

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	23-IEPR-01
Project Title:	General Scope
TN #:	249252
Document Title:	25 Various Members - 2023 Scoping Order Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	25 Various Members
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	3/16/2023 3:41:46 PM
Docketed Date:	3/15/2023

Comment Received From: 25 Various Members Comments 5
Submitted On: 3/16/2023
Docket Number: 23-IEPR-01

2023 Scoping Order Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: [Marcelo Poppi](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 7:56:13 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Marcelo Poppi

From: [Austin Park](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:04:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Austin Park

From: [ANTHONY SANTANGELO](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:10:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

ANTHONY SANTANGELO

From: [Andrea Horbinski](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:11:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Andrea Horbinski

From: [Nick Bauer](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:16:10 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Nick Bauer

From: [Charles Sharpe](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:27:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Here in Arcata, our whole town is focused on walkability and sustainable design of added housing areas. I'm amazed and disappointed that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs.

Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Charles Sharpe

From: [Barbara Clutter](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:29:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Barbara Clutter

From: patriciaramos42@yahoo.com
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:32:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities. Most of all rural communities continue to be left behind. Especially those hit hardest with natural disasters such as flooding in Central Valley.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs.

Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

From: [Odin Palen](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:32:35 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Odin Palen

From: [Alecia Byers](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:33:23 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Alecia Byers

From: [Daniel Molitor](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:36:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Daniel Molitor

From: [John Manning](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:42:07 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

John Manning

From: [Ann Dorsey](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:48:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Ann Dorsey

From: [PAT Hunter](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:02:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

PAT Hunter

From: [Neville Hemming](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:02:40 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Neville Hemming

From: [Michael Grant White](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:03:54 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Michael Grant White

From: [Rowyn McDonald](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:05:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

I am a lifelong California resident currently living in Albany, CA. I love how walkable and bikable my city is, and I everyone should have the opportunity to live in similarly healthy, sustainable, enjoyable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals.

Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas.

These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Rowyn McDonald

From: [Matthew Brehove](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:12:04 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Matthew Brehove

From: [Ariana Mott](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:17:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Ariana Mott

From: [Kent Mirkhani](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:19:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Kent Mirkhani

From: [Chris Loo](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:22:52 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Chris Loo

From: [Nancy Schneider](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:23:54 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Nancy Schneider

From: roger.pritchard@mindspring.com
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:25:09 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

From: [David Tejada](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:26:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

David Tejada

From: [Clint Fultz](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 23-IEPR-01 2023 Scoping Order: Please Include a Robust Land Use Analysis
Date: Wednesday, March 15, 2023 9:29:08 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Docket Unit Docket No. 23-IEPR-01 (Public Comment),

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order. I am writing to express my deep concern that the draft Scoping Order NEVER ONCE mentions the need to sustainably solve our housing crisis, end car dependency, or build walkable, sustainable communities.

California is short about 2.5 million homes, according to our Regional Housing Needs Allocation. And in calling for a 25% reduction in driving by 2030, the 2022 Scoping Plan Update was crystal clear: "Zero-emission vehicles are not enough to solve the climate crisis."

The Scoping Plan Update adds: "More compact infill development generally generates lower emissions because attached building types and smaller residential unit sizes require fewer emissions to construct and less energy to heat and cool. Studies have estimated that infill development uses 10 to 20 percent less residential energy due to changes in unit types, sizes, and locations. Additional benefits include reduced heat island effects from paved surfaces like parking lots, which lowers long-term building energy use, and reduced emissions from the construction of infrastructure."

Building sustainable communities is also a matter of equity. The Scoping Plan Update is unequivocal: "Shifting California's development patterns and transportation systems is critical to address existing injustices by making livable, affordable homes with multi-modal connections to jobs, services, open space, and education available to all Californians, not just the white and the wealthy."

We simply cannot meet our state goals of equity, climate justice, and housing justice without building more infill housing, taking on sprawl, and driving dramatically less. Yes, renewable energy is essential, and so are heat pumps and electric cars - but they are not enough.

The Scoping Plan Update already calls on the state to do better on land use: "Plan and invest in a sustainable transportation system. [...] Manage the use of the transportation system to advance climate and equity goals. Consider policies to optimize the use of California's transportation infrastructure by prioritizing the movement of people over vehicles. [And improve] alignment of land use planning and development with climate and equity goals. Consider policies to accelerate infill development, affirmatively further fair housing, and increase natural and working lands protection, in furtherance of the State's planning priorities."

Even beyond questions of alignment with state goals, unless it considers housing policy, the

IEPR will not accurately or adequately model the range of energy outcomes in California. The state's housing stock has not kept up with historical population growth, and continued failure to build enough housing will surely lead to more displacement out of state – disproportionately people of color and lower income residents who cannot keep up with housing costs. Meanwhile, continuing to underproduce housing will mean (on average) an older, less efficient, and less electrified housing stock than would be predicted by assuming housing follows directly from population forecasts. The Energy Commission simply cannot accurately account for the state's demography and building stock – and thus energy and environmental outcomes – if it continues to treat housing policy and population as exogenous variables.

I call on the Energy Commission to align the 2023 IEPR Scoping Order with the state's own goals and with the direction already given in the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. In particular:

- The Scoping Order should include SB 375 in its list of relevant legislation.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include a focus on building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations as an essential element of equitable building energy efficiency and decarbonization, alongside electrification. Support for infill housing could include building code revisions, support for housing element development, zoning reform, and development of pre-approved, standardized building designs.
- The IEPR scope should include forecasting and policy recommendations that will achieve California's vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction targets consistent with the 2022 Scoping Plan Update. To that end, the IEPR scope should consider mode shift, mixed-use development, and building more infill housing in climate-resilient, high-opportunity areas near destinations like jobs, schools, and amenities. VMT reduction can also be considered as a transportation energy efficiency measure.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should include micromobility and walking as legitimate transportation modes on par with driving and public transit, and as impactful climate and transportation justice measures that are an essential part of any transportation analysis.
- The IEPR Scoping Order should acknowledge the interconnected nature of our energy policies. For example, dense housing can support reduced VMT, reduced demand for energy, and reduced need for building materials.

Finally, the Scoping Order should include a focus on building decarbonization forecast scenarios that consider our overall housing need, consistent with the California Department of Finance population projections and linked to Department of Housing and Community Development estimates and local Housing Elements. How the projected increase in housing is accommodated has important carbon and energy implications, so a robust analysis is essential to include in the scope of the IEPR.

Three scenarios to consider are:

1. Compact, mixed use development is built in existing urban and inner suburban areas. These areas tend to be coastal and thus more temperate - particularly as our climate changes - with relatively low energy needs. This is the lowest-energy and most environmentally just pathway, and I call on the Energy Commission to prioritize it.

2. Single family housing is built in exurban sprawl areas in California.

On a consumption basis, including VMT, this housing is roughly 1.5x as carbon intensive as equivalent-income infill housing, according to a UC Berkeley study and RMI analysis. It also results in long, debilitating commutes, increased fire risk, and increased exposure to extreme heat, particularly for lower income people and people of color.

3. Single family housing is built in sprawl areas out of state (e.g., Phoenix or Austin).

This housing will generally be in even more extreme climates and in jurisdictions with less stringent code requirements and renewable energy penetration, and thus cause greater carbon/energy leakage.

As a matter of wise energy policy - and of following state laws such as SB 375 - the Energy Commission must support the transition to more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities, and not simply pursue an all-electric version of the status quo. It's past time to get serious about the benefits of walkable, bikeable communities with dense and abundant housing.

Clint Fultz