DOCKETED						
Docket Number:	21-DR-01					
Project Title:	Supply Side Demand Response					
TN #:	239995					
Document Title:	Presentation - Qualifying Capacity Methodology Hybrid Ideas from Demand Side Analytics					
Description:	N/A					
Filer:	Courtney Wagner					
Organization:	Demand Side Analytics					
Submitter Role:	Public					
Submission Date:	ate: 10/6/2021 4:26:08 PM					
Docketed Date:	10/6/2021					

# QUALIFYING CAPACITY METHODOLOGY HYBRID IDEAS







# HIGH LEVEL OBSERVATIONS – WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT FOUR DISTINCT, BUT RELATED TOPICS

1) How do we measure how DR performed? 2) How do we determine the DR capability under different conditions (hour of day, month, weekday/weekend, temperature, etc.?)

3) How do we account for the availability and use limited nature of DR in planning?

4) How to we improve the

process for Qualifying

Capacity?

- Load impact protocols (ex-post)
- Baseline methods
- Control groups
- Specific methodologies such as Recurve's

- Load impact protocols (ex-ante)
- Historical bids
- Forward bids (PJM model)
- E<sub>3</sub> ELCC (Delta Method)
  Modified LIP + E<sub>3</sub> ELCC (PG&E)

- Shorten timeframe
- Make it easier and cheaper
- Use open models
- Lower report writing requirements
- Lots of other ideas



HOW MUCH A RESOURCE CONTRIBUTES TO RELIABILITY DEPENDS ON ITS CHARACTERISTICS

KEY QUESTION	CONSTRAINT	DEFINITION			
Is the DER tied to a specific load shape?	Load profile	Structural shape of load reductions deliverable by a resource. For example, energy efficiency will deliver loads aligned with underlying consumption patterns (e.g., lighting or HVAC); solar PV will deliver loads varying by time of day, peaking in early afternoon; batteries of fuel based generation have no such limits.			
	Seasonal availability	Availability year round versus summer only.			
Is the resource flexible?	Availability window (start and end hours)	Hours of the day during which the resource is available. May be longer than the duration category. If duration category is shorter than the availability window, optimal window is used (e.g., the window with the most peak load).			
	Ramp speed	Length of time it takes for resource to achieve maximum load reduction.			
	Dispatch delay	Advance notice which must be given for resource to be dispatched.			
Are there specific operating constraints?	Dispatch duration	Maximum number of consecutive hours during which a resource is able to deliver load reduction. May be limited by technology constraints (battery discharge time) or program limits (demand response event window).			
	Max dispatch hours per year	Limit to total number of dispatchable hours in a year.			
	Max events per year	Limit to total number of dispatch events (days) in a year.			
	Max consecutive	Limit to total number of consecutive dispatch events (days) in a year.			
	Events per year	(Days) in a year.			

Source: Bode, Lemarchand and Schellenberg (2015). Addressing the Locational Valuation Challenge for Distributed Energy Resources. Available at: https://sepapower.org/resource/beyond-the-meter-addressing-the-locational-valuation-challenge-for-distributed-energy-resources/



## HYBRID #1: NET LOADS ELCC

#### **THE BASICS**

- ELCC is simply a calculation to factor in characteristics of resources and convert the MW output capability into values used for planning
- Planning is driven by net loads, since solar and wind are effectively intermittent base loads
- In the near term (1-2 years) the mix of resources is relatively well known.
- Battery storage and DR are assessed jointly because they both are availability and energy limited and target the high-value hours (they compete and complement each other)
- The model would be open source and rely on open data (Excel + Python)
- Requires clear definition of DR resources

## PROS

- Open data
- Can be an open sourced model housed on a common website accessible to all
- Easy to update DR resources
- Transparency on how DR is modeled from inputs to calculations to results

### CONS

- Does not work for modeling ELCC 10 years out
- Is a good approximation but may not exactly match
   E3 resource planning model (RECAP)



## HYBRID #1: CALCULATIONS STEP BY STEP





## HYBRID #2A: E3 MODELS + OPEN SOURCE MODEL FOR DR CALCULATIONS

#### **THE BASICS**

- Resource planning model produce estimates of expected unserved energy (EUE) for each hour of year (8760) and simulation run
- Only a small fraction of simulated days and hours have unserved energy
- The granular output of the planning models can be paired with a transparent, open-sourced model to quantify each resource's contribution to reliability
- CAISO would produce an estimate of the resource needs that are not met, absent existing DR and battery storage resources.
- The unserved energy outputs would be used as inputs into the open sourced model

#### PROS

- Can be an open sourced model housed on a common website accessible to all
- Easy to update DR resources
- Transparency on how DR is modeled and characterized

#### CONS

- Does not work for modeling ELCC 10 years out
- Is a good approximation since the magnitude of DR and battery resources does not change overnight but it may not exactly match E<sub>3</sub> resource planning model (RECAP)



### HYBRID #2A: NET LOADS ELCC STEP BY STEP





# HYBRID #2B: ALL WORK IS IN EXCEL MODEL AND DOES NOT REQUIRE AS DETAILED OF OUTPUTS FROM THE RESOURCE PLANNING MODEL





# HYBRID #2B: CALCULATION EXAMPLE

Start	End	Expected Unserved Energy (MWh) A	EUE % B	Reduction Capability (MW) C	Column C + 12:00 to 10:00 PM availability constraint (MW) D	Column D + 4 hour Max Duration Constraint (MW) E	Column E + Snapback or Post-event persistance (MW) F
0:00	1:00	0.0	0.0%	86.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
1:00	2:00	0.0	0.0%	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2:00	3:00	0.0	0.0%	74.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3:00	4:00	0.0	0.0%	61.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
4:00	5:00	0.0	0.0%	45.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
5:00	6:00	0.0	0.0%	36.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6:00	7:00	0.0	0.0%	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7:00	8:00	0.0	0.0%	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8:00	9:00	0.0	0.0%	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
9:00	10:00	0.0	0.0%	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
10:00	11:00	0.0	0.0%	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11:00	12:00	0.0	0.0%	52.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
12:00	13:00	0.0	0.0%	84.0	84.0	0.0	0.0
13:00	14:00	0.0	0.0%	127.2	127.2	0.0	0.0
14:00	15:00	0.0	0.0%	178.8	178.8	0.0	0.0
15:00	16:00	100.0	5.9%	228.0	228.0	0.0	0.0
16:00	17:00	150.0	8.8%	268.8	268.8	0.0	0.0
17:00	18:00	200.0	11.8%	300.0	300.0	300.0	300.0
18:00	19:00	300.0	17.6%	289.2	289.2	289.2	289.2
19:00	20:00	350.0	20.6%	266.4	266.4	266.4	266.4
20:00	21:00	300.0	17.6%	223.2	223.2	223.2	223.2
21:00	22:00	200.0	11.8%	157.2	157.2	0.0	-133.9
22:00	23:00	100.0	5.9%	98.4	0.0	0.0	-67.0
23:00	0:00	0.0	0.0%	98.4	0.0	0.0	-33.5
TOTAL		1700.0	100.0%	2827.4	2122.8	1078.8	844.4
MAX		350.0	20.6%	300.0	300.0	300.0	300.0
Spark Line	5	$ \_ \land$	$ \_ \land$	$\sim$	-		



160.9 <-- SUMPRODUCT(Column\_B,Column\_F)

ELCC

# **QUESTIONS?**



Name Title Demand Side Analytics <u>flast@demandsideanalytics.com</u> xxx.xxx.xxx

