovative Clean Transit Reporting Tool (ICTRT).<sup>202</sup> The data includes active and inactive buses but retired and emergency/contingency buses are excluded.

**Table B-1 FCEB Data Reported by Transit Agencies in 2023**

<b>Transit Agency</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>FCEBs Deployed, On-Order, or Funded</b>	<b>Future FCEB Plan Purchase Per Rollout Plan</b>	<b>Total Current and Potential Future FCEBs</b>
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	Oakland & Emeryville	Large	Yes	Yes	569
Butte Regional Transit	Chico	Small	–	Yes	51
City of Beaumont	Beaumont	Small	–	Yes	22
City of Clovis	Clovis	Small	–	Yes	31
City of Corona	Corona	Small	–	Yes	20
City of Culver City	Culver City	Small	–	Yes	54
City of Pasadena	Pasadena	Small	Yes	Yes	44
City of Riverside	Riverside	Small	–	Yes	34
City of Santa Clarita	Santa Clarita	Small	–	Yes	107
City of Torrance	Torrance	Small	–	Yes	63
City of Turlock	Turlock	Small	–	Yes	14
Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority	Antioch	Small	–	Yes	92
Foothill Transit	West Covina	Large	Yes	–	353
Fresno Area Express	Fresno	Small	Yes	Yes	175

---

202 The ICTRT is available from February through April each year for transit agencies to report their bus purchases and fleet information as of December 31 of the previous calendar year.



<b>Transit Agency</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>FCEBs Deployed, On-Order, or Funded</b>	<b>Future FCEB Plan Purchase Per Rollout Plan</b>	<b>Total Current and Potential Future FCEBs</b>
Glenn County Regional Transit Committee	Willows	Small	–	Yes	12
Gold Coast Transit District	Oxnard	Small	Yes	Yes	69
Golden Empire Transit District	Bakersfield	Large	Yes	Yes	127
Humboldt Transit Authority	Eureka	Small	Yes	Yes	31
Imperial County Transportation Commission	El Centro	Small	–	Yes	62
Lake Transit Authority	Lower Lake	Small	Yes	Yes	24
Livermore/Amador Valley Transit Authority	Livermore	Small	Yes	Yes	60
Long Beach Transit	Long Beach	Large	–	Yes	225
Montebello Bus Lines	Montebello	Large	–	Yes	74
Monterey-Salinas Transit District	Monterey	Small	–	Yes	170
North County Transit District (NCTD)	Oceanside	Large	Yes	Yes	206
Omnitrans	San Bernardino	Large	Yes	–	222
Orange County Transportation Authority	Orange	Large	Yes	Yes	661
Palo Verde Valley Transit Agency	Blythe	Small	–	Yes	7

<b>Transit Agency</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>FCEBs Deployed, On-Order, or Funded</b>	<b>Future FCEB Plan Purchase Per Rollout Plan</b>	<b>Total Current and Potential Future FCEBs</b>
Redding Area Bus Authority	Redding	Small	–	Yes	34
Riverside Transit Agency	Riverside	Large	Yes	Yes	225
San Benito County Local Transportation Authority	Hollister	Small	–	Yes	23
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	San Diego	Large	–	Yes	858
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	Stockton	Large	Yes	–	115
San Mateo County Transit District	San Carlos	Large	Yes	–	320
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District	Santa Cruz	Small	Yes	Yes	93
Shasta Regional Transportation Agency	Shasta Lake	Small	Yes	Yes	6
Siskiyou Transit & General Express	Yreka	Small	–	Yes	5
Stanislaus Regional Transit Authority	Modesto	Small	–	Yes	125
Sunline Transit Agency	Thousand Palms	Small	Yes	Yes	82
Tehama County Transit Agency	Red Bluff	Small	–	Yes	11
Ventura County Transportation Commission	Camarillo	Small	–	Yes	51

<b>Transit Agency</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>FCEBs Deployed, On-Order, or Funded</b>	<b>Future FCEB Plan Per Rollout Plan</b>	<b>Total Current and Potential Future FCEBs</b>
Victor Valley Transit Authority	Hesperia	Small		Yes	102
Western Contra Costa Transit Authority	Pinole	Small		Yes	49
Total FCEBs					5,678
Annual Hydrogen Demand (kg) Scenario for 2040*					62,174,100

\* If the current reported data did not change, which is unlikely, given that the rollout plans are living documents and technology choices may change, there would be a total of 5,678 FCEBs by 2040. FCEBs typically carry up to 40 kg of hydrogen and consume about 25-30 kg of hydrogen per day. If each FCEB consumed 30 kg per day and operated 365 days per year, a future hydrogen fuel demand scenario for FCEBs is about 62 million kg/year.

# APPENDIX C:

## Inputs and Assumptions for Infrastructure Scenarios

---

This appendix discusses inputs and assumptions used for the four MDHD infrastructure scenarios discussed in Chapter 3, Medium- and Heavy-Duty Fuel Cell Electric Vehicles and Infrastructure.

### 2022 Scoping Plan Infrastructure Scenario

The 2022 Scoping Plan Scenario uses MDHD FCEV stock from the *2022 Scoping Plan Appendix H: AB 32 GHG Inventory Sector Modeling*,<sup>203</sup> which was informed by the references provided in Table C-1. CARB’s Emission Factors Model (EMFAC) 2021 was used to develop baseline on-road energy consumption, providing vehicle miles traveled, vehicle stock shares by fuel type, and vehicle populations.

**Table C-1: Transportation References**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Transportation Reference</b>
Calibration of fuel demand by subsector	California Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory 2021, CARB
Vehicle miles traveled (VMT) on-road	Internal analysis by CARB based on Metropolitan Planning Organization forecasts from second Sustainable Communities Strategies and California Department of Tax and Fee Administration fuel sales data. <sup>204</sup>
Fuel efficiency (on-road)	Vision 2.1 scenario modeling system, CARB
Vehicle stock characterization	Emission Factors Model (EMFAC) 2021, CARB
Vehicle and infrastructure	MHDV: “Driving California’s Transportation Emissions to Zero,” CA Institute of Transportation Studies, April 2021

Source: CEC staff, using information provided in CARB’s 2022 Scoping Plan Appendix H, Table H-4, page 6.

---

203 CARB. [2022 Scoping Plan Appendix H: AB 32 GHG Inventory Sector Modeling](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp-appendix-h-ab-32-ghg-inventory-sector-modeling.pdf).  
<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp-appendix-h-ab-32-ghg-inventory-sector-modeling.pdf>.

204 The base year (2019) is estimated based on gasoline consumption data from the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration and fuel economy and vehicle fleet mix data from CARB’s EMFAC model. The 2035 and 2045 activity data are based on the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) forecast from MPO’s second Sustainable Communities Strategies.

CEC staff used MD FCEV and HD FCEV stock provided in 2022 Scoping Plan’s Appendix H. Staff’s assumptions for the scenario were that all MD FCEVs would be filled at 10 kg/day, and MD FCEV station capacity would be 1,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity, allowing some downtime for maintenance. Staff’s assumptions for HD FCEVs were that all would be filled at 30 kg/day, and HD FCEV station capacity would be 3,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity. With varying assumptions – such as different fill amounts or station capacities – the station requirements would change.

**Table C-2: Using 2022 Scoping Plan Vehicle Stock and Assumptions to Estimate Infrastructure Scenarios for 2025, 2030 and 2035**

<b>Year</b>	<b>MD FCEV Stock</b>	<b>MD stations 80% uptime</b>	<b>HD FCEV Stock</b>	<b>HD stations 80% uptime</b>	<b>Total Stations (MD+HD)</b>
2025	1,449	17	2,484	30	<b>47</b>
2030	32,939	395	15,177	182	<b>577</b>
2035	94,072	1,129	55,676	668	<b>1,797</b>

Credit: CEC staff

This scenario does not differentiate how many stations will be public versus private/depot stations. It’s important to note that this information may become available in a future assessment, and that MD FCEV stock in 2025 may be unlikely given that the CEC is unaware of any commercially available MD FCEVs in California at this time.

**ARCHES Federal Hub Infrastructure Scenario**

As noted in Chapter 2, it was challenging to produce an ARCHES station scenario given confidentiality considerations. With assumptions and input provided by the University of California, Davis, and others in the ARCHES partnership, a scenario of heavy-duty station requirements was produced. Assumptions include that light-duty and medium-duty FCEVs will be able to refuel at light duty stations, with equipment sized and suited to them. Heavy-duty FCEVs will refuel at different stations, with spacing and station sizing that can handle 18 wheelers, and with hydrogen storage and refueling technology for large tank systems. Other assumptions include that a fully utilized HD station will operate at 80 percent of its rated capacity; the HD station capacity will be 3,500-5,000 kg/day in 2025, and up to 8,000 kg/day in 2035.

**SB 671 Draft Infrastructure Balanced Scenario**

SB 671 requires the CTC to prepare a Clean Freight Corridor Efficiency Assessment that identifies freight corridors, or segments of corridors, and the infrastructure needed to support the deployment of zero-emission MDHD vehicles (BEV and FCEV) by December 1, 2023.

Pending release of the final assessment, CEC staff utilized the Senate Bill 671 Infrastructure Needs Assessment issued by CTC staff.<sup>205</sup>

CTC staff found that estimates of zero-emission freight infrastructure needs depend on several variables, and there is uncertainty when predicting what will occur over the next 20 years. Hence, three potential scenarios of infrastructure needs were prepared – a high BEV scenario, a balanced scenario, and a high FCEV scenario. The methodology used to develop three possible scenarios of zero-emission infrastructure is described in the assessment. Estimates of the following factors were used:

- Total number of MDHD ZEVs that will be on the road
- Annual vehicle miles traveled by vehicle class type
- Fuel efficiency of BEVs and FCEVs
- Mix of powertrain adoptions. For example, the percentage of total MDHD ZEVs that will be BEVs versus FCEVs. One scenario tests a higher and faster adoption of battery electric trucks; a second tests a higher and faster adoption of hydrogen fuel cell trucks; and a third scenario is driven by likely total cost of ownership parity with combustion engines, and the resulting powertrain choice by vehicle class and primary trip type.
- Characteristics of BEV charging stations, such as the number of public versus private stations, charging efficiency, capacity factors, and utilization
- Characteristics of FCEV stations, such as the split of public versus private ownership, annual fuel capacity per station, and utilization
- Maximum distance between charging stations and hydrogen fuel stations to form a minimum viable network: Assumptions are held constant across all three scenarios but differ based on the speed and mix of zero-emission truck powertrain adoption included in each scenario.

**Table C-3: SB 671 High BEV Scenario**

<b>Stations</b>			
FCEV Private	0	0	1
FCEV Public	0	0	3
<b>Total Stations</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>

Credit: CTC staff

---

205 CTC. "[Senate Bill 671 Infrastructure Needs Assessment](https://catc.ca.gov/-/media/ctc-media/documents/programs/sb671/092023-infrastructure-needs-assessment-a11y.pdf)." <https://catc.ca.gov/-/media/ctc-media/documents/programs/sb671/092023-infrastructure-needs-assessment-a11y.pdf>.

**Table C-4: SB 671 Balanced Scenario**

<b>Stations</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
FCEV Private	7	150	539
FCEV Public	21	451	1,618
<b>Total Stations</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>601</b>	<b>2,157</b>

Credit: CTC staff

**Table C-5: SB 671 High FCEV Scenario**

<b>Stations</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>	<b>2035</b>
FCEV Private	31	280	717
FCEV Public	93	840	2,150
<b>Total Stations</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>1,120</b>	<b>2,867</b>

Credit: CTC staff

The 2023 SB 643 Final Staff Report uses the balanced scenario in its comparison of different infrastructure scenarios based on the current state of the FCEV market. While at least 28 MDHD FCEV refueling stations have been awarded funding and in either the design or construction phases, with just a few operating, CEC staff finds that the other two scenarios which include zero stations or 124 stations by 2025 unlikely. The number of FCEV stations estimated in the high BEV scenario does not align with current and near-operational FCEV stations, but CEC staff anticipates that the final SB 671 assessment will adjust for this.

### **Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification (AATE3) Station Scenario**

The *2022 IEPR Update* AATE Scenario 3 (AATE3) shows a low uptake in MDHD hydrogen vehicles due to a range of modeling inputs, including a relatively high hydrogen fuel price received from NREL, which made hydrogen trucks less viable in the truck choice model. As more data for MDHD hydrogen vehicles become available, the inputs for the MDHD models will continue to be refined.

**Table C-6: AATE3 Station Scenario**

<b>Year</b>	<b>MD FCEVs</b>	<b>MD stations 80% uptime</b>	<b>HD FCEVs</b>	<b>HD stations 80% uptime</b>	<b>Total Stations (MD+HD)</b>
2025	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
2030	71	1	0	0	<b>1</b>
2035	893	10	3	1	<b>11</b>

Credit: CEC staff

Staff assumptions to produce the scenario shown in Table C-6 included that all MD FCEVs would be filled at 10 kg/day, and MD FCEV station capacity would be 1,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity, allowing some downtime for maintenance. Staff's assumptions for HD FCEVs included that all would be filled at 30 kg/day, and HD FCEV station capacity would be 3,000 kg/day at 80 percent capacity. Based on varying assumptions – such as different fill amounts or station capacities, the station scenario would change, but given the level of uncertainty regarding station size and fills, a standard assumption was used.

This scenario does not differentiate how many stations will be public versus private/depot stations. This information may become available in a future assessment.