DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	22-EVI-05
Project Title:	National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (NEVI) Funding Program
TN #:	246123
Document Title:	Presentation - National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Pre- Solicitation Joint Workshop Session 2 of 2
Description:	***Document supersedes TN 245807***
Filer:	Spencer Kelley
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	9/15/2022 4:11:59 PM
Docketed Date:	9/15/2022



National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Pre-Solicitation Joint Workshop Session 2 of 2

California Energy Commission and Caltrans September 8, 2022 | 9:00 a.m.







- Welcome and Introductions
- Housekeeping and Diversity Survey
- NEVI Overview
- Recap of Workshop Session 1
- Corridor Groups
 - Discussion
- Corridor Group Ranking
 - Discussion
- Next Steps
- Adjourn







- Workshop is recorded on Zoom
- Virtual Participation via Zoom or telephone during the Q&A period
- Presentation is available online:

NEVI Pre-solicitation Workshop: Session 2 Event Page

https://www.energy.ca.gov/event/workshop/2022-09/session-2national-electric-vehicle-infrastructure-funding-program-pre

• CEC NEVI web page:

https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/nationalelectric-vehicle-infrastructure-program-nevi







The CEC adopted a resolution strengthening its commitment to diversity in our funding programs. The CEC continues to encourage disadvantaged and underrepresented businesses and communities to engage in and benefit from our many programs.

To meet this comment, CEC staff conducts outreach efforts and activities to:

- Engage with disadvantaged and underrepresented groups throughout the state;
- Notify potential new applicants about the CEC's funding opportunities;
- Assist applicants in understanding how to apply for funding from the CEC's programs;
- Survey participants to measure progress in diversity outreach efforts.



Diversity Survey



5



Scan the code on a phone or tablet with a QR reader to access the survey.

One Minute Survey

The information supplied will be used for public reporting purposes to display anonymous overall attendance demographics.

Zoom Participants, please use the link in the chat to access the survey or scan the QR code on the left of the screen with a phone or tablet to access the survey.

Survey will be closed at the end of the day.

Survey Link:

https://forms.office.com/Pages/ResponsePage.aspx?id=RBI6rPQT9k6NG7qicUgZTqEU3EeANX9DvIX_on7oPclUMV owWEZWTFZUMzJBVUs5QkxOSUc5UzRYRi4u



National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (NEVI) Program



- Established through Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA)
- Establish a nationwide, interconnected network of publicly available electric vehicle chargers along Alternative Fuel Corridors
- California's distribution of the formula funding is estimated at \$384 million over 5 years
- Local governments and community benefit organizations will have the opportunity to apply for \$2.5 billion in discretionary funding





Overall Funding for ZEV Infrastructure Deployment


Fiscal Year	Light-Duty	Medium- and Heavy- Duty
2021-22 ¹	\$317 million	\$391 million
Proposed 2022-23 through 2025-26 ²	\$1,666 million	\$1,714 million

1. Clean Transportation Program (CTP) and ZEV Package 1.0

2. CTP and ZEV Package 2.0, including NEVI

NEVI Deployment Plan Development





https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/sustainability/documents/nevi/2022-ca-nevi-deployment-plana11y.pdf








Building charging infrastructure along California's highways – to get people where they want to go.

- Public stations
- 4 DC Fast Chargers (CCS Connectors)
- Max 50 miles between stations
- Max 1 mile from highway
- Site power ≥ 600 kW supporting
 ≥ 150 kW per port and across 4 ports simultaneously

https://hepgis.fhwa.dot.gov/fhwagis/ViewMap.aspx?map=Highway+In formation|Electric+Vehicle+(EV-Round+1,2,3,4,5+and+6)#





Session 1: NEVI Deployment Plan Concept



- Divide designated corridors into <u>segments</u> with one or more sites per segment
- Identify groups of corridors segments by geography
- Rank groups to fund highest priorities first
- Issue competitive solicitation(s) for agreements to install chargers on identified <u>groups</u>
- Session 1 Presentation available at: <u>NEVI Pre-Solicitation Workshop: Session 1 Event Page</u>

https://www.energy.ca.gov/event/workshop/2022-09/session-1-national-electric-vehicle-infrastructure-funding-program-pre



NEVI Resources



Federal Joint Office of Energy and Transportation Links:

- Technical Assistance Webpage (https://driveelectric.gov/technical-assistance/)
 - Guidance; FAQs; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; Mailing List
- Justice40 Initiative (https://driveelectric.gov/resources/)
- Data and Tools (https://driveelectric.gov/resources/)
- **California Links:**
 - <u>CEC NEVI Webpage</u>

(https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/national-electric-vehicle-infrastructure-program-nevi)

<u>Caltrans Sustainability - Zero-Emission Vehicles</u>

(https://dot.ca.gov/programs/sustainability/zero-emission-vehicles)

Map of Disadvantaged and Low-income Communities

(https://webmaps.arb.ca.gov/PriorityPopulations/)



NEVI Implementation Timeline



Milestone	Time
Draft plan released	June 8, 2022
State submits final plan	August 1, 2022
Federal approval of eligible plans	By September 30, 2022
State develops grant funding opportunity	Q2 2022 to Q4 2022
Anticipated first round of solicitation release	Q1 2023
Subsequent rounds of solicitation releases	Q3 2023; Q1 2024; Q3 2024





Proposed Corridor Segments and Groups





14

* Stations that meet minimum criteria may change at the time of solicitation

US Department of Transportation

https://hepgis.fhwa.dot.gov/fhwagis/ViewM ap.aspx?map=Highway+Information%7CEl

US Department of Energy Alternative Fuels

Data Center Station Data for Alternative

https://afdc.energy.gov/corridors

Federal Highway Administration


Alternative Fuel Corridors Map

ectric+Vehicle+(EV-

Fuel Corridors

Round+1,2,3,4,5+and+6)


release.





Disadvantaged Communities







×



Map Legend

Priority Populations CES4 2022

PriorityPopulationsCES4

Disadvantaged Communities


Disadvantaged and Low-income Communities

Low-income Communities

Low-income Communities within 1/2 mile of Disadvantaged Communities

Low-income Households within 1/2 mile of Disadvantaged Communities


* Low-income households statewide are also considered a priority population for the purposes of California Climate Investments






Justice40 Communities












These model results are not proposed station locations










- Regional/Geographical
- Group Interstate Highway segments
- Balance number of new charging stations
 - 5 9 stations per group
 - 20 170 chargers per group
- Number of stations:
 - No more than 50 miles apart
 - Within 1 mile of each corridor's starting and ending points
 - "Required sites" to meet these criteria
- Number of chargers:
 - Greater of (number of stations * 4) OR (RoadTrip demand * 1/2)





21












US-101	Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
- This -	SR1: Leggett to North of San Francisco	6	24	SR1/US101 (Leggett)
And the second	US101: Leggett to San Francisco	2	8	-
A for the former	Total:	8	32	
Clearlake Sank Rosa Valieo San Francisco	Sacramento Vacaville Fairfield Concord Soco	Disadvanta Communitie Justice40 California-d Disadvanta Justice40-d * Stations that	at meet NEVI Criteria ged and/or Low-income es designated by both Cali esignated Low-income and ged Communities esignated Disadvantaged : meet minimum crit time of solicitation r	d/or ceria may








Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers		quired Sites
US395: Oregon Border to Nevada Border	5	20	-	
Total:	5	20		
I VALA I VALA	 Legend Stations that meet NEVI Criteria Disadvantaged and/or Low-income Communities designated by both California and Justice40 California-designated Low-income and/or Disadvantaged Communities Justice40-designated Disadvantaged * Stations that meet minimum criteria may change at the time of solicitation release. 			

Bureau of Land Management, EPA








		-	
Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
US395: Hesperia to Nevada Border	5	20	*
SR14: Santa Clarita to Inyokern	3	12	SR14/US395 (Inyokern) SR14/I-5 (Santa Clarita)
Total:	8	32	

* Lee Vining is a required site for SR 120 in Group 9, so it is not an eligible site for US 395

Y	Legend
Care OP Care OP CAR	 Stations that meet NEVI Criteria Disadvantaged and/or Low-income Communities designated by both California and Justice40 California-designated Low-income and/or Disadvantaged Communities Justice40-designated Disadvantaged
A CONTRACT OF A	* Stations that meet minimum criteria may change at the time of solicitation release.













Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
SR58: Buttonwillow to Barstow	4	16	SR58/I-15 (Barstow)
I-15: Hesperia to Nevada Border	2	45	-
I-40: Barstow to Needles	2	12	-
Total:	8	73	








Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites	DAA USOS R BIA NIS
SR20: 101/20 split to 20/29 split, then 20/53 split to Yuba Pass (20/80)	4	20	SR20/I-5 (Williams)	
I-80: Sacramento to Nevada Border	1	16	-	
SR29: Upper Lake to Lower Lake	2	8	SR20/SR29 (Upper Lake) and SR29/SR 53 (Lower Lake)	
US50: Pollock Pines to Nevada	1	6	-	28
Totol		ГО		


























	Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
I-80	I-280: San Francisco to San Jose	2	8	-
Microsoft Contraction (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	I-580: San Rafael to Tracy	2	8	I-580/US101 (San Rafael)
I-580 Concord Stockton	I-80 San Francisco to Sacramento	1	4	-
Santo I-680 I-205 Francisco Oakda	I-680: Cordelia to San Jose	1	4	I-80/I-680 (Cordelia)
I-280 Modesto	I-505: Vacaville to Dunnigan	0	2*	-
I-880	I-205: Tracy	0	2*	-
Sandose	Total:	6	28	
Santa Gruz	*Since new charge segment, these <u>ch</u> in Group 12.			














Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
SR111 Whitewater to Mecca, SR86/78 Mecca to Brawley, SR111 from Brawley to Calexico	3	12	-
I-10: Beaumont to Blythe	2	32	-
I-8: El Centro to Arizona Border	2	8	-
SR7: Holtville to Mexico Border	1	4	-
Total:	8	56	


Communities designated by both California and

California-designated Low-income and/or


* Stations that meet minimum criteria may change at the time of solicitation release.








-			
Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
R125: Santee to Otay Mesa	2	8	SR125/SR52 (Santee), SR152/SR905 (Otay Mesa)
R94: San Diego to Dulzara (Tecate)) 2	8	SR94/I-5 (San Diego)
R905: San Diego to Otay Mesa	2	8	SR905/I-5 (San Diego), SR905/SR125 (Otay Mesa)
R78: Oceanside to Escondido	1	4	-
R67: El Cajon to Eucalyptus Hills	1	4	-
	d Stations that meet NEVI Cri Disadvantaged and/or Low- Communities designated by ustice40 California-designated Low-ir Disadvantaged Communities ustice40-designated Disadv	income both California and ncome and/or s vantaged	
Constant Con	* Stations that meet minimum criteria may change at the time of solicitation release. 35		







Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites	
SR39: La Habra to Huntington Beach	2	8	SR39/SR1 (La Habra), SR39/SR72 (Huntington Beach)	
SR55: Anaheim to Newport Beach	1	4	SR55/SR1 (Newport Beach)	
SR91: Gardena to Riverside	1	4	SR91/I-110 (Gardena)	
SR1: Oxnard to Dana Point	1	4	SR1/I-5 (Dana Point)	
Total:	5	20		37



Proposed Corridor Group #18









Proposed Corridor Group #20







* Stations that meet minimum criteria may change at the time of solicitation release.

Group Corridor Segments	Minimum # of New Charging Stations	Total Number of New Chargers	Required Sites
I-110: Los Angeles to San Pedro	2	8	I-110/I-10 (Los Angeles), I-110/SR47 (San Pedro)
I-710: Los Angeles to Long Beach	2	8	I-710/I-10 (Los Angeles) I-710/SR1 (Long Beach)
I-605: Irwindale/Duarte to Seal Beach	1	4	I-605/I-210 (Irwindale/ Duarte)
I-105: El Segundo to Norwalk	1	4	I-105/I-605 (Norwalk)
Total:	6	24	

Murriel





- "...a State may submit a request for discretionary exceptions from the requirement that charging infrastructure is installed every 50 miles along that State's portion of the Interstate Highway System within 1 travel mile of the Interstate... Exceptions must be clearly identified and justified..."
 - <u>https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/alternative_fuel_corridors/nomination</u> s/90d_nevi_formula_program_guidance.pdf





- 1. Is 20 groups appropriate? Should there be fewer/more?
- 2. Should the solicitation require sites for some segments?
- 3. Should California request any Discretionary Exceptions?





Proposed Corridor Group Ranking



• Purpose

- Rank the corridors for funding order
- Each solicitation will accept applications for about five groups, starting at the top of the list

Weighting Considerations

- Interstates first
- DAC/LIC/J40/Tribal (30% of total points)
- Number of new charging stations and chargers

Method

- Scored each corridor segment
- Calculated the group average score
- Ranked groups by average score

Variable	Factor	Score
Consider is an interatoto	Yes	25
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0
	100%	10
	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Descenters of the consideration is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
Number of 150 billion exceptor	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per char	ging station (0-7)
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83





- **Definition:** Interstate Highways
- **Explanation:** NEVI guidance: "States should prioritize the use of NEVI Formula Program funding for EV charging infrastructure along the Interstate Highway System."

Variable	Factor	Score
Consider is an Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Devecute as of the convident het is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Devecuters of the convidentiation in	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
Number of 150 kM or greater	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per charging station (0-7)	
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



- **Definition:** Federally recognized disadvantaged communities. At least 40% of NEVI funding to be spent within Justice40
- **Process:** Determined percentage of corridor that has a Justice40 community within 1 mile of the corridor.
- **Explanation:** Prioritize underserved communities

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is on Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Deveoute as of the convident bet is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations		
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	<mark>0% - 24%</mark>	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



- **Definition:** Census tracts identified as DAC and/or LIC according to CalEnviroscreen 4.0 and AB 1550.
- **Process:** Determined percentage of corridor that has a DAC/LIC within 1 mile of the corridor.
- **Explanation:** Prioritize underserved communities

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is on Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Devecute as of the convident bet is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations		
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



• **Definition:** At least 50% of the corridor's census tracts are in both a DAC/LIC and a Justice40 community

- **Process:** Determined percentage of the AFC's census tracts which are in both a DAC/LIC and a Justice40 community
- **Explanation:** Prioritize underserved communities

Variable	Factor	Score
	Yes	25
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0
	100%	10
	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Demonstrate of the compiler that is in	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
Number of 150 kW or greater	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater, DCFCs needed along the corridor	11-19	8
(RoadTrip 2030)	6-10	6
(Road Hip 2050)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per char	ging station (0-7)
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



• **Definition:** Model for the number of chargers along corridors that are needed to support 2030 demand

- **Process:** CEC staff modeled energy demand for AFCs in 2030 and subtracted existing charger counts
- **Explanation:** Corridors with a larger difference between projected demand and current capacity need additional investment to encourage installations

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is an Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Demonstrage of the convident that is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in a Justice 40 community	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Percentage of the corridor that is in	75% - 99%	8
a DAC and/or a LIC	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a Lic	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	20-39	10
DCFCs needed along the corridor	11-19	8
(RoadTrip 2030)	6-10	6
(Road hip 2000)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per char	ging station (0-7)
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83





• **Definition:** Number of new charging stations necessary to meet criterion that stations will be spaced no more than 50 miles apart

• Process:

- Existing charging station gap analysis
 - Identified existing NEVI compliant sites
 - Reviewed corridor length and geography to determine the number of sites needed to assure gaps of less than 50 miles (Some exceptions may be necessary)

• Explanation:

- Sites must be no more than 50 miles apart
- Corridor needs vary

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is an Interstate	Yes	25
corndor is an interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 99%	8
in a Justice 40 community	50% - 74%	6
in a justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Deveentage of the convident hot is in	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in a DAC and/or a LIC	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a Lic	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per char	ging station (0-7)
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



- **Definition:** Identified through the Senate Bill 1000 analysis as communities with sparse fast charging coverage (average drive times of 10 minutes or more to a public DC fast charging station).
- **Process:** Determined percentage of corridor miles that fall within communities with sparse fast charging coverage.
- **Explanation:** Corridors within communities with sparse fast charging coverage need additional investment.

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is an Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Demonstrate of the convident that is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in a Justice 40 community	50% - 74%	6
In a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Demonstrate of the corridor that is in	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
	40+	20
	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per char	ging station (0-7)
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



- **Definition:** Lands under control of federally recognized Tribes.
- **Process:** Determined percentage of corridor that has lands under control of federally recognized Tribes within 1-mile of the corridor.
- **Explanation:** Recognize the unique history and role of Tribes. Tribal lands are also considered to be DACs by both state and Justice40 definitions and weighted in those categories.

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is an Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an interstate	No	0
	100%	10
Devecute as of the convident bet is	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
Demonstrate of the consider that is in	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	40+	20
	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per charging station (0-7	
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



- **Definition:** Corridor Segment continues into a neighboring state which also has the corridor designated as an AFC
- **Process:** Identified AFCs from Oregon, Nevada, and Arizona
- Justification: AFCs that cross borders contribute to the goal of a national network of chargers

Variable	Factor	Score
Corridor is on Interstate	Yes	25
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0
	100%	10
	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
	100%	10
	75% - 99%	8
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6
a DAC and/or a LIC	25% - 49%	4
	0% - 24%	0
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0
•	40+	20
	20-39	10
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4
	≤ 0	0
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations		
	90-100%	4
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1
	0% - 24%	0
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1
or Tribal Property	No	0
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1
neighboring State's AFC	No	0
	Max Points:	83



Corridor Ranking Results



			_			
Rank	Group	Group Score		Rank	Group	Group Score
1	7	57.67		11	4	37.00
2	16	53.33		12	5	31.00
3	20	50.00		13	9	30.67
4	6	49.00		14	3	30.50
5	14	48.75		15	13	29.50
6	19	49.00		16	18	27.00
7	1	47.33		17	15	24.40
8	12	45.83		18	10	22.50
9	8	40.00		19	17	22.00
10	2	37.67		20	11	17.25







Two ways to ask questions:

Please state your name and affiliation. Keep questions under 3 minutes to allow time for others.

1. Use the raise hand function in Zoom

Zoom Phone Controls:

- *6 Toggle mute/unmute
- *9 Raise hand

2. Type questions in the Zoom Q&A Box



- 1. Should any variables be added or removed?
- 2. Should the weighting be revised?

Variable	Factor	Score	
Consider is an Interestate	Yes	25	
Corridor is an Interstate	No	0	
	100%	10	
	75% - 99%	8	
Percentage of the corridor that is	50% - 74%	6	
in a Justice 40 community	25% - 49%	4	
	0% - 24%	0	
	100%	10	
	75% - 99%	8	
Percentage of the corridor that is in	50% - 74%	6	
a DAC and/or a LIC (CalES 4.0)	25% - 49%	4	
	0% - 24%	0	
at least 50% of corridor is	Yes	5	
both DAC/LIC and J40	No	0	
	40+	20	
Number of 150 kW/ or greater	20-39	10	
Number of 150 kW, or greater,	11-19	8	
DCFCs needed along the corridor	6-10	6	
(RoadTrip 2030)	1-5	4	
	≤ 0	0	
Additional charging stations needed to comply with the maximum 50-mile distance between charging stations	1 point per charging station (0-7)		
	90-100%	4	
Percentage of the corridor that is	75% - 89%	3	
greater than 10 minutes away from	50% - 74%	2	
an existing DCFC (SB 1000)	25% - 49%	1	
	0% - 24%	0	
Corridor has at least 1 Tribal Land	Yes	1	
or Tribal Property	No	0	
Corridor Section connects to	Yes	1	
neighboring State's AFC	No	0	
	Max Points:	83	









Activity	Action Date			
Solicitation Round 1 Release	Q1 2023			
Pre-Application Workshop	Q1 2023			
Applications Due (3 months after release)	Q2 2023			
Anticipated Notice of Proposed Awards Posting	Q3 2023			
Anticipated Energy Commission Business Meeting	Q4 2023			
Solicitation Round 2 Release	Q3 2023			
Solicitation Round 3 Release	Q1 2024			
Solicitation Round 4 Release	Q3 2024			







Docket Name:

National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Funding Program

Docket Number: 22-EVI-05

Link:

e-Commenting Page for Docket 22-EVI-05

(https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Ecomment/Ecomment.aspx?docketnumber=22-EVI-05)

Email: <u>docket@energy.ca.gov</u> Subject Line: "22-EVI-05 NEVI"

Comments are due by September 28, 2022



Thank You!

