DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	20-LITHIUM-01	
Project Title:		
TN #:		
Document Title:	Meeting Action Minutes for November 17, 2022	
Description: Meeting Action Minutes for November 17, 2022 Lithium Commission Meeting Filer: Jordan Grimm		
		Organization:
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff	
Submission Date:	1/20/2023 9:12:09 AM	
Docketed Date:	1/20/2023	

Lithium Valley Commission Meeting Action Minutes – November 17, 2022

The Lithium Valley Commission meeting was called to order on November 17, 2022, at 1:10 p.m. by Lithium Valley Commission Chair Silvia Paz. The meeting adjourned at 5:41 p.m.

Remote Location:

• Imperial Valley College, Imperial, CA

Remote Location:

Zoom

Attendance: Lithium Valley Commissioners

- Steve Castaneda (arrived at 3:22 p.m. and left at 5:32 p.m.)
- Roderic Dolega
- James C. Hanks
- Ryan Kelley (left at 5:35 p.m.)

- Luis Olmedo
- Silvia Paz
- Alice Reynolds (arrived at 3:32 p.m.)
- Frank Ruiz
- Jonathan Weisgall
- Commissioners Rod Colwell, Miranda Flores, Arthur Lopez, Manfred Scott, and Thomas Soto were not in attendance.

Presentations

A compiled set of the presentations identified below has been docketed and can be found here: https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=247141

Discussion and Voting on the Draft Report to the Legislature

Lisa Ballin with the Consensus and Collaboration Program at Sacramento State facilitated a discussion with the Commissioners on the proposed consolidated recommendations of the Draft Report to the Legislature, which reflected their input from the October 31, 2022 Commission meeting.

- Each Commissioner in attendance shared feedback on the Draft Report revisions focusing on the proposed consolidated report recommendations.
- Many motions were made and voted on by roll call vote to either adopt, adopt with modifications, or reject each recommendation. Pursuant to the rules applied to the Lithium Valley Commission, motions require at least 8 supportive votes to be approved. The recommendations as written in the table reflects the outcomes of all motions.

Table 1: Recommendations Adopted by the Commission on November 17, 2022

Item	Statutory Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken	
	Permitting			
1.	 Market Opportunities Legislative or Regulatory Changes 	Establish a Lithium Valley priority permitting process that includes additional resources for agency action on applications for geothermal, DLE, and related manufacturing, production, or assembly projects identified by the state as essential to the development and growth of Lithium Valley.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5 Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall No Votes: none Abstain: none Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
	Transmission Plan	ning and Investment		
2.	 Geothermal Power/Lithium Recovery Potential Benefits re: Geothermal Facilities/ Grid Stability 	Accelerate state planning for investment and upgrades in transmission for geothermal power plants in Imperial Valley to be online in 2024 and over the next decade.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5 Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall No Votes: none Abstain: none Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	

Item	Statutory Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken
4.	Market Opportunities	The State should increase funding – and identify alternative funding sources — for research and development, start-up companies, and expansion of lithium battery and battery component manufacturing and recycling, especially cathode production using lithium produced through environmentally preferable methods.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5
	Opportunities for Incentives/ Investments		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall
	Overcoming		No Votes: none
	Technical and Economic		Abstain: none
	Challenges		Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto
5.	Overcoming Technical and	Federal, state, and local governments should foster collaboration across the supply chain of lithium related technologies by creating networks, meetings, and other forums that	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5
	Economic Challenges • Market	regularly bring business, research, Tribes, communities, and government agencies together to identify short- and long-term economic opportunities.	Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall
	Opportunities		No Votes: none
	• Potential		Abstain: none
	Economic Impacts		Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto
6.	Opportunities for Incentives/	facilitate access to business development incentive programs to benefit residents of disadvantaged communities, Tribal members, small businesses, and entrepreneurs.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5
	Investments • Market Opportunities		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall
	Community		No Votes: none
			Abstain: none
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto

Item	Statutory Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken	
	Circular Economy and Environmentally Sound Sourcing			
7.	Market Opportunities	Support development of a circular lithium economy based in California, with environmentally responsible sourcing of raw materials, life cycle analysis, requirements for product design that support recovery, reus and recycling of materials, and development of effective recovery infrastructure, built with the assistance of public-private coalitions and effective community engagement.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
	Opportunities for Incentives/ Investments		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
	• Potential		No Votes: none	
	Economic Impacts		Abstain: none	
	Impacts		Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
	State Agency Initia	atives and Programs		
8.	• Community •Safe Environmental	Coachella Valley, to be carried out by an academic institution or public agency, relating to increased development in the Salton Sea KGRA of geothermal power plants and DLE facilities and related processing, production, and manufacturing activities.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
			Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
			No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
9.	Opportunities for Incentives/	existing federal funding opportunities to invest in infrastructure in the Salton Sea region, including funding made available through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021 (H.R. 3684) and the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 (H.R. 5376). tunities oming ical and	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
	Investments • Market Opportunities		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
	Overcoming Technical and Economic		No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
	Challenges		Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	

Item	Statutory Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken	
	Potential Environmental Impacts			
10.	Potential Environmental	Require and fund IID to conduct a water study of projected cumulative infrastructure development of geothermal power plants and DLE facilities and related water use, sources,	Vote: Yes = 8, No = 0; Abstain = 1; Absent = 5	
	ImpactsSafeEnvironmental	local beneficial uses, and availability. The State or other entity should also evaluate water quality.	Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
	Methods and Standards		No Votes: none	
	Standards		Abstain: Commissioner Dolega	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
	Workforce Develop	pment		
11.		The State should fund (and identify additional funding sources), and the industry should inform, the creation of curriculums, courses, and certification programs in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) at schools and colleges to advance critical knowledge and skills across all grade levels, with a focus on the infrastructure and communities closest to geothermal power plants and DLE facilities	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
	Impacts • Community		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
			No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
	Community Benefi	ts and Safety		
12.	 Potential Economic and Environmental Impacts Community 	infrastructure and housing needed to support the success of lithium recovery, lithium processing, and lithium-dependent product manufacturing and recycling in the Salton Sea region, with a focus on the infrastructure and communities closest to geothermal power	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
			Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
			No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	

Item	Statutory Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken	
	Outreach and Engagement			
13.	CommunityTribal	Provide capacity building funds, such as grants, and other resources (e.g., childcare for parents to attend meetings) for Tribes and community members to engage with federal, state, and local permitting agencies.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
	Potential Economic Impacts		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
			No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
14.	CommunityTribal	Establish standards for state and local permitting agencies to provide communities and Tribes with plain language written communications about geothermal power plant and DLE facility applications, the permitting processes to review the applications, and post-approval monitoring and enforcement. Information provided should also include education about the materials and processes used in DLE and lithium processing facilities, the final and intermediate products created, and any waste streams that must be managed.	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
	 Legislative or Regulatory facility app monitoring materials 		Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
			No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	
15.	 Legislative or Regulatory Changes Community Tribal 	consultation with Tribes (e.g., making multiple attempts through different methods, such as mail, email, telephone); providing reasonable time for Tribal governments to evaluate	Vote: Yes = 9, No = 0; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5	
			Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall	
			No Votes: none	
			Abstain: none	
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto	

Table 2: Recommendations Considered but not Adopted by the Commission on November 17, 2022

Item	Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken
1.	 Potential Environmental Impacts Safe Environmental Methods and Standards Community Tribal 	Require and fund a study on whether increased geothermal development and DLE – and anticipated related development – would result in cumulative seismic and environmental impacts beyond those addressed in project level environmental review and the anticipated programmatic EIR established by Imperial County. The study should include recommendations to avoid, reduce, or minimize those impacts, and consider advance mitigation efforts.	Vote: Yes = 7, No = 1; Abstain = 1; Absent = 5 Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Kelley, Olmedo, Paz, Reynolds, and Ruiz No Votes: Commissioner Hanks Abstain: Commissioner Weisgall Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto
2.	 Potential Economic Impacts Community 	Establish incentives for developers to enter into, and continue entering into, project labor agreements, establish workforce training and development strategies, implement High Road principles, and prioritize local hiring.	Vote: Yes = 6, No = 2; Abstain = 0, Absent = 6 Yes Votes: Commissioners Dolega, Kelley, Paz, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall No Votes: Commissioners Olmedo and Castaneda Abstain: none Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Hanks, Lopez, Scott, and Soto
3.	 Community Safe Environmental Methods and Standards 	The State should provide funds, from sources other than the Lithium Extraction Excise tax and funds allocated to Imperial County in the state's FY 22-23 Budget, for the formation of an advisory council to provide input and guidance to the State, Imperial County, and Lead Agencies on community and Tribal priorities. The advisory council members should include, but not be limited to, labor, community, environmental justice, and Tribal representation. Such guidance could include discussion of community benefit and labor agreements, actions to protect public health, safety, Tribal cultural concerns, and infrastructure improvements. The advisory council could also work to identify whether additional actions are needed to attract new, sustainable business development and economic activity.	Vote: Yes = 5, No = 3; Abstain = 0, Absent = 6 Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Olmedo, Dolega, Paz, and Ruiz No Votes: Commissioners Kelley, Reynolds, and Weisgall Abstain: none Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Hanks, Lopez, Scott, and Soto

Item	Topics	Recommendation	Action Taken
4.	 Overcoming Technical and Economic Challenges Legislative or 	Establish a centralized permit and regulatory reporting tracking system for California projects that extract lithium from geothermal brine and lithium-related projects, such as lithium battery component manufacturing and recycling. Identify and authorize the most appropriate state agency(ies) to establish and oversee a program requiring that entities recovering and producing lithium in California report the operations of their facilities across	The motion voted on was to remove this recommendation from consideration. Vote: Yes = 7, No = 2; Abstain = 0; Absent = 5
	Regulatory Changes • Market	a set of metrics, such as water use, emissions, waste produced and managed, and make the information accessible to and understandable by members of the public.	Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Dolega, Hanks, Kelley, Reynolds, Ruiz, and Weisgall
	Opportunities		No Votes: Commissioners Olmedo and Paz
	 Safe Environmental Methods and 		Abstain: none
	Standards • Community		Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Lopez, Scott, and Soto
			Note: While this recommendation was not adopted, the Commissioners recognized the need to include a finding in the report that community and Tribal representatives advocated for the development of a centralized location for easily accessible information on DLE projects and related developments. This finding is in Chapter 4, Section H.
5.	 Potential Economic Impacts Opportunities for Incentives/ 	As currently required pursuant to SB 125 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, Chapter 63, Statutes of 2022), a study on the lithium excise tax is due December 31, 2023. To alleviate uncertainty for industry and the community, we recommend that the SB 125 tax mechanism study be completed by June 30, 2023.	The motion voted on was whether to remove this recommendation from consideration.
	Investments	completed by Julie 30, 2023.	Vote: Yes = 7, No = 0; Abstain = 1, Absent = 6
			Yes Votes: Commissioners Castaneda, Kelley, Reynolds, Ruiz, Weisgall, Olmedo, and Paz
			No Votes: none
			Abstain: Commissioner Dolega
			Absent: Commissioners Colwell, Flores, Hanks, Lopez, Scott, and Soto

Next Steps

Any future meeting information will be provided in a meeting notice issued at least 10 days in advance of a meeting.

Items of Interest and Resources Referenced During the Meeting

- Items e-filed to the <u>Lithium Valley Commission Docket</u> can be found here: https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=20-LITHIUM-01
- Resources provided:
 - Summary of Written Comments on the Initial Draft Report and Staff's Draft Responses
 - Revised Consolidated Recommendations
 - o Revised Consolidated Recommendations in Spanish
 - o Revised Consolidated Recommendations in Purepecha
 - Revised Redline of Draft Report for Consideration by the Blue Ribbon Commission on Lithium Extraction in California