DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	19-ERDD-01
Project Title:	Research Idea Exchange
TN #:	234894
Document Title:	Brian Kolodji, PE Comments - 19-ERDD-01 and Advanced Combustion – Request for Information
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Brian Kolodji, PE
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	9/24/2020 11:45:42 AM
Docketed Date:	9/24/2020

Comment Received From: Brian Kolodji, PE Submitted On: 9/24/2020 Docket Number: 19-ERDD-01

19-ERDD-01 and Advanced Combustion – Request for Information

Additional submitted attachment is included below.



CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dr. Gabelman,

You have published some very interesting articles titled "Absorption Basics Part 1... and Part 2" Published in the July and August 2017 issues of the Chemical Engineering Progress Magazine, respectively, This email is specifically in regards to oxygen production methods using PSA and TSA absorption. I was hoping you might comment on the "Request for information" by the California Energy Commission, specifically on power requirements and capital costs (see the request info below this email). The request is very short notice, with the information due by 5PM California time tomorrow, but I figured if anybody could give a quick answer, it would be you.

As you may not know, I am also a member of AIChE and a chemical engineer. Also, I am California business owner of Kolodji Corp and Black Swan, LLC. I have patented and patent pending devices, methods, and processes, including membrane designs and processes for oxygen enrichment and oxycombustion. I have designed oxy-combustion systems for several companies, including Shell Oil and Lyondell Corp, specifically to increase refinery sulfur plant capacity by 300% using high grade (95%+ pure) cryogenically produced oxygen. As for membranes, I have worked for the largest gas separation membrane supplier (UOP/Honeywell) and then for the largest membranes user in off-shore oil and gas production (MODEC). My Black Swan, LLC oxygen producing technology from air has been prototyped with Generon, a Dow Chemical Legacy Company with membrane manufacturing in california, and piloted with membranes fabricated and supplied by Generon. The fifth Black Swan, LLC technology pilot plant is planned for later this year, with a funded demonstration plant, and with plans for 2021 demonstration jundustrial scale plants at a refinery cogen unit (5 MV), two biogas power other industrial scale plants bair of the targetions (µ to 50MMBTU/Hr.). The membranes are used for separating oxygen and more other industrial scale plants at a the feed air, that saves up 50% in natural gas fring rates, reduces GHG emissions by as much, with only 15% of the savings used on parasilic, but green, energy use. An article on oxy-combustion article is attached.

Thought you at Gableman Process Systems might want to weigh in on this recently received email (below) regarding the request for information (again, due tomorrow, Thursday, September 24, 2020 by SPM California Time) from the California Energy Commission on oxygen production using absorption processes such as PSA and TSA, and Oxy-combustion technology- TSA and PSA technologies are specifically mentioned. Please let me know.

Regards, Brian Kolodii, PE

Kolodji Corp/Black Swan, LLC

Energy Carbon Management Cell: (713) 907-8742

5612 Segovia Way, Bakersfield, CA 93306

2019/2020 Chair Carbon Mgt and Sustainability,

AIChE National Meetings

"...Peace be with you ... "

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: California Energy Commission <<u>listenergia@listserver.energy.ca.gov</u>> Sent: Friday, September 4, 2020 4:26 PM To: <u>NATURALGASQUISTSERVER ENERGY.CA.GOV</u> Subject: [EXTERNAL] NATURALGAS-LIST: Advanced Combustion Technologies - Request for Information

*** EXTERNAL EMAIL - Be cautious of attachments, web links, and requests for information ***

California Energy Commission Newsletter Logo



September 04, 2020

The California Energy Commission (CEC) is gathering information to inform a solicitation for a future solicitation on oxygen-enriched combustion and would appreciate your responses to the following:

1. The following will help us target our specific research:

- a. What are major barriers (technical, economical, and other) for wide adoption of oxygen-enriched combustion? b. What are examples of research that could eliminate barriers to wide adoption of
- oxygen-enriched combustion? C. What are examples of current or past projects involving oxygen-enriched combustion?
- What are important lessons learned from these projects? d. What California industries could benefit most from oxygen-enriched combustion?
- e. What are technical challenges that could result from higher oxygen content and higher combustion temperature (e.g., increased NOx emissions; accelerated degradation of materials in burners, furnaces, kilns)?
- f. Provide examples of existing projects using centralized oxygen generation, distribution via pipeline networks or other approaches that could benefit from R&D.
- 2. The following will help us establish performance metrics and technology status in California:
 - a. Besides cryogenic separation, pressure/temperature swing absorption, ion transport membranes, are there any other promising technologies that should be considered? b. For the technologies listed in item 2a:
 - What is the estimated energy requirement to produce oxygen at the following capacities: 1 metric ton of oxygen per day, 25 metric tons per day, 100 metric
 - What is the estimated capital and operational costs for 1 metric ton per day of oxygen production capacity?
 - C. Identify California research teams working on oxygen-enriched combustion. Identify California companies who develop and sell equipment for oxygen production and oxygen-enriched combustion.
- Written comments must be submitted to the Docket Unit by 5:00 p.m. September 24, 2020

Written comments, attachments, and associated contact information (e.g., address, phone number, email address) become part of the viewable public record. This information may also become available via any internet search engine.

The CEC encourages use of its electronic commenting system. Please submit your comments to the Docket Unit at https://elling.energy.ca.gov/Ecomment/Ecomment.asp://docketnumber=19-ERDD- 01. Select or enter a proceeding to be taken to the 'Add Comment' page. Enter your contact information and a comment title describing the subject of your comment(s). Comments may be included in the 'Comment Text' box or attacted in a downloadbale, searchable Microsoft® Word (.doc, .docx) or Adobe® Acrobat® (.pdf) file. Maximum file size is 10 MB.	
Written comments may also be submitted by email. Include docket number 19-ERDD-01 and *Advanced Combustion – Request for Information* in the subject line and send todocket@energy.ca.gov.	
For more information: https://www.energy.ca.gov/publications/displayOneReport_cms.php?pubNum=CEC-500-2019-035 (If link above doesn't work, please copy entire link into your web browser's URL)	
DO NOT REPLY DIRECTLY TO THIS EMAIL Email us your questions or comments.	
Copyright B 2020 California Energy Commission, All Rights Reserved 1516 Minih Street State of California, Gavin Newsom, Governor Sacramento, Ca 85814	
Privacy Policy Unsubscribe From This List Update Your List Server Preferences	
Energy Commission's Mailing Lists / List Servers	



2nd Oxyfuel Combustion Conference

Reduction of Fuel Consumption and Emissions of a Gas Turbine by Using of Oxygen-Enriched Combustion

Cristiano Frandalozo Maidana, Adriano Carotenuto, Paulo Smith Schneider

Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

Keywords: Oxygen-Enhanced Combustion, fuel consumption, emission reduction, gas turbine design

1. Abstract

The majority of combustion processes uses air as oxidant, roughly taken as 21% O₂ and 79% N₂, by volume. In many cases, these processes can be enhanced by using an oxidant that contains a proportion of O₂ a little bigger than in regular air. This is known as oxygen-enhanced combustion or OEC, and can bring important benefits like higher thermal efficiencies, lower exhaust gas volumes, higher heat transfer efficiency, reduction fuel consumption, reduced retrofit costs and substantially pollutant emissions reduction. Within this scenario, this paper aims to investigate the behavior of a gas turbine power plant fed by a oxidant stream ranging from 21 to 30% oxygen concentration, at steady state operation and with a net power output of 30MW. Simulations show that the retrofit with OEC reduces both fuel consumption on about 25% and flue gas formation of up to 30%. However, it was necessary a supply of 0.20 kmol/s of pure oxygen to sustain the process.

2. Literature Review

Oxygen enhanced combustion (OEC) technology is one of the useful energy-saving technologies for combustion systems. Although nitrogen in the air is an inert gas it actually reacts at high temperatures and also carries away a significant part of the energy of the reaction, lowering the fuel availability. In contrast, OEC combustion can overcome this disadvantage due to the lower nitrogen concentration involved.

According to Bisio et al., 2002, the barrier to couple oxygen to power cycles is the high cost of oxygen production on cryogenic plants, but the use of membranes technology to obtain an enriched stream with 30-45% oxygen may offset the costs of oxygen implementation with the fuel saving obtained.

Wu et al., 2010, studied the influence of oxygen concentration ranging from 21 to 30% in natural gas combustion (in the heating and furnace-temperature fixing tests). They noticed a gain on fuel consumption of 26.1% operating at 30% O_2 , compared to regular atmospheric concentrations (21% O_2), with furnace temperature of 1220°C.

3. Method

In order to access the behavior of a gas turbine for power generation running on EOC with oxygen concentration ranging from atmospheric contents to up to 30%, a thermodynamic model was proposed, as depicted at Figure 1. The gas turbine cycle presented is assembled by a compressor, an expansion turbine, and a combustion system. This last one is composed by a combustion chamber and auxiliary devices, as an air splitter, a gas mixer, and an oxygen injector.



Figure 1: Gas turbine schematics for Oxygen-Enriched Combustion (OEC)

Simulations were performed considering the adiabatic combustion of natural gas (methane) at a temperature of 2000K, with a prescribed flue gas temperature of 1100°C at the turbine inlet. Atmospheric air was taken as 79% N_2 and 21% O_2 . The most relevant quantities calculated by the simulation model are the reactant molar flow rates (fuel and oxygen), the stoichiometric ratio in the chamber combustion and molar flow rate of flue gas.

Flue gases stream was taken as: N_2 , O_2 , CO_2 , H_2O , OH, H_2 , NO, NO_2 , CO, O, H, N. Their molar concentrations were validated by the CEA-NASA software (Chemical Equilibrium with Applications), developed by Gordon et al. in the Glenn Research Center of NASA.

The complete set of equations was solved with the Engineering Equation Solver (EES), an algebraic non-linear solver with an integrated library of thermodynamic property of species

The parameters of the simulation are listed in Tab. 1 for all the proposed cases, segregated by equipment. The net power output for all the simulations was 30 MW.

Air Compressor	Splitter
$T_1 = 298.15 \text{ K} (25 \text{ °C}), p_1 = 1,013 \text{ bars} (1 \text{ atm})$	Air molar analysis: 21% O_2 and 79% N_2 in streams 3 and
Air molar analysis: 21% O_2 and 79% N_2	7, $p_2 = 18.23$ bars
Pressure ratio: $p_2/p_1 = 18$, $\eta_c = 0.65$	
Oxygen injector	Combustion Chamber
100% O_2 in stream 4, $T_4 = T_1$	$p_6 = 10.13$ bars, $T_{10} = 298.15$ K, $T_6 = 2000$ K
Mixer	Turbine
$T_8 = 1373.15 \text{ K} (1100 ^\circ\text{C})$	$\eta_t = 0.86$

Table 1. Simulation parameters

The variables of the system are presented in Table 2:

Table 2. Simulation variables

Air Compressor	Splitter
$T_2, T_{2,s}, \overline{h}_2, \overline{h}_{2,s}, \dot{n}_1, \dot{W}_c$	\dot{n}_3, \dot{n}_7
Oxygen injector	Combustion Chamber
\dot{n}_4 , \dot{n}_5	$\varphi, \dot{n}_{6}, \dot{n}_{10}$
Mixer	Turbine
<i>n</i> ₈ , <i>y</i>	$T_9, T_{9,s}, \overline{h}_9, \overline{h}_{9,s}, W_t, \dot{h}_9$

4. Results and Discussions

The Fig. 2 shows the reduction of fuel (methane) with increasing oxygen concentration of the oxidant input stream of combustion chamber. This enhanced oxygen combustion leads to a net reduction of nitrogen flow rate:



Figure 2. Fuel consumption (left) and nitrogen molar flow rate in stream 5 (right) as a function of molar fraction of oxygen in the oxidizer, for an adiabatic combustion of methane and $T_6 = 2000$ K

As a result of the reduction of the air flow rate intake at point 3 (and thus, the nitrogen concentration), an addition of pure oxygen flow rate is needed in order to keep the combustion process at stoichiometric condition. In contrast, a reduction of gas flue gas is achieved. These characteristics are show in Figure. 3:



Figure 3. Molar flow rate of oxygen delivered by the injector (left) and molar flow rate of exhaust gas leaving the combustion chamber (right) vs molar fraction of oxygen at the entrance of the combustion chamber for an adiabatic combustion of methane and $T_6 = 2000$ K

Figure 4 displays the reduction in power cycle emissions for two major pollutants (CO_2 and NO) with respect to the oxygen concentration in the oxidizer stream. Results have a maximum deviation of 3% compared to those obtained with the software CEA-NASA:



Figure 4. Reduction in the molar flow rate of NO (left) CO_2 (right) emitted by the gas turbine vs the molar fraction of oxygen in the oxidizer for an adiabatic combustion of methane and $T_6 = 2000$ K

5. Conclusion

In this work, a gas turbine cycle was modeled and simulated with a special attention to the description of the combustion process within the combustion chamber and its auxiliary devices, needed to represent a more realistic enhanced oxygen combustion (OEC) process. This paper aims to be a proof of concept of the OEC applied to gas turbines. As a preliminary approach, the temperature at the combustion chamber was left free to reach higher levels, compared to combustion with air. Main emission products were limited to N_2 , O_2 , CO_2 , H_2O , OH, H_2 , NO, NO_2 , CO, O, H, N, modeled by chemical equilibrium

Results showed a reduction of up to 24.8% on fuel consumption on OEC compared to the standard case, i.e., oxidizer at atmospheric composition. Moreover, it was also possible to achieve a significant reduction in the formation of major pollutants. Emissions displayed a maximum decrease of 3.75% for NO, 24.7% for CO₂ and 47.9% for CO. However, there was a need for pure oxygen supply (stream 4) that achieved 6.67×10^{-6} kmol per kJ of electrical output when operating at 30% concentration. To overcome this penalty, this oxygen flow could be supplied by a low-cost technologies, such as membranes, PSA (pressure swing adsorption), TSA (thermal swing adsorption), among others.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Brazilian Research Council CNPq due to the financial support by means of a Masters Degree scholarship, a Doctor Degree scholarship and a research grant, respectively, as well as to the CNPq Mineral Coal Research Net and the international cooperation CAPES/PROBAL/Process/ n°348-10.

7. References

Baukal, C.E., 1998. "Oxygen Enhanced Combustion". Ed. CRC Press LLC, Florida, USA, 356 p.

- Bejan, A., Tsatsaronis, G., Moran, M., 1996. "Thermal Design and Optimization". Ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., USA, 542 p.
- Bisio, G., Bosio, A., Rubatto, G., 2002. "Thermodynamics applied to oxygen enrichment of combustion air". Energy Conversion and Management 43, 2589-2600.
- Gordon, S., McBride B., J., 1994. "CEA: Computer Program for Calculation of Complex Chemical Equilibrium Compositions and Applications". NASA Reference Publication 1311, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, 61 p.
- Horbaniuc, B., Marin, O., Dumitrascu, G., Charon, O., 2001. "The influence of the compression interstage cooling by adiabatic humidification of the steam injection and of the oxygen enriched combustion upon the gas turbine co-generation systems". 2nd Heat Powered Cycles Conference Conservatoire national des arts et métiers, Paris.
- Turns, S.R., 2000. "An Introduction to Combustion: Concepts and Applications". Ed. McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 700p.

Wu, K., Chang, Y., Chen, C., Chen, Y., 2010. "High-efficiency combustion of natural gas with 21-30% oxygenenriched air". Fuel (article in press).