

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	23-OPT-01
Project Title:	Fountain Wind Project
TN #:	249953
Document Title:	fwp_vis_response_spreadsheet
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Caitlin Barns
Organization:	Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
Submitter Role:	Applicant Consultant
Submission Date:	5/2/2023 4:06:34 PM
Docketed Date:	5/2/2023

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-01	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (1)	...provide a discussion of the existing site conditions, the expected direct, indirect and cumulative impacts due to the construction, operation and maintenance of the project, the measures proposed to mitigate adverse environmental impacts of the project, the effectiveness of the proposed measures, and any monitoring plans proposed to verify the effectiveness of the mitigation.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2.1 Study Area, 3.2.2.2 Environmental Setting, 3.2.4.2 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Project, and 3.2.5 Cumulative Impacts TN 248320-10: Shadow Flicker Rev. 2 TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2, Sections 2.2 Setting, 4.0 Affected Environment, 5.0 Results and Discussion, and 5.4 Potential Mitigation TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Section 2.6 Visual Resources and 3.0 Conclusions	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The current impact analysis addresses the previous project and must be revised to address the currently proposed project. The selected seven KOPs are inadequate to support the present analysis and must be revised/augmented. Specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Of the original seven KOPs, only two (KOPs 1 and 2) are close enough to the project such that turbines could be perceived. A better balance of distant and proximal viewing locations needs to be represented in the selection of KOPs in order to accurately characterize Aesthetics impacts on public views. For example, a portion of the B turbine string is within one mile of SR 299. That segment of SR 299 and may be an appropriate location for a representative KOP if project visibility can be demonstrated. Under the currently proposed project design, KOP 1 is no longer orientated toward the project and must either be reoriented or replaced such that the project is visible in the frame of view. The visual simulations provided to support the impact analysis are inadequate in terms of quality, content, and format and must be revised and/or <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The resolution of the provided images is so low that the turbines described in the text and captions as being visible are minimally discernible. In some simulations, the color of the turbines does not appear as bright (white) as one would expect for turbines not being backlit by the sun. This artificially reduces structure visibility. Full-page, color photographs of the existing views and visual simulations of the proposed project at life-size scale (when the picture is held 10 inches from the viewer's eyes) have not been provided as required in the Siting Regulations Appendix B (g) (6) (F) and must be submitted. The DEIR acknowledges that vegetation cleared corridors may be detectable in long distance views and states that minimal visual contrast would result. However, there is no analysis or simulations to support this conclusion. Therefore, an evaluation of the considerable vegetation clearance that is proposed for the Overhead Collector Corridors and for Road Widening shall be provided. If any in-line views of a cleared linear corridor are 	<p>The KOPs provide the vehicle by which existing and proposed conditions are representatively discussed in the VIA and EIR. The seven KOP locations were previously identified and selected based on coordination with Shasta County, the lead agency for the Project during development of materials to support the CEQA analysis. Changes will be made to the set of KOPs as follows. Included below are references to: updated viewshed figures, high-resolution JPEG images of existing simulations, and figures showing the comparative effects between the project as proposed in the DEIR and as revised and submitted to the Shasta County Board of Supervisors on 9/13/21 (Fig6_fountain_wind_sims_091321 [TN# 249950-3]), all of which were submitted via KiteWork on May 2, 2023:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - KOP 1: Remove from set. - KOP 2: Retain. Please see 9/13/21 BOS Fig 6-2D, which indicates that the most proximate / visible turbines remain within the field of view shown here. Please also see high-resolution JPEG of simulation for KOP 2 (KOP2c_FtnWind_BOS_Sept2021-revised). - KOP 3: Supplement. A second simulation will be produced showing the view centered to the east-southeast from KOP 3. 9/13/21 BOS Fig 6-3D indicates that additional turbines would be visible. (See KOP3cFTNWind_BOS-Sept2021-revised) - KOP 4: Add view from closer east-west stretch of SR299, per CEC request. Turbines would be visible in direct views of short duration; show in deference to disclosure. Simulation may also demonstrate extent to which new / expanded roads would be visible. (See KOP4c_FtnWind_BOS-Sept2021-revised) - KOP 5: Retain as representative of viewer experience from Burney. The town of Burney is moderately to heavily forested in its downtown and in areas along / south of SR 299. The northern segment of the town consists mainly of rural residences and small ranches. Where absence of forested areas would allow for unobstructed line-of-sight toward the proposed project, views would appear to represent private residences or otherwise less developed conditions than the community center / gathering place views this KOP was selected to represent. (See KOP5c_FtnWind_BOS-Sept2021-revised) - KOP 6: Retain. Please see high-resolution JPEG (KOP6c_FtnWind_BOS-Sept2021-revised) - KOP 7: Retain. Please see high-resolution JPEG (KOP7c_FtnWind_BOS-Sept2021-revised) <p>Full-page, color photographs of the existing views and visual simulations (as included in the DEIR and provided as supplement to the Shasta County Board of Supervisors in September 2021) were submitted via Kiteworks on May 2, 2023 (See KOP files "EXISTING" and "DEIRproposed").</p> <p>With the exception of the two access points along SR 299, road widening required by the project would be limited to areas within the project footprint and likely not prominently visible from SR 299 or other publicly accessible points due to obstruction from roadside vegetation.</p> <p>The Applicant will coordinate with FAA to establish the type and amount of night lighting required for the Project. This information is</p>	May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
								<p>visible from a public vantage point, a representative KOP shall be established, and a simulation shall be prepared. * Proposed night lighting at the project site is insufficiently described to support the stated conclusion that lighting impacts would be less than significant. All proposed lighting with the potential to be viewed by the public beyond the project boundary must be described and mapped. Further, lighting mitigation measures need to be identified where night lighting has the potential to be viewed by the public. In those cases, a night lighting mitigation plan shall be provided.</p>	<p>not known at present. As agreed in communication with CEC on 4/13/23, the Applicant will provide a reasonable timeline for when CEC would receive final night lighting plans.</p>		

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-02	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (A)	Descriptions of the existing visual setting of the vicinity of the proposed project site and the proposed routes for any project-related linear facilities. Include:	TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2.1 Study Area, 3.2.2.2 Environmental Setting, 3.2.4.2 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Project, and 3.2.5 Cumulative Impacts TN 248320-10: Shadow Flicker Rev. 2 TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2, Sections 2.2 Setting, 4.0 Affected Environment, 5.0 Results and Discussion, and 5.4 Potential Mitigation TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Section 2.6 Visual Resources and 3.0 Conclusions	No	* Descriptions and maps of the proposed overhead electrical collector routes to be cleared of vegetation and existing roadways to be widened shall be provided. * If any in-line views of a cleared linear corridor are visible from a public vantage point, a representative KOP shall be established, and a simulation shall be prepared.	Please see "10-Mile Radius Viewshed - Overhead Collector Poles," (TN# 249950-6) which indicates no line-of-sight visibility between the proposed collector poles and nearby main roadways or populated areas, with the exception of individual cells along two segments of SR299, one no closer than 3.2 miles to the nearest overhead collector pole and one 5.4 miles away. The cleared linear corridor would not be visible from these locations.	May 2, 2023	
VIS-03	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (A) (i)	Topographic maps at a scale of 1:24,000 that depict directions from which the project would be seen, the view areas most sensitive to the potential visual impacts of the project, and the locations where photographs were taken for (g)(6)(C); and	TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Figures 5a, 5b, and 5d through 5g	No	· Maps provided are at scales ranging from 1:60,000 to 1:506,880. These maps shall be revised to reflect the location and view direction of existing, revised, or replaced KOPs. The scale of the maps can be deemed acceptable with the submission of a kmz file depicting the locations of the 48 proposed turbines, the linear areas to be cleared of vegetation (electrical collector corridors and roads to be widened), all other project ancillary structures/facilities, and the final KOPs.	.kmz files provided via Kiteworks on May 2, 2023.	May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-04	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (A) (ii)	Description of the existing visual properties of the topography, vegetation, and any modifications to the landscape as a result of human activities, including existing water vapor plumes, above-ground electrical transmission lines, and nighttime lighting levels in the project viewshed.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2.1 Study Area, 3.2.2.2 Environmental Setting, 3.2.4.2 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Project, and 3.2.5 Cumulative Impacts TN 248320-10: Shadow Flicker Rev. 2 TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2, Sections 2.2 Setting, 4.0 Affected Environment, 5.0 Results and Discussion, and 5.4 Potential Mitigation TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Section 2.6 Visual Resources and 3.0 Conclusions	No	A description of the extent of night lighting (including FAA lighting) associated with the Hatchet Ridge Wind Project shall be provided. A description of the existing transmission lines that are in the project vicinity and/or within the same frame of view from each of the KOPs shall be provided.	FAA Advisory Circular AC 70/7460-1M, "Obstruction Marking and Lighting" (effective 11/16/2020), requires nighttime obstruction lighting consisting of single L-864 red flashing strobe, or pulsed obstruction lights for turbines shorter than 500 feet in height. Any array of flashing, strobe, or pulsed obstruction lighting should be synchronized to flash simultaneously (within ±1/20 second (0.05 second) of each other). Under clear conditions, FAA obstruction lights affixed to Hatchet Ridge Wind Project turbines are observable in Redding and Burney, as well as in other mountain communities / public viewpoints with direct lines of sight toward the existing wind project. Transmission and distribution lines are visible traversing the project site and throughout the surrounding landscape. High-voltage lines are prominent landscape features in views from KOP 2 (where lattice style towers of varying form appear atop a near ridgeline) and KOP 3, which is near PG&E's Round Mountain Substation. A cleared transmission corridor is visible from the current KOP 4, though from this distance (between approximately 1.5 and 2 miles away), it is difficult to distinguish the electrical infrastructure within from the vegetated backdrop. The same corridor is evident in longer views from KOP 5 and KOP 6. While local distribution infrastructure is observable in the foreground view from KOP 7, existing transmission line closer to the project vicinity are not identifiable from this location.	May 2, 2023	
VIS-04	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (B)	An assessment of the visual quality of those areas that would be affected by the proposed project. For projects proposed to be located within the coastal zone, the assessment should also describe how the proposed project would be sited to protect views to and along the ocean and scenic coastal areas, would minimize the alteration of natural landforms, would be visually compatible with the character of surrounding areas.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2.1 Study Area and 3.2.2.2 Environmental Setting TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2, Sections 2.2 Setting, 4.0 Affected Environment, and 5.0 Results and Discussion TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Sections 2.6 Visual Resources and 3.0 Conclusions	Yes	N/A	N/A	May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-05	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (C)	In consultation with Commission staff, identify: i) designated scenic roadways or scenic corridors and any visually sensitive areas that would be affected by the proposed project, including recreational and residential areas; and ii) the locations of the key observation points to represent the most critical viewing locations from which to conduct detailed analyses of the visual impacts of the proposed project. Indicate the approximate number of people using each of these sensitive areas and the estimated number of residences with views of the project. Also identify any major public roadways and trails of local importance that would be visually impacted by the project and indicate the types of travelers (that is, residents, recreationists, workers, commuters, etc.) and the approximate number of vehicles, bicyclists, and/or hikers per day.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2.1 Study Area, 3.2.2.2 Environmental Setting, 3.2.4.2 Direct and Indirect Effects of the Project, and 3.2.5 Cumulative Impacts TN 248320-10: Shadow Flicker Rev. 2 TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2, Sections 2.2 Setting, 4.0 Affected Environment, 5.0 Results and Discussion, and 5.4 Potential Mitigation TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Section 2.6 Visual Resources and 3.0 Conclusions	No	* The selected seven KOPs are inadequate to support the present analysis and must be revised/augmented. Specifically: - Of the original seven KOPs, only two (KOPs 1 and 2) are close enough to the project such that turbines could be perceived. A better balance of distant and proximal viewing locations needs to be represented in the selection of KOPs in order to accurately characterize Visual Resources impacts on public views. For example, a portion of the B turbine string is within one mile of SR 299. That segment of SR 299 may be an appropriate location for a representative KOP if project visibility can be demonstrated. - Under the currently proposed project design, KOP 1 is no longer oriented toward the project and must either be re-oriented or replaced such that the project is visible in the frame of view. * The approximate number of people using each of these sensitive areas and the estimated number of residences with views of the project shall be indicated in the analysis. The types of travelers (that is, residents, recreationists, workers, commuters, etc.) and the approximate number of vehicles, bicyclists, and/or hikers per day shall be included.	See response to VIS-01. The seven KOP locations were previously identified and selected based on coordination with Shasta County, the lead agency for the Project during development of materials to support the CEQA analysis. With the removal of KOP 1, no other KOP would represent a view from a designated scenic resource or other area with presumed visual protection. Hatchet Mountain Vista Point, a signed scenic overlook located east of the project site along eastbound SR 299, is oriented to the east, and also falls outside of the project viewshed. The 2020 populations of Montgomery Creek, Round Mountain, and Burney were 176, 160, and 3,000 respectively. As reported in the DEIR transportation section, on the two-lane rural section of SR 299 between Deschutes Road (on the east edge of Redding) and Elm Street (on the west edge of Burney), the peak-hour volume ranges from between 320 and 490 vehicles per hour.	May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-06	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (D)	A table providing the dimensions (height, length, and width, or diameter) and, proposed color(s), materials, finishes, patterns, and other proposed design characteristics of each major component visible from off the project site, including any project-related electrical transmission line and/or offsite aboveground pipelines and metering stations.	TN 248288-2: DEIR Section 2.4.1, Figure 2-4a: Typical Wind Turbine and Figure 6: Typical Overhead Collector Line Pole TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2 Setting and 3.2.4 Direct and Indirect Effects TN 248297-2: CEQA Initial Study, Figure 6: Typical Overhead Collector Line Pole TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2 TN 248322: Executive Summary and Project Description, Sections 3.1 Wind Turbine Generators; 4.1.2 Overhead Collector System; 4.2 Substation, Switching Station, and Interconnection Facilities; 4.3.1 Access Roads; 4.3.3 O&M Facility; and 4.3.4 Meteorological Equipment TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Section 2.6 Visual Resources and Section 3.0 Conclusions	No	A table that describes the dimensions (height, length, and width, or diameter) and proposed color(s), materials, finishes, patterns, and other proposed design characteristics of each major component visible from public viewpoints beyond the project site shall be provided. The table shall include wind turbines, electrical collector lines, operations and maintenance buildings, meteorological towers, and any other built project components that would be visible to the public.	Table provided (TN# 249952).	May 2, 2023	
VIS-07	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (E)	Provide the cooling tower and heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) exhaust design parameters that affect visible plume formation. For the cooling tower, data shall include heat rejection rate, exhaust temperature, exhaust mass flow rate, liquid to gas mass flow ratio, and, if the tower is plume-abated, moisture content (percent by weight) or plume-abated fogging curve(s). The parameters shall account for a range of ambient conditions (temperature and relative humidity) and proposed operating scenarios, such as duct	Not Applicable	N/A	Not Applicable		May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-08	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (F)	<p>i) Provide: full-page color photographic reproductions of the existing site, and full-page color simulations of the proposed project at life-size scale when the picture is held 10 inches from the viewer's eyes, including any project-related electrical transmission lines, in the existing setting from each key observation point. If any landscaping is proposed to comply with zoning requirements or to mitigate visual impacts, include the landscaping in simulation(s) representing sensitive area views, depicting the landscaping five years after installation; and estimate the expected time until maturity is reached.</p>	<p>TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2 TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Figures 5a, 5b, and 5d through 5g</p>	No	<p>o The visual simulations provided to support the impact analysis are inadequate in terms of quality, content, and format and must be revised and/or replaced to correct the following inadequacies: The resolution of the provided images is so low that the turbines described in the text and captions as being visible are minimally discernible. In some simulations, the color of the turbines does not appear as bright (white) as one would expect for turbines not being backlit by the sun. This artificially reduces structure visibility. Full-page, color photographs of the existing views and visual simulations of the proposed project at life-size scale (when the picture is held 10 inches from the viewer's eyes) have not been provided as required in the Siting Regulations Appendix B (g) (6) (F), and must be submitted.</p>	<p>Full-page, color photographs of the existing views and visual simulations (as included in the DEIR and provided as supplement to the Shasta County Board of Supervisors in September 2021) provided via Kiteworks on May 2, 2023.</p>	May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-09	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (1)	An assessment of the visual impacts of the project, including light, glare, and any modeling of visible plumes. Include a description of the method and identify any computer model used to assess the impacts. Provide an estimate of the expected frequency and dimensions (height, length, and width) of the visible cooling tower and/or exhaust stack plumes. Provide the supporting assumptions, meteorological data, operating parameters, and calculations used.	TN 248288-2: DEIR Section 2.4.1, Figure 2-4a: Typical Wind Turbine and Figure 6: Typical Overhead Collector Line Pole TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Sections 3.2.2 Setting and 3.2.4 Direct and Indirect Effects TN 248297-2: CEQA Initial Study, Figure 6: Typical Overhead Collector Line Pole TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2 TN 248322: Executive Summary and Project Description, Sections 3.1 Wind Turbine Generators; 4.1.2 Overhead Collector System; 4.2 Substation, Switching Station, and Interconnection Facilities; 4.3.1 Access Roads; 4.3.3 O&M Facility; and 4.3.4 Meteorological Equipment TN 248330-2: Project Refinement Memo, Section 2.6 Visual Resources and Section 3.0 Conclusions	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The current impact analysis addresses the previous project and must be revised to address the currently proposed project. * The selected seven KOPs are inadequate to support the present analysis and must be revised/augmented. Specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Of the original seven KOPs, only two (KOPs 1 and 2) are close enough to the project such that turbines could be perceived. A better balance of distant and proximal viewing locations needs to be represented in the selection of KOPs in order to accurately characterize Visual Resources impacts on public views. For example, a portion of the B turbine string is within one mile of SR 299. That segment of SR 299 and may be an appropriate location for a representative KOP if project visibility can be demonstrated. - Under the currently proposed project design, KOP 1 is no longer orientated toward the project and must either be re-oriented or replaced such that the project is visible in the frame of view. * The visual simulations provided to support the impact analysis are inadequate in terms of quality, content, and format and must be revised and/or replaced to correct the following inadequacies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The resolution of the provided images is so low that the turbines described in the text and captions as being visible are minimally discernible. - In some simulations, the color of the turbines does not appear as bright (white) as one would expect for turbines not being backlit by the sun. This artificially reduces structure visibility. - Full-page, color photographs of the existing views and visual simulations of the proposed project at life-size scale (when the picture is held 10 inches from the viewer's eyes) have not been provided as required in the Siting Regulations Appendix B (g) (6) (F) and must be submitted. * The DEIR acknowledges that vegetation-cleared corridors may be detectable in long- distance views and states that minimal visual contrast would result. However, there is no analysis or simulations to support this conclusion. Therefore, an evaluation of the considerable vegetation clearance that is proposed for the Overhead Collector 	See responses to VIS-01.	May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
								<p>Corridors and for Road Widening shall be provided. If any in-line views of a cleared linear corridor are visible from a public vantage point, a representative KOP shall be established, and a simulation shall be prepared.</p> <p>* Proposed night lighting at the project site is insufficiently described to support the stated conclusion that lighting impacts would be less than significant. All proposed lighting with the potential to be viewed by the public beyond the project boundary must be described and mapped. Further, lighting mitigation measures need to be identified where night lighting has the potential to be viewed by the public. In those cases, a night lighting mitigation plan shall be provided.</p>			
VIS-10	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (g) (6) (H)	If any landscaping is proposed to reduce the visual impacts of the project, provide a conceptual landscaping plan at a 1:40 scale (1"=40'). Include information on the type of plant species proposed, their size, quantity, and spacing at planting, expected heights at 5 years and maturity, and expected growth rates.	Not Applicable	N/A	Not Applicable		May 2, 2023	

Fountain Wind Project Responses to Visual Resources Data Requests

Data Request Identifier	Request Source	Topic	Reviewer	Siting Regulations	Information	Opt-In Page Number And Section Number	Adequate	Information Required To Make OPT Conform With Regulations	Response	Response Date	Disposition
VIS-11	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (i) (1) (A)	Tables that identify laws, regulations, ordinances, standards, adopted local, regional, state, and federal land use plans, leases, and permits applicable to the proposed project, and a discussion of the applicability of, and conformance with each. The table or matrix shall explicitly reference pages in the application wherein conformance, with each law or standard during both construction and operation of the facility is discussed; and	TN 248288-4: DEIR Visual Resources Section 3.2.2.3 Regulatory Setting TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Technical Report Rev. 2, Section 4.1 Regulatory Setting	No	A table or matrix shall be provided that specifically references pages in the application wherein conformance with each applicable law or standard during both construction and operation of the facility is discussed.	Updated LORS Consistency Matrix (TN# 249636) and General Plan Consistency Matrix (TN# 249635) were provided on April 12, 2023.	April 12, 2023	
VIS-12	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (i) (1) (B)	Tables that identify each agency with jurisdiction to issue applicable permits, leases, and approvals or to enforce identified laws, regulations, standards, and adopted local, regional, state and federal land use plans, and agencies which would have permit approval or enforcement authority, but for the exclusive authority of the commission to certify sites and related facilities.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Aesthetics Section 3.2.2.3 Regulatory Setting TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Report Rev. 2, Section 4.1 Regulatory Setting	No	A table shall be provided that identifies each agency with jurisdiction to issue applicable permits, leases, and approvals or to enforce identified laws; regulations; standards; and adopted local, regional, state, and federal land use plans. The table shall also identify agencies that would have permit approval or enforcement authority but for the exclusive authority of the Commission to certify sites and related facilities.	Table of applicable permits, agency contact information, and the schedule to obtain legally binding enforceable agreement(s) with community-based organizations and/or permitting entities was submitted on April 3, 2023 (TN# 249533).	April 3, 2023	
VIS-13	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (i) (2)	The name, title, phone number, address (required), and email address (if known), of an official who was contacted within each agency, and provide the name of the official who will serve as a contact person for Commission staff.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Aesthetics Section 3.2.2.3 Regulatory Setting TN 248320-13: Visual Resources Report Rev. 2, Section 4.1 Regulatory Setting	No	The name, title, phone number, address (required), and email address (if known), of an official who was contacted within each agency shall be provided. The name of the official who will serve as a contact person for Commission staff shall also be provided.	Table of applicable permits, agency contact information, and the schedule to obtain legally binding enforceable agreement(s) with community-based organizations and/or permitting entities was submitted on April 3, 2023 (TN# 249533).	April 3, 2023	
VIS-14	Deficiency Letter Matrix	Visual Resources	Clayton Kerr	Appendix B (i) (3)	A schedule indicating when permits outside the authority of the Commission will be obtained and the steps the applicant has taken or plans to take to obtain such permits.	TN 248288-4: DEIR Aesthetics Section 3.2.2.3 Regulatory Setting	No	A schedule indicating when permits outside the authority of the Commission will be obtained and the steps the applicant has taken or plans to take to obtain such permits shall be provided.	Table of applicable permits, agency contact information, and the schedule to obtain legally binding enforceable agreement(s) with community-based organizations and/or permitting entities was submitted on April 3, 2023 (TN# 249533).	April 3, 2023	