DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	19-AAER-02
Project Title:	Replacement Pool Pump Motors
TN #:	234869
Document Title:	Standard Form 399
Description:	Economic and Fiscal Impact Statement Form 399
Filer:	Corrine Fishman
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	9/22/2020 1:29:47 PM
Docketed Date:	9/22/2020

DocuSign Envelope ID: 55B9ED97-71D9-4FE8-81DD-7A7D8232141E

Reset Form

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

	ECONOMIC IMPA	CISTATEMENT	
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON  Corrine Fishman	EMAIL ADDRESS corrine.fishman@energy.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-654-4976
California Energy Commission DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	Comme risminan	conne.nsnman@energy.ca.gov	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Appliance Exciency Regulations for Repl	acement Dedicated-Purpo	ose Pool Pump Motors (RDPPPM)	Z
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPA	CTS Include calculations and a	issumptions in the rulemaking record.	
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	🗙 e. Imposes repor	rting requirements	
★ b. Impacts small businesses	X f. Imposes presc	riptive instead of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts indivi	iduals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness	h. None of the al	bove (Explain below):	
•		nplete this Economic Impact Statement. cal Impact Statement as appropriate.	
• ×	~		
2. The California Energy Commission (Agency/Department)	estimates that the eco	onomic impact of this regulation (which includes the	e fiscal impact) is:
Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million  Between \$25 and \$50 million			
<u>=</u>	is over \$50 million, agencies are re	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact A</u>	ssessment
	ent Code Section 11346.3(c)]	gained to saorint a <u>standardized negatatory impact ri</u>	33C33THCTN
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted	: 600		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonp	rofits): Retailers/Distributo	ors of pool pumps/motors; electric utiliti	es (see attachment)
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	60		
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be cre	ated: 0	eliminated: 0	
Explain: The economic impact will no	ot lead to the creation or	elimination of businesses (see attachme	ent)
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	7 Statewide		
5. Marcate the geographic extent of impacts.	-		
L			- in the second
6. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	and eliminated: 0		
Describe the types of jobs or occupations impa	acted: May indirectly crea	te jobs by increasing the disposable inc	ome of California
consumers and businesses due to s	avings from lower utility	bills. It is unlikely to eliminate jobs in Ca	lifornia.
- Marilla I. J. 100 - 10	1. 1		
<ol><li>Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce</li></ol>		YES NO	
If YES, explain briefly:			
····			
			110-111

Reset Form

### DocuSign Envelope ID: 55B9ED97-71D9-4FE8-81DD-7A7D8232141E STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

В.	<b>ESTIMATED COSTS</b> Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.	
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its	lifetime? \$ 112 million
		Years: 2020 - 2029
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$ 389 - \$424 per motor Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0	Years: 2020 - 2029
		Years: 2020 - 2029
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Manufacturers will incur testing costs of approximate	ely \$2000 per model. There
	are approximately 200 models subject to regulations. Costs are passed on to individuals and	business (see attachment)
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: Utility and electricity generate	ors are indirectly impacted
	through reduced sales of electricity, but reduction is small compared to overall electricity sale	es.
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with the Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be a programming.	
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  YES  NO	
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$	
	Number of units:	
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? X YES NO	1
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: In January 2017, DOE	established energy
	e⊠ciency standards for dedicated-purpose pool pump motors (DPPP) e⊠ective July 19, 2021,	but not for RDPPPM.
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ None.	_
c.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but en	couraged.
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: oposed regulation benefits.	ts residents and husinesses
	with pools through savings of lower utility bills. It will save approximately \$82 million annua	
		ily to businesses
2271	and individuals after full stock turnover of replacement pool pump motors.	
2.	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on bro	ad statutory authority?
	Explain: Public Resources Code Sections 25213, 25218(e) and 25402(a)-(c),(f).	
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 564 million	
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from	n this regulation: None.
	Shipments and sales of replacement motors are not expected to change significantly. The ed	conomic impact is likely to be
	small compared to total sales and the impact is insu\(\mathbb{Z}\)cient to expand businesses in California	a.
_		я
D _	. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	of the dollar value of benefits is not
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: Several al	ternatives were considered
	including a less stringent and least stringent option. Descriptions of the alternatives consider	red can be found in the
	Initial Statement of Reasons for this rulemaking.	
		PAGE 2

DocuSign Envelope ID: 55B9ED97-71D9-4FE8-81DD-7A7D8232141E
STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Reset Form

## (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	THE STITLE (COLUMN CED)			
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this reg	ulation and each alternative considered:			
Regulation: Benefit: \$ 564 million Cost: \$ 1	12 million			
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ 332 million Cost: \$ 5	55 million			
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ 111 million Cost: \$ 1	0 million			
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a co of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternative.	a m l ll assaul m l ll l			
feasible, cost-e⊠ective, and yielded significant €	energy savings to California individuals and businesses.			
Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?				
Explain: A prescriptive variable speed motor will	provide the flexibility to provide the lowest water flow necessary			
using the least amount of energy to be e⊠ectiv	e.			
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumpti	ons in the rulemakina record			
	Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to			
The state of the s	Ith and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.			
1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California busines	ss enterprises <b>exceed \$10 million</b> ? YES NO			
	If YES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4			
2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternative	es, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:			
Alternative 1:				
Alternative 2:				
(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)				
For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter	the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:			
Regulation: Total Cost \$				
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$			
4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding \$50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented?				
YES NO				
If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardized Regulator</u> Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in t				
5. Briefly describe the following:				
The increase or decrease of investment in the State:	No increase or decrease in investment in the State.			
The incentive for innovation in products, materials or process	No incentive for innovation in products, materials, or processes.			
	The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:  The benefits include			
utility bill savings to consumers, lower statewic	de energy use, and lower criteria and greenhouse gas emissions.			

DocuSign Envelope ID: 55B9ED97-71D9-4FE8-81DD-7A7D8232141E

Reset Form

# ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.				
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate) (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).				
\$				
a. Funding provided in				
Pudget Act of	or Chanter	Statutos of		
Budget Act of		, statutes of		
	Fiscal Year:			
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fis (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the Cal	cal Year which are NOT re fornia Constitution and S	imbursable by the State. (Approximat ections 17500 et seq. of the Governme	e) ent Code).	
\$			*	
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable of	and provide the appropriat	e information:		
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained	d in			
b. Implements the court mandate set forth b	y the		Court.	
Case of:	in. — Wink Mary Callys	vs		
c. Implements a mandate of the people of th	s State expressed in their	approval of Proposition No.		
Date of Election:		A	*	
d. Issued only in response to a specific reque				
Local entity(s) affected:				
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue	ne, etc. from:			
Authorized by Section:		of the	Code;	
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of	of local government which	n will, at a minimum, offset any addition	onal costs to each;	
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalt	y for a new crime or infrac	tion contained in		
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		,	=	
\$				
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation m	akes only technical, non-su	ubstantive or clarifying changes to curre	ent law regulations.	
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.				
6. Other. Explain Local governments will receive increased tax revenues from local spending of utility bill savings.				
Sta\ was not able to find data				

PAGE 4

Reset Form

# DocuSign Envelope ID: 55B9ED97-71D9-4FE8-81DD-7A7D8232141E STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

FISCAL IMITACI STATEMENT (CONTINUED)	
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and ass year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	sumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
▼ 4. Other. Explain Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales leads to a reduction in CEC Energy Resources Programmer  Reduced electricity sales lea	ram Account of \$20K - \$40K
Indirect impacts include small increase in tax revenues due to spending of utility	bill savings (see attachment).
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and atta impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ch calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
	June
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM secti the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.	
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Bryan Cash	6/16/2020
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE
a Chiper of	7-20-2000