

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	23-BUSMTG-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Business Meeting Agendas, Transcripts, Minutes, and Public Comments
<b>TN #:</b>	248945
<b>Document Title:</b>	Steve Uhler Comments on Agenda structure comment, 1 Public Comment, 02-28-2023 business meeting
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Steve Uhler
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Other Interested Person
<b>Submission Date:</b>	2/24/2023 7:19:45 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	2/24/2023

*Comment Received From: Steve Uhler  
Submitted On: 2/24/2023  
Docket Number: 23-BUSMTG-01*

**BUSMTG-2023-01 Agenda structure comment, 1 Public Comment,  
02-28-2023 business meeting**

BUSMTG-2023-01 Agenda structure comment, 1. Public Comment, 02-28-2023  
business meeting

Dear Energy Commission Chair,

Please explain why it is necessary for the orderly conduct of business to require the public to address the state body on matters in other agenda items during discussion or consideration of this agenda item.

The commission should not vote on the order staff provided for item 5, while falsely claiming my petition is for a rulemaking hearing. Please table agenda item 5 because it does not apply to my rulemaking petition.

Steve Uhler  
sau@wwmpd.com

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

BUSMTG-2023-01 Agenda structure comment, 1. Public Comment, 02-28-2023  
business meeting

Dear Energy Commission Chair,

Please explain why it is necessary for the orderly conduct of business to require the public to address the state body on matters in other agenda items during discussion or consideration of this agenda item.

If this agenda structure is to be used on a on going basis, please provide the rule notwithstanding 20 CCR subsection 1104(e) public right to address the state on any agenda item and not just this one for the reason given on the agenda.

Please explain how you will ensure the public's right under GOV 11125.7(d) criticize the policies, programs, or services of the state body, or of the acts or omissions of the state body, if there is not a opportunity at each item or at the end of the meeting to do so.

I am disappointed that this agenda does not include a agenda item for my rulemaking petition. I object to the inclusion of agenda item 5. Petition to Request a Rulemaking Hearing that claims I filed a petition for a rulemaking hearing. I did not do so.

Perhaps you are aware that GOV-CHAPTER 3.5. Administrative Regulations and Rulemaking Sections 11340-11361 do not require a agency to have rulemaking hearings, if not requested by the public.

My rulemaking petition to amend 20 CCR subsection 1104(e) does not request any rulemaking hearings. 20 CCR Article 4. Rulemaking and Informational Hearings, Section 1221 Petitions does not apply to my petition.

My rulemaking petition pursuant to GOV Section 11340.6 requests to amend 20 CCR subsection 1104(e) by use of rulemaking proceedings pursuant to Article 5 (commencing with Section 11346). My petition should be considered under GOV Section 11340.7.

Perhaps commission staff overlooked the word "hearing" does not appear in my petition for the same reason they overlooked my petition and later chose to claim my petition is for a rulemaking hearing.

The commission should not vote on the order staff provided for item 5, while falsely claiming my petition is for a rulemaking hearing. Please table agenda item 5 because it does not apply to my rulemaking petition.

Steve Uhler  
sau@wwmpd.com