| DOCKETED   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Docket Number:   | 22-AAER-02   |  |  |
| Project Title:   | Repeal of Portable Luminaires  |  |  |
| TN #:  | 247376   |  |  |
| Document Title: Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement - STD 399 |  |  |  |
| Description:   | Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement (Form 399) for the Repeal of Portable Luminaires Rulemaking |  |  |
| Filer: Carlos Baez   |  |  |  |
| Organization:  | : California Energy Commission   |  |  |
| Submitter Role:  | Submitter Role: Commission Staff   |  |  |
| Submission Date:   | 11/10/2022 11:26:45 AM   |  |  |
| Docketed Date:   | 11/10/2022   |  |  |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 9F3A09B7-5F61-4B02-A3A5-B59FE964AFC1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

|   | ECONOMIC IVII ACT   | STATEMENT  |                      |
|---|---|--|----------------------|
| DEPARTMENT NAME   | CONTACT PERSON  | EMAIL ADDRESS  | TELEPHONE NUMBER     |
| California Energy Commission  | Corrine Fishman   | corrine.fishman@energy.ca.gov                                      | 916-805-7452         |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400  Repeal of the Portable Luminaires Regula            | ations  |  | NOTICE FILE NUMBER   |
|   |   |  | Z                    |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA   | CTS Include calculations and assum  | ptions in the rulemaking record.                                   |                      |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat   | e whether this regulation:  |  |                      |
| a. Impacts business and/or employees  | e. Imposes reporting i  | ·  |                      |
| b. Impacts small businesses   |   | e instead of performance   |                      |
| c. Impacts jobs or occupations  | g. Impacts individuals  |  |                      |
| d. Impacts California competitiveness   | $ \times $ h. None of the above   | (Explain below):   |                      |
|   | There will be no  | cost impacts to portable luminaries                                | on the market        |
| • •   | a through g is checked, complete<br>s checked, complete the Fiscal I      | this Economic Impact Statement.<br>mpact Statement as appropriate. |                      |
| 2. The(Agency/Department)   | estimates that the economi  | c impact of this regulation (which includes the                    | e fiscal impact) is: |
| Below \$10 million  |   |  |                      |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million   |   |  |                      |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million   |   |  |                      |
|   | s over \$50 million, agencies are required<br>nt Code Section 11346.3(c)] | l to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact As</u>             | <u>sessment</u>      |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:   |   |  |                      |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp  | rofits):  |  |                      |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:                  |   |  |                      |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea   | ated: elimin  | ated:  |                      |
| Explain:  |   |  |                      |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:   | Statewide  Local or regional (List areas):                                |  |                      |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created:  | and eliminated:   |  |                      |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa  | cted:   |  |                      |
| 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produc |   | res  |                      |
|   |   |  |                      |
|   |   |  |                      |
|   |   |  |                      |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 9F3A09B7-5F61-4B02-A3A5-B59FE964AFC1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions   | in the rulemaking record.                        |                                     |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and i   | ndividuals may incur to comply with this regu    | ulation over its lifetime? \$       |
| a. Initial costs for a small business: \$   | Annual ongoing costs: \$                         | Years:                              |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$   | Annual ongoing costs: \$                         | Years:                              |
| c. Initial costs for an individual:   | Annual ongoing costs: \$                         | Years:                              |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:  |  |                                     |
| If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total co  | sts for each industry:                           |                                     |
| 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the ar<br>Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, repo |  |                                     |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  | □NO  |                                     |
|   | ter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$  |                                     |
|   | Number of units:                                 |                                     |
| 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?  | □ NO   |                                     |
| Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or ab   | sence of Federal regulations:                    |                                     |
|   |  |                                     |
| Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that  | nt may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ |                                     |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of be  | nefits is not specifically required by rulemakir | ng law, but encouraged.             |
| Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may in<br>health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and t     |  |                                     |
| 2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements.   |  | based on broad statutory authority? |
| 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation ove   |  |                                     |
| 4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing b   | ousiness within the State of California that wo  | uld result from this regulation:    |
|   |  |                                     |
| <b>D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION</b> Include calculatio specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.                  | ns and assumptions in the rulemaking record      |                                     |
| 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alt  | ternatives were considered, explain why not:     |                                     |
|   |  |                                     |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 9F3A09B7-5F61-4B02-A3A5-B59FE964AFC1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

|                                   |   | ECONOMIC IN  | HACI STATEMENT (CONTI  | NOED)  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2. Summarize the                  | total statewide costs a                             | nd benefits from this reg                                | gulation and each alternative considered:  |  |
| Regulation:                       | Benefit: \$   | Cost: \$   |  |  |
| Alternative 1:                    |   | Cost: \$   |  |  |
| Alternative 2:                    | Benefit: \$   | Cost: \$   |  |  |
|                                   |   | s that are relevant to a co<br>his regulation or alterna |  |  |
| regulation man<br>actions or proc | ndates the use of spec<br>redures. Were perform     | ific technologies or equance standards conside           | standards as an alternative, if a uipment, or prescribes specific ered to lower compliance costs?      | □ NO   |
| F MAIOR REGII                     | LATIONS Include cal                                 | culations and assumnti                                   | ions in the rulemaking record.   |  |
|                                   |   | <u> </u>   | Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and a   | longetmonts are required to  |
|                                   | •   |  | alth and Safety Code section 57005). Oth   | -  |
| 1. Will the estimat               | ted costs of this regulat                           | tion to California busines                               | ss enterprises <b>exceed \$10 million</b> ? YES  | ☐ NO   |
|                                   |   |  | If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4  |  |
| 2. Briefly describe               | each alternative, or co                             | mbination of alternative                                 | es, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was  | performed:   |
| Alternative 1:                    |   |  |  |  |
| Alternative 2:                    |   |  |  |  |
| (Attach addition                  | nal pages for other alteri                          | natives)   |  |  |
| 3. For the regulat                | ion, and each alternati                             | ve just described, enter t                               | the estimated total cost and overall cost-effec  | tiveness ratio:  |
| Regulation:                       |   |  | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$   |  |
| Alternative 1: 1                  | Total Cost \$                                       |  | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$   |  |
| Alternative 2: 1                  | Total Cost \$                                       |  | Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$   |  |
| exceeding \$50                    | million in any 12-mont                              |  | ate the major regulation is estimated to be file   | dividuals located in or doing business in California ed with the Secretary of State through12 months |
| YES [                             | NO  |  |  |  |
|                                   |   |  | y <u>Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in the Initial Statement of Reasons.                    |  |
| 5. Briefly describe               | the following:                                      |  |  |  |
| The increase or                   | r decrease of investmen                             | nt in the State:   |  |  |
| The incentive for                 | or innovation in produ                              | cts, materials or process                                | es:  |  |
| The benefits of residents, work   | the regulations, incluc<br>er safety, and the state | ling, but not limited to, l<br>'s environment and qua    | benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of C<br>ality of life, among any other benefits identified | alifornia<br>d by the agency:  |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

### DocuSign Envelope ID: 9F3A09B7-5F61-4B02-A3A5-B59FE964AFC1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| <b>A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years. |  |  |                         |  |        |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|--------|
|   | 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code). |  |                         |  |        |
|   | \$   |  |                         |  |        |
|   | a. Funding provided in   |  |                         |  |        |
|   | Budget Act of  | or Cha   | pter                    | , Statutes of  |        |
|   | b. Funding will be requeste  | ed in the Governor's Budget Act  | of                      |  |        |
|   |  | Fiscal Y   | ear:                    |  |        |
|   |  | ne current State Fiscal Year whic<br>cle XIII B of the California Consti |                         | le by the State. (Approximate)<br>'500 et seq. of the Government Code) |        |
|   | \$   |  |                         |  |        |
|   | Check reason(s) this regulation is   | not reimbursable and provide th  | e appropriate informa   | ion:   |        |
|   | a. Implements the Federal  | mandate contained in   |                         |  |        |
|   | b. Implements the court m  |  |                         |  | Court. |
|   |  | Case of:   |                         | vs   |        |
|   | c. Implements a mandate of   | of the people of this State expre  | ssed in their approval  | of Proposition No.   |        |
|   | Date of E  | Election:  |                         |  |        |
|   | d. Issued only in response   | to a specific request from affect  | ed local entity(s).     |  |        |
|   | Local entity(s) a  | ffected:   |                         |  |        |
|   |  |  |                         |  |        |
|   | e. Will be fully financed fro  | m the fees, revenue, etc. from:  |                         |  |        |
|   | Authorized by  | Section:   | of the _                |  | Code;  |
|   | f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;   |  |                         |  |        |
|   | g. Creates, eliminates, or cl  | hanges the penalty for a new cr  | ime or infraction conta | ined in  |        |
|   | 3. Annual Savings. (approximat   | e)   |                         |  |        |
|   | \$   |  |                         |  |        |
| 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.  |  |  |                         |  |        |
| ∑ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.  |  |  |                         |  |        |
|   | 5. Other. Explain  |  |                         |  |        |
|   |  |  |                         |  |        |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 9F3A09B7-5F61-4B02-A3A5-B59FE964AFC1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (PECULATIONS AND COLUMN (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| <b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.  | assumptions of fiscal impact for the curren |
|---|---|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |   |
| \$  |   |
| It is anticipated that State agencies will:   |   |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.   |   |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the   |   |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |   |
| \$  |   |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.  |   |
| 4. Other. Explain   |   |
|   |   |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and a impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.   | ttach calculations and assumptions of fisca |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |   |
| \$  |   |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |   |
| \$  |   |
| $\overline{\times}$ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.   |   |
| 4. Other. Explain   |   |
|   |   |
|   | 1   |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE  | DATE  |
|   | October 11, 2022                            |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM se<br>he impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secre<br>highest ranking official in the organization. |   |
| AGENCY SECRETARY  | DATE  |
| 😂 Bryan Cash  | 10/25/2022                                  |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal I   | Impact Statement in the STD. 399.           |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  | DATE  |
|   |   |