

| <b>DOCKETED</b>         |                                                             |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Docket Number:</b>   | 21-DR-01                                                    |
| <b>Project Title:</b>   | Supply Side Demand Response                                 |
| <b>TN #:</b>            | 246275                                                      |
| <b>Document Title:</b>  | Proposals Survey Period for CEC SDDR QC Working Group Email |
| <b>Description:</b>     | Survey responses are due Tuesday, October 4.                |
| <b>Filer:</b>           | Courtney Wagner                                             |
| <b>Organization:</b>    | California Energy Commission                                |
| <b>Submitter Role:</b>  | Commission Staff                                            |
| <b>Submission Date:</b> | 9/29/2022 3:41:42 PM                                        |
| <b>Docketed Date:</b>   | 9/29/2022                                                   |

**From:** Flynn, Tom@Energy

**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 2:48 PM

**To:** [agilbert@caiso.com](mailto:agilbert@caiso.com); [marc.monbouquette@enel.com](mailto:marc.monbouquette@enel.com); [carmen@recurve.com](mailto:carmen@recurve.com); [ndeleanu@olivineinc.com](mailto:ndeleanu@olivineinc.com); [maria@ohmconnect.com](mailto:maria@ohmconnect.com); [stephen.castello@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:stephen.castello@cpuc.ca.gov); [mkmarsden@PacCharter.com](mailto:mkmarsden@PacCharter.com); [philm@scdenergy.com](mailto:philm@scdenergy.com); [alan.wong@sce.com](mailto:alan.wong@sce.com); [l2wg@pge.com](mailto:l2wg@pge.com); [barbara@barkovichandyp.com](mailto:barbara@barkovichandyp.com); [l.tougas@cleanenergyregresearch.com](mailto:l.tougas@cleanenergyregresearch.com); [paul@barkovichandyp.com](mailto:paul@barkovichandyp.com); [jac@cpowerenergymanagement.com](mailto:jac@cpowerenergymanagement.com); [akarazuba@sdge.com](mailto:akarazuba@sdge.com); [btheaker@mrpgenco.com](mailto:btheaker@mrpgenco.com); [amaani@leap.ac](mailto:amaani@leap.ac); [lauren@cal-cca.org](mailto:lauren@cal-cca.org); [lisa.mau@sce.com](mailto:lisa.mau@sce.com); [aimee.wong@sce.com](mailto:aimee.wong@sce.com); [Chetna.Smith@sce.com](mailto:Chetna.Smith@sce.com); [jonathan.hart@powerflex.com](mailto:jonathan.hart@powerflex.com); [erica.keating@sce.com](mailto:erica.keating@sce.com); [malcolm.ainspan@nrg.com](mailto:malcolm.ainspan@nrg.com); [john@ohmconnect.com](mailto:john@ohmconnect.com); [elagerquist@caiso.com](mailto:elagerquist@caiso.com); [lwilloughby@sdge.com](mailto:lwilloughby@sdge.com); [gil.wong@pge.com](mailto:gil.wong@pge.com); [lgarcia-rodriguez@sdge.com](mailto:lgarcia-rodriguez@sdge.com); [jay.luboff@jayluboffconsulting.com](mailto:jay.luboff@jayluboffconsulting.com); [moconnell@sdge.com](mailto:moconnell@sdge.com); [jpowers@caiso.com](mailto:jpowers@caiso.com); [jbode@demandsideanalytics.com](mailto:jbode@demandsideanalytics.com); [kavseikova@opiniondynamics.com](mailto:kavseikova@opiniondynamics.com); [gpratt@storagealliance.org](mailto:gpratt@storagealliance.org); [jnoh@storagealliance.org](mailto:jnoh@storagealliance.org); [stephan@verdantassoc.com](mailto:stephan@verdantassoc.com); [jpasquito@sdge.com](mailto:jpasquito@sdge.com); [adenna.lee@sce.com](mailto:adenna.lee@sce.com); [jennychienyi.chen@sce.com](mailto:jennychienyi.chen@sce.com); [jean@verdantassoc.com](mailto:jean@verdantassoc.com); [jacob.varsano@enel.com](mailto:jacob.varsano@enel.com); [joe@recurve.com](mailto:joe@recurve.com); [nathanael.gonzalez@sce.com](mailto:nathanael.gonzalez@sce.com); [emrah.ozkaya@sce.com](mailto:emrah.ozkaya@sce.com); [yi.liu@sce.com](mailto:yi.liu@sce.com); [xinkun.nie@gmail.com](mailto:xinkun.nie@gmail.com); [tara.becnel@sce.com](mailto:tara.becnel@sce.com); [klein@energyhub.net](mailto:klein@energyhub.net); [CSANADA@caiso.com](mailto:CSANADA@caiso.com); [yang.yu@sunrun.com](mailto:yang.yu@sunrun.com); [kate@calssa.org](mailto:kate@calssa.org); [jackie@nuvve.com](mailto:jackie@nuvve.com); [andrew.cole@leap.ac](mailto:andrew.cole@leap.ac); [christopher.worley@sunrun.com](mailto:christopher.worley@sunrun.com); [R4C1@pge.com](mailto:R4C1@pge.com); [natalie.guisher@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:natalie.guisher@cpuc.ca.gov); [dhaval.dagli@sce.com](mailto:dhaval.dagli@sce.com); [daniel.buch@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:daniel.buch@cpuc.ca.gov); [simone.brant@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:simone.brant@cpuc.ca.gov); [aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov); [eleanor.adachi@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:eleanor.adachi@cpuc.ca.gov); [andrew.magie@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:andrew.magie@cpuc.ca.gov); [clinvill@raponline.org](mailto:clinvill@raponline.org); [lkristov91@gmail.com](mailto:lkristov91@gmail.com); [KKettler@sdge.com](mailto:KKettler@sdge.com); [John.Diep@sce.com](mailto:John.Diep@sce.com); [tamara.d@ecobee.com](mailto:tamara.d@ecobee.com); Rachel McMahon <[rachel.mcmahon@sunrun.com](mailto:rachel.mcmahon@sunrun.com)>

**Cc:** Gutierrez, Aleecia@Energy <[Aleecia.Gutierrez@energy.ca.gov](mailto:Aleecia.Gutierrez@energy.ca.gov)>; Erne, David@Energy <[David.Erne@energy.ca.gov](mailto:David.Erne@energy.ca.gov)>; Lyon, Erik@Energy <[Erik.Lyon@energy.ca.gov](mailto:Erik.Lyon@energy.ca.gov)>; Wagner, Courtney@Energy <[Courtney.Wagner@energy.ca.gov](mailto:Courtney.Wagner@energy.ca.gov)>; Wayland, Stefanie@Energy <[Stefanie.Wayland@energy.ca.gov](mailto:Stefanie.Wayland@energy.ca.gov)>

**Subject:** UPDATE: CEC SSDR QC Working Group

Hello SSDR QC Working Group,

The final DR QC proposals can now be found at CEC Docket 21-DR-01 ([California Energy Commission : Docket Log](#)). Although you can find each of the five final proposals on the docket, we have pasted a link for each proposal below for your convenience:

1. California Efficiency + Demand Management Council (CEDMC) Incentive-Based Method DR Counting Proposal. <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=246235&DocumentContentId=80417>
2. "Simplified LIPs" Proposal (OhmConnect). <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=246232&DocumentContentId=80415>
3. Proposal for Demand Response Resource Counting for Slice of Day (CLECA). <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=246242&DocumentContentId=80425>
4. Demand Response Qualifying Capacity Working Group Proposal (Demand Side Analytics). <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=246240&DocumentContentId=80424>

5. Hourly Regression Capacity Counting Methodology for Supply-Side Demand Response (CEC). <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=246244&DocumentContentId=80427>

Using these final proposals as your guide, please fill out the principles survey at the following link:  
<https://forms.office.com/g/9uHyYFreS>

As you'll recall the final set of nine principles developed by the working group were posted to docket 21-DR-01 on 5/2/2022 at the following link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=242909&DocumentContentId=76492>

**Survey responses are due Tuesday, October 4.** The attached PDF provides an overview of the survey. You will rate how much you agree (or disagree) that each proposal meets or aligns with each principle on a 5-point scale. There are no open-ended/text responses requested. The survey will automatically close October 4 at midnight to ensure we have enough time to compile and present the results to the WG meeting on Thursday, October 6. The results will be aggregated so that no individual respondent is identified. Individual responses will not be posted to the docket (21-DR-01).

We are also inviting your formal written comments. **Written comments are due Thursday, October 13.** This is separate from the survey. We recommend you begin writing up comments based on the final proposals now but hold off on submitting written comments until after our October 6 discussion of the principles survey results. The written comments you submit by October 13 will be posted to docket 21-DR-01, will become part of the CEC record, and will be our primary source for summarizing stakeholders' positions in the CEC's report to the CPUC, so please make these as thorough and comprehensive as possible and make sure you are responding to the final versions of the proposals posted to docket 21-DR-01. We recommend that you include the following, at a minimum, in your written comments:

- Discuss your organization's position on each of the five proposals (i.e., support or oppose and why).
- Discuss your organization's position on the extent to which each proposal does or does not meet the principles;
- Discuss your organization's position on whether, and if so what, enhancements to intracycle adjustments to demand response qualifying capacity during the resource adequacy compliance year, as adopted in D.20-06-031, are feasible and appropriate to account for variability in the demand response resource in the month-ahead and operational space;
- Discuss your organization's position on whether implementation of any elements of demand response qualifying capacity method modifications that might be adopted by the commission should be phased in over time.
- Discuss your organization's position on whether, and if so how, any changes to demand response adders should be reflected in demand response qualifying capacity methodology.

Best,  
Tom and Erik