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California Western Grid Comments on July 22, 2021 Jt Agency Workshop

Additional submitted attachment is included below.
California Energy Commission  
Attention: Docket Office  
715 “P” Street  
Sacramento, CA  95814  

COMMENTS OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN GRID DEVELOPMENT, LLC ON  
DOCKET 17-MISC-01 : LEAD COMMISSIONER WORKSHOP ON ASSEMBLY BILL 525: OFFSHORE  
WIND MAXIMUM FEASIBLE CAPACITY AND MEGAWATT PLANNING GOALS FOR 2030 AND  
2045  

California Western Grid Development, LLC (CWG) is an Independent Transmission Developer  
that is developing the proposed Pacific Transmission Expansion Project (“PTE Project” or  
“PTEP”). The PTE Project is a 2,000 MW controllable HVDC subsea transmission cable that the  
California Independent System Operator (“CAISO”) has found will allow any new or existing  
supply of renewable power available to the Diablo Canyon 500 kV switchyard to be delivered to  
the West Los Angeles Basin and Big Creek Ventura areas. PTEP will reduce local capacity  
requirements (and related charges) and allow for new clean energy from around the state  
to be delivered into Los Angeles.  

As a transmission developer with a sincere interest in investing in California’s transition to a  
decarbonized future, we appreciate the opportunity to offer some brief comments following  
the Commission’s public workshop outlining proposed recommended targets or goals for  
California’s budding offshore wind (OSW) industry.  

CWG submits that the CEC and other agencies are at a critical juncture relative to fostering the  
OSW industry in this state. As you are no doubt aware, the OSW industry is globally  
competitive, with supply chain issues similar to those befalling others in the energy resource  
sector. Given the combination of these supply chain issues, the promise of thousands of well-  
paying California jobs, and the urgent need to further diversify the state’s clean energy  
resource portfolio, it makes good sense to steer the OSW industry toward the larger range of  
“feasible”\(^1\) OSW targets.  

---  
\(^1\) CWG recognized some debate at the workshop over the definition of “feasibility.” While final  
investments will need further environmental and societal review, in CWG’s view, the definition matters less  
than the need for a new California-based energy resource which is economically sound and helps the grid  
operators maintain reliability while also affordably meeting the State’s clean energy goals.
CWG notes that the overwhelming data presented not only by the industry, but highly credible nonprofit and educational institutions, suggests the state can at minimum support a long-term goal between 25 and 50 MW, with an interim goal of 8-10. UC Berkeley’s Goldman School study suggests 15-20 GW may be feasible by 2035 and upwards of 50 GW by 2050. As Goldman presented at the workshop, these higher planning targets are “critical to ensure a sufficient and diverse clean power supply.” Clearly, these targets represent planning goals and are not in cement. But it is critical for the state to consider the importance of market signals to attract continuous development and investment in offshore wind projects over the coming 20-plus years, as well as the unique and timely opportunity to leverage federal funding, as you consider your ability to attract development capital.

Lastly, CWG submits that adding a resource like OSW, and its inherent energy diversity, will enable the state to take best advantage of all the other required transmission investments, both intrastate and regional.

In sum, the state will be well served to advance aggressive planning targets—at least 8-10 GW for the near/intermediate term and 25-50 GW for the long term. This will boost the industry, create well-paying jobs and provide CAISO and other grid operators with badly needed tools to maintain a reliable and affordable grid.

Thank you again for the opportunity to express our views.

Respectfully,

Martin Walicki
mwalicki@tred-llc.com
On behalf of California Western Grid Development, LLC
2112 East Ganson Street
Jackson, MI  49202
(240) 277-8968

22 The study acknowledges that more assessment is needed to identify required infrastructure and environmental safeguards.