

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	16-RPS-03
<b>Project Title:</b>	Amendments to Regulations Specifying Enforcement Procedures for the Renewables Portfolio Standard for Local Publicly Owned Electric Utilities
<b>TN #:</b>	236100
<b>Document Title:</b>	Steve Uhler Comments - RPS-16-03 Law controlling the definition of product for RPS compliance
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Steve Uhler
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Other Interested Person
<b>Submission Date:</b>	12/22/2020 7:21:18 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	12/23/2020

*Comment Received From: Steve Uhler*  
*Submitted On: 12/22/2020*  
*Docket Number: 16-RPS-03*

## **RPS-16-03 Law controlling the definition of product for RPS compliance**

RPS-16-03 Law controlling the definition of product for RPS compliance.

RPS statutes do not expressly define electricity as a product.

RPS statutes do require electricity products to be counted for RPS compliance.

Please make clear what case Ms. Katharine Larson made claim was not controlling for RPS compliance during agenda item 4 at the December 22, 2020 CEC Business meeting.

I referenced two cases, Fong v. Pacific Gas & Electric Company (1988) and Pierce v. Pacific Gas & Electric Company (1985) in my docketed inquiries. Perhaps the other case she did not speak of is controlling for the purpose of RPS?

Ms. Katharine Larson does not appear to be a attorney. Please provide her Bar Number and Name and number of her supervising attorney, if any.

Steve Uhler  
sau@wwmpd.com