

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	19-SPPE-04
<b>Project Title:</b>	SJ2
<b>TN #:</b>	236342
<b>Document Title:</b>	Staff Status Report #10
<b>Description:</b>	For San Jose City Data Center
<b>Filer:</b>	Lisa Worrall
<b>Organization:</b>	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Commission Staff
<b>Submission Date:</b>	1/15/2021 1:56:08 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	1/15/2021

Memorandum

**To:** Commissioner Karen Douglas, Presiding Member  
Commissioner Patty Monahan, Associate Member

**Date:** January 15, 2020

**From:** California Energy Commission  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Lisa Worrall  
Senior Environmental Planner  
(916) 661-8367

**Subject: STATUS REPORT #10 FOR THE SAN JOSE CITY DATA CENTER SMALL POWER PLANT EXEMPTION (19-SPPE-04)**

In its May 12, 2020 order (Committee Scheduling Order), the Committee ordered all parties to file and serve a Status Report on the 15th of each month, beginning with May 15, 2020.

Since staff filed Status Report #9 on December 15, 2020, staff has continued to work on the Environmental Impact Report and the Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report. Staff anticipates sending out the notice in late January/early February. Staff will also be hosting a public scoping meeting and will notify responsible and trustee agencies, and nearby property owners.

Staff anticipates receiving a City of San Jose Public Works-approved Transportation Analysis report including a detailed evaluation of the project's potential impacts related to vehicle miles traveled, a Transportation Demand Management Plan, and a Local Transportation Analysis from the applicant (associated with Staff's Data Request Set #4) at the end of May 2021. Staff is also awaiting complete responses to Data Requests 60-63 from Staff's Data Requests Set #5. Staff has reviewed the incomplete responses to the data requests in Set 5 and assessed whether these responses are still necessary. Data Request 61 c is still necessary as is number 63. Staff has revised Data Request 63 to be more specific to what staff needs. The following is the revised Data Request 63 with ~~strikethrough~~ text for deleted text and underline text for the revised text:

~~63. How would local and regional PSPS events be implemented on the 115 kV system compared to PSPS events on the 230 kV system (in other words, would a customer who is extremely concerned about reliability prefer one system over another)?~~ Please provide the following regarding Public Safety Power Shutoff events:

a. Would historical Public Safety Power Shutoff events have resulted in the emergency operations at the proposed San Jose City Data Center?

b. Have there been changes to the PG&E system around the San Jose City Data Center that would affect the likelihood that future Public Safety Power Shutoff events would result in the operation of the project's emergency generators?

On December 21, 2020, the California Energy Commission Executive Director received a letter from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District stating that for large diesel engines at least or greater than 1,000 brake horsepower, Tier 4 engines are best available control technology (BACT). The project proposes Tier 4 engines so is not affected by the change in BACT.