

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	20-TRAN-02
Project Title:	SB 1000 Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Deployment Assessment
TN #:	236890
Document Title:	PG&E Comments on SB 1000 Staff Report – California Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Deployment Assessment
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	PG&E
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	2/24/2021 4:06:08 PM
Docketed Date:	2/24/2021

*Comment Received From: PG&E
Submitted On: 2/24/2021
Docket Number: 20-TRAN-02*

PG&E Comments on SB 1000 Staff Report “ California Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Deployment Assessment

Additional submitted attachment is included below.



Licha Lopez
CEC Liaison
State Agency Relations

1415 L Street, Suite 280
Sacramento, CA 95814
(202)903 4533
Elizabeth.LopezGonzalez@pge.com

February 24, 2020

California Energy Commission

Fuels and Transportation Division, Advanced Vehicle Infrastructure Office
Docket Number 20-TRAN-02
1516 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Pacific Gas and Electric Company Comments on the Staff Report – California Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Deployment Assessment: Senate Bill (SB) 1000 – (Docket Number 20-TRAN-02)

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback on the California Energy Commission's (CEC) Staff Report--California electric vehicle (EV) infrastructure deployment assessment: Senate Bill (SB) 1000, released on December 30, 2021.

PG&E supports the statewide efforts to electrify the transportation sector and the goal of increasing access to electric vehicle infrastructure for all Californians, including low-income households.

PG&E agrees with the data the CEC presented on charger deployment and strongly supports a focus on equity as charger deployment ramps up to meet California's goals.

PG&E also wants to highlight that there are several factors that impact the deployment of Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSEs) across geographies and income levels. These factors could include availability of eligible sites in densely populated regions, impacts to costs based on location and project complexity, and the need for EVSEs based on commute patterns and plug-in electric vehicles (PEV) propensity.

PG&E recommends that the CEC incorporate these considerations in developing a strategic approach to charger deployment that is equitable, cost-effective, and results in high utilization of PEVs.

PG&E appreciates the time and effort that the CEC took to prepare this SB 1000 assessment, and the opportunity to comment on this. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Licha Lopez