

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	20-MISC-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	2020 Miscellaneous Proceedings.
<b>TN #:</b>	233091
<b>Document Title:</b>	AB 2514 City of Roseville 2017 Resolution
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	Courtney Wagner
<b>Organization:</b>	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Commission Staff
<b>Submission Date:</b>	5/22/2020 1:50:14 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	5/22/2020

RESOLUTION NO. 17-379

STATING THAT ENERGY STORAGE PROCUREMENT TARGETS ARE NOT APPROPRIATE AT THIS TIME FOR THE CITY OF ROSEVILLE

WHEREAS, in September 2010, the State enacted Assembly Bill 2514 requiring publicly owned utilities to determine appropriate procurement targets for cost-effective energy storage systems; and

WHEREAS, in March 2013, the City Council adopted a resolution directing Roseville Electric to begin a process to determine and recommend energy storage targets as defined by AB 2514; and

WHEREAS, in the last 12 months, Roseville Electric has conducted studies with two different vendors to evaluate energy storage; and

WHEREAS, staff concluded that while costs have gone down, storage is not yet cost competitive with Roseville's existing portfolio of resources at current storage prices and benefits; and

WHEREAS, staff recommends stating that energy storage procurement targets remain inappropriate for the City of Roseville at this time.


NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Roseville that energy storage procurement targets are not appropriate at this time for the City of Roseville.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Council of the City of Roseville this 6<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017, by the following vote on roll call:

AYES COUNCILMEMBERS: Gore, Alvord, Herman, Allard, Rohan

NOES COUNCILMEMBERS: None

ABSENT COUNCILMEMBERS: None

  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

  
City Clerk