DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	21-BSTD-01
Project Title:	2022 Energy Code Update Rulemaking
TN #:	237722
Document Title:	Form 399 for the Proposed 2022 Energy Code
Description:	The Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement (Form 299) for proposed changes to the California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 1, Chapter 10 and Part 6 (2022 California Energy Code).
Filer:	Adrian Ownby
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	5/6/2021 12:22:25 PM
Docketed Date:	5/6/2021

Print Form	ĺ	



DocuSign Envelope ID: 4AB73A8D-27B1-458B-86BE-A42CD0BF3BD8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA – DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT NAME California Energy Commission	CONTACT PERSON Adrian Ownby	EMAIL ADDRESS adrian.ownby@energy.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-891-9074	
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		uananio (no) e chergy cargo	NOTICE FILE NUMBER	
Provisions to the California Energy Code Z				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and assumptior	is in the rulemaking record.		
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicat	e whether this regulation:			
$\overline{\times}$ a. Impacts business and/or employees	🔀 e. Imposes reporting requi	rements		
$\overleftarrow{\times}$ b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive inst	ead of performance		
$\overleftarrow{\times}$ c. Impacts jobs or occupations	$\overleftarrow{\times}$ g. Impacts individuals			
🗙 d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the above (Expl	ain below):		
	a through g is checked, complete this s checked, complete the Fiscal Impa			
California Energy Commissio	on .		6 • • • • • •	
2. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic imp	pact of this regulation (which includes the	e fiscal impact) is:	
Below \$10 million				
Between \$10 and \$25 million				
Between \$25 and \$50 million				
	s over \$50 million, agencies are required to su ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	ıbmit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>	<u>ssessment</u>	
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	21,500 - 43,000			
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Building construction, ener	gy e ciency, and building owne	ers; see narrative.	
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	74%			
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ated: 0 eliminated	0		
Explain: See narrative attachment.				
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: $\overline{ X }$] Statewide			
	Local or regional (List areas):			
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 781	and eliminated: 6,868			
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted: <u>construction-related jobs and occupations; companies that provide energy</u>				
e cient products and services will benefit from increased demand for those products and services.				
 7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? 				
If YES, explain briefly: See narrative att	achment. While there are initial u	ip-front costs imposed by the E	nergy Code (see	
B1 and B4 below), there are long-term savings that repay those costs by a significantly positive ratio. Past changes to the				
Energy Code continue to generate b	penefits even as the latest version	of the Energy Code increases in	nitial costs.	

Print Form DocuSign Envelope ID: 4AB73A8D-27B1-458B-86BE-A42CD0BF3BD	Reset Form	Instructions and Code Citations:
STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE		<u>SAM Section 6601-6616</u>
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)		
STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019) ECONOMIC IMPA	ACT STATEMENT (CO	NTINUED)
B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in th	e rulemaking record.	,
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and indiv	iduals may incur to comply with th	is regulation over its lifetime? \$ 2,512,082,316
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$ 553 - 66,847	Annual ongoing costs: \$ 383	
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 553 - 66,847		- 48,992 Years: 10
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0 - 16		2,070 Years: 10
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Increased of	costs will result in improved	d building envelopes (walls, roofs, windows),
HVAC equipment and testing, improved lighting a	nd water heating technolog	gy requirements.
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for	or each industry: Nonresidentia	al Construction (12%), Residential
Construction (88%)		
 If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annua Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting 		
4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? X YES	NO	
If YES, enter th	e annual dollar cost per housing u	nit: \$ <u>0 - 2,070</u>
	Number of ur	nits: 171,011
5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?	X NO	
Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence	e of Federal regulations: Federa	I regulations do not apply to state, local and
private sector construction in California		
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that ma	y be due to State - Federal differen	ces: \$
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits	s is not specifically required by rule	making law, but encouraged.
1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may includ health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the St	e among others, the dividuals a	nd business will benefit from the
reduction in energy costs. Businesses that provide	energy e ciency products	and services may experience an increase
in business. All state and local government agencie	es and their tenants will be	nefit.
2. Are the benefits the result of: \times specific statutory requirements,	or goals developed by the ag	gency based on broad statutory authority?
Explain: The Energy Commission has authority grante	ed by statute to adopt the E	Energy Code.
3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its l	ifetime? \$ 8,778,117,537	
4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing busin	ess within the State of California th	nat would result from this regulation: California
businesses producing energy e ciency products/to	echnologies that meet or e	xceed the Code will likely expand their
sales of those products/technologies due to the in	plementation of the prope	osed Code.
D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations an specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	nd assumptions in the rulemaking	record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alterna	tives were considered, explain why	not: At this time the Commission is not
aware of alternatives to the proposed regulations	that would be equally e ec	tive and have a lower adverse impact on

economic interests. More costly alternatives were considered and rejected.

DocuSign Envelope	D: 4AB73A8D-27B1-458E	Print Form -86BE-A42CD0BF3BD8	Reset Form	Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616
ECONOMIC A	ND FISCAL IMPAC S AND ORDERS)			5
	EC	ONOMIC IMPACT S	TATEMENT (C	ONTINUED)
2. Summarize the	total statewide costs and be	nefits from this regulation and e	each alternative conside	red:
Regulation:	Benefit: \$ 8,778,117,	537 Cost: \$ 2,512,082,3	16	
Alternative 1:	Benefit: \$ 8,262,470,	964 Cost: \$ 2,428,908,3	337	
Alternative 2:	Benefit: \$ 8,012,627,	627 Cost: \$ 2,136,649,0	021	
	ny quantification issues that osts and benefits for this re	are relevant to a comparison gulation or alternatives:	The Energy Code is	fundamentally performance based (with the
exception	of limited mandatory	provisions). See narrative	for further explar	ation.
regulation man	dates the use of specific te	der performance standards as chnologies or equipment, or p standards considered to lower	rescribes specific	YES NO
Explain: Flexi	ible performance path	nways are a fundamental	part of the propo	sed Energy Code.
E. MAJOR REGU	LATIONS Include calculati	ons and assumptions in the ru	emaking record.	
		ntal Protection Agency (Ca lowing (per Health and Saf	,	es and departments are required to 05). Otherwise, skip to E4.
1. Will the estimat	ed costs of this regulation to	California business enterprises	exceed \$10 million?	YES NO
			plete E2. and E3 , skip to E4	
2. Briefly describe	each alternative, or combin	ation of alternatives, for which a	· •	ysis was performed:
Alternative 1:				
Alternative 2:				
(Attach addition	al pages for other alternative	s)		
3. For the regulati	ion, and each alternative jus	t described, enter the estimated	l total cost and overall c	ost-effectiveness ratio:
-	-	Cost-effectiv		
		Cost-effectiv		
Alternative 2: T	otal Cost \$	Cost-effectiv	veness ratio: \$	
exceeding \$50		iod between the date the major		es and individuals located in or doing business in California to be filed with the Secretary of State through12 months
	\times NO			
		<u>dardized Regulatory Impact Asse</u> Include the SRIA in the Initial State		1 in
5. Briefly describe	-			
The increase or	decrease of investment in t	he State:		
The incentive fo	or innovation in products, m	aterials or processes:		
The benefits of	the regulations, including, k	out not limited to, benefits to th	e health, safety, and we	fare of California
				identified by the agency:

	Print Form	
1-458B-86E	BE-A42CD0BF3BD8	



Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616

DocuSign Envelope ID: 4AB73A8D-27B1-458B-86BE-A42CD0BF3BD STATE OF CALIFORNIA – DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

_

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	CAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate ent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	e appropriate boxe	s 1 through 6 and attacl	n calculations and assumpt	ions of fiscal impact for the
1.	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal ((Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ)				
\$					
] a. Funding provided in				
	Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes	of	
] b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bu	udget Act of			
		Fiscal Year:			
	Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Californ				
\$					
Cl	heck reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and p		iate information:		
] a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in				
] b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the	2			Court.
	Case of:			/S	
] c. Implements a mandate of the people of this St	ate expressed in th	eir approval of Propositi	on No.	
	Date of Election:				
	d. Issued only in response to a specific request fro	om affected local e	ntity(s).		
	Local entity(s) affected:				
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, et	c. from:			
	Authorized by Section:		of the		Code;
] f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of loo	cal government wh	nich will, at a minimum, c	offset any additional costs to	o each;
] g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for	a new crime or infi	raction contained in		
3.	Annual Savings. (approximate)				
\$					
4.	No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes	only technical, non	-substantive or clarifying	changes to current law regu	lations.
5.	No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affec	t any local entity or	program.		
∑ 6.	Other. Explain See narrative attachment for	or explanation.	,		

	Print Form	
-86E	E-A42CD0BF3BD8	



Instructions and Code Citations: SAM Section 6601-6616

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT S	STATEMENT
STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE	
DocuSign Envelope ID: 4AB73A8D-27B1-458B-86E	BE-A42CD0BF3BD
	Print Form

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACTS
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)
STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain See narrative attachment for explanation.	
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
X 4. Other. Explain The proposed regulations impact state-financed buildings but are not expe	ected to directly impact federal
funding of state programs except in limited circumstances.	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATUBE	DATE
	March
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sector the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
😂 Bryan Cash	3/26/2021
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE