

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	18-OIR-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Energy Data Collection - Phase 2
<b>TN #:</b>	239189
<b>Document Title:</b>	Steve Uhler Comments - Power Plant identification and traceability
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Steve Uhler
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Other Interested Person
<b>Submission Date:</b>	8/5/2021 8:04:07 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	8/5/2021

*Comment Received From: Steve Uhler  
Submitted On: 8/5/2021  
Docket Number: 18-OIR-01*

## **OIR-18-01 Power Plant identification and traceability**

OIR-18-01 Power Plant identification and traceability

How do commission regulations ensure Power Plant identification and traceability?

It should not take any prior knowledge of a power plant to determine if a power plant is or is not listed in the data system from which the commission issues power plant identification numbers.

All Documents referencing a power plant must use a single identification number for a power plant to ensure traceability.

Take this example of a petition for ownership change and power plant name change. See <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=238886>

Is the identification number of the power plant assigned by the Commission 93-AFC-02C? Is this identification listed in the QFER database?

Has the prior power plant name listed in the petition been provided to the commission pursuant to Title 20, Section 1304?

Has the new power plant name been provided to the commission pursuant to Title 20, Section 1304?

Has the power plant nameplate capacity been provided to the commission pursuant to Title 20, Section 1304?

Did the commission ensure traceability when approving the requests in the petition? See <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=239174>

I believe the answer is no for all of the above questions.

What is the burden when updating the current QFER database to make the changes requested in the petition?

Steve Uhler  
[sau@wwmpd.com](mailto:sau@wwmpd.com)