DOCKETED			
Docket Number:	23-IEPR-03		
Project Title:	Electricity and Gas Demand Forecast		
TN #:	253660		
Document Title:	Presentation - Hourly Electricity Demand		
Description:	3. Nick Fugate, CEC		
Filer:	Filer: Raquel Kravitz		
Organization:	California Energy Commission		
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff		
Submission Date:	12/18/2023 3:55:56 PM		
Docketed Date:	12/18/2023		



### **Hourly Electricity Demand**

California Energy Demand Forecast, 2023 - 2040 Nick Fugate, Energy Assessments



- Input to system and reliability modeling
- Monthly system peaks serve as a system-level benchmark for Resource Adequacy
- Detailed planning use cases outlined in Single Forecast Set agreement published in each IEPR

For IOU TAC areas, peak loads are derived from hourly load modeling



- 1. Apply base load profile to annual "consumption" forecast
- 2. Adjust hourly consumption using profiles for:
  - Climate change impacts
  - Electric vehicle charging
  - Behind-the-meter PV generation and storage
  - "Additional Achievable" efficiency and electrification
- 3. Calibrate to weather-normal base-year peak load



Staff rebuilt significant portions of the peak and hourly forecast process during the IEPR 2023 cycle

- 1. Refresh and expand climate considerations
- 2. Update base-load consumption profiles
- 3. Support development of stochastic data sets



#### **Weather-Normal Base Year**





Daily peak load is highly correlated with temperature

Normalization approach characterizes this relationship over recent years and simulates peak loads over a more comprehensive set of weather patterns



Source: CEC



- 1. Data sources:
  - Hourly system loads by TAC (CAISO)
  - DR event impact estimates (IOUs / CAISO)
  - Historical daily weather statistics
- 2. Estimate counter-factual daily peaks after adding demand response program and other load reduction impacts to recorded system load
- 3. Regress daily peaks against daily weather statistics and calendar effects using most recent three years of data
- 4. Simulate daily peaks over 30 historical weather years
- 5. Taking the maximum simulated value for each year, find the median



- Transitioned from historical weather patterns to synthetic climate variants for the purpose of load simulation
- Transitioned from a single daily peak model to an individual model for each hour where peak load may occur
  - Daily peak load is taken as the maximum value of the hourly simulations across each day
- Added dewpoint and cloud cover as explanatory variables

## Historic vs Modeled Temperature

Shows distribution of summer daily maximum temperatures.

Compares latest 30year historical record to new detrended climate values (centered on 2023.)





Consistent with CED 2021, greater weight was given to more recent weather years during the normalization process

Planning Area	Actual Peak* 2023	Normal Peak 2023	Normal Peak 2022	% Change
PGE	19,995	20,672	20,585	0.4%
SCE	22,364	23,433	23,597	-0.7%
SDGE	4,045	4,404	4,385	0.4%

\*Accounts for demand response events Source: CEC staff



New peak-factors are implied by climate-informed peak normalization analysis.

Planning Area	Analysis	1-in-5	1-in-10	1-in-20
PGE	Existing	4.0%	6.4%	8.1%
	New (draft)	5.1%	7.9%	10.6%
SCE	Existing	4.2%	6.4%	8.2%
	New (draft)	6.5%	9.4%	12.0%
SDGE	Existing	6.0%	9.0%	10.0%
	New (draft)	4.7%	7.6%	10.5%



### **Hourly Load Model**





- Regression models for each territory and hour of day
  - Models predict consumption ratios (ratios) as a function of weather and calendar variables
  - Similar data sources as peak normalization approach
  - Five years of historical data for estimation
- Ratios are simulated using 22 historical weather patterns
- Ratios are rank ordered and medians selected by rank
- Median ratios are assigned to calendar based on average ranks derived from recent history



- Full rebuild of the model aimed at accommodating climate data for simulation
- Three years of historical load data used for model estimation
- Median ratios are assigned to calendar based on average ranks derived from simulation results
- Transitioned from using normal BTM PV profiles to using historical generation data for the purpose of creating a counterfactual hourly consumption history



Previous HLM model estimations used normal PV profiles (red) to create a counterfactual hourly consumption history.

Relative to actual generation (blue) these normal profiles slightly underestimate PV impact on clear days and significantly overestimate the impact on cloudy days.



# Average System Profiles

Chart compares average weekday system profiles between forecast vintages for forecast year 2022.

CED 2023 values are generally more closely aligned with the historical series for that year.

#### CAISO average weekday profile





Chart shows average maximum daily 3-hour ramp by month across forecast vintages for year 2022.

CED 2023 values do not show the same exaggerated ramps exhibited by previous forecasts.





Source: CEC staff

Chart compares monthly peaks across forecast vintages.

CED 2023 values are generally lower than recent historic peaks (shown in black) for winter and spring months.



CAISO monthly peaks - year 2023



#### **Load Modifiers**



# **CAISO Consumption Profile**

Unadjusted is the value predicted by the HLM modeling process.

This value excludes the incremental effects § of load modifiers.

Values shown here are averaged across September weekdays.



CAISO Planning Scenario - Month 9 Weekday UNADJUSTED CONSUMPTION

Source: CEC staff

**CAISO System Profile** 

System profiles reflect the net effect of layering load modifiers onto the unadjusted consumption profile.

PV and energy efficiency reduce load during the day. Fuel substitution increases morning and evening load. EV charging is most impactful at night and early-to-mid day.





Shown here are the impacts of load modifiers in forecast year 2040 relative to 2022 during hour 18.

Building and vehicle electrification represent the bulk of the total impact.



Planning Scenario - CAISO Peak Hour



By 2040, fuel substitution impacts (light blue) substantially increase winter peaks.

This effect is now present in both the Planning Forecast and Local Reliability Scenario.







Results are still under internal review.

Shown here, a potential misalignment of vehicle charging profiles could be contributing to higher-than-expected load in hour 16 relative to CED 2022.



Source: CEC staff

CAISO Planning Scenario - 2035 Month 9 Weekday



### **Preliminary Peak Forecasts**



## **PG&E Non-Coincident Peak**



## **SCE Non-Coincident Peak**



### **SDG&E Non-Coincident Peak**









#### Finalizing IEPR 2023

- Docket preliminary data sets
- Continue staff review and stakeholder discussion
- Any necessary changes will be incorporated in a final set of results to be posted in January and considered for adoption

#### IEPR 2024

- Data sharing and detailed stakeholder review of methods
- Integrate climate libraries into HLM simulation step



#### **Appendix – Load Modifiers**



















CAISO Planning Scenario - Month 9 Weekday

#### Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification – Light Duty



Source: CEC staff

## Medium/Heavy-Duty EV



#### Additional Achievable Transportation Electrification – Medium/Heavy Duty











Source: CEC staff



