DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	22-MISC-03
Project Title:	Energy Data Modernization
TN #:	248593
Document Title:	Steve Uhler Comments - MISC-2022-03 Ensuring accurate data acquisition
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Steve Uhler
Submitter Role:	Other Interested Person
Submission Date:	1/29/2023 12:53:26 PM
Docketed Date:	1/30/2023

Comment Received From: Steve Uhler

Submitted On: 1/29/2023 Docket Number: 22-MISC-03

## MISC-2022-03 Ensuring accurate data acquisition

MISC-2022-03 Ensuring accurate data acquisition

The raw data for reporting systems the Energy Commission implements should always be preserved and made available to the public.

Steve Uhler sau@wwmpd.com

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

MISC-2022-03 Ensuring accurate data acquisition

The raw data for reporting systems the Energy Commission implements should always be preserved and made available to the public.

In my prior comment, <u>MISC-2022-03 Protection of confidential</u> <u>information and data access</u> I explain a simple, low cost, and reliable method to protect confidential information while allowing easy data access for all.

I will now speak to the need for accurate data acquisition. Some data acquisition systems have inherent data quality problems. There are a number of IEEE articles on the subject of data correction and smart meters\*. Each article may contain a bias that could corrupt the data in a effort to make the data look clean or as expected. Every effort to ensure accurate data acquisition must avoid changing the raw data through downstream processing. Data that appears to be a outlier may actually be true and could lead to a breakthrough.

Any data that is thought to be in error should be resolved by inspecting the connection to instrumentation. Are you reading the correct sensor, is there a power issue, is there a outside influence causing the sensor to misread?

It would not be the first time a sensor was cataloged to the wrong node. Is there a power issue? It would not be the first time a sensor was failed to read correctly because a intentional radiator was placed to close or produced too much power near the sensor or local data storage for the sensor.

When local data storage for the sensor is thought to be corrupted, sometimes comparing related values can pinpoint the error. If data such as watts are thought to be corrupted, reading volt amps and power factor may confirm the data.

It may seem impossible to do this type of checking. Most utilities that use smart meters have rules as to how often the meter may be checked. A coordinated effort between the Energy Commission and utilities could greatly reduce the time and effort to resolve corrupt data issues caused by sensor connection, sensor, or local data storage for the sensor.

Steve Uhler sau@wwmpd.com

\* links to IEEE articles:

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9191736

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8501256/

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6672786

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9016055

https://site.ieee.org/pes-bdaps/texas-residential-smart-meter-datausa/

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7882676

https://site.ieee.org/pes-bdaps/smart-meter-electricity-trial-dataireland/

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9783887

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9122054

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10025832

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9494847%20