DOCKETED			
Docket Number:	23-HERS-01		
Project Title:	2023 Repeal of the Field Verification and Diagnostic Testing requirements in Title 20		
TN #:	248712		
Document Title:	FV&DT Form 399 - Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement		
Description:	N/A		
Filer:	Joe Loyer		
Organization:	California Energy Commission		
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff		
Submission Date:	2/9/2023 1:45:28 PM		
Docketed Date:	2/9/2023		

DocuSign Envelope ID: A066BA25-41F5-4AF3-B724-F511441006AC STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC INIT A	CISTATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER
California Energy Commission	Corrine Fishman	corrine.fishman@energy.ca.gov	916-805-7452
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400			NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Title 20 Repeal of Field Verification and D	tle 20 Repeal of Field Verification and Diagnostic Testing (FV&DT) requirements		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees		orting requirements	
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes pres	criptive instead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indiv	viduals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the a	above (Explain below):	
_	There will be	e no cost impacts to the industry	
If any box in Items 1	a through g is checked, con	nplete this Economic Impact Statement.	
If box in Item 1.h. i.	s checked, complete the Fis	scal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
California Energy Commission	on	an amic immed of this year lation (which includes the	fical impact) is
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ec	onomic impact of this regulation (which includes the	riscai impact) is:
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million			
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
-		equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact As</u>	<u>sessment</u>
as specinea in Governme	nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits):		
Enter the number or percentage of total			
businesses impacted that are small businesses:			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated:	eliminated:	
Explain:			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide		
. maleute the geographic extent of impacts.	-		
L	Local or regional (List areas):		
5. Enter the number of jobs created:	and eliminated:		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	cted:		
-			
 Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc 		☐ YES ☐ NO	
	<u> </u>		
If YES, explain briefly:			
-			

DocuSign Envelope ID: A066BA25-41F5-4AF3-B724-F511441006AC STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTIMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in	n the rulemaking record.	
1. \	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and in	dividuals may incur to comply with this regu	lation over its lifetime? \$
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs: \$	Years:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$		
		Annual ongoing costs: \$	
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:		
0			
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total cos	ts for each industry:	
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the and include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, repor		
4. \	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?	□ NO	
	If YES, ente	er the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$_	
		Number of units:	
5. <i>A</i>	Are there comparable Federal regulations?	□ NO	
E	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or abs	ence of Federal regulations:	
C. I	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of ben	efits is not specifically required by rulemakin	
	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may inc health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and th	- Chatala and documents	
	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requireme		based on broad statutory authority?
3. '	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over	its lifetime? \$	
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing bu	usiness within the State of California that wo	uld result from this regulation:
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculation	s and assumptions in the rulemaking record	l. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
	specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.		
	specifically required by fulerllaking law, but encouraged.		

PAGE 2

DocuSign Envelope ID: A066BA25-41F5-4AF3-B724-F511441006AC STATE OF GALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

2.	Summarize the t	otal statewide costs and benefits f	from this regulation and each alternative considered:	
	Regulation:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$	
	Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$	
	Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$	Cost: \$	
3.	Briefly discuss an	ny quantification issues that are rele	evant to a comparison	
	of estimated co	sts and benefits for this regulatio	on or alternatives:	
4.			erformance standards as an alternative, if a organization of the standards are alternative.	
			ards considered to lower compliance costs? YES NO	
	Explain:			
Ξ.	MAJOR REGUL		nd assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
			Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to g (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.	
1.	Will the estimate		rnia business enterprises exceed \$10 million? YES NO	
			If YES, complete E2. and E3	
			If NO, skip to E4	
2.			f alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:	
	(Attach additiona	al pages for other alternatives)		
3.	For the regulation	on, and each alternative just descri	ibed, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:	
	Regulation: To	otal Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
			Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
	Alternative 2: To	otal Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$	
4.			estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California	
		nillion in any 12-month period bet egulation is estimated to be fully i	tween the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 months implemented?	
	YES	NO		
			ed Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.	
5			the Shirt in the Initial Statement of neusons.	
ο.	Briefly describe the following: The increase or decrease of investment in the State:			
	The break of			
	The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes:			
	T			
	residents, worke	the regulations, including, but not er safety, and the state's environme	limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California ent and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:	
			PAGE 3	

DocuSign Envelope ID: A066BA25-41F5-4AF3-B724-F511441006AC STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	ISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL G		opriate boxes 1 thro	ugh 6 and attach calculations	and assumptions of fiscal impact for the
				ole by the State. (Approximate) ons 17500 et seq. of the Govern	
	\$				
[a. Funding provided in				
	Budget Act of	or	Chapter	, Statutes of	
)	b. Funding will be reque	sted in the Governor's Budget	Act of		
		Fisc	al Year:		
				ursable by the State. (Approxin ons 17500 et seq. of the Govern	
		i e			
		is not reimbursable and provid	le the appropriate info	ormation:	
	a. Implements the Feder	al mandate contained in —			
	b. Implements the court	mandate set forth by the —			Court.
		Case of:		vs	
	c. Implements a mandat	e of the people of this State ex	pressed in their app	roval of Proposition No.	
	Date o	f Election:			
	d. Issued only in respons	e to a specific request from af	fected local entity(s)		
	Local entity(s) affected:			
	e. Will be fully financed f	rom the fees, revenue, etc. fro	m:		
	Authorized I	oy Section:	of t	he	Code;
	f. Provides for savings to	each affected unit of local go	vernment which wil	l, at a minimum, offset any add	itional costs to each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or	changes the penalty for a new	w crime or infraction	contained in	
	3. Annual Savings. (approxim	ate)			
	\$				
	4. No additional costs or savin	gs. This regulation makes only	technical, non-substa	ntive or clarifying changes to cu	urrent law regulations.
\times	5. No fiscal impact exists. This	regulation does not affect any	local entity or progra	m.	
	6. Other. Explain				

DocuSign Envelope ID: A066BA25-41F5-4AF3-B724-F511441006AC STATE OF GALIFOKNIA — DEPAKTIMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ssumptions of fiscal impact for the curren
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attainmpact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fisca
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
	i
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
	January 12, 2023
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretainghest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Bryan Cash	1/24/2023
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE