

JOINT COMMITTEE WORKSHOP  
BEFORE THE  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION  
AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of: )  
Preparation of the 2009 Integrated ) Docket No.  
Energy Policy Report ) 09-IEP-1J  
Natural Gas Procurement by )  
Utilities )

<b>DOCKET</b> 09-IEP-1J	
DATE	MAR 10 2009
RECD.	MAR 18 2009

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
HEARING ROOM A  
1516 NINTH STREET  
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 2009  
9:05 A.M.

**ORIGINAL**

Reported by:  
Peter Petty  
Contract No. 150-07-001

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT

Jeffrey D. Byron, Presiding Member, IEPR  
Committee; Electricity and Natural Gas Committee

James Boyd, Vice Chairperson, Associate Member,  
IEPR Committee; Electricity and Natural Gas  
Committee

ADVISORS and STAFF PRESENT

Susan Brown, Advisor

Suzanne Korosec

Ruben Tavares

Lana Wong

ALSO PRESENT

Katie Elder  
RW Beck

Herb Emmrich, Southern California Gas Company,  
San Diego Gas and Electric

Pam Taheri  
Sacramento Municipal Utility District

Laird Dyer  
Shell Energy North America

Marshall Clark  
Natural Gas Services  
Department of General Services

John Armato  
Patrick Fox  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Richard Meyers (via teleconference)  
California Public Utilities Commission

Ray Welch  
Navigant Consulting

ALSO PRESENT

Wendy Al-Mukda (via teleconference)

## I N D E X

	Page
Proceedings	1
Opening Remarks	1
Ms. Korosec	1
Commissioner Byron	2
Commissioner Boyd	3
Background/Overview	4
Ruben Tavares, CEC	4
Natural Gas Procurement for Core Residential Customers	6
Lana Wong, CEC	6
Comments - Utility Core Gas Procurement Activities	11
Herb Emmrich, SoCalGas, SDG&E	11
Natural Gas Procurement and Hedging	33
Pam Taheri, SMUD	33
Core Natural Gas Procurement	46
Laird Dyer, Shell Energy North America	46
Natural Gas Core and Noncore Procurement	65
Marshall Clark, DGS, Natural Gas Services	65
PG&E Core Natural Gas Procurement	82
John Armato, PG&E	82
Panel Discussion	95
Moderators: L. Wong, CEC, Katie Elder, RW Beck	

Panel Discussion - continued	
Presentations	95
Ray Welch, Navigant Consulting	95
Richard Meyers, CPUC	99
Discussion	100
Public Comments	145
Closing Remarks	148
Presiding Member Byron	148
Adjournment	150
Certificate of Reporter	151

## P R O C E E D I N G S

9:05 a.m.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MS. KOROSSEC: Good morning. This is a joint workshop of the Energy Commission's Electricity and Natural Gas Committee and the Integrated Energy Policy Report Committee to look at the impacts of market prices on natural gas utilities' customers and ratepayers.

I'm Suzanne Korosec and I'm the lead for the Energy Commission's Integrated Energy Policy Report unit. As part of the IEPR, every two years the Energy Commission assesses California's natural gas system including supply, demand, prices and infrastructure.

In 2008 we saw very high natural gas prices, which have since dropped very dramatically. And as part of the natural gas analysis in the 2009 IEPR, the Energy Commission needs to better understand the impacts of this volatility.

Just a few housekeeping items before we get started. Restrooms are out the double doors and to your left. There's a snack room on the second floor at the top of the stairs in the atrium under the white awning.

1                   And if there's an emergency and we need  
2                   to evacuate the building for any reason, please  
3                   follow the staff out to the Roosevelt Park across  
4                   the street and wait there for the all-clear  
5                   signal.

6                   Today's workshop is being webcast. And  
7                   for those listening in who wish to speak during  
8                   the public comment period, the call-in number is  
9                   888-566-5914, and the passcode is IEPR.

10                  I also want to remind parties that  
11                  written comments are due by 5:00 p.m. on March  
12                  18th. Those can be submitted using the procedure  
13                  that's in the workshop notice. Copies of that are  
14                  available in the foyer out in the hall, and also  
15                  online on our website.

16                  So, with that I'll turn it over to  
17                  Commissioner Byron and Commissioner Boyd for any  
18                  opening comments.

19                  PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Thank you, Ms.  
20                  Korosec. Good morning, everyone. I'm Jeff Byron,  
21                  and with me is Commissioner Boyd. And since we  
22                  are both members of the Natural Gas and  
23                  Electricity Committee, and the IEPR Committee, it  
24                  makes it easy for us to hold a joint Committee  
25                  workshop with ourselves.

1                   Thank you all very much for coming  
2                   today. I'm looking forward to hearing more about  
3                   this, after having read a number of the  
4                   presentations and delving into this issue a little  
5                   bit more.

6                   I think our plan is to go to about noon  
7                   today. And I may need to step out at 11:00 for a  
8                   few minutes.

9                   Commissioner Boyd, any comments?

10                  ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Thank you,  
11                  Commissioner Byron. No, just that I look forward  
12                  to the discussion today. I'm reflecting back on  
13                  how many years I've been associated with the  
14                  natural gas question in California, all the way  
15                  back to the electricity crisis of yesteryear. And  
16                  it's been an interesting subject, to say the  
17                  least, but one that has fared better for us, as a  
18                  state, than certainly electricity did.

19                  So, hopefully we'll hear that the 2008  
20                  price escapade was a little anomaly, and we'll get  
21                  back to more or less a civil and normal gas market  
22                  in California, bolstered by the good practices of  
23                  our gas procuring industries. And buoyed by the  
24                  fact that we're moderately rich in storage, which  
25                  has always provided a decent hedge for us in the



1 state.

2 So, thank you, and look forward to what  
3 goes on. And I'll tough it out here when you step  
4 out of the room. Thank you.

5 MS. KOROSSEC: All right, Ruben, I'll  
6 turn it over to you then.

7 MR. TAVARES: Good morning,  
8 Commissioners; good morning, everybody. My name  
9 is Ruben Tavares and I'm part of the staff of the  
10 Energy Commission.

11 March 10, 1999, exactly ten years ago,  
12 the price of natural gas on the Henry Hub was  
13 listed as \$1.94 per mmBtu. A year later, 2000, it  
14 had increased to \$2.76, again, March 10, 2000.

15 Two years later, March 10, 2001, it was  
16 selling on the spot market at \$5.12 per mmBtu.  
17 However, by March 2002 it was down again in the  
18 \$2.80 per mmBtu.

19 Since 2002, with a few exceptions,  
20 prices have climbed steadily to the \$4, \$5, \$6 and  
21 \$7 per mmBtu. More recently, over last year,  
22 natural gas price increase from \$7 per mmBtu in  
23 early January 2008 to over \$13 in July of the same  
24 year, last year. Since then prices have declined  
25 steadily and they are under the \$4 per mmBtu

1 today.

2 What would this volatility in natural  
3 gas prices mean for California consumers?  
4 Californians consume approximately 6.5 billion  
5 cubic feet of natural gas a day, or approximately  
6 2.5 trillion cubic feet a year.

7 If we were to purchase all the gas at \$4  
8 per mmBtu it would cost consumers approximately  
9 \$9.5 billion a year. At \$7 per mmBtu the cost  
10 would increase to \$16.5 billion. And at \$13 per  
11 mmBtu it will cost a staggering \$31 billion.

12 However, utilities and noncore customers  
13 do not purchase all their gas needs at one price.  
14 They procure gas at different prices through the  
15 year.

16 The purpose of this workshop is to learn  
17 how utilities and other state entities procure  
18 natural gas for core and noncore customers. Will  
19 the daily fluctuation in natural gas price affect  
20 those customer bills?

21 For this purpose today we have a series  
22 of presentations and a panel of experts to try to  
23 answer some of the questions. So, with that  
24 introduction, I would like to introduce Lana Wong.  
25 She is part of the staff of the Energy Commission,

1 and she will make the first presentation. Lana.

2 MS. WONG: Hi, I'm Lana Wong with the  
3 Energy Commission. And I'm going to talk about  
4 the research that I did on how the utilities  
5 procure natural gas for their core residential  
6 customers.

7 And I've limited the research to core  
8 customers because the gas utilities have the  
9 responsibility to procure natural gas for their  
10 core customers while noncore customers typically  
11 procure their own natural gas. Plus the data was  
12 much more readily available on the core side of  
13 the business.

14 So last summer, a natural gas prices  
15 rose above \$13 an mmBtu, the question was asked,  
16 what kind of exposure do customers have to these  
17 high natural gas prices.

18 There was some belief that customers may  
19 not be exposed to these high prices because they  
20 enter into long-term fixed-price contracts.

21 So I spoke with the CPUC, DRA and the  
22 gas utilities to try to get a sense of how the gas  
23 utilities procure natural gas. The message that I  
24 kept hearing was that, no, they don't enter into  
25 long-term fixed-price contracts. Those are a

1 thing of the past.

2 That any long-term contract tends to be  
3 volume only, with prices tied to the index. And  
4 most purchases are short-term oriented. So that  
5 was the message that I kept hearing.

6 And then I looked at the details of the  
7 gas cost incentive mechanisms and the benchmark  
8 within the incentive mechanism. I found that that  
9 benchmark was short-term oriented; that it was  
10 tied to monthly and some daily indices. So I  
11 said, okay, there really is no incentive to enter  
12 into long-term fixed-price contracts.

13 So after getting that information I  
14 thought, okay, so what does the data show. So I  
15 pulled out data pertinent to California. So I  
16 pulled out PG&E's citygate and SoCal border  
17 average prices. And I looked at these indices,  
18 and I said, well, 2005 and 2008 you can see that  
19 we still had price spikes in those particular  
20 years similar to the Henry Hub prices that we just  
21 looked at. And I thought these indices could be  
22 viewed as a proxy for the benchmark.

23 So then the next step I focused on PG&E  
24 and SoCalGas, the two largest gas utilities. In  
25 April 2008 SoCalGas started to procure gas for San

1 Diego Gas and Electric. So I really just focused  
2 on PG&E and SoCalGas.

3 And so in this particular chart I said,  
4 well, really, the index, or PG&E's weighted  
5 average cost of gas is really tied to the index;  
6 that they tend to track one another very well.  
7 And the data is really highly correlated.

8 Then I also pulled out data for PG&E  
9 core procurement charge. And the procurement  
10 charge is the retail rate that is charged to  
11 customers. And it includes the weighted average  
12 cost of gas and other procurement-related fees.

13 And so when I looked at this, I said,  
14 okay, the procurement charge deviates more from  
15 the index. And when I looked at the details what  
16 I found is that, well, the procurement charge is  
17 an estimate, and eventually there's a true-up of  
18 actual cost to this estimate. And in subsequent  
19 months there may be costs that are rolled into the  
20 procurement charge due to under-collection or  
21 over-collection in the purchase gas account.

22 But, in general, when I looked at this  
23 data I said, really the procurement charge  
24 exhibits a similar price pattern and volatility as  
25 the index. So when we had prices spike in 2005

1 and 2008, the procurement charge moved right along  
2 with it.

3 So then to look at SoCalGas, I wasn't  
4 able to get the weighted average cost for  
5 SoCalGas. They consider that data confidential.  
6 But I was able to get their core procurement  
7 charge, and that was available on their website.

8 And so when I looked at this data,  
9 SoCalGas' procurement charge almost lays right on  
10 top of the SoCal border average bid week price.  
11 And so I said, to answer the original question,  
12 how are customers exposed to the prices in the  
13 market place, I just said, well, really in summary  
14 the prices and the volatility in the marketplace  
15 are passed on to customers. But the gas utilities  
16 do employ limited hedges.

17 And so as I've shown these charts to  
18 staff and our executive office, one of the often-  
19 heard comments was, hedging? what hedging?

20 So I know we have a number of speakers  
21 today who will talk about hedging and risk  
22 management activities. And that should help  
23 provide insight into those activities that are  
24 occurring at the utilities.

25 So that concludes my presentation. Are

1           there any questions?

2                           (Pause.)

3                           MR. TAVARES: Thank you very much, Lana.

4           Next we have Herb Emmrich representing Southern

5           California Gas and San Diego Gas and Electric.

6           Henry.

7                           ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: While the  
8           speaker is coming to the microphone let me just  
9           point out to the audience, this is a workshop,  
10          this is not a formal hearing. The room is laid  
11          out with us sitting up here towering above you,  
12          but that's just a formality.

13                          And I encourage you to ask questions or  
14          make any comments that you might want to make.  
15          We're trying to have a dialogue with all of you.

16                          I would ask that if you have a question  
17          or comment that you just grab one of the  
18          microphones here in the front of the room and  
19          introduce yourself for the record.

20                          But, again, this is not a formal  
21          hearing. This is a workshop and we'd like all the  
22          dialogue back and forth that you feel that you'd  
23          like to engage in. So, thank you.

24                          MR. EMMRICH: Good morning,  
25          Commissioners and all the attendees here. My name

1 is Herb Emmrich; I'm the Gas Demand Forecast  
2 Manager for Southern California Gas Company and  
3 San Diego Gas and Electric. We're the largest gas  
4 utility in the country serving over 6 million  
5 customers, and 1.3 million electric customers.

6 I tried to answer the questions that  
7 were given to us, to what extent are gas utilities  
8 and the ratepayers exposed to natural gas price  
9 structure issues. We have monthly pricing on the  
10 gas side, so core customers do experience that  
11 monthly price fluctuation as we set the commodity  
12 cost of gas for each month, based on the purchases  
13 and withdrawal from storage in the wintertime.

14 So, residential and core commercial  
15 industrial customers also have the option of  
16 signing up for level pay plan where they pay the  
17 same amount each month for their procurement bill.  
18 And they have a yearly true-up.

19 So if somebody wants to avoid the  
20 fluctuations month to month they can sign up for  
21 the level pay plan. And that's also available for  
22 core commercial customers that use less than 3000  
23 therms per year.

24 The other option, of course, is core  
25 customers can go with an aggregator that will



1 purchase the gas for them, according to their  
2 needs, if they want to have a fixed price  
3 portfolio or so on.

4 In addition, core ratepayer is also  
5 protected from most price spikes because we have a  
6 significant amount of storage and we do annual  
7 winter hedging, as approved by the CPUC.

8 SoCalGas has 75 bcf of storage for the  
9 core; 369 million cubic feet a day of injection;  
10 and over 2 bcf a day of withdrawal. We also have  
11 winter hedging of \$2 per customer per month for  
12 the winter season.

13 And the positions we take on that are to  
14 make sure that customers don't experience the  
15 severe price spikes that we've had in the past.  
16 So we take options positions at a fairly high rate  
17 to protect the customers against that.

18 Currently SoCalGas and San Diego  
19 shareholders are not exposed to natural gas price  
20 fluctuations as long as the gas we purchase for  
21 core customers is no more than 2 percent above the  
22 benchmark that's established in the gas cost  
23 incentive mechanism. It's a monthly benchmark  
24 based on industry publications for the month.

25 What's important to us on this, it's a

1 known benchmark, so we know how we're going to be  
2 judging our purchases. And this aligns the  
3 utility shareholders' interest with the interests  
4 of the ratepayers. Also aligns us with the  
5 state's energy efficiency programs.

6 And this is a very important aspect of  
7 our incentive mechanism, that we're not exposed to  
8 the ups and downs of prices so that we can  
9 continue to support and fully implement all the  
10 energy efficiency programs that are so important  
11 for the state.

12 Just an example, 20 years ago the  
13 average core customer used about 800 therms a  
14 year. And all the energy efficiency programs,  
15 appliance standards, building standards have  
16 reduced that down to 500 therms. That's about a  
17 35 percent reduction in usage. And we continue to  
18 strongly support all those energy efficiency  
19 programs.

20 I talk about storage and why is storage  
21 a hedge. If you look at this slide you see the  
22 purchases are flat. Every month we basically  
23 purchase the same amount of natural gas, about 1.1  
24 bcf a day.

25 And if you look at the demand pattern,

1 the blue, in the summer the demand is around 650  
2 to 700 million cubic feet a day. But in the  
3 wintertime it's much much higher.

4 So if you look at the little yellow  
5 bars, we inject gas in the summer months into  
6 storage to fill up that 79 bcf of storage. And we  
7 withdraw that gas in the wintertime when prices  
8 tend to be higher, mostly in December and January.  
9 So we avoid purchasing gas when the price is  
10 extremely high, to a large degree. About 35  
11 percent of the winter demand is satisfied by  
12 storage withdrawals.

13 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Emmrich,  
14 just if I may, a quick question. I mean this  
15 clearly is one of the abilities that we have in  
16 California that saves our bacon every year.

17 But, do any other states or regions have  
18 similar kind of storage capability as California?

19 MR. EMMRICH: Yes, you do. In the  
20 northern states you have extensive storage,  
21 especially in Michigan where it's extremely cold.  
22 And everywhere around the country you see that  
23 storage is added everywhere. Everybody's going  
24 into storage and doing what California has been a  
25 leader in.

1                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Thank you.

2                   MR. EMMRICH: We don't buy gas for  
3 noncore customers, but noncore customers can also  
4 take advantage of storage on our system. We have  
5 balancing services of 4.2 bcf. So during extreme  
6 price spikes, noncore customers can use storage  
7 that's in our system for balancing to avoid  
8 purchases during extreme price spikes.

9                   We also have the unbundled storage  
10 program where on a transaction-based program that  
11 noncore customers have 47.9 bcf for storage  
12 Available to them that they can enter into  
13 contracts with SoCalGas, also to avoid having to  
14 have purchase gas when the price is extremely  
15 high.

16                   We also reached an agreement with our  
17 customers on storage that we will be expanding  
18 storage by another 7 bcf in the next six years.  
19 Four bcf will go to the core and 3 bcf will go to  
20 the noncore. So this was a very good agreement,  
21 and all parties, including DRA and Edison, and all  
22 other noncore customers agreed to this proposal.  
23 And we will be expanding storage accordingly.

24                   Noncore customers, of course, can hedge  
25 on their own. They can purchase their own gas

1 through their own procurement department, or they  
2 can go with a marketer and purchase any kind of  
3 product that they want.

4 Or they can hedge it financially with  
5 NYMEX gas futures, options, puts, calls, whatever  
6 they want to do. They're free to do that. Or  
7 they can enter into contracts with producers to  
8 buy a fixed-price volume.

9 But generally the industry is about 70  
10 to 80 percent based on monthly pricing. That's  
11 the standard in the industry.

12 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: So, does  
13 SoCalGas do any hedging on the NYMEX gas futures  
14 market?

15 MR. EMMRICH: Yes, we do hedging  
16 according to the approved plan that we negotiate  
17 with the CPUC with -- it includes TURN, it  
18 includes DRA and the energy division. And we get  
19 approval to hedge a certain amount of gas every  
20 winter. And that is outside of the gas cost  
21 incentive mechanism, so that we are -- the  
22 shareholders are not put at risk for that.

23 And the reason we did that is that we  
24 wanted to protect against extreme price spikes.  
25 It's not hedging done to moderate a monthly up and

1 down. But if prices were to go to \$15, \$16, our  
2 customers will be protected against that.

3 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: So, if I may  
4 ask, last June when prices were up around the \$14  
5 range, about what percentage of your gas purchase  
6 was hedged out through NYMEX?

7 MR. EMMRICH: At that time -- we do not  
8 hedge in the summer, we only hedge in the winter.

9 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: I'm sorry,  
10 prior to that time how much was hedged? In other  
11 words, how much exposure did you have for those  
12 high prices?

13 MR. EMMRICH: We had full exposure at  
14 that time.

15 The other way that we hedge is that we  
16 have contracts with interstate pipelines all the  
17 way back to the basin. So if there are  
18 constraints on the pipeline system, we avoid that  
19 by having contracts on Transwestern, El Paso and  
20 Kern River, and also going into the Canadian --  
21 western Canadian basin so that we avoid any  
22 constraints that might happen at the border.

23 And it also gives us an opportunity to  
24 diversify our purchases. And we have access to  
25 the very low cost Rockies Basin. We've increased

1 that quite a bit in the last few years. And also  
2 to the San Juan Basin on El Paso and the  
3 Transwestern system.

4 We also have Canadian path gas which at  
5 this time it's a little bit more expensive, but  
6 the number one issue for us is reliability of  
7 supply. We want to have a diversified portfolio  
8 of sources, of pipelines, producing basins and  
9 producing companies. And we do that.

10 What option do utilities have for  
11 natural gas procurement and cost recovery? At  
12 this point we are judged based on the GCIM  
13 benchmark. The benchmark is the monthly prices  
14 that are published by natural gas intelligence  
15 inside FERC and so on. And we purchase gas  
16 monthly to try to beat that benchmark.

17 The reason we went to this monthly  
18 benchmark is previously we had long-term  
19 contracts, five-, six-year contracts at a fixed  
20 price. And when the market price, the daily price  
21 or the monthly price got below that, we had -- and  
22 disallowances by the regulatory commission.  
23 Because we didn't know how we were going to be  
24 judged. What is a standard for a long-term fixed-  
25 price contract? When do you know that this is the

1 right time to fix that price?

2 And every time you do that you wind up  
3 being the loser on the utility side. Because  
4 you're always going to be second-guessed, Monday-  
5 morning quarterbacking. And what's important to  
6 us is to know what that benchmark is. We want to  
7 know how we're going to be judged, and we'll beat  
8 that benchmark. We've been very successful in  
9 beating the benchmark and creating benefits to  
10 core customers.

11 Interstate pipeline capacity costs are  
12 passed through. So we're required to hold at  
13 least average year demand capacity. We coordinate  
14 that with DRA and the energy division and TURN.  
15 If we want to buy additional capacity, we have a  
16 meeting with those groups; and we agree whether or  
17 not we should purchase more capacity and so on.

18 We actually have authority to hold  
19 capacity up to 120 percent of average year  
20 throughput.

21 Under the incentive mechanism we do have  
22 authority to hedge. And we have authority to  
23 enter into fixed-price contracts. But because we  
24 are judged monthly, we tend to have volume  
25 contracts going longer term. But the pricing of



1 those volumes is based on the monthly index.

2 That's how basically industries run, anyway.

3 We have monthly procurement activity  
4 coordination meetings with Commission Staff, DRA  
5 and energy division and TURN. So all the parties  
6 are at the table. And we reach agreement each  
7 month on what we're going to do and how we're  
8 going to purchase gas for our core customers. And  
9 it's been very successful.

10 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Emmrich,  
11 these are obvious questions, I suppose. I guess  
12 most everybody here knows this, but are there any  
13 other -- these are procurement review groups, I  
14 take it?

15 MR. EMMRICH: Yes.

16 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Are there any  
17 other participants than what you've listed here in  
18 the PRGs?

19 MR. EMMRICH: No, no. We don't buy for  
20 the noncore customers, so they're not party to  
21 that.

22 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay. So TURN  
23 Is the only really outside consumer organization  
24 that's involved, correct?

25 MR. EMMRICH: Yes.

1                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: And they're  
2                   compensated, I believe, to be there, is that  
3                   correct?

4                   MR. EMMRICH: If they participate in a  
5                   proceeding, then they can ask the Commission to  
6                   get compensation for that.

7                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: So the other  
8                   way around is they probably don't participate if  
9                   they're not being compensated?

10                  MR. EMMRICH: Well, I don't want to  
11                  assume what their motivation are. I assume their  
12                  motivation is to protect core customers. So, I  
13                  think they would do it even if they weren't  
14                  compensated. They would find compensation  
15                  somewhere else. But it's obviously important to  
16                  have them on the team and to do this in concert  
17                  with them.

18                  PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Yeah, I don't  
19                  mean to put you in a position to answer for TURN,  
20                  but you did end you last comment by saying that  
21                  this has been very successful. And so the measure  
22                  of success that you're using is?

23                  MR. EMMRICH: The measure of success is  
24                  that we've been able to purchase gas at below  
25                  benchmark prices. And we have avoided -- and

1 disallowances. We don't have this constant  
2 contention on what the right policy is. So that's  
3 all been Avoided.

4 And our core customers are very happy.  
5 We're ranked number one and number two nationally  
6 as far as customer satisfaction. And so we're  
7 very proud of that. And that's mainly we've  
8 worked with our customers each and every day.

9 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: And one last  
10 question. How long has that process been in  
11 place?

12 MR. EMMRICH: Let's see, the GCIM, we  
13 are in year 14. We just finished year 14, we're  
14 actually in year 15.

15 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: All right,  
16 thank you.

17 MR. EMMRICH: Also, the GCIM costs are  
18 audited annually by DRA, and so we have to pass an  
19 audit. We don't get a free ride to say these are  
20 our costs and it's not checked on. It's audited  
21 annually.

22 So, we're allowed to recover all the  
23 costs as long as we're no more than 2 percent  
24 above the benchmark. And we have shareholder  
25 benefits if we are at least 1 percent below the

1 benchmark.

2 This is just a map showing where we have  
3 interstate pipeline capacity. The San Juan Basin  
4 on Transwestern El Paso; on the Kern River  
5 pipeline to the Rockies Basin, which has been  
6 their cheapest basin. And I see PG&E is also  
7 going to get more access to that with their  
8 pipeline project.

9 And we also have access to the western  
10 Canadian Basin, going through PG&E's territory and  
11 GTN going to the Canadian border. And actually  
12 all the way up into the basin on Canadian  
13 pipelines.

14 What list mitigation strategies  
15 available to utilities in -- hedging. SoCalGas,  
16 San Diego gas procurement department uses storage  
17 as their main tool to mitigate price and volume  
18 risk. Purchases gas in the summer months when gas  
19 prices are usually low. Withdrawing gas from  
20 storage in the winter when prices are usually  
21 higher allows the utility to mitigate volume and  
22 prices.

23 The reason I said usually, it turns out  
24 this year the highest prices were in June -- I  
25 mean last year the highest prices were in June,

1 and the lowest prices have been just recently. So  
2 it is still considered to be winter months.

3 So, of course, nobody anticipated the  
4 worldwide economic collapse, and the reduction  
5 industrial demand for gas, which has led to this  
6 price decline.

7 Another way we mitigate prices is hold  
8 interstate pipeline capacity on several different  
9 pipelines out of the access to supply basins. And  
10 we have that winter hedging program, which is  
11 approved by the PUC. And we can also do  
12 additional hedging outside of the GCM if we deem  
13 that to be appropriate.

14 This is just a brief description of the  
15 gas cost incentive mechanism. So if we purchase  
16 gas below the benchmark, at least 1 percent below  
17 the benchmark, then ratepayers get 75 percent of  
18 the benefit and shareholders 25. And if it's more  
19 than 5 percent below the benchmark, shareholders  
20 get 10 percent, and 90 percent to ratepayers.

21 And the total benefit is capped at 1.5  
22 percent of actual commodity cost of gas. So that  
23 excludes all the transportation costs. It's only  
24 the commodity cost.

25 How the risk of hedging balanced against

1 the benefits of hedging. Hedging allows utility  
2 lock-in certain volumes of gas at a set price  
3 using storage, futures or options.

4 And this is the thing, hedging doesn't  
5 mean you get lower gas costs. What you do is you  
6 avoid volatility. If the price locked in turns  
7 out to be lower than the fluctuating daily or  
8 monthly price, the utility and ratepayers both  
9 gain benefits. If the price locked in with the  
10 hedge turns out to be higher than the fluctuating  
11 daily or monthly price, we both lose.

12 Hedging cannot guarantee a gain or loss  
13 for the utility or ratepayers, but can only reduce  
14 price fluctuation, which is defined as risk. The  
15 value of reduced price fluctuation or risk is  
16 based on consumers list preference, such as  
17 choosing a fixed rate mortgage or a variable rate  
18 mortgage.

19 If the mortgage or customer gas bill is  
20 a large part of the consumer's budget, one would  
21 think that a fixed price option is desirable  
22 because consumer could probably not absorb the  
23 higher price risk.

24 This is a case with the preference of  
25 fixed rate mortgage as compared to variable rate

1 mortgages.

2 If the monthly bill is small, such as  
3 the average monthly winter bill this year of \$67,  
4 one would think the consumers are willing to  
5 absorb price fluctuation and avoid the cost of  
6 hedging. Hedging is not free. You have to pay  
7 for it. And that is an added cost that if you  
8 enter into long-term contracts you're going to pay  
9 for that, because the producer will then have to  
10 absorb that risk. And they will charge you a  
11 premium for absorbing that risk.

12 Fixed-price contracts are more expensive  
13 than monthly contracts because the seller has to  
14 recover the cost of hedging in offering the fixed-  
15 price option.

16 How do regulatory incentive mechanisms  
17 function in the overall procurement process.  
18 SoCalGas, San Diego GCIM has been very effective  
19 in -- ratepayer interest by providing a known  
20 benchmark that gives the utility incentive to buy  
21 reliable, low-cost gas supplies for core  
22 customers.

23 And here's an important point for us and  
24 all the other utilities. The GCIM has eliminated  
25 the contentious, (inaudible) process that wastes

1 the time and money of the utility and the  
2 regulatory agency.

3 Now, over the 14 years of the GCIM we  
4 have saved gas costs of \$763 million. So that's a  
5 large amount of money to be able to purchase gas  
6 below the benchmark. And usually the core assets  
7 that we have, when some of the storage assets are  
8 not being used by the core, we can rent those out  
9 to marketers to noncore customers on a monthly  
10 basis or longer term basis, and create more value  
11 for customers.

12 The active coordination with DRA, energy  
13 division and TURN has further aligned utility,  
14 ratepayer and regulatory interests to assure  
15 reliable, low-cost supplies to core customers.

16 The GCIM has motivated the utility to  
17 efficiently and effectively use core custom assets  
18 to reduce core ratepayer costs and shareholder  
19 earnings.

20 Thank you. I'm available for questions  
21 if you have any.

22 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Emmrich,  
23 thank you very much. Just a couple of quick  
24 questions, I think. Thank you for answering one  
25 of them, and that would be how much the GCIM has



1           resulted in savings for customers.

2                     Can you give me a sense of how you can  
3           calculate that, what's the benchmark that you're  
4           using? Is it that month-to-month zero baseline  
5           that we're talking about?

6                     MR. EMMRICH: Yes, the benchmark is the  
7           industry publication index at the point of  
8           purchase. So if we are buying gas on Transwestern  
9           in the San Juan Basin, there is a monthly index  
10          that's published. And if we beat that index by  
11          more than 1 percent, then we share those savings  
12          with the ratepayers and the shareholders.

13                    PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: And I agree,  
14          \$763 million is a lot. Over 14 years, if you'll  
15          allow me some quick math, that's on the order of  
16          \$50 to \$60 million per year.

17                    MR. EMMRICH: Yes.

18                    PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay. But if I  
19          go back, you know, to the price of natural gas  
20          last June versus earlier in the year, and your  
21          utility hedged a fair amount of those costs, that  
22          would be on the order of billions of dollars in  
23          that short period of time, correct?

24                    MR. EMMRICH: Not quite. We purchase  
25          about \$3 billion worth of gas during the entire

1 year. And because we purchase flat, we purchase  
2 the same amount each month, we avoid those kinds  
3 of problems.

4 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Right, I'm  
5 sorry, you're right, I was using Mr. Tavares'  
6 statewide costing --

7 MR. EMMRICH: Yes.

8 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: -- of natural  
9 gas purchase.

10 MR. EMMRICH: But it would only be the  
11 purchases during that month that we had the  
12 highest exposure to. Not for the rest of the  
13 year. But if you were locked in at that time,  
14 let's say and the price was \$13, and you thought,  
15 let's say \$7 was a good price for long term, if  
16 you locked that in, right now the price at the  
17 California border is \$3.

18 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Right.

19 MR. EMMRICH: So you'd have been losing  
20 \$4 each and every day times 1.1 bcf of gas. So  
21 that's a huge amount of money you'd be losing.

22 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Well,  
23 Commissioner Boyd knows a lot more about these  
24 things than I do, but I'm going to go back to one  
25 of the points you made earlier and just kind of

1 see if this reveals the thinking, or the  
2 philosophy here.

3 Where you'd indicated earlier if the  
4 monthly bill -- the monthly bill is such a small  
5 part of the consumer budget, you know, only \$67,  
6 natural gas in the middle of winter.

7 So I guess I'd have to ask at what point  
8 would the bill have to be to make hedging  
9 worthwhile to customers? Maybe that's the wrong  
10 question, but my sense is that we're spreading  
11 this cost over such a large base of customers,  
12 even though the numbers are big, to the individual  
13 customer, that the exposure is rather small.  
14 Isn't that really the point that you were making  
15 there?

16 MR. EMMRICH: Yes, that is the point. I  
17 believe noncore customers that have large volumes  
18 of gas every month may not be able to absorb that  
19 kind of price fluctuation. But, of course, they  
20 have the ability to hedge themselves, if they want  
21 to do that. Or they can buy fixed-price contract  
22 from marketers that make those available to them.

23 So, we're looking out for the core  
24 customers and what we've found, over the long  
25 term, buying month to month, and having a monthly

1 benchmark has been the lowest option, lowest-cost  
2 option for customers.

3 We have had the lowest (inaudible) for  
4 the last ten years, as far as I know. Nobody's  
5 been able to beat our (inaudible). So we're  
6 purchasing gas for core customers at a very low  
7 price.

8 We do purchase a lot of gas, so that  
9 gives us the ability to seek out the best deals.  
10 But in combination with the storage assets we  
11 have, we have had unparalleled success in reducing  
12 gas costs to our customers.

13 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Very good. Mr.  
14 Emmrich, thank you for coming this morning.

15 MR. EMMRICH: Thank you.

16 MR. TAVARES: Thank you, Herb. We'll  
17 have an additional opportunity to ask more  
18 questions later on during the panel discussion.

19 Next we have Pam Taheri from Sacramento  
20 Municipal Utility District.

21 (Pause.)

22 MS. TAHERI: Good morning,  
23 Commissioners, and good morning, workshop  
24 participants. I'm Pam Taheri; I'm SMUD's risk  
25 manager. And I appreciate the opportunity to be

1 able to give this presentation today.

2 I just want to go over some of the SMUD  
3 facts. We're the sixth largest municipal utility  
4 in the United States. And we serve over 600,000  
5 customers, but for electric service only.

6 So, basically as far as gas is  
7 concerned, we're really more of a noncore faction.  
8 And we only buy gas as a fuel for generating the  
9 electricity.

10 As a municipal utility, we, in our  
11 interest, align 100 percent with our customers,  
12 because we are owned by our customers. And  
13 generally speaking, our goal is to try to provide  
14 reliable service at reasonable and stable rates.

15 Here's a little bit background in terms  
16 of our resource mix. If you look at our annual  
17 retail revenue is around 1.3 billion. And our  
18 annual power and gas budget is over 600 million.  
19 So as you can see, it's almost half of our annual  
20 retail revenue.

21 If you look at our supply mix for the  
22 resource, natural gas is a pretty big piece. It's  
23 over half. While we have hydro and we have quite  
24 a bit of renewable, and a little bit of others  
25 mixed in with it, obviously we have to do

1 something in order to make sure that if we want  
2 price to be stable and predictable, we'll have to  
3 do something about procuring the natural gas  
4 that's necessary to support the generation for our  
5 system.

6 Here's a gas price chart. And as  
7 previous speakers has already mentioned, there's  
8 quite a bit of fluctuation in gas prices. We have  
9 two lines up here; one is Henry Hub and the other  
10 is PG&E citygate.

11 And it goes back to right in the middle  
12 of the crisis. As you can see, this is almost  
13 total peak, and then start coming down. And the  
14 two, for the most part, correlate fairly well.

15 And you can see where there's some huge  
16 spikes that goes up to about \$12 and over. And,  
17 of course, too, you know, it fluctuates from 2 to  
18 12, 13.

19 Gas hedging. The way we look at it is  
20 that, again, our objective is to try to increase  
21 financial certainty by stabilizing the costs. And  
22 the cost is really the price times the volume.

23 You know, previous speakers have talked  
24 about volume, it fluctuates. Well, you got to  
25 lock in that volume at a fixed price of some sort

1 so that you go ahead and try to dampen that  
2 volatility and have certainty on the cost.

3 So the action taken is to reduce the  
4 open positions by locking in the price. For  
5 example, SMUD's gas volume averages about 120,000  
6 mmBtu per day. But on a daily basis it could go  
7 somewhere swing up between 80,000 to 160,000 as a  
8 potential. So it could be quite a bit of daily  
9 fluctuation, although the average is pretty  
10 stable, with some seasonal fluctuation.

11 This is just to illustrate some of the  
12 points the earlier speakers have already talked  
13 about. If you look at it, this is the Henry Hub  
14 gas price going back to '99 all the way to the  
15 early part. So if you look at the volatility on a  
16 daily basis that's what it looks like.

17 And then there are two lines of  
18 different colors, and I hope you guys can  
19 differentiate the color. One is green, and the  
20 other is blue. Okay.

21 I hope everybody can hear me. But if  
22 you look at there, what I tried to have my staff  
23 plot is that this is representing the average of  
24 the 12 months. So the blue line is representing  
25 if you take 12 months and average it out, that's

1 what it looks like.

2 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Ms. Taheri, I  
3 think you need to use the microphone, otherwise --

4 MS. TAHERI: Okay.

5 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: -- everybody on  
6 the webcast is going to be wondering what's going  
7 on.

8 MS. TAHERI: Okay. Sorry about that.  
9 This blue line here represents that 12-months  
10 period averaging this. And that's what visually,  
11 if you average that, that's what the price look  
12 like.

13 The green line here represents that if  
14 you bought that, a 12-month strip, at the  
15 beginning of that period, that's what the price  
16 look like. Since you're buying it as a strip,  
17 that's the same price. Look at the delta. Again,  
18 look at all these deltas.

19 So, in other words, for the longest  
20 time, since around, I'm guessing, 2001, '2, if you  
21 look at that delta it's quite substantial because  
22 it's almost like two bucks here. And then, again,  
23 all these periods showing that if you had bought a  
24 strip -- of course, that's only one of many  
25 procurement strategy, one to do is hedge it 12



1 months in advance, others use different strategy.

2 I just wanted to illustrate a point  
3 here, is that for all these period is looking  
4 pretty good. But, of course, as we all know,  
5 sometimes it could flip on you. Look at what  
6 happen on these other periods.

7 So, bottomline is I just want to use  
8 this to illustrate a point that when you do  
9 hedging it's really not meant to be a profit  
10 center. It is a cost center. Because you are  
11 transferring the risk to someone else.

12 Sometimes it looks like a winner, like  
13 these periods here. But that doesn't mean it's  
14 always going to hold like that, because there are  
15 other periods that are like this.

16 As far as hedging instruments concerned,  
17 we do physical, as well as financial. We do  
18 multi-year, as well as seasonal purchased. We do  
19 storage, as the previous speaker talked quite a  
20 bit about that already. We also use gas reserves.

21 In addition to that we also procure  
22 substantial amount of pipeline capacity to  
23 different path to further diversify our risk from  
24 different hubs. Because there are basis  
25 differentials.

1                   Some of the key considerations and  
2 challenges. It's really one, the balance between  
3 price certainty and the cost of providing that  
4 certainty.

5                   The collateral requirements to default  
6 risk, and then there's also accounting treatment  
7 and reporting. I'll go through this one-by-one,  
8 but I just want to mention that those are the  
9 things that we see as considerations and  
10 challenges.

11                   As far as we're concerned it's really a  
12 policy issue, trying to balance between price  
13 certainty and costs.

14                   For price certainty we're looking at it  
15 and say, if you look at a household and business,  
16 we all generally have a budget in mind. So we  
17 believe that for the most part having some level  
18 of predictability is preferred by many customers,  
19 if not most.

20                   The economy of scale, though, to hedge  
21 that is that we find a few of our large customer,  
22 mostly the industrial ones that potentially could  
23 even be a national customer, have the ability to  
24 probably have an energy manager and hedge that  
25 independently.

1                   However, for the most small commercial  
2                   and residential customers we do not believe at  
3                   this time they have the necessary capability.

4                   In terms of cost, hedge, as I mentioned,  
5                   has a cost. It's a risk transfer mechanism. It's  
6                   like an insurance policy in some ways. Or like a,  
7                   you know, a fixed cost for -- picking fixed  
8                   interest rate for a house payment.

9                   For insurance policy it's to limit the  
10                  cost exposure. I mean I don't know about you, I  
11                  know that when I was younger and didn't have much  
12                  asset to protect I tend to take the cheapest one I  
13                  can with a low deductible.

14                  But as I get older and I have more asset  
15                  to protect, I take a higher deductible so that I  
16                  can protect more of my asset to limit that  
17                  exposure.

18                  Having said that, I buy the insurance  
19                  every year. And I don't go back and ask for a  
20                  refund when my car didn't crash and I didn't die  
21                  that year.

22                  (Laughter.)

23                  MS. TAHERI: So the point I'm trying to  
24                  make is it's not intended to represent the lowest  
25                  cost alternative. Sometimes it turns out that

1 way. And in those years we're all heroes. But  
2 there comes a point you have to pay the piper.

3 As far as the collateral requirements,  
4 it is a major issue, especially right now with the  
5 financial situation and the liquidity situation  
6 and the entire market.

7 We see that there could potentially be  
8 significant collateral and margin cost, okay,  
9 because of market to market with the forward  
10 positions with the counter-parties.

11 For example, we do some long-term  
12 hedging and mid-term hedging. So we do weekly  
13 settlement based on the forward curve. And then  
14 take a look at it. And to the extent that if  
15 prices -- of course, in this case we mostly do  
16 this, which is purchase, not sales.

17 But if prices, after we bought, went up,  
18 well, that's not so bad. Because then that means  
19 that we have to just to make sure that the  
20 counter-party, the one who has sold us the stuff,  
21 is creditworthy and only that half the money to  
22 pony up, so that we could be holding their cash.

23 But on the flip side, when prices go up,  
24 since we bought that, -- go down, and since we  
25 bought that position it has gone down, then we

1       have to pony up the cash or find some other way to  
2       be able to manage that liquidity.

3               So here are some mitigation factors for  
4       collateral requirements. One of them is that we  
5       share our credit limits with our counter-parties.  
6       For example, if they have a AAA rating, we may  
7       give them more credit limit as compared to  
8       somebody who has less rating. But in no way we do  
9       a deal with people that are not creditworthy.

10              We use netting arrangements. For  
11       example, sometimes we buy and sometimes we sell  
12       certain things in terms of power. And there may  
13       be netting -- we do cross-commodity netting, as  
14       well, in terms of gas versus power.

15              Also, one of the key things that we use  
16       is actually counter-party diversification.  
17       Imagine if you only deals with one party and they  
18       turn out to be somebody who shall remain unnamed,  
19       they go belly up. Then we have not minimized our  
20       risk. So it's very important to be able to  
21       diversify the counter-parties among all good  
22       credit counter-parties.

23              Strong balance sheet obviously helps.  
24       And we also use letter of credit, we could use  
25       NYMEX transaction, although we don't. Others

1           could.

2                         But, again, using the letter of credit  
3           has a significant cost. We have experienced that  
4           recently when we went out and asked for a letter  
5           of credit.

6                         First of all, the banks will only deal  
7           with you because we've been with you and had a  
8           business relationship long term for a long, long  
9           time.

10                        And second of all, however unwilling we  
11           are, we're willing to do it with you, but at a  
12           really really expensive cost. So that's something  
13           to keep in mind, especially in today's market.

14                        We also are very diligent in modeling  
15           and stress testing so we can stay ahead of the  
16           curve a little bit. To say, gee, prices look low  
17           today, but tomorrow it could be lower. What could  
18           the margin call look like. How are we going to  
19           provide that liquidity.

20                        Default risk. A lot of the parties, as  
21           we know, have AAA, but that doesn't mean they  
22           won't slide. And these days when they slide it  
23           could be very fast.

24                        So we have to look at the counter-party  
25           financial weaknesses and follow that pretty

1           closely. And at the same time, the longer the  
2           duration of a transaction you do, the more time  
3           there is for them to deteriorate.

4                       I mean they can get better, too, but if  
5           that's the case I don't know that we're too  
6           concerned about it. But if they do go down the  
7           tubes, it could be a major concern. Because what  
8           you thought you locked in the price and feel  
9           pretty good about it. And especially in a time  
10          when market has gone up. Then find out that  
11          they're not there because they've gone belly up.

12                      Again, there's also market turbulence.  
13          That could drag down, even like a good bank could  
14          go bad if the market is very turbulent. And we've  
15          seen some of that and continue to see that.

16                      So what do we do in terms of trying to  
17          mitigate some of the default risk? We're putting  
18          contractual protection in, for example,  
19          termination rights. We put in collateral  
20          requirements to make sure that any given time if  
21          prices have already gone up since we bought the  
22          contract, we're holding part of that as collateral  
23          so that at any given time if they default, and  
24          then we have the termination right, we can go back  
25          and replace in the market. We're not out the

1 money too much.

2 We set limits. Again, it gets down to  
3 diversity. So that you're not doing too much with  
4 any party. And then we also, again, watch the  
5 credit all the time.

6 Something that I know this really give  
7 us a lot heartburn because there's a lot  
8 accounting rules and you have to be able to make  
9 everybody happy.

10 So there's the FASB, which is the  
11 financial accounting standards for it, and GASB is  
12 the government one. We have to make sure that we  
13 are not buying and selling pork belly to try to  
14 hedge our gas risk. To make sure that it truly is  
15 relevant to the business that we're in, and that  
16 there's a fair valuation and it's effectiveness is  
17 tested. Otherwise it could potentially have a  
18 significant impact on our income statement.

19 In addition to that we also try to use  
20 standard products. We do not come up with our own  
21 forward curve, but rather go to buy independent  
22 forward curve, so that we could say, okay, you  
23 know, it's not like SMUD always think that we're  
24 always in the money.

25 And we try to match it so that the hedge



1 is as clean as we can make it, although sometimes  
2 that's not always practical.

3 If you have any questions I'll be happy  
4 to answer them.

5 Thank you.

6 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: I have only a  
7 comment. There's another industry I can think of  
8 right now that I wish followed your prudent  
9 approach to financing and hedging, but that's in a  
10 class of mortgaging 101, I guess.

11 MR. TAVARES: Thank you, Pam. We're  
12 going to move on next, and we have Laird Dyer from  
13 Shell Energy.

14 MR. DYER: Good morning. My name is  
15 Laird Dyer; I'm with Shell Energy North America  
16 out of our San Diego office. I appreciate this  
17 opportunity to speak to you this morning.

18 My comments are focused on the core side  
19 of procurement in California. Noncore customers  
20 tend to be sophisticated enough to be able to  
21 manage their own market exposures, so we won't  
22 dwell on them in this presentation.

23 So the first thing I'd like to do,  
24 though, is to characterize the natural gas market  
25 that we're living in right now.

1                   It is a North American natural gas  
2 market with a connected grid out there. So, any  
3 perturbation in the market kind of emanates across  
4 the whole system.

5                   We still remain, the prices still remain  
6 extremely volatile. For example, in 2008, just  
7 the so Cal border, we had a low price of 2.49,  
8 that was in October, and a high of 12.68 was  
9 witnessed in June. So over a four-month period we  
10 still have prices declined \$10.

11                   That occurred because of the combined  
12 impact of indigenous gas growth, mostly in the  
13 Barnett Shales, the Hanesville Shales, the shale  
14 area around the Gulf Coast, and the global  
15 economic turndown.

16                   We're estimating we're over-supplied in  
17 this market, in the North American market, I'm  
18 just talking Canada and the United States, by  
19 about 6 bcf a day in a 73 bcf-a-day market.

20                   That has led to the price collapse we've  
21 seen. Currently the market is trading below  
22 replacement cost. And we've seen, and continue to  
23 see, dramatic reductions in exploration activity.  
24 Rig count is currently down about 42 percent from  
25 its highs.

1                   That, in time, will lead to a supply  
2                   response that will set us up -- as I refer to it,  
3                   we're loading the spring for the next price move-  
4                   up once we get economic recovery.

5                   What that means in the longer term,  
6                   contrary to what you might hope for, we are going  
7                   to see a lot more volatility and higher prices in  
8                   this market.

9                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Great. And  
10                  when's that going to happen?

11                  (Laughter.)

12                  MR. DYER: 2011. You'll see the bottom  
13                  this year. My opinion you'll see the bottom this  
14                  year, and we might see a 2 -- on the NYMEX, maybe  
15                  2.50, as a spike down. I think it deserves  
16                  somewhere around 3 or so. Replacement costs are  
17                  somewhere, they're falling now but they're  
18                  somewhere around 3.50 to \$4.

19                  So once we get below those, you just,  
20                  you know, you're just tightening that spring and  
21                  it'll come back.

22                  With regard to price exposure there's  
23                  really kind of two camps in California. You have  
24                  the utilities on one side and then you have all  
25                  the customers on the other.

1                   Since the 1990s California's gas  
2                   utilities procured under these incentive  
3                   mechanisms. Those mechanisms were designed in a  
4                   period of protracted gas-on-gas competition with  
5                   deregulation in 1986.

6                   You effectively had a contracting period  
7                   where you would -- and I was involved with this  
8                   when I was working with Amoco in a previous life -  
9                   - you would sell gas on a contract, they had a DCQ  
10                  and a max date. Typically 133, 125 percent.

11                  So you withheld 25 percent or 33 percent  
12                  of your gas from the market for peak day needs.  
13                  With deregulation all that gas flooded the market.  
14                  And it took us 15 years, 14 years to work that  
15                  off.

16                  And that shot across the bow occurred in  
17                  the year 2000 when we hit \$10 on the NYMEX. But  
18                  these mechanisms were designed kind of in the  
19                  middle of that, in the mid 90s, in a \$2 gas  
20                  environment, be a \$3 gas environment. And we're  
21                  just a buy market. That was the prevailing  
22                  opinion.

23                  So, performance under these mechanisms  
24                  is measured against benchmarks, which are based on  
25                  monthly prices. Did promote a short-term focus,

1 did discourage supply portfolio development, and  
2 they discouraged price hedging, given shareholder  
3 exposures to the impacts. And we've heard much  
4 about that today.

5 As such, the utilities engaged in very  
6 little hedging within the mechanisms. And  
7 California's ratepayers, which is the other side  
8 of this equation, remained fully exposed to market  
9 prices and market price volatility.

10 That is illustrated in this plot. As  
11 you can tell, all I did is I took DRA data;  
12 combined their results that they report each year  
13 on the incentive mechanisms. I looked at PG&E and  
14 SoCalGas for this. I just combined the data on a  
15 weighted average basis.

16 You can see that prices overlap the  
17 benchmark price, actual prices overlapped the  
18 benchmark prices. Meaning that as consumers we're  
19 just tracking the market here.

20 And you can see the substantial monthly  
21 volatility, ranging anywhere from 50 percent up to  
22 we've seen highs of 90 percent. Meaning that  
23 there's dramatic month-to-month movement in  
24 prices.

25 With the increasing volatility in the

1 market after 2000, and then in response to  
2 hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, California's  
3 gas utilities petitioned the Commission for  
4 authority to hedge outside of the mechanisms.

5 The utilities sought permission to hedge  
6 to defend against price spikes, to limit this  
7 hedging just to winter periods so it's three,  
8 maybe five months a year. Pass through all  
9 program costs to the customers. And impose strict  
10 confidentiality on their hedging strategies and  
11 transactions. The public does not get to see what  
12 they do.

13 I know it's nice to talk about \$2 a  
14 customer as the cost of these things. In real  
15 terms in the first two years of these programs  
16 over \$208 million was spent, an aggregate among  
17 the utilities.

18 In our view, this is Shell Energy, the  
19 winter hedging programs are ineffective and very  
20 expensive, and provide no tangible benefits to  
21 customers.

22 So in the current situation what are all  
23 the procurement options for the utilities, given  
24 their risk/reward structure of those mechanisms.  
25 They limit their -- they have limited procurement

1 options basically. And their focus is on month-  
2 to-month market price gas.

3 And under these incentive structures the  
4 utilities remain financially indifferent to the  
5 market price of gas and to the volatility of gas  
6 prices.

7 All hedging activities are currently  
8 conducted outside of the incentive mechanisms  
9 under the CPUC-approved winter hedging programs.

10 One of the questions that was put to us  
11 is what are the benefits and risks of hedging.  
12 And it was pointed out hedging is not normally  
13 considered to be associated with gains or losses.  
14 It's a transfer of risk.

15 The current incentive mechanisms  
16 discourage hedging. Utility shareholders are  
17 exposed to financial losses if market prices fall  
18 below the hedge prices they enter into. So they  
19 don't do it.

20 But the question here is, is hedging an  
21 acceptable risk for ratepayers. We think it is.  
22 We think that through hedging ratepayers can see  
23 reduced price volatility, more stability, reduced  
24 exposure to price spikes, and we think if the  
25 incentive mechanisms are designed properly, may

1 produce lower overall prices. If you motivate the  
2 utilities to buy low you may get some good  
3 outcomes.

4 There are a number of risk mitigation  
5 strategies out there available to the utilities.  
6 Of course, hedging, which is basically, you know,  
7 a mixed of fixed price, calls off, all sorts of  
8 options out there for you.

9 Storage. We take issue with the idea  
10 that it's simply just buy in the summer and  
11 withdraw in the winter. We think it needs to be  
12 combined with hedging, as well.

13 If you look at 2008 and the price spikes  
14 we had up and through the end of June, and I just  
15 did a quick back-of-the-envelope analysis looking  
16 at what SoCal injected every day versus the gas  
17 daily price, it would argue that their average  
18 price of gas in storage today, at the end of --  
19 sorry, summer injection period, was \$8.59, which  
20 does not compare favorably to a \$3.-and-change gas  
21 market right now. So the presumption that summer  
22 prices are always cheaper is not a good one.

23 The utilities could also pursue peak  
24 load shaving opportunities having customers be  
25 paid not to -- or be compensated for turning their



1 load off in peak periods.

2 A number of California municipals have  
3 pursued buying reserves in the ground. SMUD  
4 participated in that, for example, and I believe  
5 that it's been done through the SCPPA entity.  
6 They've bought reserves in the Rockies and in the  
7 Barnett Shales. That introduces a whole different  
8 set of risk structures. Now they're worried about  
9 what their reserves look like and what the  
10 production costs look like, and if their wells  
11 will survive. So it's a different risk structure.

12 The last thing, of course, is supply  
13 diversity. It's important as any end-use  
14 customer, any user that you have options. So you  
15 want to connect to at least three or more supply  
16 basins.

17 There are diminishing returns, though,  
18 once you get beyond that. If you have five, six  
19 or seven it's questionable whether you're getting  
20 much value in adding each of those individual  
21 incremental supply sources.

22 We believe that the current incentive  
23 structure mechanisms require modification.  
24 Today's gas market, unlike the one in 1990, is  
25 characterized by dramatic high prices and

1 volatility.

2 There's an ongoing proceeding at the  
3 CPUC addressing the incentive structures. In that  
4 proceeding the CPUC has identified two procurement  
5 goals: Achieving low prices and price volatility  
6 mitigation.

7 We think that in order to align the  
8 interests of ratepayers and shareholders within  
9 those mechanisms they should be modified to  
10 capture shareholder exposures to hedging, to  
11 motivate the utilities' development and manage the  
12 supply portfolios, which requires an adjustment to  
13 the risk/reward profiles within the mechanisms.

14 Include all procurement activities  
15 within the incentive structure. And assess those  
16 activities against objective measures.

17 In doing so you'd introduce  
18 accountability and consequences to the utilities  
19 for their procurement actions.

20 We'd also like to see increased  
21 transparency. We don't get to see what goes on in  
22 the winter hedging program. Nobody does, but for  
23 TURN, DRA, I think maybe AGLET and the utilities.  
24 And the Commission.

25 We also think that if the mechanisms can

1 be properly designed you can reduce the time and  
2 resources dedicated to oversight.

3 My last slide is kind of what we  
4 propose, it's a quick summary of what we proposed  
5 in the CPUC proceeding. We propose modifications  
6 to the mechanisms. We'd like to see those  
7 mechanisms leveraged and expanded to address not  
8 only price, but price volatility. Introducing a  
9 volatility reduction benchmark.

10 And we've also suggested that the risk/  
11 reward profile in those mechanisms be altered.  
12 And that, in our minds, eliminates the need for  
13 the tolerance bands.

14 We also want to cap utility rewards and  
15 penalties. And require open and transparent hedge  
16 solicitation processes.

17 And that concludes my remarks. And  
18 there's the famous clamshell. I'm open for any  
19 questions.

20 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Dyer, thank  
21 you. I always say thank god there's one  
22 commission in the state that is concerned about  
23 the cost to consumers. But that's not us. That's  
24 the Public Utilities Commission, at least with  
25 regard to the investor-owned utilities.

1                   Have you had opportunity to express some  
2                   of these recommendations to the PUC?

3                   MR. DYER: Yes. The proceeding, the OIR  
4                   proceeding has been going on since June 30th. I  
5                   think we've had five or six submissions so far.  
6                   We keep saying the same thing over and over again.  
7                   We just hope somebody reads it.

8                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: And do you know  
9                   what the schedule is for the close on that  
10                  rulemaking?

11                  MR. DYER: I do not, but there's another  
12                  submission due this Friday the 13th, rather  
13                  ominous.

14                  PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: So, a couple of  
15                  questions in no particular order. You talked  
16                  about increase in transparency of utility  
17                  procurement activities. Why -- I mean, my  
18                  understanding is that the reason these procurement  
19                  strategies are confidential is in order to protect  
20                  customers from, you know, some market manipulation  
21                  that could be used.

22                  You don't necessarily know what the  
23                  procurement or hedging strategies are of the  
24                  noncore customers that you deal with, do you?

25                  MR. DYER: Actually there's two elements

1 to that fight, and I have to remember them. The  
2 first is that the utilities still procure from  
3 market participants. Just a limited number of  
4 them. And so those market participants are fairly  
5 sophisticated banks. They're just as capable as  
6 the entire market to do whatever manipulation  
7 you're concerned about. So you haven't really  
8 avoided the issue, you've just put it into a small  
9 capsule.

10 I'm sorry, it escapes me, the second  
11 point -- so if you might ask me your question  
12 again?

13 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Well, just  
14 about increasing the transparency of utility  
15 procurement. I mean that might advantage the  
16 sellers but disadvantage the buyers, wouldn't it?

17 MR. DYER: Well, there -- I remember  
18 now, there are, for example, Southern California  
19 Edison and Southwest Gas, as you go out each year  
20 or periodically with long-term fixed-price and  
21 other product solicitations that are very public.

22 And they've been quite successful, they would  
23 argue, I guess, in their approach.

24 Again, though, even under the -- again,  
25 when you look at the winter hedging program, you

1 are limiting the number of participants who bid or  
2 offer products under those solicitations. But  
3 they are sophisticated banks. They are big  
4 trading houses. And you just have to be in that  
5 group.

6 It doesn't preclude manipulation. It  
7 doesn't mean it goes away, it just limits who can  
8 do it.

9 And so we don't see the harm in having  
10 it more public. Plus we see the benefit of  
11 looking at what the utilities are doing. So we  
12 see that exposure being beneficial to the  
13 utilities.

14 You will get input from other folks with  
15 other ways to do it. We have no idea. We're not  
16 sure exactly what they buy in the winter, but we  
17 suspect they're out-of-the-money call options.

18 We would argue that maybe a better  
19 approach is to buy, fix the price at the money and  
20 buy post instead. It gives you a cap on some  
21 stuff. It also gives you the opportunity for a  
22 lower price. So there's other approaches that  
23 they may look at.

24 Once you get into kind of an oversight  
25 structure like that, with just the Commission or

1 entities like TURN and DRA looking at it, you tend  
2 to get very static strategies, because then you  
3 have to explain why you're changing. And once  
4 you've got something set up, it's kind of very  
5 easy to keep going with the same strategy, change  
6 the strike prices and keep moving. Anything that  
7 requires explanation tends to be avoided.

8 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Yeah, it's a  
9 very conservative industry.

10 MR. DYER: Yes. Change is not well  
11 accepted.

12 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Another point  
13 you made was assessing all utility procurement  
14 against objective measures. Who would you propose  
15 would do that?

16 MR. DYER: Well, we actually want to use  
17 the existing benchmarks, expand the existing  
18 benchmarks. There are no good alternatives.

19 You can use some daily indices, they  
20 tend to be a little more volatile. We support  
21 using the existing benchmark structure. But you  
22 can also attach a volatility to that. You can  
23 just take monthly prices and attach a volatility  
24 to it.

25 And you could mandate a reduction in

1 volatility within a portfolio, meaning that you  
2 have to hedge a certain portion of the portfolio.

3 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Let me ask one  
4 more. I see my fellow Commissioner may have a  
5 question, and maybe Ms. Brown does, too.

6 How would you suggest that we motivate  
7 utilities to develop and manage these different  
8 portfolios? I mean what -- the incentives,  
9 there's really no incentives in place for trying  
10 to keep shareholders whole, or trying to  
11 essentially mitigate some risk to customers in  
12 price fluctuations. But the reality is all costs  
13 are eventually absorbed by the customer.

14 So, how would we properly motivate  
15 utilities to look at these different options?

16 MR. DYER: It's really in the risk/  
17 reward structure within the mechanism. The  
18 concern right now and the reason they don't hedge  
19 is that they have unbounded exposure to an adverse  
20 outcome. And so you need to address that.

21 And we focused our comments in the OIR  
22 on that, largely. And what we suggest is that you  
23 skew the risk/reward profile. Make it favorable.  
24 For example, what we proposed is that they have  
25 exposure to 2 percent of the down side and 15



1 percent of the upside.

2 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Instead of 1  
3 percent of the downside?

4 MR. DYER: Yes. Well, right now it's  
5 unbounded. Their exposure to the downside is  
6 unbounded. What we're saying is -- is that okay?

7 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Yes.

8 MR. DYER: Okay. We also want to cap  
9 those exposures. Thirty million on the upside and  
10 6 million on the downside per year. So that  
11 there's nothing adverse.

12 But in that regard, by doing that what  
13 we hope to do is promote activity on their part.  
14 They have a favorable risk/reward profile. They  
15 use their expertise in procurement, risk analysis,  
16 fundamentals, and go forward and buy gas.

17 And we would argue in today's  
18 environment where gas is trading below its  
19 replacement costs, this is not a bad time to be  
20 buying long-term fixed-price gas. And we are  
21 getting a lot of inquiries within Shell from the  
22 muni world. California municipals are quite  
23 active in this market right now, buying five- and  
24 ten-year supplies at fixed prices.

25 Yes, there's risk involved with that,

1 but right now your risk/reward profile is quite  
2 favorable, and that's what you should be doing.

3 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay, well, I'm  
4 reminded Mr. Buffett yesterday was correct in his  
5 remarks. He said a year ago that it's a good time  
6 to buy stock.

7 (Laughter.)

8 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: That market's  
9 dropped another 30 percent since he made that  
10 recommendation.

11 MR. DYER: I have a view on that, if you  
12 want it, too.

13 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Commissioner?

14 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Even he's not  
15 perfect. I just want to thank Mr. Dyer for his  
16 presentation, particularly the recommendation  
17 about increasing the transparency of the  
18 procurement activities.

19 Thank Commissioner Byron for asking the  
20 question, and for your lengthy answers on that  
21 subject, because now we have a lot of additional  
22 information in our record on that subject.

23 You did mention that very few people get  
24 to see a lot of this information. Perhaps this  
25 Commission. I just need to point out this

1 Commission's been on record for years as  
2 recommending that we need more transparency in the  
3 utility procurement area, period.

4 All Commissioners, since I've been here,  
5 have refused to sign the confidentiality  
6 agreements that would allow us access to this  
7 information. And some staff do have that access.

8 The organization needs to proceed. But  
9 we, too, have difficulties with the lack of  
10 transparency. So maybe your issues will get  
11 addressed better in the future. We've not had a  
12 lot of success.

13 MR. DYER: We're always hopeful.

14 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: But maybe we can  
15 keep at it. Thank you. I have no further  
16 comments.

17 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Thank you, Mr.  
18 Dyer.

19 MR. TAVARES: Thank you, Mr. Dyer.

20 Next we have Marshall Clark. He is the  
21 person in charge of procurement of gas for General  
22 Services.

23 MR. CLARK: Good morning, Commissioners,  
24 audience. Okay, I'm going to have to -- I'm one  
25 of those people who has to move around, so this is

1 going to be a discipline.

2 My name is Marshall Clark. I'm Manager  
3 of the Natural Gas Services program with the  
4 Department of General Services. For the record,  
5 I've been doing that for about 13 years, so I'm  
6 ingrained in my habits, whether they're right or  
7 wrong.

8 Let's see -- first of all, just a little  
9 background as to who we are. We're an element of  
10 the Department of General Services in the admin  
11 division, office of risk and insurance management.  
12 Appropriate title.

13 We are a nonmandated service program.  
14 This is important to point out because we have to  
15 go out and recruit our customers. They're not  
16 mandated to use our services. And likewise, if  
17 they don't like our services they can leave. That  
18 puts a very different dynamic on how we deal with  
19 our customers, because we're constantly at  
20 challenge from what they might want or what they  
21 might not like about what we're doing.

22 Our customers are the public sector in  
23 California. About 30 percent of our customers are  
24 the executive agencies of the state, the ones you  
25 traditionally think of as state government. But

1 we also have the University of California, CSU,  
2 the community colleges, counties. Seventeen of  
3 the counties in the state buy their gas through  
4 our program. Cities and special districts, that's  
5 mostly wastewater treatment plants, but for  
6 instance, here in Sacramento the Regional Transit,  
7 the compressed gas for the buses is something that  
8 we purchase.

9           Only for core accounts. Most of the  
10 conversations today have talked about purchasing  
11 for core. We only purchase for noncore accounts  
12 greater than 250,000 therms per year through a  
13 single meter. So that gives us a very different  
14 dynamic, both in scale and the kinds of customers.

15           We have 135 different customers, 180  
16 different accounts. Unlike the utilities that  
17 have talked today, this is a very different  
18 environment, as well, because we can literally get  
19 all of our customers in a single room.

20           We talk to every single one of our  
21 customers on a regular basis, have workshops and  
22 so forth. So there's a lot more communication.  
23 Simply because our audience is a much smaller  
24 group.

25           In terms of scale and scope, for this

1 year we're going to buy about 32 bcf. And the  
2 price changes on a regular basis, but right not  
3 we're estimating about \$260 million for volume of  
4 business. In comparison, we're about probably  
5 two-thirds the size of SMUD in terms of our gas  
6 purchasing.

7 The next thing to talk about is how the  
8 customer base changes the strategy. For the  
9 public sector, the strategy for purchasing is  
10 dominated by the public sector budgeting process.

11 And this is different than things that  
12 you've heard from others today, if you think about  
13 how the public sector budgeting process works.  
14 For this fiscal year from July of 08 through June  
15 of '9, the budget was based, for natural gas for  
16 our customers, at least for the executive agency,  
17 it was based on a Department of Finance budget  
18 letter that would have been published -- remember  
19 this, fiscal year started in July of 08 -- the  
20 budget letter was published in August of 2007,  
21 projecting to the departments what they needed to  
22 budget for this current fiscal year.

23 Obviously when the budget prices are set  
24 well before even the beginning of the year, and  
25 don't have any flexibility during the year, that

1 dominates how our customers think about natural  
2 gas. They have a certain amount to spend.

3 The biggest concern is price volatility.  
4 If you're stuck there with a budget that you can't  
5 change, the thing that frightens you the most is a  
6 price spike that's going to tear that budget  
7 apart.

8 Also, because of the public sector  
9 budgeting process they're concerned about how much  
10 they spend over the course of a whole year. What  
11 happens in any given month doesn't really matter,  
12 it is the annual total that they focus on. So  
13 their perspective is very different from month,  
14 rather than watching the price from month to  
15 month. What they're constantly watching is  
16 whether or not they've used up their annual  
17 appropriation for natural gas, and whether they  
18 can project that that's going to happen or not.

19 It's a very asymmetric point of view  
20 about natural gas. All of our customers would  
21 love to save money on their natural gas purchases.  
22 But there's a thing my customers, the folks I deal  
23 with, called the walk. You don't ever want to  
24 have to do the walk. And the walk is going down  
25 the hall to the chancellor's office or the

1 warden's office or whoever and telling him that  
2 you have to take some money from program, the  
3 actual accomplishment of the department's mission,  
4 and use it to pay a gas bill that went above  
5 budget.

6 It's very asymmetric in the sense that  
7 they would very much like to have savings. But at  
8 least ten times more they don't want to go over  
9 that budget. So their constraints produce a  
10 different psychology in terms of what they would  
11 accept. Savings are secondary. Not exceeding the  
12 budget is primary.

13 I didn't put this together.

14 The right strategy constrains price  
15 volatility within the budgeted level of cost. And  
16 you've heard talk of benchmarks. We have a  
17 benchmark, but our benchmark is that price that's  
18 hard-wired into our customers' budget. It has  
19 advantages and disadvantages to know that.

20 What it does mean is that, for instance,  
21 we know that in a given year our customer's budget  
22 will be \$8 on mmBtu delivered to their meter, then  
23 we know that if we can purchase gas below that, we  
24 will stay within their budget.

25 We also use a portfolio approach, rather



1 than making a single big bet, we tend to buy a lot  
2 of purchases for our customers. We may have  
3 anywhere from 30 to 40 purchases made for a  
4 particular month. So, we're tending to buy like 1  
5 or 2 percent of what a month might need ahead of  
6 time.

7 I should explain very quickly the way  
8 our procurement works. We have a contract with a  
9 gas supplier; the default is that the contractor  
10 will deliver all the gas to alert our customers.  
11 We have a full requirements customers of  
12 contracts, so that means all the volume will be  
13 delivered. And it will be delivered at a default  
14 price, which is the monthly bid week price for  
15 either northern California or southern California.  
16 So we have an automatic reliability, we will get  
17 the gas at the monthly price.

18 But then we go out and purchase, using  
19 that portfolio approach, forward purchases of gas,  
20 mostly on the futures market, mostly fixed price,  
21 but with some caps, some callers of a mixture of  
22 different approaches. And in terms of the length  
23 we go out as much as five years.

24 Some of our customers have contracts  
25 with us for as much as five years. But obviously,

1 as a department, we can't buy gas for which we  
2 don't have a customer who's ready to take it.

3 So, our limit to how far we can buy in  
4 the future is how much the customers have  
5 contracted for and what their volumes that they've  
6 contracted with us for might be.

7 We have a risk management protocol. I  
8 don't know if this one made it into the slide  
9 handout, but a risk management protocol is simply  
10 the rules that you follow when you're going out to  
11 buy natural gas. Particularly when you're in a  
12 hedging kind of structure.

13 Some of the features of ours, we won't  
14 buy more than 75 percent ahead of time. We always  
15 will buy at least 25 percent on the monthly spot  
16 market.

17 That does a couple of things. One is it  
18 means that the monthly spot market is part of our  
19 portfolio. It's 25 percent of the portfolio  
20 automatically. When the prices are below what you  
21 had in the portfolio, you really welcome that 25  
22 percent.

23 The other item is that there's a  
24 tremendous variation in volume with our customers.  
25 We do a lot of work to try to estimate volumes

1 ahead of time, but we rarely get it right within a  
2 very tight tolerance. Usually within plus or  
3 minus 5 percent.

4 But by having 25 percent on the spot  
5 market we have a hedge against making a mistake  
6 about what our volumes might be needed. Remember,  
7 when you do futures purchases you're doing take-  
8 or-pay, which means that you committed to buy that  
9 gas whether you had a need for it or not. So you  
10 need to have a little cushion there to make sure  
11 that you've always got to use.

12 The purchases are always limited to  
13 actual usage. We never buy greater than what our  
14 customers will be using. The object here is  
15 simply to build in an absolute prohibition against  
16 any kind of speculation where someone is trying to  
17 essentially make a profit to buy down the cost of  
18 the gas. That's not allowed in our process.

19 We have a number of other different  
20 purchase constraints. I have to take certain  
21 purchases to my boss. We have a whole lot of  
22 review processes built in and so forth.

23 The other thing to add in is that as a  
24 program we find that some of our largest customers  
25 don't want to follow necessarily the portfolio of

1 risk management that we're providing. They have  
2 other constraints.

3 A lot of times they have very large  
4 cogeneration units. For instance, UCD Med Center  
5 here in Sacramento has a very large cogeneration  
6 project. They have their own interest about how  
7 they want to buy gas.

8 And so we do what we call special  
9 purchasers. We allow the individual customers to  
10 come to us and direct us to make purchases just  
11 for them. Out of 135 customers about 20 do  
12 special purchases.

13 The thing that's interesting about  
14 special purchases is that it is a way that we  
15 understand how our customers are thinking about  
16 risk, and what they want to do, what they don't  
17 want to do. They are, in fact, even more, I would  
18 say, adventurous than we are.

19 Where we will make very small purchases  
20 for very limited periods of time, and build those  
21 up over time, the special purchases tend to be for  
22 larger amounts of the total gas that the customer  
23 needs. And they tend to run for longer periods of  
24 time. They value certainty very very much.

25 The last thing. The six rules I threw

1 in because this is something that we take to our  
2 customers. It wasn't meant for this workshop, but  
3 I included it.

4 The reason -- we do a lot of education  
5 of our customers. We have a very few customers  
6 that are very close to us that we have to keep.  
7 We spend a lot of time talking to them about  
8 what's happening in the gas market, what we're  
9 doing, why we're doing it and so forth.

10 That gives us the strength to be able to  
11 go out and do these things because we know we're  
12 clearly following what the customers have  
13 communicated.

14 The six rules is something that we give  
15 out in our workshop and talk about. I won't go  
16 through each one of them, but there are a couple  
17 of them, I think, that are germane.

18 The first one, ultimately it's about  
19 risk, actual prices paid are the consequential  
20 outcome of choices made about risk. And that's  
21 whether you know that you're making a choice about  
22 a risk or not. Whether you do or not, it's there.

23 The second point, you cannot make risk  
24 disappear. You can, however, change the type of  
25 risk that you face. This was mentioned earlier

1 when Pam was talking about insurance.

2 You take the risk of having a multi,  
3 tens of thousands, hundred thousand dollar AXA,  
4 which you can accept. Then you have the other  
5 side, you change it into a risk of paying \$1500 a  
6 month for car insurance. The risk is that you  
7 will pay \$1500 and won't have an accident. But  
8 that risk is a lot more acceptable than the risk  
9 of a possible \$100,000 exposure or liability in a  
10 car accident.

11 Skipping down here, number 4. This is  
12 my own statement. Anybody who wants to argue it  
13 is welcome to. There's two basic strategies.

14 One of them is that you simply try to  
15 buy at a discount against the price. If you've  
16 got a big enough volume you can command some kind  
17 of market discount.

18 The good thing is that you've always  
19 made a saving against that benchmark that you got  
20 a discount against. On the other hand, when the  
21 market goes up, you go with it, just a little bit  
22 behind, but you're still up.

23 The other choice, the one that our  
24 program uses, is that we buy at target; our  
25 benchmark is the customer's ability to have that

1 meet their budget. There is a risk to that, and  
2 we're in that risk right now. My price to my  
3 customers is above the market, but it's below  
4 their budget. And that's what they care about.  
5 So they can accept that for the protection that we  
6 give them against the price spikes.

7 I'll leave that last one, people who can  
8 consistently beat the market tend to leave public  
9 sector employment. I have to keep reminding my  
10 customers that if I was as good as they wanted me  
11 to be, I probably wouldn't be here.

12 (Laughter.)

13 MR. CLARK: With that, I conclude. If  
14 there are any questions?

15 MS. BROWN: I had a couple of questions,  
16 Marshall. Nice to see you again.

17 MR. CLARK: Nice to see you, Susan.

18 MS. BROWN: It's been awhile. I gather  
19 from your comments that hedging is not something  
20 that you depend on for very much of your total  
21 portfolio, is that correct?

22 MR. CLARK: Well, we can go up to 75  
23 percent --

24 MS. BROWN: Oh, really?

25 MR. CLARK: -- from now until June, we

1       have 75 percent of our expected volumes already  
2       purchased. It is a function of a couple of  
3       things. More than anything else, what our budget  
4       for our customers are, what the market is doing.

5               If the market is above our customer's  
6       budget there's not much point in locking in a loss  
7       for them, even though we will buy slightly at that  
8       time. When the market is below their budget  
9       that's when we buy. And if it is well below their  
10      budget, again we'll go right up to the 75 percent  
11      limit that we're allowed.

12             MS. BROWN: Are you doing month-ahead  
13      pricing, or month-ahead hedging, or do you tend to  
14      look at longer term periods?

15             MR. CLARK: We do across the spectrum.  
16      As I said, our customers have contracts. Some of  
17      our customers and therefore some of our volume  
18      goes out right now to June of 2014. And we have  
19      bought all the way out to June of 2014.

20             How much we buy out in those longer  
21      periods, it's less than say 10 percent out in that  
22      last year.

23             But mostly we are buying in the next  
24      anywhere from six to 18 to 24 months. That's  
25      where most of our purchases are occurring.



1 MS. BROWN: So you don't rely to any  
2 great extent on long-term fixed-price contracts?

3 MR. CLARK: Not to a -- if you mean like  
4 more than say 20 or 30 percent, no.

5 MS. BROWN: Thank you. It seems like,  
6 again, you mentioned this several times, the  
7 driver's really your bottomline budget --

8 MR. CLARK: It dominates.

9 MS. BROWN: Very different than what we  
10 heard from some of the other speakers today.

11 MR. CLARK: Well, it's nice to have a  
12 budget, a benchmark number to work against.  
13 Sometimes it's an inconvenience, sometimes it's a  
14 great thing. But it takes out one of the  
15 variables in the equation.

16 MS. BROWN: Well, thank you very much.

17 MR. CLARK: Certainly.

18 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Clark, I  
19 would -- thank you very much for being here -- I  
20 would assume that the private sector works against  
21 budgets, as well. I mean the same problem you  
22 characterized for your agency exists in a private  
23 sector companies, as well.

24 MR. CLARK: I don't have direct  
25 experience, but I've speculated that the public

1 sector is different. Our budget is so hard-wired,  
2 if you will.

3 In the private sector I suspect there  
4 are hard-wired budgets, but there's also the  
5 issue, I think, of competition. If I were in the  
6 private sector I wouldn't want my natural gas to  
7 cost me more than it costs my competitor. I would  
8 always be interested in staying competitive with  
9 the rest of my industry. And that is a different  
10 driver than what my customers have.

11 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: So let me  
12 explore that one a little bit further around this  
13 issue of confidentiality. Are your hedging  
14 strategies confidential? Do they --

15 MR. CLARK: No. I'm in the public  
16 sector.

17 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Do they need to  
18 be confidential, though? Do you feel you're  
19 giving up some information as a buyer? That  
20 disadvantages you.

21 MR. CLARK: Well, we share this  
22 information with our customers. In terms of the  
23 market, our program is about a 1.3 percent of all  
24 the gas used in California. That's a whole lot,  
25 and that's not very much in terms of what's going

1 on out in the market. I think we would never see  
2 the impact of a purchase that we made on the day's  
3 trading one way or the other. It's just -- it's  
4 so much bigger than we are.

5 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Well, thank  
6 you.

7 Commissioner, questions?

8 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Just thank you,  
9 Marshall. Good to see you again. Marshall is one  
10 of the folks that spent a lot of time in  
11 conference room with us during the fun years of  
12 the gas/electricity crisis. Good to see you  
13 again.

14 MR. CLARK: Thank you.

15 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Thank you, Mr.  
16 Clark.

17 MR. TAVARES: Thank you, Marshall.

18 Next I think we're going to go to PG&E.  
19 I don't think they have -- they don't have a  
20 presentation, but they wanted to make some  
21 comments?

22 MR. ARMATO: Correct.

23 MR. TAVARES: Okay, that would be Mr.  
24 John Armato.

25 MR. ARMATO: Good morning,

1           Commissioners, and good morning, participants. I  
2           apologize for not having a prepared presentation.  
3           But much of what I wanted to say would have been  
4           covered by the SoCalGas presentation anyway.

5                       Let me just give you a little bit of  
6           background. PG&E, as you know, is a combined  
7           natural gas and electric utility. PG&E does  
8           provide natural gas services to the core market  
9           and also the noncore market.

10                      Most noncore customers, as was already  
11           previously indicated, are fairly sophisticated.  
12           They buy their own natural gas supplies. They  
13           have available to them storage services in PG&E  
14           service territory, offered both by PG&E and also  
15           two third-party storage providers.

16                      And I suspect that they also engage in  
17           hedging when and if they feel it's necessary.

18                      By the way, I work for the core gas  
19           supply side of PG&E. So my remarks are basically  
20           regarding the core natural gas procurement  
21           activities.

22                      The average annual load of our  
23           department is about 800 million cubic feet a day.  
24           In the wintertime that kicks up quite a bit  
25           because we're serving the, you know, the core

1 heating load. So it's over 2 bcf in the winter.

2 In the summer it drops down to about 500  
3 million cubic feet a day.

4 We meet core load basically by buying  
5 gas. In Canada we have pipeline capacity access  
6 all the way to the Alberta Basin. We also have  
7 access to the U.S. southwest, mainly the San Juan  
8 Basin.

9 We buy gas in the basins and transport  
10 it to California. We also buy gas at downstream  
11 points if it's advantageous to do so.

12 Historically we've purchased about 60  
13 percent of our gas supplies from Canada. And  
14 about 40 percent from the U.S. southwest.

15 Basically PG&E takes a wedding-cake  
16 approach to building its supply portfolio. The  
17 base layer is composed of multiyear and multimonth  
18 contracts. These are all priced, however, on  
19 published monthly gas indices. This represents up  
20 to 70 or 75 percent of our portfolio, depending on  
21 the time of the year.

22 The second layer i the monthly baseload.  
23 These supplies are purchased during the month for  
24 delivery in the subsequent month, the prompt  
25 month. And then, again, these are priced based on

1 the monthly indices.

2 The third layer in the wintertime is  
3 storage withdrawal. We can provide up to 20  
4 percent of core load in the wintertime through  
5 storage withdrawals.

6 And finally, the top layer, the last  
7 layer on the top of the cake, if you will, is our  
8 swing spot supplies. These are typically no more  
9 than 5 to 8 percent of our supply portfolio. And  
10 these are based on daily prices, either daily  
11 indices or fixed prices.

12 We do buy gas under our core procurement  
13 incentive mechanism, and that provides a means for  
14 cost recovery. And as SoCalGas already explained,  
15 the benchmark is basically comprised of a basket  
16 of monthly price indices.

17 Why monthly? Because that's what the  
18 market uses. It provides transparency for both  
19 the buyer and the seller. And it's also a very  
20 clear measurement or benchmark that the regulators  
21 can use in order to judge our costs.

22 A little bit about pricing. PG&E's  
23 policy is to avoid multimonth fixed pricing for  
24 physical gas contracts for a couple of reasons.  
25 One, of course our core gas customers' price is

1 tied to the monthly indices through the CPIM.

2 Two, it reflects the CPUC policy that  
3 customer prices should generally follow the  
4 market. And three, fixed price contracts that,  
5 you know, in this day and age they would certainly  
6 subject PG&E to increased contract default and  
7 credit risks.

8 A little bit about hedging. PG&E does  
9 engage in hedging. I think our policy is to  
10 protect against price spikes, particularly in  
11 monthly indexes during future months, future  
12 winter periods.

13 Our purpose for hedging is not to reduce  
14 customer costs, but to mitigate the risks  
15 associated with high prices during these periods.

16 PG&E, like SoCalGas, has an approved,  
17 CPUC-approved hedging plan. These plans are  
18 established and executed in collaboration with the  
19 DRA and TURN. We hedge with financial  
20 instruments, not physical deals. We have swaps  
21 that create fixed positions. We all use call  
22 options to create price caps.

23 We do have storage. Our storage,  
24 however, is limited to about half, less than half  
25 of what SoCal has. So we have far less

1 flexibility in our storage. Therefore, for PG&E  
2 storage is generally for reliability. However,  
3 storage does protect against spikes in the daily  
4 and monthly prices.

5 One thing I want to say about customer  
6 risk tolerances. If you're not aware, PG&E has  
7 engaged in the services, in fact we hired a  
8 vendor, to survey our customers. And basically  
9 ask them some questions that would help determine  
10 their actual customer risk tolerance.

11 Unfortunately, the timing doesn't help  
12 this group here. We don't have the results yet.  
13 The survey is finished; it's completed. The  
14 vendor is currently going through the survey and  
15 finalizing its report. I haven't seen or heard  
16 any preliminary data from this, so I have nothing  
17 to report.

18 I did, however, in preparation for this  
19 workshop, I did, however, take a look at something  
20 that PG&E has some data on. And that is our  
21 customer inquiries. PG&E receives a number of  
22 phone calls every month from customers requesting  
23 more information about all sorts of things.

24 But there are also quite a number of  
25 questions about bill costs. And I took a look at



1 the data over the last three winters and the last  
2 two summers. And there is a pattern. And that is  
3 PG&E receives much more bill inquiries in the  
4 wintertime than it does in the summertime.

5 In fact, when I looked at the inquiries  
6 that PG&E received last summer when gas prices  
7 were, as we know, upwards of \$12, and compared  
8 those to inquiries during the previous summer when  
9 gas prices were about \$4. There was no  
10 difference.

11 So I'll just plant this seed. I'm a  
12 little concerned that this Commission and a lot of  
13 people are very concerned about the effect of gas  
14 price variability on core customers. I'm not sure  
15 PG&E's core customers really feel those effects.  
16 They're more concerned, and they have more  
17 questions and more issues when their bills go up  
18 because they're using more energy.

19 You know, to me it's an indication that  
20 temperatures and customer usage is a far more  
21 important element than gas prices. That's not to  
22 say that there are customers that don't desire or  
23 are not, you know, interested in fixing the price  
24 of gas.

25 And for those customers, like PG&E, we

1 do have a balanced payment plan, where customers  
2 can elect that plan and basically spend an even  
3 amount throughout the year on their individual  
4 monthly bills.

5 Customers in our service territory can  
6 also avail themselves to the core aggregation  
7 services. Core aggregators can fix the price.  
8 And I am aware that some of them do offer that to  
9 our customers. So that's another avenue that our  
10 core customers can choose if they're eligible and  
11 if they're very interested in, again, having a  
12 fixed portfolio price.

13 That's about it. I think everything  
14 else was previously covered. I'm available for  
15 questions.

16 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Armato,  
17 thank you for being here. It's too bad you don't  
18 have the survey results, I think that would be  
19 interesting. And this Commission would be  
20 interested in seeing those, as I suspect would the  
21 Public Utilities Commission.

22 This balanced payment plan, can you give  
23 us a sense of how many customers, core customers,  
24 participate in that?

25 MR. ARMATO: Not very many. The

1 interest is pretty low --

2 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Which would, I  
3 think, support your point that they're not too  
4 concerned about these prices.

5 MR. ARMATO: They don't seem to be. Out  
6 of our 4.2 million customers, there are  
7 approximately I think it's 350,000 customers,  
8 about 350,000 customers have signed up for the  
9 balanced payment plan.

10 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: And can you  
11 reveal, are those primarily low-income customers?

12 MR. ARMATO: I don't know. I don't know  
13 the breakdown.

14 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: I'm just  
15 curious, how much fluctuation do you see in gas  
16 demand amongst your core customers year-on-year,  
17 say December-to-December kind of comparison? I  
18 would imagine it's all temperature-related,  
19 correct?

20 MR. ARMATO: Definitely temperature-  
21 related.

22 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Are you seeing  
23 any general growth or, would like to say, energy  
24 efficiency improvements in gas use that's causing  
25 a reduced demand?

1                   MR. ARMATO: We're not seeing much, if  
2 any, growth. I think a lot of customers are  
3 conserving. It's hard to say what attempts are  
4 being made to conserve, but I think it's quite  
5 clear that customers are conserving.

6                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: I have a very  
7 simplistic question. Given that year to year it  
8 doesn't fluctuate very much, and your customer  
9 base is not going anywhere, why don't you look at  
10 making long-term purchases, particularly at a time  
11 like now, for natural gas? I mean many-year  
12 purchases going forward. Is there any advantage  
13 to your customers if you were to do something like  
14 that?

15                   MR. ARMATO: I assume you're asking  
16 about maybe long-term purchases based on a fixed  
17 price?

18                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Right. I mean  
19 we just heard General Services talk about 75  
20 percent.

21                   MR. ARMATO: Um-hum.

22                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: That kind of  
23 purchase.

24                   MR. ARMATO: Well, there's always room  
25 for regret. For instance, --

1 (Laughter.)

2 MR. ARMATO: -- had we purchased last  
3 summer fixed price gas at \$12, and here today gas  
4 is, you know, \$3.50, \$4, I think a lot of  
5 customers and our regulators would not be too  
6 happy with that.

7 Again, I think the whole marketplace is  
8 really geared toward these short-term purchases.  
9 And long term for us is a year. But we do price  
10 that at the monthly index for a couple of reasons.

11 One, it's PUC public policy. Two,  
12 that's how we get reimbursed through the CPIM. If  
13 we were to go out and sign up for fixed price, we  
14 got supplies, we would be taking a risk, the  
15 shareholders would be taking a risk. So would the  
16 ratepayers.

17 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: And how much of  
18 the state's gas purchase does your company  
19 represent on an annualized basis? GSA said they  
20 were about 1.5 percent, I believe.

21 MR. ARMATO: You know, I don't know the  
22 answer to that.

23 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: What I'm  
24 driving at is that you're obviously -- you're  
25 probably a very large purchaser of gas for core

1 customers. And if you were to stretch out these  
2 purchase periods, other than the way the market's  
3 currently set up on a monthly basis, wouldn't that  
4 help moderate these tremendous fluctuations that  
5 we see in the price of natural gas, as well?

6 MR. ARMATO: We do take a portfolio  
7 approach. We do try and spread out our purchases.  
8 However, no, we don't go out beyond a year  
9 particularly.

10 I'm not sure how that would really help,  
11 to tell you the truth. I don't see how that might  
12 moderate the prices.

13 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: I misunderstood  
14 you, when you were describing your wedding cake.

15 MR. ARMATO: Yes.

16 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: The annual  
17 purchases, were that up to 30 percent?

18 MR. ARMATO: No, sir. They were up to  
19 70 to 75 percent.

20 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay.

21 MR. ARMATO: But they're multimonth and  
22 annual purchases. The base layer of the wedding  
23 cake is composed of multimonth and annual  
24 purchases.

25 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay, so I

1 can't break those out, then. Any other questions?

2 MR. ARMATO: It's probably more -- it's  
3 definitely more multimonth than annual. But I  
4 don't have that breakdown.

5 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Mr. Armato,  
6 thank you.

7 MR. ARMATO: Thank you.

8 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Yes, thank you.

9 MR. TAVARES: Thank you, Mr. Armato.

10 Commissioners, we're scheduled for a  
11 short break so that the panel will all get  
12 together here. Would you like to take a break for  
13 about ten minutes, and then come back? Or do you  
14 want to proceed?

15 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: I think we're  
16 in agreement for a ten-minute break, Mr. Tavares.

17 MR. TAVARES: Okay.

18 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay.

19 MR. TAVARES: We'll have a break.

20 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Thank you.

21 (Brief recess.)

22 MR. TAVARES: We're going to continue  
23 now. We're going to have a panel discussion. In  
24 addition to the speakers this morning, we have  
25 another two persons. One is joining us by

1 telephone, that's Richard Meyers from the  
2 California Public Utilities Commission. Richard,  
3 are you there?

4 MR. MEYERS: I am.

5 MR. TAVARES: Okay, welcome.

6 MR. MEYERS: Thanks.

7 MR. TAVARES: We also have Ray Welch.  
8 He's from Navigant Consulting. He's actually an  
9 Associate Director from Navigant. He actually  
10 spent 14 years at PG&E in the natural gas market.

11 So, with that, I will have Lana and  
12 Katie Elder, from RW Beck, moderate the panel.  
13 And go ahead.

14 MS. ELDER: We're back. So, welcome to  
15 the game show portion of our schedule today. We  
16 have a lovely set of panelists, some of whom  
17 you've heard from already, Commissioners. But, we  
18 thought we'd give the two that you haven't heard  
19 from yet just a chance to make a couple of  
20 comments. Ray Welch from Navigant Consulting; and  
21 then we'll go to Rich Meyers off on our ethernet  
22 here.

23 So, if you'd like to make a couple  
24 comments here, Ray, go right ahead.

25 MR. WELCH: Thank you very much.



1                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Go ahead; make  
2                   sure that your green light is on on your  
3                   microphone button. Okay, thank you.

4                   MR. WELCH: It is, so are we live here?

5                   MR. SPEAKER: No.

6                   MR. WELCH: Great. You can't hear it?

7                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Just bring it a  
8                   little closer and we'll be able to hear you.

9                   MR. WELCH: How's that? Is that better?  
10                  Okay, great.

11                  First, I appreciate the opportunity to  
12                  participate on the panel today. As Ruben said, I  
13                  was portfolio manager for PG&E for a core gas  
14                  group for ten years.

15                  And so when I learned just the other day  
16                  that this panel was convening, and there was a  
17                  potential for my participation, I jumped at the  
18                  chance. So I really appreciate being brought up  
19                  today.

20                  I guess through my experience and market  
21                  observations over the years I think that in the  
22                  long run reducing demand is the way to approach  
23                  cost reductions rather than hedging.

24                  I mean, hedging to reduce costs is  
25                  chasing a will'o'the wisp from my perspective.

1 Hedging, as an impulse to sidestep the market is a  
2 misguided impulse. The costs are what they are.  
3 We're all part of the dynamic that is the market.

4 I think a lot of the comments that we've  
5 seen so far reflect my sentiments on this issue,  
6 that there really isn't any way to sidestep the  
7 market in the long run, any more than we can  
8 sidestep, for example, the climate crisis.

9 It's simply something that is part of --  
10 it's environmental, and we're part of that  
11 environment. And the notion that we can hedge to  
12 reduce costs, I know that seems to be part of the  
13 CPUC mandate in the OIR, is, I think, kind of  
14 phobic, really. That's the word I would use for  
15 it.

16 And there's really nothing to be done  
17 for it. We're all part of this market. It's a  
18 dynamic where our actions or inactions feed into  
19 the totality of the picture.

20 I have a little thing here just to kind  
21 of quaintly put it: Hedging and expecting to beat  
22 the market is kind of like getting married and  
23 expecting to continue to play the field.

24 (Laughter.)

25 MR. WELCH: It's a compelling fantasy,

1 but it's bound to produce heartbreak if acted  
2 upon.

3 MR. DYER: It's worth a try, though,  
4 isn't it?

5 (Laughter.)

6 MR. WELCH: So hedging is about risk  
7 reduction, it's about specific risk reduction.  
8 It's about risk that's been thought through  
9 beforehand and accepted beforehand. It's not  
10 about the risk of prices going up necessarily.  
11 It's about a more targeted sort of thing.

12 The DGS, for example, has a budget it's  
13 trying to manage, too. And so if it can manage to  
14 buy its gas below that target, it's happy with  
15 that, in advance, even though they might be  
16 offside with the market when the actual time of  
17 delivery comes.

18 But that's a thought-through and valid,  
19 I think, risk management objective. And I think,  
20 in a lot of cases, hedging and risk management are  
21 sort of not really thought through to that level  
22 where there's a concrete objective. And it  
23 gets -- the objectives get sort of meshed in with  
24 market performance. And I think that's a real  
25 mistake.

1                   I think the distinction between your  
2                   objective and market performance has to be very  
3                   very clear; that those two things should not be  
4                   conflated because it will lead to confusion,  
5                   second guessing, public policy problems and  
6                   ultimately disappointment, because you can't beat  
7                   the market. The market, in the long run, is what  
8                   it is, and we're all part of it.

9                   MS. ELDER: Rich Meyers, have you got  
10                  anything you'd like to throw in here?

11                  MR. MEYERS: I'd just like to say that I  
12                  think from the energy division's point of view and  
13                  I think the Commission's, in general, point of  
14                  view is that the gas cost incentive mechanisms  
15                  have worked quite well over the time period that  
16                  they've been in place.

17                  And I think they've certainly worked  
18                  quite well compared to the kind of regulatory  
19                  framework we had prior to the incentive mechanisms  
20                  being in place.

21                  And I've been involved with natural gas  
22                  issues for I guess close to 20 years now. I can  
23                  remember the period when we did conduct  
24                  reasonableness reviews and I think not only were  
25                  the reasonableness reviews that we did conduct

1 quite contentious and took a long time, and took  
2 up a lot of resources for both the utilities and  
3 the Commission.

4 But once, I think, the incentive  
5 mechanisms began to be implemented it not only  
6 reduced the time spent on reviewing utility gas  
7 purchases, but it resulted in lower gas costs.

8 And so I think it was beneficial from  
9 that viewpoint, as well. I mean especially when  
10 you look at the comparison of the utilities' gas  
11 costs, when there is a reasonableness review  
12 framework in place versus the cost compared to  
13 market prices once the incentive mechanisms were  
14 in place, I think the incentive mechanism  
15 framework is a far better framework overall than  
16 what we had before.

17 And I think that's basically just what  
18 I'd like to say.

19 MS. ELDER: Thanks. I thought what we'd  
20 do is kind of go around. I know a couple people,  
21 in their remarks, answered this question, but I  
22 thought it would be good to see if we could get  
23 all the panelists to share this little bit of  
24 information.

25 And that is how much gas do you buy. So

1 I'll start way down there with Herb.

2 MR. EMMRICH: Is this microphone on?

3 MS. ELDER: I think that's the one that  
4 goes to the -- there's one that goes to the  
5 webcast and one that goes to the room. So you  
6 have to sort of make sure you talk into both.

7 MR. EMMRICH: We purchase 1.1 bcf of gas  
8 a day on average. And in the wintertime would be  
9 10 percent more.

10 MS. ELDER: So SoCal's buying 1.1 bcf a  
11 day in the summertime, and maybe 10 percent more  
12 than that in the winter.

13 MR. EMMRICH: If it's a cold year.

14 MS. ELDER: If it's cold, yeah, yeah,  
15 yeah. Okay, great, thanks.

16 Pam.

17 MS. TAHERI: We do about 40 bcf a year.  
18 That's just as comparison to yours is how much a  
19 day.

20 MS. ELDER: And I thought earlier, I  
21 thought you'd said about 80 a day, maybe up to 120  
22 mm cf's per day?

23 MS. TAHERI: Is averaging about 120.

24 MS. ELDER: Great. And Laird's selling  
25 gas, so --

1                   MR. DYER: We buy it, too. We're  
2                   obligated to purchase, they're called Shell Rocky  
3                   Mountain Productions, our producer entity in the  
4                   Rockies. We buy about 350 million a day from  
5                   them. And then we trade with that volume included  
6                   about 2.1 bcf a day in the west.

7                   MS. ELDER: In the west. And can you  
8                   break that down, how about being for just  
9                   California?

10                  MR. DYER: Gee, we don't think of it  
11                  that way. I would be guessing at the number, but  
12                  maybe half a b a day, --

13                  MS. ELDER: Okay.

14                  MR. DYER: -- little bit better than  
15                  that.

16                  MS. ELDER: Thanks. And, Marshall, tell  
17                  us again how much. I think you mentioned it  
18                  earlier, but I've forgotten already.

19                  MR. CLARK: About 32 bcf a year; in  
20                  terms of the daily flow, between 80 and 100 mmBtu.

21                  MS. ELDER: Between 80 and 100 mmBtu a  
22                  day.

23                  MR. CLARK: Yeah.

24                  MS. ELDER: Great.

25                  MR. CLARK: A thousand mmBtu.

1 MS. ELDER: I would leave off those  
2 three zeroes.

3 (Laughter.)

4 MS. ELDER: And I think John mentioned  
5 earlier, what, 800 mm cf per day?

6 MR. ARMATO: I did. On an average  
7 annual basis about 800 a day for the core  
8 portfolio. In the wintertime that averages about  
9 a little over 2 bcf. But in the summertime it  
10 drops down to about 480, 490.

11 MS. ELDER: So, I'm too not quick enough  
12 to add all those numbers together, but I'm  
13 thinking, just in a ballpark term, so that we've  
14 probably got represented here close to half the  
15 California market?

16 MR. EMMRICH: Well, SoCalGas is about 18  
17 percent for the core.

18 MS. ELDER: So another question I  
19 thought maybe it would be good to all answer would  
20 be to talk a little bit about customer bills. And  
21 what kind of bill size does your customer see in  
22 terms of maybe an average bill size. What kind of  
23 dollars would they see typically, just to kind of  
24 put it in perspective.

25 MR. EMMRICH: Well, in the summertime



1           it's about \$30; in the wintertime, this year it's  
2           \$67. But in some years it's been over \$100 in the  
3           wintertime.

4                   MS. TAHERI: We average about \$70 per  
5           month, that's for electric.

6                   MS. ELDER: For electric service about  
7           \$70 per month.

8                   MR. DYER: -- my first is the one I get  
9           on my house. It's about \$400, 13.4 therms, 1205  
10          in mmBtu.

11                   MS. ELDER: That was your last household  
12          bill?

13                   MR. DYER: My February bill, yes.

14                   MS. ELDER: Your February bill. Okay.

15                   MR. CLARK: Finally one that I get the  
16          big numbers. Our customers average, I think, for  
17          the whole group, about three-quarters of a million  
18          dollars a year.

19                   Ranges all the way up -- I have one  
20          customer that's spending, oh, about 2.2 million a  
21          month. And it goes all the way down to Yosemite  
22          Community College, which I think my house bill is  
23          bigger.

24                   MS. ELDER: Okay.

25                   MR. ARMATO: And, Katie, for PG&E it's

1 about what SoCalGas' customers pay. One  
2 difference is although SoCalGas has more core  
3 customers, I think we have a greater variability  
4 in the load. We serve 58 counties in California,  
5 everywhere from the desert to the mountains to the  
6 coastal areas. And we probably do have customers  
7 that maybe, on average, have a higher usage than  
8 some of the SoCal customers.

9 MS. ELDER: So you're going to have much  
10 wider variability among the use of those  
11 customers, and therefore in their bills.

12 MR. ARMATO: I would expect that, yes.

13 MS. ELDER: Because of the climate  
14 variation across the service area.

15 Sort of in that same direction John  
16 talked earlier about balanced billing and had some  
17 numbers in mind about how many customers are using  
18 the balanced billing service. But I thought the  
19 Commissioners would be interested in hearing that  
20 data for the rest of you folks.

21 MR. EMMRICH: SoCalGas, we have about 4  
22 percent of customers choose the level pay plan.  
23 So it's a very small amount.

24 MS. TAHERI: I actually don't know that.

25 MR. DYER: It's not pertinent to this,

1 but I have opinions on it.

2 (Laughter.)

3 MS. ELDER: Well, tell us your opinion,  
4 Laird.

5 MR. DYER: Number one, the level pay  
6 plans -- that's hard to say -- the LPPs, they do a  
7 couple things that we're not particularly thrilled  
8 about.

9 First of all, they don't address the  
10 underlying portfolio, volatility in the underlying  
11 portfolio. Secondly, they mute cost signals to  
12 customers, which I think we all agree is  
13 something, the customers that see price signals.  
14 And they mute not only price, but usage. So if  
15 you have a demand responsiveness program they  
16 negatively impact those, as well.

17 And last, you're not going to get  
18 everybody in the state, every customer, to go,  
19 yeah, okay, I'll go for a level pay plan.

20 So the utilities are still faced with,  
21 you know, if the Commission is true in its  
22 objective of launching volatility mitigation, the  
23 utilities still have to deal with that.

24 MS. ELDER: So your view would be the  
25 level playing plans, or balanced billing plans,

1           aren't really a substitute for a comprehensive  
2           hedging program.

3                   MR. DYER: I think they're a great idea,  
4           they're kind of fun, but it mutes too many  
5           signals, and they don't really solve underlying  
6           problems.

7                   MS. ELDER: Marshall, how do your folks  
8           deal with that?

9                   MR. CLARK: We don't have any level --

10                   MS. ELDER: They really can't.

11                   MR. CLARK: -- plan for it. With a \$260  
12           million a year business I have zero working  
13           capital. So, everything settles every month.

14                   MS. ELDER: And I think John had said  
15           about 350,000 out of, was it 4 million?

16                   MR. ARMATO: Yeah, out of about 4.2  
17           million customers, a very small percentage.

18                   MS. ELDER: So that's going to be what,  
19           maybe 6 or 7 percent --

20                   MR. ARMATO: Close to 5, yeah.

21                   MR. WELCH: Katie, if I may?

22                   MS. ELDER: Yeah, please, Ray.

23                   MR. WELCH: I think the idea of pricing  
24           is a really interesting one to maybe talk about a  
25           little bit. Because I think that's a primary

1 policy issue that the state might want to  
2 influence and have an opinion about.

3 To what extent do they want price  
4 signals to reach a population and make them  
5 responsible to make decisions about their own  
6 energy usage.

7 Because anything that we're talking  
8 about here today, whether it's a balanced payment  
9 program or hedging, we'll mute that signal. I  
10 mean that's the whole point is to take the  
11 volatility out and not let that signal get through  
12 to the consumer.

13 From my point of view, just speaking as  
14 an individual citizen, not as a gas person or a  
15 hedging person, but just somebody who gets a bill,  
16 I'm really interested in how much my bill is. I'm  
17 not particularly interested in having to develop a  
18 measurable percentage of my life in figuring it  
19 out.

20 I want to understand what the bill is  
21 and its relationship to my usage. And I do  
22 recognize that the usage component is the one  
23 thing that I can control. I can turn my  
24 thermostat down. I can cook differently. I can  
25 rearrange my living patterns. But I can't control

1 the price.

2 So to complicate my life with a bunch of  
3 choices about, you know, portfolios and involve me  
4 in the public policy machinations of whether we  
5 should be hedging or not, from a consumer point of  
6 view, I think, is really expecting a lot of a  
7 consumer. It's really putting a burden on them  
8 that they don't want.

9 MR. MEYERS: This is Richard Meyers to  
10 follow up on Ray's point. The California  
11 utilities change their prices every month to allow  
12 customers to see what the changes are in the price  
13 that they're paying so that they can make their  
14 decisions about how much they want to use.

15 And this wasn't always the case. This  
16 is only been -- this began to be the case, I  
17 think, in like the early 1990s or mid 1990s.

18 Before that, the natural gas procurement  
19 price was set for a year, or even two, I believe.  
20 And so it was only the case that the natural gas  
21 procurement price that the customer saw changed  
22 every month beginning about the early to mid  
23 1990s, so that customers could see what the  
24 variation in price actually was.

25 MR. WELCH: Now, that being said,

1       there's a lot of problems with trying to get that  
2       price signal to the consumer.  Because it's always  
3       after the fact.  You're always seeing your bill  
4       two months after the prices have happened.  So  
5       it's very very difficult to adjust your behavior  
6       in real time.

7                   And I'm also very sensitive to the idea  
8       that -- hedging to me is a good tool to use to  
9       mitigate those kinds of bill situations -- I'll  
10      avoid the word price, but I'll say bill situations  
11      -- for particularly low-income people where it's  
12      going to force them that month to choose between  
13      food and fuel.

14                   That seems like a legitimate public  
15      policy sort of approach for hedging to me, to make  
16      sure that bill doesn't put somebody in that  
17      position.

18                   But just to mitigate volatility for the  
19      sake of mitigating volatility seems very abstract,  
20      theoretical and ultimately sort of pointless.

21                   MS. ELDER:  I know Herb wants to jump in  
22      here.

23                   MR. EMMRICH:  Yes.  We do believe that  
24      the pricing or the monthly change --

25                   MR. MEYERS:  I'm having a hard time

1 hearing Herb.

2 MR. EMMRICH: Is this better, Richard?

3 MR. MEYERS: Yeah, thanks.

4 MR. EMMRICH: We do believe that the  
5 monthly price signal is very important. And if  
6 you look at the electric side, they're talking  
7 about critical peak pricing which is going by the  
8 hour in order to give customers the incentive to  
9 reduce their usage.

10 We have monthly metering and we have  
11 monthly pricing, and the industry, on the gas  
12 side, is a monthly industry basically for probably  
13 80 percent of the volumes.

14 So I think we are in tune with that. We  
15 certainly don't want to go to daily pricing, but  
16 because we do have storage and we can draw on that  
17 to even it out.

18 But the monthly pricing is important to  
19 us and it's also important for energy efficiency  
20 that the customers get the right signal that it's  
21 more expensive to use gas in the wintertime than  
22 in the summer. And that we can conserve pipeline  
23 capacity and storage capacity and so on.

24 MS. ELDER: John talked earlier about  
25 kind of the bill responses -- or, I'm sorry, the



1 customer inquiries, I'm not using the right  
2 terminology, from core customers and how that  
3 varied over the course of the year.

4 I'm just wondering what SoCal's seeing  
5 on that front, what's it hearing from its  
6 customers?

7 MR. EMMRICH: Well, obviously when it  
8 gets cold and the bills go up, we get increased  
9 calls through the call center that we have to  
10 respond to. We have more high-bill complaints and  
11 so on.

12 But it's basically the level of the  
13 bill; it's not just the gas price. If the gas  
14 price is high and it's a warm winter, you don't  
15 get those calls --

16 MS. ELDER: Right, --

17 MR. EMMRICH: -- gas bill. It's like --

18 MS. ELDER: -- and so what I think I  
19 heard from both, you know, the big gas utilities,  
20 is that customers are calling about their bill and  
21 they're not necessarily, in the context of that  
22 phone call, mentioning the price. It's not clear  
23 if they're really not paying attention to the  
24 price, or if they're -- do they know the price and  
25 are just not mentioning it, or do they not even

1 really know the price?

2 MR. EMMRICH: Well, I've worked for The  
3 Gas Company, and when I get my bill I look at the  
4 bill.

5 (Laughter.)

6 MS. ELDER: You and I are different,  
7 though.

8 MR. ARMATO: For PG&E customers I think  
9 what we've experienced is that customers sometimes  
10 don't even distinguish between the electric  
11 portion and the gas portion of their bills. They  
12 just look at the bottomline, and they say, why is  
13 my bill so high.

14 MS. ELDER: And Pam's nodding her head.  
15 She's got the same experience with electric  
16 customers for SMUD, I'll bet.

17 MS. TAHERI: Yeah. Usually it's like a  
18 hot summer day, like a couple years ago when it  
19 was 110 degrees for a number of days. And then  
20 our summer prices is also -- we have like two  
21 seasonal prices on the electric. So that's when  
22 we get the calls.

23 But, again, as an individual I would  
24 agree with some of the other panelists'  
25 observations, which is I look at my bill. If it's

1 small enough I'm not going to pay attention. And  
2 I work in this industry. If it's big, then I'm  
3 going to start digging into it.

4 MS. ELDER: Marshall, did you have  
5 something you wanted to jump in on?

6 MR. CLARK: Well, just again, our  
7 situation is somewhat peculiar. But we publish on  
8 the 5th of every month, once we've got the bid  
9 week price, we send each customer a price sheet  
10 that shows them what their price for that month  
11 will be by the 5th of the month.

12 That's primarily for the cogeneration  
13 operators who want to use that input to decide how  
14 they're going to run their cogen plants.

15 But we give them that price ahead of  
16 time. And in that same sheet we always send them  
17 a whole year. It's both the actual to-date, and a  
18 projected for the rest of the year, using just the  
19 future curve for the market price.

20 And down in the bottom-right there's a  
21 number that says, based on today's information we  
22 project that your total bill for the year will be  
23 such-and-such. And, of course, that changes every  
24 month.

25 But what we see from our customers is

1 that as long as that number stays below their  
2 budget, we never hear from them. The minute it  
3 starts edging above their budget, we hear from  
4 them constantly.

5 So, again, it's they're matching the  
6 information they get to their own benchmark. And  
7 as long as it's staying below the benchmark they  
8 don't care.

9 MS. ELDER: That reminded me of a point,  
10 I think that you made earlier, Marshall, is that  
11 you're really managing your gas procurement  
12 expense to budget. And other folks, I think, are  
13 managing to different benchmarks, if you will.

14 I don't mean to imply that the benchmark  
15 that's in the incentive mechanisms. But I think  
16 it might be the case that SMUD, perhaps, is  
17 managing more for rates and rate stability. Is  
18 that a fair characterization, Pam, or am I  
19 overstating it?

20 MS. TAHERI: On an overall basis that's  
21 absolutely true. We manage to the rates. In our  
22 situation it's similar to the DGS program. But  
23 what we do is actually we know that our fuel  
24 budget, and the wholesale size, the one that  
25 swings the most.

1                   And as we all know, the gas price and  
2                   electric price track pretty closely for the most  
3                   part, unless it's a really wet or dry year.

4                   But having said that, what we do is when  
5                   we go into setting the budget cycle we pretty much  
6                   have to lock in most of our open positions. So  
7                   that we could then say, okay, we know what our  
8                   budget is, and it's not going to be changing too  
9                   substantially.

10                  During the year we also have to worry  
11                  about the volumetric risk, and also the price risk  
12                  associated with hydro, because we also, as I  
13                  indicated earlier in my presentation, a pretty  
14                  substantial piece of our portfolio is based on  
15                  hydro. So if you have a dry year, you got to also  
16                  manage that, as well.

17                  So, we do try to lock in most of the  
18                  position by the time we set the budget.

19                  MS. ELDER: One of the kinds of gas  
20                  buyers that we didn't get onto the panel for you,  
21                  and I'll sort of try to substitute for them real  
22                  quickly, is we didn't get anybody who actually is  
23                  just a merchant generator who's buying natural gas  
24                  to fuel a single, or maybe a handful of power  
25                  projects.

1                   It turns out that I advise those folks  
2                   quite a lot. And most of those folks are actually  
3                   way different than any of these folks here on your  
4                   panel in that they really are buying gas on the  
5                   day market. And they don't give a rip what the  
6                   price is, as long as the price of gas tracks the  
7                   price of electricity.

8                   Because they won't know until maybe a  
9                   couple of days or the day before that they have to  
10                  go out and buy the gas, whether they're going to  
11                  get dispatched on that day.

12                  And so what they're trying to manage is  
13                  the link between the electric price and the gas  
14                  price. So, a totally different issue. Laird may  
15                  have some more experience of selling gas to those  
16                  people.

17                  MR. DYER: Well, I agree with you. I  
18                  mean they are basically a processing plant.  
19                  They're taking one form of energy and converting  
20                  it to another. And it's a (inaudible) of their  
21                  own, which is --

22                  MS. ELDER: Right, right.

23                  MR. DYER: -- and they don't want to  
24                  hedge, because they're making a bet on where the  
25                  true prices are.

1 MS. ELDER: And their banks that finance  
2 them don't want them to hedge, because the bank  
3 doesn't want that risk transferred to them.

4 MR. DYER: We have had folks, though,  
5 that will hedge both ends. Will hedge against  
6 price and the power price, lock in a margin --

7 Now, they do take some unit contingent  
8 risk with that. So we tend not to like doing that  
9 with entities of one unit. But when they have,  
10 you know, may have three or four, that's not a bad  
11 approach, as well, for at least a portion.

12 So we see that among the municipals.

13 MS. ELDER: And similarly, the  
14 municipals, we've got SMUD here, but we didn't get  
15 some of the smaller municipals like Palo Alto.  
16 Was down working with Palo Alto a couple weeks  
17 ago. One of the things I heard was that customers  
18 were upset in terms of the response back to the  
19 utility, feedback back to the utility, that  
20 natural gas prices had fallen since last summer,  
21 but the prices on their bills weren't dropping.

22 So, maybe some confusion there between  
23 it's the fact that it's winter, that consumption's  
24 higher so the bill is higher. But some of them  
25 may have actually, maybe in Palo Alto, paid

1 attention to summertime prices versus winter  
2 prices. They're smarter in Palo Alto there than  
3 the rest of us.

4 MR. DYER: Well, they actually run a  
5 three-year program in Palo Alto. They buy --

6 MS. ELDER: They have a laddering  
7 program.

8 MR. DYER: Yes. And so their city  
9 council actually likes increase in prices because  
10 it makes their purchase price look good. And  
11 summer prices could fall. Of course, they've  
12 locked in, you know, \$8, and it's \$3, and they're  
13 like, well, you guys don't know what you're doing.

14 So it's really difficult to try --  
15 people think there are gains and losses when  
16 hedging. And that's the underlying problem.

17 MS. ELDER: Well, Pam talked about that  
18 some when she talked about there are years that  
19 you look like a hero, and there are years you look  
20 like a dunce.

21 Maybe could you expand on that just a  
22 little bit, what we're getting at there?

23 MS. TAHERI: Well, that depend on when  
24 you retire.

25 MR. DYER: Well, yeah.



1 MS. TAHERI: Yeah. If you do a  
2 laddering program obviously there are good years,  
3 there are bad years. And it shows very much.  
4 Right now doesn't look so good because we also do  
5 some laddering program. But who's to say,  
6 tomorrow something could happen and prices go back  
7 up.

8 So, a lot of it is that you have to take  
9 your -- recognizing that there is a price. And  
10 then it's a policy issue in terms of, you know,  
11 what is that risk appetite. It's the stability  
12 versus, there's a certain amount of price that you  
13 have to pay.

14 MS. ELDER: Laird, yeah.

15 MR. DYER: Underlying all of this, and I  
16 don't want to be presumption that, you know, we're  
17 just price takers in this marketplace. I reject  
18 that outright.

19 There are many tools available to us in  
20 the marketplace to assess our risk. There's  
21 obviously fundamental analysis just looking at the  
22 flows of gas. And you can make -- there's some  
23 pretty astounding things going on in southern  
24 California right now, as the prices in the middle  
25 of 2006, that paint a very bearish picture for

1 prices in southern California going forward.

2 Then you also apply to that some  
3 technical analysis. And between the two you can  
4 make some pretty decent calls. That's how we make  
5 our living. Our job is to -- we're not only, you  
6 know, not only market, but we're a trade shop. So  
7 we spec in this market.

8 And so we make big bets at times based  
9 on our fundamental technical view. And, you know,  
10 it's our money on the line.

11 And so I don't adopt the -- just, you  
12 know, prices are what they are. Yes, the market  
13 is what the market is. But you can defend and you  
14 can be aggressive at times. And today's the day  
15 to be aggressive, frankly. Just the way the  
16 market's set up right now.

17 MS. ELDER: And we should be aggressive  
18 because prices are low?

19 MR. DYER: Well, they're below the  
20 replacement costs.

21 MS. ELDER: Below the replacement costs.

22 MR. DYER: And that should always be a  
23 signal to you to be, gee, I should be thinking  
24 about buying long-term gas.

25 Now, frankly, the market is very

1           contangled right now, meaning that the prices are  
2           -- it's quite a steep slope. But through the  
3           summer when we start getting some LNG, and that  
4           may change.

5                        Nonetheless, we should be prepared to be  
6           long-term buyers.

7                        MR. WELCH: Could I jump in?

8                        MS. ELDER: Well, -

9                        MR. FOX: Just a real quick question for  
10           those on the phone. I am Patrick Fox from PG&E.  
11           Earlier I thought you speculated that the market  
12           was going down to \$2.

13                       MR. DYER: Yeah.

14                       MR. FOX: So wouldn't it be better to  
15           wait then, and buy your long-term at \$2 versus  
16           today's?

17                       MR. DYER: Well, you have an investment  
18           portfolio. I've never picked the bottom in my  
19           life, ever. So what you do is you look for the  
20           appropriate risk/reward profile.

21                       And I would now and say, gee, we're at  
22           \$3,80 on the NYMEX right now, 2.50 might be the  
23           bottom, it's \$1.30 downside. We've seen \$13 and  
24           \$15 on the upside.

25                       My risk/reward profile tells me I should

1 be a buyer here. Now, I might have to live  
2 through \$2.50, having bought \$3.50 gas. But I'd  
3 be pretty happy about that four or five years from  
4 now.

5 MS. ELDER: And there's that issue of  
6 regret and how much regret you're willing to bear  
7 as part of your risk/reward profile.

8 MR. DYER: Making an informed choice.

9 MS. ELDER: Ray wanted to jump in here.

10 MR. WELCH: Yeah, I would agree with  
11 Laird to the extent that there is information  
12 available that somebody who's competent in the  
13 market can analyze and make use of and take the  
14 risk, that analysis, and put their money on the  
15 line.

16 And that is probably -- that's  
17 definitely appropriate for an organization like  
18 Shell. Whether it's an appropriate response or an  
19 appropriate activity for an investor-owned utility  
20 that's regulated, that has this larger public  
21 policy sort of overlay to it, I think that's a  
22 different question.

23 There are many different risks that  
24 apply to that kind of decisionmaking that are  
25 external to the gas market. The most spectacular

1 one, of course, right now is the credit meltdown.

2 And so that could have a really  
3 really -- it's an unknown and extremely strong  
4 effect on gas prices going forward for a long time  
5 to come. It could depress them for quite a bit.

6 I'm not saying that it will. I'm just  
7 saying that's a risk. So, to put my money on \$4  
8 or \$3 or \$2.80 is something of a risk.

9 And if I'm concerned about market  
10 performance, and even despite the fact that we  
11 have all disavowed market performance as a real  
12 measure of the effect of hedging, we still keep  
13 coming back to it in this conversation. So, it's  
14 always there.

15 So getting in at \$3, \$2.80 is perhaps  
16 not going to play out against the market as people  
17 would, you know, down the road ultimately would  
18 have liked to have had happen.

19 MS. ELDER: It seems like there's a  
20 question, and you're sort of getting to it, Ray, a  
21 little bit. And that is, you know, we all agree  
22 the market's volatile. We can put the graph up  
23 and we can look at what the monthly index has done  
24 over the last umpteen years. And we can see that  
25 it's jumped all over the place, in this last year,

1 we've experienced extraordinary swing between the  
2 \$13 and -- or \$12.something, high \$12s in June and  
3 July, and back down to the \$3s now, maybe.

4 But the question, one question is who's  
5 best equipped, or who do we want to manage that  
6 volatility for us. And I don't know the answer.  
7 I think that different people would probably  
8 answer that differently. But Laird's got an  
9 answer, I can tell.

10 (Laughter.)

11 MR. DYER: With that introduction, I  
12 would submit that gas procurement or gas purchase  
13 should be a core competency of the utility, given  
14 who they represent.

15 And to suggest that it's not or is not  
16 necessary, or that they're just going to follow  
17 the market, I think, is incorrect. I think -- I  
18 would argue that I think the best model is the  
19 SMUD model.

20 Have the utilities report back to the  
21 citizens. And if they don't do a good job, and  
22 they gouge you on prices, well, you get a school  
23 out of it, or more paved roads, or more police  
24 officers. At least the benefit returns back to  
25 the community.

1                   So I think it's a better model than the  
2 IOU model, frankly, for California. It's proven  
3 to be so, so far.

4                   MS. ELDER: And interestingly, I'm  
5 hoping that John Armato is sitting there  
6 snickering because he may well remember that I  
7 personally had that argument with Gordon Smith at  
8 PG&E about 1990 or 1991. And I lost.

9                   (Laughter.)

10                  MS. ELDER: And procurement was set up  
11 to be done according to an index where we filed a  
12 short-term index and the utility minimized its  
13 risk because there was no upside for the utility  
14 in being a gas purchaser.

15                  And John may have a different --  
16 something different he wants to add to that.

17                  MR. ARMATO: No, I'm not sure I really  
18 want to add to that. It's just a, it's a question  
19 of risk. And who's going to bear the brunt of  
20 that risk.

21                  And every time there's a hedge put on,  
22 or every time there's a fixed-price long-term gas  
23 contract that has been purchased, that really does  
24 represent a huge risk to the utility shareholders.

25                  So perhaps until such time as that is

1 resolved, then the situation is just going to be  
2 status quo.

3 MS. ELDER: So I know that there's a  
4 proceeding that's going on at the PUC. And we  
5 didn't really want to, you know, go into the guts  
6 of that proceeding.

7 But let me just test whether or not this  
8 is a fair characterization. Is it correct that  
9 proceeding's really looking at hedging and how  
10 hedging should be incorporated or should not be  
11 incorporated into the mechanism? It's not really  
12 looking at the question of the mechanism, itself,  
13 is that right?

14 MR. DYER: I think that it would be  
15 characterized -- the CPUC has two procurement  
16 goals. They identify volatility and mitigation in  
17 the OIR. On top of, I would think, that their  
18 long-standing low-cost procurement goal.

19 And so it's addressing how do we  
20 incorporate this new objective within the existing  
21 framework, or what do we do differently. I think  
22 it's that wide open of a question. It doesn't  
23 presuppose that it has to be involved, included  
24 within the mechanism. It can be kind of anywhere.

25 So, we think it can be included in the



1 mechanism, but that's just our opinion.

2 MS. ELDER: And then Pam has to live and  
3 breathe it every day.

4 MS. TAHERI: I think it really gets down  
5 to our customers. We don't have a pass-through  
6 mechanism. So we have to live with whatever it is  
7 that we do as a result. We hedge significantly.

8 But, as I say, depending on where the  
9 prices turn out, I mean our customer has enjoyed  
10 very stable and low rates for many years because  
11 of that particular strategy.

12 But to the extent, if it turn out that  
13 the deals are not as favorable as compared to the  
14 spot, like it is now, it could very well that our  
15 customer could have a different perspective now.  
16 And if that is the case, I'm sure we will hear  
17 about it.

18 And it's possible that we could be  
19 potentially, and I'm not taking a SMUD position in  
20 saying this, it's possible depending on what our  
21 customers' reactions are. It could potentially  
22 change our strategy going forward. Although I'm  
23 not predicting that at this time.

24 MR. EMMRICH: Well, of course, we are  
25 actively participating in the proceeding, but

1       it's, you know, if it ain't broke why fix it.  
2       We've got a proven track record that by buying  
3       monthly we've got the lowest rank and the lowest  
4       cost of gas every year, and over the last 14  
5       years.

6                   So, there is always room for  
7       improvement. We have an open mind. If somebody  
8       can show us how that benefits customers, the cost  
9       of the hedging doesn't out-weigh the benefits,  
10      then we have an open mind to that.

11                   But right now we feel very comfortable  
12      with the incentive mechanism we have, that monthly  
13      price signals that you have, and the customer  
14      satisfaction that we have. Customers are  
15      satisfied with what we are doing for them.

16                   MS. ELDER: Any focus group work with  
17      customers? I'm just curious what we know about  
18      what customers are actually looking at on their  
19      bills.

20                   What I'm thinking, I'm actually working  
21      on a rate case in Utah where the local utility has  
22      got evidence from survey that customers aren't  
23      looking at the third tier of the electric rate.  
24      And so they're not even looking at it.

25                   Pam's going, yeah, my customers don't

1 look at it, either. Because Pam and I don't look  
2 at it, nor SMUD customers.

3 MR. EMMRICH: Well, we keep in contact  
4 with customers all the time. And we do have focus  
5 groups and so on to respond to customers' needs.  
6 That has not been a big demand at this point in  
7 time.

8 Of course, if we go to \$15 gas prices,  
9 that all would change. But we don't see that in  
10 the medium- to long-term, especially with LNG  
11 coming on big-time in this coming year. And next  
12 year.

13 So the delivered price of LNG is  
14 probably going to put a lid on gas prices in the  
15 \$4 to \$5 range.

16 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Katie, could I  
17 ask a question --

18 MS. ELDER: Yes, sorry, please jump in.

19 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: -- of the group.  
20 This is very interesting, but I'm just wondering  
21 what the role of storage in California has been  
22 with regard to all this discussion of California  
23 having, in the past, and kind of atypical, having  
24 had pretty decent storage.

25 And then I want to reflect on what I

1 think was an experience during the electricity  
2 crisis. There were actually people telling the  
3 then-governor that we have to go buy into the gas  
4 business just like you've had to do with  
5 electricity. It's really the gas business that's  
6 driving this electricity crisis.

7 And many of us had to look at that  
8 situation and come back and say, you know, there's  
9 really a better market in the gas world. And, you  
10 know, we think you should leave it alone and let  
11 it play out.

12 But the observation, and Marshall may  
13 remember this, is that at that point in time,  
14 during that alleged crisis, storage was way under-  
15 utilized. And it's kind of like it seemed that  
16 one year that after restructuring of the  
17 electricity industry, gas folks didn't put gas in  
18 the ground like they historically had.

19 And after that year, no matter what the  
20 price was in the summer, everybody's, you know,  
21 chucking it back in there, filling up storage.

22 So, what role does storage play in this  
23 situation in California, and what we're seeing in  
24 this discussion about hedging in the market and  
25 price volatility?

1 MS. ELDER: I know, Herb talked about  
2 that earlier, so I'm thinking he might want to  
3 expand on that a little bit.

4 MR. EMMRICH: Well, from our  
5 perspective, of course all these crises and so on  
6 have motivated us to increase storage. We had 90  
7 bcf of storage; we now have 131. And we're  
8 expanding another seven. We'll have 138 bcf of  
9 storage.

10 There's all kinds of storage coming on  
11 in northern California, private sector  
12 development. PG&E, I believe, is buying into some  
13 of that storage. And nationally. So with that  
14 goal moderate some of the pricing that we're --  
15 price swings we've had before.

16 And also LNG is coming online. And with  
17 LNG, we'll again have a moderation of those  
18 volatile prices that we've had in the past.

19 It's essential that the customers put  
20 that gas in storage and not bet on a warm winter,  
21 as was done in that 2000/2001 period. Reliability  
22 is the number one issue, and you got to get that  
23 gas in storage to be able to withdraw it in the  
24 wintertime. And customers have learned that  
25 lesson.

1                   We've been chocked full of storage every  
2                   year since then. And we are again this year.

3                   MS. ELDER: Laird's going to jump in  
4                   here.

5                   MR. DYER: From a market standpoint  
6                   storage development has nothing to do with  
7                   reliability. It's driven by volatility. It's a  
8                   valuation process. You develop storage because  
9                   you want to take advantage of volatility. Kind of  
10                  the short answer.

11                  And we'll see continued storage  
12                  development as long as there's perceived  
13                  volatility in the marketplace.

14                  And I wouldn't hang your hat too heavy  
15                  on LNG coming here in big volumes for too long.  
16                  Probably in the near term, given the economic  
17                  environment, this is a great dumping ground  
18                  because we have storage. It's a place to hide  
19                  it.            So, this summer should be, we should be  
20                  swamped with it.

21                  And there's some new facilities coming  
22                  on line, and the (inaudible) are quite busy up  
23                  there. But after 2010 there's nothing on the  
24                  drawing boards.

25                  And if the economies recover you'll see

1 that soaked up by the Asian economies, again. And  
2 they'll pay \$18, \$19 a mmBtu before like they  
3 have done in the past.

4 And today that they're even considering  
5 delivering it to the U.S. shores for \$3 and \$4  
6 tells you how dire it is out there. I love to  
7 throw that word in there.

8 So, LNG, the U.S. is going to be in near  
9 term -- two years ago we had a very warm winter.  
10 They dumped LNG. Here we got 3 bcf a day into the  
11 U.S. through the summer. The last two winters  
12 have been a lot colder. We're a half a bcf a day  
13 right now, injection, of importation of LNG into  
14 the United States. And it's been that way for a  
15 good year and a half.

16 We will see a ramp-up here, but I  
17 wouldn't hang your hat on it, that it's going to  
18 protect us from everything. The shales will  
19 actually do that in time.

20 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Yeah, I was  
21 going to say that this isn't a gas supply  
22 workshop, but it does seem to me that gas shale is  
23 pretty well move your doubt any discussions of  
24 bringing LNG into California from new facilities.

25 And the fact that there was reference by

1 Herb to LNG in California made me think that those  
2 folks in Costa Azul must be planning to send some  
3 of it into California. Whereas heretofore we've  
4 never quite known where that gas might go.

5 MS. ELDER: I do happen to know that  
6 staff is going to come back with a workshop on the  
7 supply --

8 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Oh, I --

9 MS. ELDER: -- and LNG -- Marshall, you  
10 were looking like you want to add something. Did  
11 I misread that?

12 MR. CLARK: Storage, our business model  
13 we don't use it, so that's not my pigeon.

14 MS. ELDER: So the folks that you're  
15 buying gas for, let me make sure I interpreted  
16 this correctly. Is what you're saying that the  
17 folks that you're buying gas for are really not  
18 using storage as part of their portfolio?

19 MR. CLARK: Correct.

20 MS. ELDER: Correct. Okay.  
21 Interesting. John, did you want to say something  
22 about storage and how it fits into PG&E's core  
23 portfolio?

24 MR. ARMATO: As I mentioned before, we  
25 wish we had more storage. I do agree with Laird



1 that, you know, volatility -- storage developers  
2 depend on volatility. That's really what drives  
3 the development of storage.

4 However, storage can dampen volatility  
5 to some extent. And, again, whereas SoCal seems  
6 to be flush with storage, our storage for the core  
7 is quite limited in northern California.

8 We have gone out and purchased some  
9 third-party storage beyond what just PG&E holds.  
10 So we have been able to do that just recently.

11 MS. ELDER: Herb talked about 138 bcf of  
12 storage. Is that just for the core or is that  
13 total?

14 MR. EMMRICH: That's total.

15 MS. ELDER: That's total. And the core  
16 share that was 98 --

17 MR. EMMRICH: 79.

18 MS. ELDER: 79, 79. For PG&E there's  
19 maybe, what, 36?

20 MR. ARMATO: No. For PG&E core it's  
21 about 32.

22 MS. ELDER: 32 for the core. Okay.

23 MR. ARMATO: Plus we have a lot less  
24 withdrawal capability during the winter.

25 MS. ELDER: Right, right. SoCal can

1 meet a huge portion of its demand with withdrawals  
2 from storage. And PG&E can't quite to that.

3 MR. ARMATO: That's correct.

4 MR. EMMRICH: Yeah, just to reiterate  
5 that we purchase flat basically 1.1 bcf a day  
6 every day of the year. In the wintertime we just  
7 withdraw the gas from storage. We don't increase  
8 purchases in the winter unless it being an  
9 extremely cold winter, then we would have to  
10 purchase more.

11 MS. ELDER: And so you're purchasing  
12 that 1.1 bcf a day. And so in a month when demand  
13 is lower than that, or days when demand is lower  
14 than that, the difference between demand and that  
15 1.1 is what you're injecting into storage.

16 MR. EMMRICH: That's right.

17 MR. WELCH: Well, I think there's an  
18 interesting distinction that's being drawn here,  
19 which is, you know, the market motivates people to  
20 take certain actions on a private level like  
21 storage developers are motivated by price  
22 volatility to take advantage of that. Because  
23 they see the commodity is basically something that  
24 is a profit center for them. They can make some  
25 money off that.

1                   For a utility, if they have access to  
2 more of that developed storage that's a benefit  
3 that the market provides them. But their real  
4 focus is not on whether it's expensive or  
5 inexpensive. Their real focus is on making sure  
6 that nobody runs out of gas in the middle of the  
7 winter.

8                   And the price effects are not trivial by  
9 any means, they're important. But they're  
10 certainly secondary. I think it's a corollary to  
11 something that Marshall was saying earlier. It's  
12 that you don't want to have to explain why you ran  
13 out of gas, you know. You'd much rather explain  
14 why gas is \$10.

15                   MR. FOX: And ideally neither of those  
16 situations.

17                   (Laughter.)

18                   MS. ELDER: Right, ideally neither of  
19 those situations arises.

20                   If we could imagine Lana's graph back up  
21 there. I don't have a magic wand to wave and make  
22 it go up there, but somebody else may, while I  
23 sort of stall here.

24                   One of the graphs that she had was the  
25 monthly index. Yeah, that one will do, close

1           enough.

2                     If you look at that graph and you think  
3           about a hedging program, I think one of the other  
4           pieces of analysis -- oh, I get a pointer. Now  
5           I'm really dangerous.

6                     One of the other points that she made  
7           was when you looked at what core customers were  
8           actually paying, it actually looked a lot like  
9           this graph. The ups and the downs, the way COGS  
10          tend to move with the index.

11                    And that's what we'd expect, given the  
12          way the benchmark, the incentive program is set  
13          up, which is telling me, just go out and buy  
14          monthly spot gas.

15                    The question is, and this might be a  
16          good closing question since it's 11:55. Unless  
17          the Commissioners have got more questions that  
18          they want to ask.

19                    But here's my goofy question: And that  
20          is if someone were to implement a, quote-unquote,  
21          effective hedging program, how would that graph  
22          look different. In other words, would it look  
23          different and what would it look like. Any  
24          thoughts?

25                    In other words, would we see kind of the

1 peaks and valleys kind of disappear a little bit?

2 MR. DYER: It would naturally take those  
3 out. You'd see the trend should still be -- if  
4 it's an upward trend, you should maintain the  
5 upward trend.

6 MS. ELDER: The upward trend. So it  
7 would --

8 MR. DYER: You're going to reflect the  
9 market.

10 MS. ELDER: It would sort of even it  
11 out?

12 MR. DYER: Well, you know, California  
13 adopted the interline principle that -- and I  
14 remember Dan Fessler saying this was market-to-  
15 market, you know, I'm going to get this price.  
16 You're going to get that price.

17 You will take the peaks and troughs out  
18 of the thing.

19 MR. FOX: And I think it's important to  
20 remember that when you hedge you're not trying to  
21 lower your cost. You're trying to decrease the  
22 variability, --

23 MS. ELDER: The volatility.

24 MR. FOX: -- the size of the  
25 distribution. Correct. And so we are not

1 looking, or anyone hedging is not looking to lower  
2 their average cost. The mean will stay the same  
3 with your different financial instruments. You're  
4 looking to decrease that distribution.

5 MS. ELDER: And that's --

6 MR. MEYERS: In fact, --

7 MS. ELDER: -- that Ray was  
8 talking --

9 MR. MEYERS: -- what I believe is going  
10 to happen under a hedging program is that you  
11 might have a slight dampening of those peaks and  
12 valleys, but the overall costs are going to be  
13 higher.

14 MS. ELDER: Because of the cost of  
15 implementing the hedging program, is that what  
16 you're getting at, Rich?

17 MR. MEYERS: Yeah.

18 MS. ELDER: So when you add that heading  
19 program onto your cost of gas, we've taken out the  
20 peaks and valleys, but your total cost will be  
21 higher because as Marshall pointed out, there  
22 ain't no free lunch. You got to pay for hedging  
23 program somewhere. You have to pay somebody else  
24 to take away that risk, right?

25 MR. MEYERS: And I think the PUC has

1 used the hedging program, at least currently, as  
2 more of an insurance program against unexpectedly  
3 high gas prices.

4 And so I think the expectation is that  
5 you'd put money into this to prevent an extremely  
6 high price blowout. But you're going to end up  
7 paying some money in order to do that.

8 So you're effectively adding onto your  
9 expected gas cost.

10 MS. ELDER: I see lots of heads nodding.  
11 Does anybody want to amplify on that?

12 MR. DYER: I would like to say, I always  
13 like to think that I have control. It's a human  
14 condition. So I think, yes, you're transferring  
15 risk, you have to pay to do that.

16 But there are lots of ways to do it out  
17 there, and I still think that, at least in  
18 everything I do for myself, personally, I try to  
19 mitigate my volatility.

20 I'm willing to pay some money for that.  
21 But I also think if I manage it right the cost to  
22 do that can be minimal.

23 And I also like the idea of thinking  
24 that I can win. You have to go in that way.

25 MS. ELDER: You have to go in that way,

1           okay. Any closing thoughts anybody wants to add.  
2           We're getting to 11:58. My job is to wrap this up  
3           by noon unless I'm otherwise instructed.

4                       PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Ms. Elder, let  
5           me interrupt for just one moment in the event --  
6           I've got two cards here from folks that are on the  
7           phone, and they have some questions. If they're  
8           still with us, they've been very patient.

9                       The order I received them -- is Wendy  
10          Al-Mukda on the phone?

11                      MS. AL-MUKDA: Hi, yes, I'm on the  
12          phone, but actually I don't. It was very  
13          interesting presentations, thanks.

14                      PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay, good.  
15          Any other -- thank you for joining us. The other  
16          one that I have is Mr. Ron Perry.

17                      THE OPERATOR: He has disconnected.

18                      PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Okay. Sorry  
19          for the interruption. Wanted to make sure that if  
20          there was anyone on the phone they had opportunity  
21          to comment.

22                      MS. ELDER: Good.

23                      ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: I'll make one  
24          observation. I was intrigued with the discussion  
25          about price transparency and letting the customers



1 make decisions predicated on getting a real price  
2 versus price averaging or any other approach  
3 that's taken short of just, you know, aid to the  
4 really poor people and support there.

5 And being a SMUD customer, and a  
6 lifetime Sacramentan, recently -- well, some time  
7 back you changed your billing and you started  
8 telling us not only, you know, what our use was  
9 for the billing period, but what our neighborhood  
10 is doing and what the best person in the  
11 neighborhood is doing.

12 (Laughter.)

13 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Did you get a  
14 smiley face on your bill?

15 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Yeah, and I know  
16 we're an atypical audience, but, you know, I guess  
17 Pavlov was right. That incited me to engage in  
18 competition more than I ever thought I would in  
19 terms of, by god, I'm going to knock that down. I  
20 should be below the average of the neighborhood,  
21 et cetera, et cetera. And I've succeeded, too.

22 But there's something interesting in  
23 that approach. And what influence will have on  
24 people. There are some people who will never pay  
25 any attention, but I'll bet you there's more

1 people who would. Lesson learned for me today.

2 MS. TAHERI: Thank you, Commissioner,  
3 for that comment. I certainly will pass that  
4 along.

5 As you've -- very much into customer  
6 engagement and we're ramping that up. And because  
7 we're looking at different ways in anticipation of  
8 when we have the AMI, you know. With all this  
9 information pushing out to our customer in terms  
10 of real-time pricing, how is that going to impact  
11 and influence their behavior in terms of when  
12 would be a good time maybe to do the laundry, or  
13 what-have-you.

14 But, competition. I mean I know, if I  
15 knew all my neighbors doing better than me,  
16 considering I'm supposed to be SMUD's risk  
17 manager, --

18 (Laughter.)

19 MS. TAHERI: -- certainly that's going  
20 to have more impact in terms of my personal usage  
21 patterns as compared to the bill. Thank you.

22 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: I have one other  
23 message for you to deliver to your management.  
24 Will you quit hiring so many of our employees.

25 MS. TAHERI: This is the second time

1 I've heard that today. I will certainly pass that  
2 on.

3 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Ah, very good.  
4 It hurts.

5 PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: Well, so maybe  
6 I'll take a moment to add, as well, this has been  
7 a very interesting discussion. I appreciate all  
8 of you and those that made presentations and  
9 stayed for this panel.

10 Of course, the debate will continue, I  
11 suspect. Mr. Welch indicated you can't beat the  
12 market; and Mr. Dyer indicating that you can and  
13 you should be trying, at least, to beat the market  
14 all the time.

15 And Mr. Emmrich and others indicating  
16 that everything's working fine. So, you know, why  
17 do we have to do anything about it.

18 The PUC, of course, will continue to  
19 take this up, and I'm glad they are taking it up.  
20 I'd like to also thank our colleague, Mr. Meyers,  
21 from the PUC to be able to join us by phone.

22 But there are some potential policy  
23 recommendations that we can make from this. And  
24 I'll be discussing them with Commissioner Boyd,  
25 those ideas.

1                   We are interested, of course, in trying  
2                   to improve the level of service to the customers,  
3                   as well as reducing their costs. The volatility  
4                   of the price of natural gas will continue to be a  
5                   concern. Certainly this last year was  
6                   extraordinary in what happened.

7                   So, we'll put our heads together,  
8                   Commissioner, and we'll do our best to predict the  
9                   future, as well, I suppose.

10                   I'm kidding, of course.

11                   ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Yeah, very good.  
12                   We're not very good at that.

13                   PRESIDING MEMBER BYRON: We're not very  
14                   good at that. Ms. Elder, why don't you close us  
15                   out here.

16                   MS. ELDER: Well, do any of the panel  
17                   have a last comment they'd like to make,  
18                   recognizing it's 12:03?

19                   (Laughter.)

20                   MS. ELDER: Herb would like to.

21                   MR. EMMRICH: Well, I think I've been  
22                   remiss, Commissioners, not thanking Lana Wong for  
23                   the excellent and comprehensive staff paper. We  
24                   had some contact, exchange of emails, and she did  
25                   an outstanding job. And I want to express my

1 appreciation on behalf of SoCalGas and San Diego  
2 Gas and Electric.

3 MS. ELDER: Yeah, rah, Lana. Anybody  
4 else?

5 MR. FOX: I mean again PG&E would echo  
6 that. We appreciate the ability to take part in  
7 these conversations. We care about our customers,  
8 their costs, the variability they see in pricing.  
9 And we're committed to working with customer  
10 groups and different Commissions to better that.

11 MS. ELDER: Pam.

12 MS. TAHERI: On behalf of SMUD we just  
13 want to appreciate that you guys are really great  
14 customers. The bill keeps getting paid, so we  
15 really appreciate that.

16 (Laughter.)

17 MS. ELDER: And with that I think we're  
18 done. Thanks to everyone for coming and for  
19 participating and tolerating my questions.

20 ASSOCIATE MEMBER BOYD: Thank you, all,  
21 very very much.

22 (Whereupon, at 12:08 p.m., the workshop  
23 was adjourned.)

24 --o0o--

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, PETER PETTY, an Electronic Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I recorded the foregoing California Energy Commission Joint Committee Workshop; that it was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said workshop, nor in any way interested in outcome of said workshop.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of March, 2009.



---

PETER PETTY