DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	23-OIR-03
Project Title:	General Rulemaking Proceeding for Developing Regulations, Guidelines, and Policies for Implementing SB X1-2 and SB 1322
TN #:	253138
Document Title:	Transcript of 11-3-23 for SB X 1-2 Workshop
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Donnie Cox
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	11/15/2023 1:20:58 PM
Docketed Date:	11/15/2023

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

General Rulemaking Proceeding)
for Developing Regulations,) Docket No. 23-OIR-03
Guidelines, and Policies for)
Implementing SB X1-2 and SB 1322)

SB X1-2 AND SB 1322 PRE-RULEMAKING WORKSHOP

HYBRID IN-PERSON AND ONLINE BY PHONE AND VIA ZOOM

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2023
1:30 P.M.

Reported by:

Martha Nelson

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Siva Gunda, Vice Chair, CEC

Nicolas Maduros, Executive Director, California Department of Tax and Fee Administration

Carl Paganelli, Cal/OSHA, California Department of Industrial Relations

CEC STAFF

Aleecia Gutierrez, Director, Energy Assessments Division Ryan Eggers, Transportation Fuels Data and Analysis Unit Chad Oliver, Attorney

Aria Berliner, Special Advisor to Vice Chair

PUBLIC COMMENT

Tanya DeRivi, Western States Petroleum Association

Greg Karras, Community Energy reSource

PROCEDINGS

1:30 p.m.

2.2

2.3

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2023

MS. GUTIERREZ: Welcome and thank you for joining us this afternoon. My name is Aleecia Gutierrez. I am the Director for the Energy Assessments Division here at the Energy Commission.

I just want to let everybody know that's participating online and also in the room that this meeting is recorded.

Attendance may be in person or virtually today via Zoom. You'll have the option to make public comments during the public comment period, but we'll also accept written comments that are due by 5:00 p.m. on November 21st.

For in-person attendees, there are restrooms located in the atrium to your left as you exit. And if there is an emergency, we'll evacuate the building. Please follow Energy Commission staff to Roosevelt Park, which is kitty-corner from the building.

We're here today to begin the rulemaking process for SB X1-2 and SB 1322, which revised and introduced new data reporting requirements for petroleum data. SB X1-2 also authorized the CEC to regulate the timing of refinery maintenance and turnarounds, and to set a maximum gross

gasoline refining margin, and to oppose an administrative civil penalty on refiners for exceeding that margin. Today we are focusing on refinery maintenance turnarounds and data standardization.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Through the rulemaking process, we were working to build consistency and to provide clarity to those who report data to the CEC. We want to emphasize that as we work through the rulemaking process, we know that the compliance with these new data reporting regulations is possible and expected.

Sorry, I'm trying to get the slides to advance. There we go. Okay.

Our agenda for the workshop is shown here. We'll start with opening remarks. Our staff presentation will go into the CEC's regulatory authority under the statute.

We'll review SB X1-2 implementation activities, and then go into some depth on the rulemaking focus on refinery maintenance turnarounds and data standardization, and then go over the rulemaking process and timeline. Following the staff presentation, we will field questions and take public comment.

We will start today's workshop with opening remarks, followed by a staff presentation by Ryan Eggers, Supervisor of the Transportation Fuels Data Analysis Unit. On the dais today, we have Vice Chair Gunda from the CEC,

Nick Maduros, Director of CDTFA, and Carl Paganelli from the Department of Industrial Relations.

2.2

2.3

2.4

I'll turn to you, Vice Chair Gunda.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you, Aleecia, and thank you to everybody who's here in attendance, both in person and virtually to have us kickstart some of the key elements of the law that was passed this March and has been in effect since June.

A critical part of the SB X1-2 is data transparency and making sure CEC has the requisite data to continue to shine light on what exactly is the market doing in terms of both the profits and margins and what is resulting, the volatility at the pump for average Californians. So today's workshop, obviously, is a start of this process and we'll continue to work through a number of different workshops as we move forward to really define how best to standardize some of the data that we've already been receiving and what additional data that we might need for this process.

It also will kickstart, you know, the conversation around the gross margin and if a maximum gross margin is to be set up by CEC and if it does, you know, what would be the penalty framework for that.

CEC goes into this very important work which is aimed at protecting the consumers of California with

earnest and deliberate process that allows for an open, transparent conversation to really look at the various frameworks that are available for us to result in an outcome that ultimately supports the protection of consumers of California.

So I do have colleagues here on the dais who I would like to invite to share some of their comments as we start this process to you.

To you, Director.

1.3

2.2

2.3

2.5

Gunda, and thank you everybody for being here today and joining us. I'm Nick Maduros. I'm the Director of the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration and, together with the Energy Commission, we're working on a report on gas pricing in the state of California, the first of which is due March 1st of 2024. And so our interest at CDTFA is particularly on the data piece at the moment and trying to make sure that the data that the CEC gets over the coming months and years is standardized and usable.

You know, we've received already millions of lines of data, either at the CDTFA or at the CEC. And, you know, the team is working to parse through all of that, and I want to thank all of those who have cooperated thus far with the study. But I do think that, you know, making sure that it's usable for all of the government agencies, our

goal is only to get the best information possible so that California policymakers have a shared basis in fact on which to proceed in the coming years to address gas pricing in California. And in order to best do that, I do think that making sure the data is clean and relevant and standard is essential.

So I look forward to participating today and look forward to all of the comments.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY CHIEF PAGANELLI: Good afternoon. I'm

Carl Paganelli. I'm a Deputy Chief at Cal/OSHA in the

Department of Industrial Relations. Thank you for everyone participating. Thank you for having me today.

Our focus, I oversee our Process Safety

Management Unit and the focus of the unit is keeping

workers at refineries safe, and that has the effect of

keeping the community surrounding the refinery safe.

We have two regulations that we use for refinery safety, one is specific to petroleum refineries, it's newer, and we have an older regulation that applies to refineries that use renewable fuels and biofuels, not petroleum, and that regulation also applies to other facilities that have large quantities of acutely toxic or acutely hazardous chemicals, like ammonia and chlorine.

And I'm looking forward to helping the Process

Safety Management Unit work with the Energy Commission as this rulemaking moves forward.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

2.3

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you so much.

I again want to extend my thanks, Aleecia, to you and your team and all the participants today for helping us think through this extremely important topic.

Just in closing, before I pass the mic back to Aleecia, at a 30,000-foot level, so the SB X1-2 is, again, really to promote the transparency and improving and enhancing the accountability of the oil industry with the single purpose of protecting California consumers. So that's what we are after in this rulemaking.

Today's, you know, workshop will kick off two particular pathways. One is around standardization of the data that we receive and how do we think about that, and bringing along the stakeholders to understand what we're actually calculating and what the refinery margins actually mean.

The second is to discuss refinery maintenance turnaround. As refineries continue to close, we have understood the importance of balancing supply and demand in California. So as we have more and more electrification and transportation, you will begin to see a destruction of demand on the gasoline side and the industry has to make

their own decisions on how they run the refinery sector in California, and it has direct implications on the supply which we are really worried about.

The other part of it is the consequences of the unplanned maintenance of refineries is sometimes unavoidable but has a tremendous impact on the price of the pump. And part of this rulemaking is really trying to figure out to what end the state can do in helping plan the -- to have the consistency on planning the planned maintenance to allow for the supply to be liquid in the state.

So overall, we're looking forward to a meaningful, constructive discussion from all of our, you know, stakeholders and parties that are interested in this work.

 $\,$ And with that, I will pass it on to Ryan Eggers to kick off the staff presentation.

Thank you, Ryan.

2.2

2.3

2.4

MR. EGGERS: There we go. Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Ryan Eggers. I am the Supervisor of the Transportation Fuels Data and Analysis Unit, and welcome everybody to our order instituting rulemaking proceeding workshop here today.

So with the opening of this workshop, let's answer the question: What is a rulemaking? A rulemaking is

the agency's ability -- or an agency's process to establish a rule or regulation. And what's the point of a regulation or a rule? That's to make -- or to provide extra detail to the reporting parties or any stakeholders that are involved in the process, provide that extra clarity, that data standardization that was talked about by the Vice Chair.

2.2

So as Aleecia and the Vice Chair just stole my thunder, why are we here? The reason we're here, it's just like the slide says, we're here to talk about data collection and refinery maintenance and monitoring.

Now SB X1-2 was a very sweeping piece of legislation, a lot of interdependencies, a lot of cross-sections on a lot of these particular topics. Already, we're doing market manipulation and some of the data that we are collecting isn't informing that process. But we are going to, for this process, we are going to be specifically talking about the data collection to inform that, not necessarily what's going on, on that particular side.

As the Vice Chair mentioned, the penalty is very important. Again, there is data being collected that will inform that decision on that threshold. We will not be discussing the actual penalties or any of the tiers that was discussed in the legislation, but we will be discussing the gross gasoline refining margin, as the Vice Chair said,

because that is part of the data collection that's already been implemented as part of SB X1-2.

2.2

2.3

Now the other main function of a rulemaking is not only to make a rule and regulation, but it's also to gather input and seek input from other stakeholders within this area. It is a collaboration process, okay? Data collection is a very detailed-oriented operation, which requires back and forth in order to really standardize and really get down to the details on what some of these items actually mean.

And the Energy Commission is very much seeking input, not only from industry, but from environmental justice organizations, labor, consumer advocates, the public, and anyone else interested in this particular process. All comments are welcome. There is a docket opened that one of my later slides will address.

Now this rulemaking process is going to be a little bit different, especially since, you know, compared to what I did in 2021 and 2022. That was more of a standard rulemaking process that was much longer.

In the case of SB X1-2, this rulemaking process, the legislature granted the Energy Commission emergency rulemaking powers in this particular case, and these emergency rulemaking powers extend to all prior data collection, a lot of the analysis and reporting

requirements. If there's any sort of regulation that needs to be done on any of like, say, the transportation assessment or the Transition Plan, that could be handled under this. Confidentiality requirements would also fall under this, along with any enforcement authority.

2.2

2.3

The Vice Chair has already mentioned, we're also contemplating the timing of turnarounds and maintenance. This is under Public Resources Code 25354.2. Those would also be under emergency rulemaking, an emergency rulemaking process, which is a much more streamlined, quick process to implement.

One important key element here is one particular section within the PIIRA, our statutory authority, is the refinery maintenance activity is actually APA-exempt, so any of these rules could immediately go into our guidelines approved by the Commissioners at a business meeting and would be all, you know, instantaneously implemented at that particular point. So this is going to be a little bit more of a quicker process than before.

Now to jump into, as Director Gutierrez stated, maintenance is going to be a big focus of this, and it's not just the maintenance reporting, which I have some other slides to go over what's already being reported to the Energy Commission, but this is also to talk about the scheduling and timing of planned maintenance events and

particularly outages, okay?

2.2

2.3

2.4

Some of these, you know, some of the things we are contemplating here are requiring regular updates to the DIR, the Department of Industrial Relations, schedules that are being submitted not only with DIR, but they're also now being submitted with the Energy Commission.

We're also contemplating requiring certain inventory levels be established before any sort of planned maintenance event takes place just to ensure the proper amount of supply of transportation fuels is available during that event for California citizens.

Okay, in addition, staff is considering setting certain periods where maintenance might be off limits. You know, we've recently, over the last two years, experienced two price spikes that happened in the September to October to November sort of time period. This might be a ripe, you know, position not to have any maintenance during that time just to make sure that that doesn't happen once again.

In addition to all of these other things, and, you know, we're open to any other suggestions, you know, requiring actual explicit CEC approval for any sort of maintenance might be something considered as part of this rulemaking process.

Now before we move on, refineries are extremely complex pieces of infrastructure, okay? They deal in a lot

of exotic chemicals and materials in order to make the transportation fuels and the other products that they make. So any sort of rule would consider, and the CEC would consult with, you know, one of our representatives today from the Department of Industrial Relations, but we've also been instructed to discuss with the Labor Workforce Development Agency, which DIR is a part of, okay? There's also emission concerns that might be associated with any sort of scheduling and maintenance. reaching out to any local Air Quality Management Districts would also be very important as part of this particular process. And we definitely want to ensure that we consider labor's input into any sort of decision. And any stakeholder who wants to make their feelings known on this particular subject would be welcome to participate. But the CEC very much, and staff very much, wants to make sure that everyone knows that some of the first and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

here in California.

And we want to provide a process to allow for industry input so we know exactly what's going on in these.

A lot of industry has spoken to us about these maintenance

foremost things we want to do is protect employees and the

while also minimizing these price spikes that we are seeing

public. We want to make this as safe as possible, okay,

events. We do know that in some cases these events are scheduled almost five years in advance. They involve getting a lot of contractors and securing a lot of resources prior to happening. There's a lot of planning involved. So getting that scheduling right and making sure everybody is accommodated will be a tough task in this particular case.

2.2

2.5

Now that's what I have to say about just the maintenance portion, which is also covered under the data collection.

Now this is a slide I've been using for messaging on our data collection activities as they're occurring right now. SB X1-2 data collection has been implemented and we have been gathering data. We've been gathering data in cost and profits, market analysis, maintenance, and other data items.

Now I have all the forms listed here. We're definitely going to go over them later, don't need to announce them now, but what I would say is we have learned from this data collection. And part of this rulemaking is we're looking to implement what we've learned and learn to enhance and add extra detail and standardization that has been already been talked about as part of these forms in order to make them better and provide a better data product for the state of California.

Now to start at a high level, all of these changes will obviously go into a new Petroleum Industry Information Reporting Act forms and instructions document, often referred to as PIIRA. Okay, and as it's been mentioned several times, and this is super important, we do plan on standardizing a lot of the data fields and we are looking for input on how best to do that. I mean, there's a lot of different ways to report volumes, whether we want gallons or barrels or even lots, okay? So getting a lot of those right, and as the Vice Chair mentioned, getting some of the other calculations correct will be super important.

2.2

2.3

2.4

But one of the other things we're looking to implement that's not necessarily part of the rulemaking but we kind of want to get it out to everybody in the open is the Energy Commission would like to move to a more .CSV format on a lot of our forms for data collection. Now in the case of our forms, a lot of these forms are legacy forms that required manual filling out. So moving to a more machine-readable format should make it easier for a lot of the reporting community, but also on the intake side, make it a much more machine readable, much easier to import. And so some of these changes will be incorporated in the next release of that forms and instructions document.

So to march right in to some of the forms, here

is the M1322 form, which does gather a lot of that cost information that was talked about that would be included as part of the penalty. Some things that we would need to clarify include what is actually going in to some of these gross gasoline margins and what do some of these prices mean.

2.2

2.3

In the case of the volume-weighted average price for say any of the supply chain items here, is cap and trade costs, LCFS costs, are they in those? Are the refineries considering the taxes and fees LCFS and cap and trade as well? Getting some clarification there, I mean we can, through back calculations, sort of figure out what's going on, but just making sure that there's no interpretation sort of inconsistencies can be achieved here and can be easily done.

Another thing ,as the Vice Chair mentioned, what is the exact calculation everyone is using for the gross gasoline refining margin? Are they including the refined gasoline sales or are they leaving it out? Is that part of the operating cost? Just making sure everybody is working from the same standard playbook. We have seen some small differences. Again, staff can correct all of these but we can also correct them from the source making it easier to report, making it easier for other people to interpret our information as well.

Again, I sort of alluded to this, one of the other things we can definitely change for this M1322 Report is getting a little bit more detail on what exactly are the costs being reported.

2.2

2.3

What you see here are some examples of things that the statute requested to be reported as part of this Implementation Plan. But exactly when they do report it, are they reporting just the standard costs for other transportation fuels and then sort of like weighing it in a certain way? You know, how are they actually doing those calculations?

A lot of this has important implications because gasoline is not the only product a lot of refineries produce. How those things are substituted between each other, it's very important to have those particular details right and make sure that the staff interpreting the data knows what they're looking at is super important here.

The other thing I would like to stress, especially when it comes to the cost information, is we do want to follow any sort of standard accounting process when we do do this, okay? We want to be able to link these up to other cost information that we can obtain, say from the Franchise Tax Board, or even just looking at SEC filings for profits that the refineries put to the federal agencies as well, making sure we know exactly how this lines up with

all that information, very super important. And again, is the blend stock showing up or like alkaline costs showing up in those costs? Or are they being pushed to a more refined gas sort of location on the form? Clearing those things up is one of the items we're looking to implement as part of this rulemaking.

2.2

Moving on to the other big lift that was part of SB X1-2 is the Spot Market Transaction Report. Since July, we've been collecting Spot Market Transaction Reports regularly, daily. Recently, industry got guidance that we would like that submitted in two parts in two different stages, one when the transaction is first agreed upon, then later when it's actually finalized. With that two-part submission guidance, the idea of maybe breaking this form up into two different forms becomes something easily doable and might, you know, provide extra clarity for reporters and make it easier for reporters to know exactly what they need to report is a possibility here.

Also, we want to standardize a lot of these items because what we're seeing is a lot of individual company reports on products, what they term the product that they're selling in this particular case. Maybe we can strip this down to just a car-bought product, an Arizona-bought product, a Nevada-bought product, you know, getting -- and just maybe even sticking to certain octane

levels, keeping this very, you know, limited as far as choices-wise, which will also help for the .CSV implementation because that will make it much more machine readable.

2.2

Again, yes-no fields. We can just make this a Y or an N, save on space, save on what's being sold.

Same for seller and buyer fields. There's only two choices. We can make this a one-two sort of breakup.

The other big thing as part of this report is what the legislature obviously wanted from this report is some sort of differential to the NYMEX or what that sort of traded price was. At this moment, industry is providing us cost formulas, but we are finding a lot of these cost formulas are taking a variety of different shapes. So having an extra field that just has that differential to the NYMEX, just to clean it up, just so we can compare to the OPIS reports that we also get would be also super important as part of implementation of this form.

And also having that physical price. Right now, we're asking for a contracted price, so sometimes, every once in a while, somebody does give us that physical price, but sometimes they give us the total value, so we need to divide by the inventory in order to get back to that physical, individual price. So having some of that standardization will, again, make it easier for, say, CDTFA

to look at our information and to do analysis on their end as well.

1.3

2.0

2.2

2.3

Moving on to our import-export forms, we are now also collecting a 96-hour report, so boats that are 96 hours away do need to report to us when they're going to show up to harbor and when they are going to need to discharge, so California does know when the next supply of refined fuels is coming in.

As part of that ask, landed cost was asked. You know, while landed cost is a field that's been well-defined by the EIA, it might make sense for further tightening of that definition for a California-specific term, okay? We have the option to make that more detailed or stick with the standard reporting.

There's also other fields where, again, we can just create certain categories that, you know, reporters could use in order to fill out that particular form, making it easier for them in order to fill out, but also easier for us to interpret.

Sales price is another ask that's sort of linked to the categories that I put there for status of product. What's being asked for here is if a cargo is being brought in by an import or record, but will be sold later to someone else, what is that actual sales price that they are transferring that fuel? Standardizing that and making that

detailed and making that clear that that should be different likely than the landed cost is something we would like to do as part of this particular process.

2.2

2.3

As mentioned before, SB X changed a lot of things, okay, and here is an example of one of the changes to our legacy forms. While we've always had a well-established reporting regime for the M700, which is our import and export form, price was added as part of this form. Again, what price are we actually seeking here?

In order to line up with that 96-hour report, it does make sense that we might want to have a landed cost here, or we might also want to have the sale on price reported here in order to link up with that. Also a lot of cargoes that are brought into California are also traded, so maybe we want to link them up to our spot market report. Here again, we would need probably a differential to the NYMEX, might be a more appropriate price to put in this section. We are definitely looking on feedback on what best fits California's needs in this particular case.

But along with some of those, again, we have the opportunity to standardize our volumes. We always want things in barrels, units, as opposed to say lots or gallons. And in the case of this particular report, since we deal in such large volumes, it would be thousands of barrels we definitely want as part of this report.

But again, we've also noticed some date format issues in some of these reports over time. Getting some feedback from industry on what is the best way to report those in order for us not to have to manually change them later would also be super helpful.

1.3

2.2

2.3

2.4

Now moving on to maintenance forms, which is going to be the last three forms to discuss here. What we're looking at right here is our EDR_m4a, Unplanned Maintenance Report and Turnaround Report. This is actually the initial report we get. And so there are some definite things we can do to improve this. And we've definitely heard from industry what these different things would be in order to improve these things.

Now operational capacity is a pretty standard thing, but each unit does have a different operating capacity. And it does have different impacts on how a flow process is actually sort of pushed through a system, okay? And because of that, the Energy Commission does have a need that when net -- or the estimated daily decrease is put in there, we want a net capacity loss in barrels per day in this particular case. Why? Because that's the main way we're sort of internalizing the information, and that's the easiest way for us to report out.

Now once we add up all these units, we do know from interacting with a lot of our industry colleagues that

these things interact in different ways. And so when looking at these reports, it's not very easy just to sum up all the estimated losses from each one of these processes. So as part of this, we would ask for what's the aggregate net loss from all of these units down as a separate field on there, just so we're not making any mistakes on our side in interpreting this information.

1.3

2.2

2.3

The other thing we're seeing a little bit of confusion on is the report period versus the date filed. In this case, it's very simply the date filed is when it gets actually sent to our data submission portal, or our DSP, where the report period is the date of the start of the unplanned event in this particular case. So they should be different, okay, unless you're reporting it to us on the same day. But industry does have 48 hours, so we would expect those two dates to be slightly different. And we would expect the report date to be before the date filed in this particular case.

Moving on to the final report, which is very similar to the initial report, again, a lot of same comments would apply, and we would want to keep these particular reports very consistent to one another, okay? But again, volumes, very important here. We want barrels per day in order for our own interpretational needs to be met.

Again, we would want the report period and the date filed very standardized. Again, that date filed should be after the report date of when it was finished in this particular case.

1.3

2.2

2.3

So some of these items should line up just to make it easier for import and interpretation, because when we see these, especially when it comes to the dates, when we see these not lining up, we might not know that another amended report was supposed to be amending another particular incident, or it could be another incident altogether. Clearing up that confusion by getting those timings right is super important.

And then finally, we have the Planned Maintenance Refinery [sic] Report. Again, a lot of the same fields that you're seeing here. Again, we want to make sure we get net capacity in, you know, volumes per day reported in the daily decrease. Operational capacity should match, like information we see in the EIA that they have for these particular units that's reported to the EIA. Again, getting the report period is super important here, because if we get an amended report later, we want to make sure we amend the proper event.

But we are also thinking about adding some categories in this particular case, specifically what was the inventory billed in order to support this? Some of

this information is in here already, but we can make it clearer, okay? Also, how are these inventory bills occurring? Are they in-state purchases from a local refinery, or is this an out-of-state purchase from a boat that we were talking about with our import-export forms prior? Knowing how that inventory build was achieved is something that has been desired by the CEC STDAP.

2.2

2.3

So that's just some of the things we're definitely contemplating. Everything SB X1-2 is definitely open for this particular rulemaking, and we're going to be at heart at work creating rules in writing, trying to make this as clear as possible. But in order to make any rules we do create as part of this process, feedback and collaboration is definitely needed. I know I said that earlier, but I can't say that enough. You know, one of the lessons we definitely learned from our last data collection rulemaking is getting industry and other stakeholders in the room. Super important in order to ensure those rules are right and those are written correctly.

So any information on industry standards, very helpful. Any sort of comments on how to clarify things or how people are interpreting things, again, super helpful. And suggestions of simplification, I think, are always welcome for both sides. Everybody wants to make this process a lot easier.

Now here is the timeline we're looking at for this. Again, this is an emergency rulemaking process, and thus the timeline, believe it or not, is actually very compressed. I believe the last time, Vice Chair, you and I did a data collection, it was almost like two or three years we had to go through this. We're looking at trying to get this done by June of next year, which is very, very compressed as opposed to what we've done in the past.

2.2

So today, obviously, we've got a workshop. From December 2023 into April, we're looking at talking to different stakeholders, talking to industry, trying to clear up as much of this confusion as possible, and then have some rules and regulations available for adoption by you and the other Commissioners roughly around the June 2024 time.

At that point, that package would be sent to OAL, and we are joined by a member of CCO to talk through any of those details if you have any questions on how that submission process would actually happen. But with that, sending to OAL, I believe it's ten days they would have to respond, and then within ten days, we would be looking for those particular rules and regulations to be adopted and accepted, putting us in roughly about the July timeframe for actual implementation of those particular clarifications in this particular case.

And as I mentioned, if you have a question or, more appropriately, what we really want from this proceeding is comments, please submit those comments to the docket at 23-OIR-03 in this particular case. Do not submit it to the old docket for a lot of these proceedings, which was 23-SB-02. Instead, use this 23-OIR-03, and please submit them by November 21st, 2023, at 5:00 p.m. We're looking to really get this process rolling and start writing some rules in order to accelerate this implementation.

2.2

And I believe that's all I have for my presentation. I now turn it back to the dais for any questions or clarifications.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you so much, Ryan, for going through the deck.

I wanted to have a few questions answered for the record so that those who are participating today, and not completely stupid in our process, can understand.

Chad, maybe you could kind of elaborate on this?
What does the emergency part of the rulemaking allows us?
Obviously, the rulemaking process requires detailed
stakeholder analysis, which takes a lengthy time. In going
through this shorter process, you know, what are we giving
up, if you could just expand on the process to keep it, you
know, moving forward?

MR. OLIVER: Sure, Vice Chair. Can you hear me? Yeah, appreciate the question and happy to give an overview.

2.2

2.5

I think when we look at the statute, it's clear that the legislature wanted to give the CEC the ability to be nimble in responding to adjusting and implementing the law in response to developments in the industry.

And as Ryan mentioned, the bulk of the regulations to implement SB X1-2 and SB 1322 will be emergency regulations. And we're currently in a pre-rulemaking stage, as Ryan mentioned, so this workshop and kind of that period that currently is proposed for April of next year, again, that pre-rulemaking phase, gathering information, drafting regulations. And then once the Energy Commission finalizes the draft regulations, they'll be submitted to the Commission at a business meeting.

And from that point, and there's still a rulemaking package that goes along with that, and then that rulemaking package will be sent to the Office of Administrative Law, or OAL. And with emergency regulations, if OAL approves the rules, they'll be effective ten days after they're submitted to OAL. And the emergency rule regulations would remain in effect for two years in this particular case, and there would be a process for the CDC to make them final if that's necessary.

And there's also, in this case, rules to implement the refinery maintenance data reporting in particular are APA-exempt. And so those would not be submitted to OAL. They would be submitted to the Energy Commission at a business meeting and would go into effect upon adoption at a business meeting. And those would be housed in kind of a separate guidelines document.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

2.3

The other kind of question, I think maybe, Ryan, it's more of a comment/question to you, you know, one of the things that we need to ensure in this process is credibility of the data. You know, there's a tendency to take the data and use it to tell different stories. So I want to make sure, one, we are taking the necessary steps by providing some of those analysis ahead of time, and the access to the information as well as we can.

So just wanted to kind of get your thoughts as a data, you know, specialist on this one. What are the steps you're considering in making sure the data we are reporting is accurate and does not allow for too much dilution of what that data means and stretching what the facts are?

MR. EGGERS: It's a comment, yeah, I think about it all the time, Vice Chair. And staff is constantly trying to double-check information wherever we can. But at the same time, ensuring good data is always about getting

the definitions perfectly correct and being honest with the definitions.

2.2

2.3

2.4

Your point about construing the information is well taken, and staff always tries to prevent that whenever possible. But there is always, as I said, you know, it's a very detailed-oriented game, there's always misunderstandings, okay? And having clear data dictionaries and definitions is always the first step in clearing that up, and the Energy Commission does try to provide data definitions and be as clear as possible when possible.

But please be cognizant of the fact that, in this industry especially, there's always certain terms that sound like another term and are used in different ways all through the chain. You know, a great example is the refining margin. For years, we used this as part of our forecasting exercises to describe the difference between a rack price and crude oil. But it's also reported as a gross margin or refining margin in the case of SEC filings.

So perhaps some of the steps we do need to take is coming up with new terms or new ways to refer to some of these concepts along the way. And definitely, we can run some of those ideas by, you know, by you and the other Commissioners in order to clear up some of this confusion.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Yeah, thanks, Ryan. You know,

I just want to lift up, you know, all the good work that you and the team are doing in making sure the data, you know, has good integrity, you know, and clarity, so thank you for all the work.

1.3

2.2

2.3

I do want to see from your perspective if you have any guidance on making sure that interests around safety and labor are protected, and if you have anything for us, both in guidance and co-questions?

DEPUTY CHIEF PAGANELLI: I would just say in terms of safety, just work with us. And we're very happy to work with you and offer our perspective on what can be done, what needs to be done, so thank you.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Great. And I have other questions, but I think maybe we could just -- I can connect with you after.

So how about I, you know, pass it back to

Aleecia? Are you going to run the public comment or -
MS. GUTIERREZ: Okay, I'm going to bring Aria a

mic, but to prepare for public comments, we are going to

use the raise-hand feature for those on Zoom.

Before we open it up to those attending remotely, we will take public comments from those in the room. If you can approach the podium there, make sure you unmute the microphone, and you will have three minutes to make your comment. Please also introduce yourself and your

affiliation and spell your name for the record. 1 2 Thank you. 3 MS. DERIVI: My name is Tanya DeRevi with the 4 Western States Petroleum Association. My name is 5 T-A-N-Y-A, last name is DeRevi, it's D, like David, -E, capital R, like Robert, -I-V, like Victor, -I. 6 7 New authority granted to CEC imposing requirements covering the timing of turnaround and 8 9 maintenance is of grave concern to industry. longstanding standard practices of operating any refinery. 10 There are numerous federal and state regulations that 11 12 impose time-based requirements on refinery operators to perform maintenance activities with standards and deadlines 1.3 14 focused on safe operations through timely maintenance. 15 These planned activities usually take years of planning and coordination of logistics, labor, equipment, 16 17 and inventories. Turnarounds can involve one or more 18 processing units or the entire shutdown of a refinery. 19 Process safety and mechanical integrity are key reasons 20 that turnarounds are done at predetermined intervals. 21 Inspecting, replacing, and repairing units or pipelines is 2.2 of the utmost importance to ensure the safe and efficient 2.3 production of transportation fuels. 2.4 Refinery maintenance and safety is so important 25 that there are multiple federal, state, and local laws and

regulations. In 2017, California strengthened process safety management via legislation and SB 54, which required the use of a skilled and trained workforce at California refineries. There are Labor Code sections, DIR Regulations, and local laws, including the Contra Costa Industrial Safety Ordinance, plus the Federal Chemical Safety Board recommendations, making California one of the most stringent jurisdictions for process safety and refinery maintenance.

2.2

2.3

2.4

California also created an Interagency Refinery
Task Force to implement recommendations from the governor's
Interagency Working Group on Refinery Safety, including
enhancing regulatory oversight and hosting safety forums.
This task force includes 11 agencies, but the CEC is not
one of them. The CEC should join to fully appreciate and
understand the complexities of turnarounds and maintenance.

CEC's creation of new market-based regulations that use pricing and supply demand concerns to alter the timing of refinery maintenance creates a conflict with these existing safety-based regulations and may put refinery operators in a position where compliance with both is not possible. It may also have unintentional market impacts for the problems you're trying to solve.

Dictating when a refinery can or can't perform maintenance will compromise the safety of our workers and

communities. In addition, if the state defers maintenance, it could create a situation where price volatility becomes even greater with unplanned upsets by pushing beyond the state's own mechanical integrity regulations, potentially causing supply shocks. Even moving a scheduled turnaround has inventory implications.

1.3

2.2

2.3

2.4

A greater question is: Who is held liable if the CEC denies a refiner from performing maintenance and something goes wrong? What if the operator decides the refinery simply cannot be operated safely?

We would recommend minimizing market volatility by identifying policy changes to support, not hinder, critical investments in the maintenance and buildout of necessary infrastructure to support fuels demand and evaluating barriers preventing needed maintenance activities and challenging infrastructure from being repurposed.

Trying to schedule maintenance is like trying to address a symptom instead of fixing the root cause of the problem, that California continues to implement policies that negatively impact the supply of transportation fuels and telling an industry when you can or can't make repairs compromises safety.

Thank you very much.

MS. BERLINER: For those of you joining on Zoom,

please use the raise-hand feature to let us know you'd comment. If you're joining us by phone, please press star nine to raise your hand.

I'm not seeing any raised hands. I spoke too soon.

Okay, Greg. Greg, your line is open. You may need to unmute on your end. Please state and spell your name and affiliation for the record after you are unmuted and before commenting. Thank you.

MR. KARRAS: Hi, I'm Greg Karras. Can you hear me?

MS. BERLINER: Yes, we can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MR. KARRAS: That's G-R-E-G K-A-R-R-A-S. I'm with Community Energy Resource.

And I have a question for staff, and this is actually kind of broad, so just a heads up, I'm talking about not just what happens now, but in the future as we start ramping down refining rates, and hopefully are doing that in a more controlled way than now so it isn't like whole plants go down, but rather we still have a larger number of smaller refineries that give us more sort of built-in security from having an outage that becomes a big part of the whole amount of remaining demand.

So as you think about setting a minimum inventory level, you know, knowing that inventory of refined fuels

1 has varied seasonally for reasons that are pretty well 2 understood, summer-driving season and whatnot, as you think about setting that minimum inventory level and realizing it 3 4 might be a level that is different in the summer than in 5 the fall or the spring or the winter, and that's all okay, but how do you build in and anticipate the need for 6 7 possibly having a larger proportion of the future existing refining capacity be available in inventory? 8 9 I don't see a technical problem with that 10 necessarily because, you know, the tankage for holding the 11 inventory doesn't necessarily need to be taken down at the 12 same time as the processing capacity. In fact, that's happening already at refineries that are switching to 13 14 diesel biofuels, they're also maintaining gasoline storage 15 and transfer capacity. 16 But I think it's important to keep in mind 17 because it's part of how we manage the decline of oil in a 18 way that avoids unnecessary price gouging in the future. 19 So just wanted to know your thoughts about that. VICE CHAIR GUNDA: 20 Thank you for the comment 21 This is a time of public comment, but we will try to 2.2 get staff feedback at the end before we close the workshop. 2.3 So, Ryan, if you want to just take that at the end? 2.4 25 MS. BERLINER: It does not look like we have any

1 | more raised hands on the Zoom platform.

1.3

2.2

2.3

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you Aria. Do you want to check the phone lines?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ BERLINER: We also do not have any raised hands on the phone lines.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Thank you.

So, Ryan, if you want to just respond to Greg in terms of kind of the transition? I think part of the answer is the assessment we're doing, but you might want to comment on that a little bit more, and also anything that you might want to respond to in terms of Tanya's comments. Thank you.

MR. EGGERS: I would like to thank Greg for his comments. I think you just stole my thunder, Vice Chair. We do have a workshop coming up on the 14th that will address the assessment and talk about and contemplate a lot of the issues that were just presented by Greg.

I would also like to point out that California and the Energy Commission is now required to produce a transition plan that we're working with CARB that will also look at some of that bringing down the fuel and bringing down the refineries as gasoline and diesel consumption decline in order for California to meet its goals. And I think those would be the better venues to answer those sort of questions and contemplate those particular ideas at this

moment.

2.2

2.3

2.4

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: So, Greg, if you're still listening, we welcome your participation in the assessment and the Transition Plan, and please do reach out to our team if you want to further engage on that conversation.

And I think I just wanted to respond and say,

Tanya, thank you for your comments on the broad concerns on
safety, you know, deferred maintenance and it's noted and
absolutely will be taken into consideration as we go
through this rulemaking process.

Anything?

know, I think the comments from Tanya highlight the importance of the work that CEC and the team at CDTFA are doing because I do think it's important that we have some shared facts from which we can build a productive conversation. Because I think for too long, it seems to me, there has been, you know, lots of different ideas about why gas pricing works the way it does in the state of California and without sort of a shared acceptance of what are the basic facts. And I think until we get that it's very hard to move beyond sort of blaming and move towards finding a solution.

So I do think that's an important part of what we're doing and I'm grateful for industry's participation.

VICE CHAIR GUNDA: Yes, and thank you, Director Maduros.

1.3

2.2

And I think, Tanya, to your point about us joining the working group, I think that's an idea that we should think through, Ryan, and I welcome, you know, any kind of concerns from DIRECTOR, as well, for like us to be really equipped with the information.

And I want to elevate what Director Maduros just said in terms of I think the first task at hand is to ensure that we have the facts right, whether it's around doing the rulemaking -- around the maintenance, you know, around safety or around the penalty, it all stems from common facts and making sure that we have factual information that we can respond from.

And I think in that spirit, you know, I want to, one, thank the industry for, you know, their continued work on providing information, but also continue to urge and caution using some of the information we have in a way that could be counterproductive, especially, you know, as we think through talking about the ultimate cost at the pump. You know, I think it's a very well-articulated situation that the taxes and fees in California do add that, you know, dollar at the pump. But I think that is not in question and I don't think we want to deliberate and spend time on that, but it's around what is happening with the

margins and the question around transparency of the margins 1 2 and what we are supposed to do. 3 So I really would welcome the industry 4 participation around digging into that information and 5 helping us learn and helping us get to good actions that are helpful, ultimately, to all Californians. 6 Because 7 those price margins that you might see in a month will continue to change. You know, the net margins, I think in 8 9 July were about \$0.14 if I'm not wrong, but they climbed up 10 to \$0.24 in August, it might be higher in September, and I 11 just don't want to use any one single data point to kind 12 of, you know, change the kind of conversation. So I just 1.3 welcome the industry to continue to work with us 14 collaboratively to move the conversation forward to action. 15 Thank you. And I don't think we have any more 16 questions from the dais. 17 MS. BERLINER: We welcome written comments and, 18 once again, those comments are due by the close of day on 19 November 21st, 2023. Instructions on how to provide 20 written comments are included in the notice for this 21 workshop, which is posted on the CEC's website. 2.2 This concludes public comments. 2.3 MS. GUTIERREZ: So if there are no other comments 24 from the dais, we can go ahead and adjourn.

As Ryan mentioned, we will have two more

25

```
workshops in this month, one on November 14th to go over
1
2
    the draft transportation fuels assessment, and then one on
 3
    November 28th to kick off the order instituting
 4
    informational proceeding on the margin and penalty.
 5
               So with that, we'll go ahead and adjourn. Enjoy
 6
    your afternoon.
                      Thank you.
 7
                (The workshop adjourned at 2:31 p.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 14th day of November, 2023.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

November 14, 2023