DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	20-BUSMTG-01
Project Title:	2020 Business Meeting Transcripts
TN #:	232803
Document Title:	Transcript of April 8, 2020 Business Meeting
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Cody Goldthrite
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	4/21/2020 11:48:50 AM
Docketed Date:	4/21/2020

#### BUSINESS MEETING

#### BEFORE THE

### CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

In the Matter	of:	)
		)20-BUSMTG-01
Business	Meeting	)
		)

### REMOTE ACCESS ONLY

The California Energy Commission's April 8, 2020 Business Meeting will be held remotely, consistent with Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20 and the recommendations from the California Department of Public Health to encourage physical distancing to slow the spread of COVID-19. The public is able to participate and observe the meeting consistent with the direction in these Executive Orders. Instructions for remote participation can be found in the notice for this meeting and as set forth below in this agenda.

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8, 2020 10:02 A.M.

Reported by:
Martha Nelson

### APPEARANCES

### Commissioners

- \*David Hochschild, Chair
- \*Janea Scott, Vice Chair
- \*Karen Douglas
- \*Andrew McAllister
- \*Patricia Monahan

## Staff Present: (\* Via WebEx)

- \*Drew Bohan, Executive Director
- \*Darcie Houck, Chief Counsel
- \*Noemi Gallardo, Public Advisor
- \*Cody Goldthrite, Secretariat
- \*Bryan Early
- \*Fritz Foo
- \*Martha Brooks
- \*Siva Gunda
- \*Dee Anne Ross
- \*Lisa DeCarlo
- \*Ralph Lee
- \*Kerry Willis
- \*Matthew Layton

	Agenda Item
*Andrew McAllister, Commissioner *Sean Steffensen *Danuta Drozdowicz *David Michel	2 3 4 5
*Chris Metzker	6
*Laurie ten Hope *Michael Ferreira	7 8
*Sascha von Meier	8
* Silvia Palma-Rojas	9
* Yahui Yang	10
*Cyrus Gandhi	11
* Taiying Zhang	12
*Nicolas (Nick) Oliver	18
*Susan Cochran	18

Others Present (\* Via WebEx)

### Interested Parties

* Alexandra von Meier, EECS at UC Berkeley	8
*Robert Sarvey, Helping Hand Tools	18
Jeffrey D. Harris, Ellison Schneider Harris	18
& Donlan LLP on behalf of Laurelwood Data Center	
Jerry Salamy, CH2M/Jacobs	18

#### Public Comment(\* Via WebEx) Agenda Item 3 \*Chad Worth, Energy Solutions, on behalf of the California Investor Owned Utilities 3 \*Mary Anderson, Pacific Gas And Electric 3 \* Jennifer Hatfield, Pool and Hot Tub Alliance \* Noah Horowitz, Natural Resources Defense 3 Council, NRDC 3 \* Joanna Mauer, Appliance Standards Awareness Project \*Paul Lavallee, Arkema 4 \*Lauren Cullum, Sierra Club California 4 5 \* David Michel \*Mo Li, UCI 9 \*Jonah Margulis, Aker Solutions \*Dr. Stephen Hatley, Merced Pipeline LLC 12 \*Dr. Andrew Dale, Rialto Bioenergy Facility 12 \*Diego Morales, Brightline Defense 17

# I N D E X

			Page	
Proc	ceedir	ngs	10	
Item	ns			
1.	Consent Calendar. (Items will be taken up and voted on as a group. A commissioner may request that an item be moved and discussed later in the meeting.)			
	a.	DIRECTION TO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR IN RESPONDING TO COVID-19 PANDEMIC RELATED TO POWER PLANT LICENSING AND COMPLIANCE.		
	b.	LINDEN UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT		
	С.	DOE-NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY		
	d.	FRANKLIN ENERGY SERVICES/BUILD IT GREEN		
	е.	ADVANCED MICROGRID SOLUTIONS, INC./MAZZETTI, INC.		
	f.	CALIFORNIA STATE PIPE TRADES COUNCIL 2019 UPDATE REPORT (13-ATTCP-01)		
	g.	MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT (20-EPS-01)		
2.	Mart	cha Brook	16	
3.	Appliance Efficiency Regulations Rulemaking for Replacement Dedicated Purpose Pool Pump Motors and Dedicated-Purpose Pool Pumps (Docket Number 19-AAER-02)			
	a.	NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPOSED APPLIANCE EFFICIENCY REGULATIONS FOR REPLACEMENT DEDICATED-PURPOSE POOL PUMP MOTORS AND DEDICATED-PURPOSE POOL PUMPS		
	b.	APPLIANCE EFFICIENCY REGULATIONS FOR REPLACEMENT DEDICATED PURPOSE POOL PUMP MOTORS AND DEDICATED-PURPOSE POOL PUMPS		

			Page
4.	Loca	l Ordinance Applications (19-BSTD-06)	51
	a.	PACIFICA	
	b.	SAN RAFAEL	
	С.	MILL VALLEY	
	d.	SARATOGA	
	е.	SAN FRANCISCO	
	f.	CUPERTINO	
	g.	LOS ANGELES	
5.	City	osed Resolution Approving ECAA Loans to the of Los Angeles, City of Citrus Heights, Biola unity Services District, and the City of Parlier	63
	a.	CITY OF LOS ANGELES	
	b.	CITY OF CITRUS HEIGHTS	
	С.	BIOLA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT	
	d.	CITY OF PARLIER	
6.		wables Portfolio Standard 2011-2013 Verification lts Report (11-RPS01)	70
7.		tric Program Investment Charge (EPIC) Annual Report	75
8.	_	te on Site Selection and Outreach for and EcoBlock Project Demonstration	87

			Page	
9.	Advance to Next-Generation Wind Energy Technology (Next Wind)-GFO-19-302			
	a.	JASON COTRELL, DBA RCAM TECHNOLOGIES		
	b.	AKER SOLUTIONS		
	С.	DOE-LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY		
	d.	INTEGRAL CONSULTING INC.		
	е.	HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY SPONSORED PROGRAMS FOUNDATION		
10.	Storage Monitoring, Smart Shutoff and 3D Mapping Technologies for Safer Natural Gas Infrastructure- GFO-19-502			
	a.	PAULSSON, INC.		
	b.	LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY		
	С.	INSTITUTE OF GAS TECHNOLOGY DBA GAS TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE		
11.	FOOD	PRODUCTION INVESTMENT PROGRAM 2019-GFO-19-901	116	
	a.	AEMETIS ADVANCED FUELS KEYES, INC.		
	b.	GALLO CATTLE COMPANY, LP DBA JOSEPH GALLO FARMS		
	С.	ANHEUSER-BUSCH, LLC		
	d.	THE NEIL JONES FOOD COMPANY		

									Page
12.	LOW-CARBON FUEL PRODUCTION PROGRAMGF0-19-601						124		
	a.	MERC	ED PIPELINE, LLC						
		i.	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMEN FINDINGS	TAL QU	ALIT:	Y AC	Т		
		ii.	MERCED'S PIPELINE CLU CONDITIONING SYSTEM P		AIRY	BIO	GAS		
	b.	LAKE	SIDE PIPELINE, LLC						
		i.	CEQA FINDINGS						
		ii.	LAKESIDE'S PIPELINE C CONDITIONING SYSTEM P		BIO	GAS			
	c. RIALTO BIOENERGY FACILITY, LLC. RIALTO BIOENERGY FACILITY, LLC						RGY		
		i.	CEQA FINDINGS						
		ii.	RIALTO BIOENERGY FACI PROJECT	LITY L	LC'S	BIO	METHA	NE	
13.	Minu	tes							131
	Lead Commissioner or Presiding Member Reports  Member Reports						133		
15.	Executive Director's Report						146		
16.	Publ	ic Ad	viser's Report						148
17.	Publ	ic Co	mment	42,	55 <b>,</b>	63,	103,	126,	149

Page

18. Small Power Plant Exemption for the Laurelwood Data Center (19-SPPE-01)

151

- a. Possible closed session deliberation on the above described request for reconsideration. [Government Code Section 11126(c)(3)].
- 19. Chief Counsel's Report

178

- a. Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e), the Energy Commission may adjourn to closed session with its legal counsel to discuss any of the following matters to which the Energy Commission is a party:
- i. In the Matter of U.S. Department of Energy (High Level Waste Repository) (Atomic Safety Licensing Board, CAB-04, 63-001-HLW); State of California v. United States Department of Energy (9th Cir. Docket No. 09-71014)
- ii. Communities for a Better Environment and Center for Biological Diversity v. Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission, and California State Controller, (Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG13681262)
- iii. State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission v. Electricore, Inc. and ZeroTruck (Sacramento County Superior Court (34-2016-00204586)
- iv. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., et al.
  v. United States Department of Energy (Federal
  District Court, Northern District of California,
  (17-cv03404)
- v. City of Los Angeles, acting by and through, its Department of Water and Power v. Energy Commission (Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BS171477).

19.	Disc	ussion Item: Chief Counsel's Report (Cont.)	178		
	vi.	In re: PG&E Corporation and In re: Pacific Gas and Electric Company (United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 19-30088)			
	vii.	Chukwuemeka (Emeka) Okemiri v. California Energy Commission, et al. (Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-00246019)	7		
	viii	.State Energy Resources Conservation and Developm Commission v. HyGen Industries, Inc. (Sacrament County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2019-0025254	)		
	xi.	Olson-Ecologic Testing Laboratories, LLC v. CEC (Orange County Superior Court Case No. 30-2019-01115513).			
	b. Pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e), the Energy Commission may also discuss any judicial or administrative proceeding that was formally initiated after this agenda was published; or determine whether facts and circumstances exist that warrant the initiation of litigation, or that constitute a significant exposure to litigation against the Commission, which might include.				
Adjo	ırnmer	nt	178		
Repo	rter's	s Certificate	179		

180

Transcriber's Certificate

- 2 APRIL 8, 2020 10:02 a.m.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Let's gets started.
- 4 Good morning everybody, this is David Hochschild along with
- 5 my colleagues. It's April 8th, and we are beginning our
- 6 first Commission Business Meeting. On a silver note, this
- 7 will not be our last, but this is the first time in our 45-
- 8 year history that we have done this, so please bear with us
- 9 as we work through any technical issues. I especially want
- 10 to thank the IT staff and our Public Advisor Noemi Gallardo
- 11 for all their work to help meet this challenge.
- 12 Let's begin with the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 13 (Whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance is recited.)
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Before we get into
- 15 the agenda I wanted to make a few remarks about this
- 16 extraordinary and challenging moment that we are all and
- 17 together as we deal with the COVID-19 Pandemic. And just
- 18 to say this presents a major challenge to our state, to our
- 19 country and the world, but we are stepping up. And I
- 20 really want to commend Governor Newsom for his incredible
- 21 leadership. We are a science-based agency, and this is an
- 22 administration that believes in science. And really I'm
- 23 grateful, especially now for that, because the early
- 24 leadership has made a big difference.
- I think it's pretty clear now that the shelter-

- 1 in-place order has saved lives. I have myself lost a
- 2 friend last Wednesday who died in New York and another
- 3 classmate who's in the ICU. And we're seeing this roll out
- 4 across the country; 12,000 people have now perished. And
- 5 so the action we're taking to shelter in place is very much
- 6 the right thing to do. It is also extremely difficult.
- 7 And I wanted to just urge everybody to dig deep
- 8 and find the very best parts of the human spirit within us,
- 9 particularly around supporting each other. Because there
- 10 actually are pretty significant health impacts from
- 11 isolation itself. So "social distancing" isn't really the
- 12 quite the right term, it's "physical distancing." But we
- 13 actually need social connection now more than ever, and
- 14 particularly with the most vulnerable among us. So I just
- 15 wanted to urge everyone to the greatest degree possible to
- 16 reach out to your colleagues, to your friends, your
- 17 neighbors, your family, check in. You can't do too much of
- 18 that, people really appreciate it. And we're going to get
- 19 through the thing together.
- 20 Here at the Energy Commission we are working
- 21 almost entirely remotely now. My thanks again to the IT
- 22 staff for all the work to make that possible. And we're
- 23 really focused. I have two immediate priorities, one is
- 24 monitoring fuel supplies very closely with the situation
- 25 with our refineries and getting critical fuels. And the

- 1 other is -- I just lost my video connection but hopefully
- 2 you can still hear me on the phone -- and the other is
- 3 economic stimulus --
- 4 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, we can.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you -- to the greatest
- 6 degree possible. We're looking at every possible way that
- 7 we can to accelerate our grant making, so that we can be
- 8 part of the solution economically.
- 9 And I just want to say I'm incredibly proud of
- 10 the Energy Commission staff. I've seen a lot of
- 11 professionalism and dedication across the board as people
- 12 step up to deal with this situation. So we're going to
- 13 continue to do physical distancing and remote practice of
- 14 all of our work while maintaining our commitment to the
- 15 mission. And we'll do that as long as it's medically
- 16 indicated and we won't change until it's safe to do so.
- 17 So at this time what I'd like to do is just ask
- 18 we take a moment of silence in recognition of the 12,000
- 19 people who have perished in the pandemic.
- 20 (Whereupon a moment of silence is observed.)
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you. So this
- 22 meeting is going to run a little bit differently and I'm
- 23 going to have to read a lot more. And I will be calling
- 24 individually on Commissioners as we do this. So Jerome,
- 25 just so you know I have no video now, but I think the audio

- 1 still works so I will just keep proceeding.
- 2 Today's April 8th, 2020 Business Meeting is being
- 3 held remotely without a physical location or any
- 4 (indiscernible) --
- 5 (Audio cuts out for Chair Hochschild)
- 6 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Did everybody else lose
- 7 the Chair as well?
- 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, we cannot hear the
- 10 Chair. Chair, are you muted?
- 11 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Okay, it seems like a
- 12 connection problem. David, are you back?
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, I think I got it.
- 14 Yeah, I'm back on. Sorry. I think the video came back on
- 15 and then I lost the -- can you hear me now?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah.
- 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, now we can.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: You can? Okay, sorry. Sorry
- 19 about that.
- The public may participate or observe this
- 21 meeting consistent with the direction of these executive
- 22 orders. Instructions for remote participation can be found
- 23 in the notes for this meeting and as set forth on the
- 24 agenda posted to the CEC website link to this meeting. In
- 25 case WebEx shuts down we will continue the meeting on our

- 1 Verizon phone line. That number is 888-823-5065, and the
- passcode is "business meeting."
- 3 Pursuant to California Code of Regulations Title
- 4 20, any person may make oral comment on any agenda item.
- 5 To ensure the orderly conduct of business, such comments
- 6 will be limited to three minutes per person with each item
- 7 listed in the agenda that will be voted on today. Any
- 8 person wishing to comment on information items or reports
- 9 or non-voting items shall reserve their comment for the
- 10 general public comment portion of the meeting agenda and
- 11 shall have three minutes total to state all remaining
- 12 comments.
- 13 So please note you cannot make public comments
- 14 through the WebEx system. There are two ways to provide
- 15 comments during today's meeting. You can email concise
- 16 comments to publicadvisor@energy.ca.gov and have the Public
- 17 Advisor orally relay those comments. Or you can call into
- 18 the Verizon phone line, again, 888-823-5065, and the
- 19 passcode is business meeting. Give your name, and
- 20 organization item number to the operator. And then we're
- 21 going to ask you to spell your first and last name.
- Okay, so with that let's turn now to Agenda Item
- 23 1, which is the Consent Calendar. Items will be taken up
- 24 and voted on as a group. Any Commissioner may request that
- 25 any of the items in Consent be moved and discussed later in

- 1 the meeting. Staff is available on the line now to respond
- 2 to questions. Let's see if we have any public comment on
- 3 Item 1. Is there anyone on the phone line wishing to
- 4 comment on Item 1?
- 5 And Noemi, do you have anyone you've received on
- 6 that?
- 7 MS. GALLARDO: This is the Public Advisor, Noemi.
- 8 I do not have anyone.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Are we getting any
- 10 other comments? All right, we're going to do comments
- 11 first from the Commissioners. I'm going to just call on
- 12 you individually and just see if you have any comments.
- 13 Any comments from Vice Chair Scott?
- 14 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: No comments.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Any comments from Commissioner
- 16 Douglas? Commissioner Douglas we can't hear you if you're
- 17 there. Are you -- there we go. Commissioner Douglas do
- 18 you have any comments on Item 1?
- 19 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: (No audible response.)
- Okay, I don't think so. Commissioner McAllister?
- 21 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: No comment.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And Commissioner Monahan, any
- 23 comments?
- 24 (No audible response.)
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, hearing none let's see,

- 1 what do we -- do we have a motion? Vice Chair Scott would
- 2 you be willing to make a motion?
- 3 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes, I move approval of the
- 4 Consent Calendar.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: I'll second it.
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, there is a second by
- 7 Commissioner McAllister. All in favor, let's -- actually,
- 8 we have to vote individually again. Vice Chair Scott?
- 9 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 11 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 13 (No audible response.)
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas, can you
- 15 just do a thumbs-up if you can't -- we can't hear you.
- 16 Okay. Thank you.
- 17 Commissioner Monahan?
- 18 (No audible response.)
- 19 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: We can't hear from
- 20 Commissioner Monaghan so pending phone issues and I vote
- 21 yes, so that's a 4-0 vote until we hear from Commissioner
- Monahan.
- 23 Let's move on now to Item 2. Let's hold Item 1
- 24 open until we get Commissioner Monahan's phone line back
- 25 up. Okay, so let's move on to Item 2. This is Martha

- 1 Brook, correct?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yes.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Terrific. Commissioner
- 4 McAllister.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah. So just for this
- 6 item I really appreciate everyone's attention on this.
- 7 You've all worked with Martha and know how important she's
- 8 been and how central really she has been to many of the
- 9 activities of the Energy Commission for longer than any of
- $10\,$  us Commissioners have been here at the Commission. So I'm
- 11 going to read a resolution. And then this is not a voting
- 12 item, it's a resolution. But I'll go through it. I'll
- 13 read the resolution. And then we'll take some comment from
- 14 staff, I think, and then open it up for Commissioner
- 15 comment to conclude the item, so we'll move in that
- 16 direction.
- 17 So this is a significant -- there are a lot of
- 18 whereases on this resolution. Martha has been at the
- 19 Commission for several decades and has accomplished an
- 20 incredible amount and worked with many, many of our
- 21 signature initiatives over the years. And so obviously
- 22 there are a lot of (indiscernible). We've tried to distill
- 23 it down, but lots of people I think to applaud Martha for.
- 24 So hopefully Martha is on the line and can listen
- 25 to this because this has, you know, just as a professional,

- 1 as a person she's incredibly special. And I just want to
- 2 acknowledge her fully in her presence, or her virtual
- 3 presence I guess now.
- 4 Okay, "State of California State Energy Resources
- 5 Conservation and Development Commission Resolution Martha
- 6 Brook."
- 7 "Whereas, Martha Brook has spent nearly 30 years
- 8 in public service working for the State of California and
- 9 in that time has been a passionate advocate and tireless
- 10 innovator helping to scale energy efficiency programs and
- 11 provide more Californians with the benefits of a cleaner
- 12 environment and reduced energy bills; and
- "Whereas, Martha graduated from Humboldt State
- 14 University in 1985 with a Bachelor of Science degree in
- 15 Environmental Resource Engineering; and
- 16 "Whereas, on June 1, 1990, Martha joined state
- 17 service as an employee of the CEC; and
- 18 "Whereas, on February 1st, 1991, Martha became a
- 19 licensed professional engineer in California; and
- 20 "Whereas, Martha brought her positive attitude,
- 21 technical acumen, and clear communication skills to the
- 22 Energy Supply Planning and Demand Forecasting Division from
- 23 1990-1994, to the Energy Efficiency Division from 1994-
- 24 2000, to the Energy Research and Development Division from
- 25 2000-2007, to the Efficiency Division from 2007-2016, to

- 1 Commissioner J. Andrew McAllister's Office from 2016-2019,
- 2 and presently to the Energy Assessments Division; and
- 3 "Whereas, during her time at the CEC, Martha has
- 4 contributed to its forecasting work, enhanced its data
- 5 analytics capabilities, worked on building energy
- 6 efficiency research and development projects, and helped
- 7 the Building Standards Office as a senior mechanical
- 8 engineer; and
- 9 "Whereas, Martha helped to create the Public
- 10 Interest Energy Research Program for energy efficiency and
- 11 steered advancements in emerging technologies, software,
- 12 and design that have left an indelible mark on buildings
- 13 today; and
- "Whereas, Martha was the primary author of the
- 15 California Existing Buildings Energy Efficiency Action Plan
- 16 in 2015 and its update in 2016, which are instrumental in
- 17 laying out a pathway to achieve a doubling of energy
- 18 efficiency in existing buildings; and
- 19 "Whereas, Martha developed the CEC's first
- 20 acceptance testing requirements in the Building Energy
- 21 Standards and moved the building standards compliance
- 22 software, CBECC-Com and CBECC-Res, towards open-sourcing
- 23 through strategic collaboration with building simulation
- 24 software developers around the country; and
- 25 "Whereas, Martha led all mechanical engineering

- 1 work for nonresidential buildings in the Efficiency
- 2 Division and was instrumental in connecting those Standards
- 3 to developmental work related to building commissioning,
- 4 both in California and nationally; and
- 5 "Whereas, in her capacity as an advisor to
- 6 Commissioner Andrew McAllister -- the Lead Commissioner on
- 7 buildings, electricity and natural gas -- Martha brought
- 8 her collaborative spirit and understanding of the benefits
- 9 of enhanced data usage in energy program implementation to
- 10 lay the foundation for a scaling of efficiency and building
- 11 decarbonization to help California meet its climate goals;
- 12 and
- "Whereas, during Martha's tenure as an advisor to
- 14 Commissioner McAllister, she was an invaluable liaison
- 15 between Commissioner and staff, providing deep
- 16 understandings of the Standards program and its areas of
- 17 improvement, demanding innovation, and serving as a
- 18 constant voice to support and inspire actions that have
- 19 ensured California's Standards continue to lead the nation;
- 20 and
- 21 "Whereas, in 2019, Martha left Commissioner
- 22 McAllister's office to take a special role in the Energy
- 23 Assessments Division advancing projects to enhance the
- 24 utilization of big data in the forecasting and distribution
- 25 planning work of the CEC and supporting analyses on

- 1 building decarbonization; and
- 2 "Whereas, Martha's colleagues have noted that she
- 3 has never been afraid to express her opinion, take risks,
- 4 or challenge others to think outside the box to advance the
- 5 work of the CEC; and "Whereas, Martha has developed an
- 6 enormous network of supportive stakeholders outside of the
- 7 CEC through her collaborations and has created a deep well
- 8 of external goodwill and respect for the CEC's work; and
- 9 "Whereas, being part of an elite group of women
- 10 engineers in a male-dominated field and acting as a
- 11 generous mentor to other women engineers, Martha has paved
- 12 the way for other female leaders in energy; and
- "Whereas, as she nears retirement, Martha leaves
- 14 behind almost 30 years' worth of doodle-filled notebooks, a
- 15 testament to her creative soul and ability to always remain
- 16 alert in meetings; now
- 17 "Therefore, let it be resolved that the CEC
- 18 recognizes and thanks Martha Brook for her distinguished
- 19 record and professional contributions to the well-being of
- 20 the citizens of California and for her superb
- 21 accomplishments throughout the many years of service that
- 22 she has given to the CEC and to the people of the State of
- 23 California; and that the CEC congratulates Martha Brook on
- 24 her new role at the Energy Commission, and wishes her good
- 25 health, happiness and all the best as she approaches

- 1 retirement."
- 2 And actually it's not in here, but also her new
- 3 role as a grandmother. So anyway that is the resolution.
- 4 I'm really happy to sort of sponsor this and get it out
- 5 there for further discussion. And I think now so that's
- 6 it, you all have an opportunity to comment here. I think
- 7 we're going to open it up for staff comment first and then
- 8 Commissioner comment, if there are staff who want to layer
- 9 on some plaudits for Martha, who's obviously deserving
- 10 them.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: That's wonderful. Thank you
- 12 Commissioner McAllister.
- 13 Yeah, are there comments from Energy Commission
- 14 staff on this item?
- 15 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is Cody Goldthrite,
- 16 Secretariat. We have Bryan Early, Fritz Foo, Martha Brook
- 17 and Diana Gonzalez on the line, and they're open.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, let's do it in that
- 19 order. Thank you.
- MR. EARLY: Hi, everyone. This is Bryan Early.
- 21 So Martha, it was such a pleasure to work with you as co-
- 22 advisor to Commissioner McAllister.
- 23 As that document memorialized, you have such an
- 24 uncanny ability to be very direct in a way that brings
- 25 people up. You know, this is a business where disagreement

- 1 is just part of it. I mean that's politics, that's
- 2 policymaking. There's different strategies that people
- 3 employ to deal with these disagreements. And yours was to
- 4 always be, and is to be entirely direct, which sometimes
- 5 with other people maybe could be abrasive, but somehow with
- 6 you it just like makes me smile even if you're telling me
- 7 that, "You're wrong." (Laughter.) And it's such a unique
- 8 ability to build a consensus just by being like entirely
- 9 direct, and so that is just absolutely priceless. And it's
- 10 been a joy.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Bryan.
- 12 So we go to Fritz and to Diana and then Martha.
- MR. FOO: Hello?
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, go ahead.
- 15 MR. FOO: Hi, everyone. My name is Fritz Foo,
- 16 "F-r-i-t-z F-o-o." And I am currently one of Mr.
- 17 McAllister's advisors. And I just wanted to mention, to
- 18 just really give a big shout out and thanks to Martha. I
- 19 worked six years with Martha in this role before she came
- 20 on her special project in the Energy Assessments Division.
- 21 And she has been a fantastic mentor in the time that I've
- 22 been in this office. She has been nothing but supportive
- 23 and insightful in sharing with me the nuances of the job
- 24 and really, how to approach the technical subject matter
- 25 that we deal with.

- 1 And on that note Martha I still have your 1998
- 2 edition, the "Homeowner's Guide to Energy Efficiency" that
- 3 you let me borrow. So I can mail that back to you as soon
- 4 as (indiscernible). (Laughter.)
- 5 More than anything the thing that I admire about
- 6 Martha is that whenever I'm introduced as the new advisor
- 7 to Commissioner McAllister I am frequently met with, "Wow,
- 8 those are big shoes to fill." And on one hand that's of
- 9 course daunting. Martha's career has literally shaped the
- 10 future of California's buildings. But on the other hand
- 11 knowing that shoes can get so big to fill is inspiring.
- 12 That someone with passion, wits and brilliance can do so
- 13 much for the greater good in their time in public service.
- 14 So thank you Martha for being a constant source of
- 15 information and inspiration to do better. I imagine you'll
- 16 continue to throw ideas our way, because as you said
- 17 yourself in a recent email directly, "Nerding out on policy
- 18 analysis in your pajamas has not grown tiresome yet."
- 19 (Laughter.)
- 20 So I'm looking forward to continue your work and
- 21 thank you so much Martha.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Really well said, Fritz, thank
- 23 you.
- Who else do we have on the line, Cody?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: Diana Gonzales and Martha

- 1 Brook.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Go ahead Diana, please.
- Diana, can you hear us?
- 4 (No audible response.)
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Is she unmuted Cody?
- 6 MR. GOLDTHRITE: Diana Gonzales disconnected, so
- 7 we'll go to Martha.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Go to Martha.
- 9 MS. BROOK: Good morning, Commissioners. Can you
- 10 hear me?
- 11 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Hey, Martha, yeah.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Uh-huh, yeah.
- MS. BROOK: Hey! Oh my goodness you guys, that
- 14 was amazing. Oh so anyway I'm going to do this formally,
- 15 because otherwise I won't be able to finish without crying
- 16 my eyes out. So since this is my last time speaking at a
- 17 business meeting I decided to prepare my response as I have
- 18 done for the other items I've presented before you and your
- 19 predecessors. Don't worry, this will be brief. I will
- 20 compose a longer goodbye to the Energy Commission before I
- 21 retire at the end of April.
- Good morning, my name is Martha Brook. I'm with
- 23 the Demand Analysis Office in the Energy Assessments
- 24 Division. Thank you, both for this singular honor of
- 25 appearing as a business meeting agenda item and for the

- 1 last 30 years.
- 2 To the Commissioners, I've benefited from your
- 3 intelligence, your motivation and your keen interest. Over
- 4 the years I shaped my own information-gathering and
- 5 analysis, such that I could answer the questions I thought
- 6 you might ask me. I appreciate where this has led me more
- 7 than I can express.
- 8 To my colleagues, staff, I've laughed with many
- 9 of you and cried with a few of you. I have many great
- 10 memories. I want you to know that I've enjoyed being part
- 11 of your family. A big part of this is observing the
- 12 kindness and the friendship you share with each other.
- 13 Your lunch groups in the atrium, your exercise or coffee
- 14 pairs coming and going, your celebration of life events,
- 15 your inspiring charity work, when I think about what I will
- 16 miss, you are the biggest part.
- 17 That is the end of my presentation and I am here
- 18 to answer any questions you may have.
- 19 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Well first of all, Martha,
- 20 congratulations on an incredible career. We're so proud of
- 21 you and grateful to you. And I think it's really clear
- 22 just from being yourself and the vision and commitment
- 23 you've shown, so what an inspiration that is to all the
- 24 other staff. Particularly the newer staff who come in and
- 25 see what it looks like to have a real legacy, career in

- 1 public service, doing this work. So we're really proud of
- 2 you.
- 3 Let's open it up to other Commissioners. Vice
- 4 Chair Scott, anything you'd like to add?
- 5 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I do. Martha I just want to
- 6 say I'm so glad that you're on the phone and that you can
- 7 hear us. And hopefully you'll come back to the office, so
- 8 we can give you a real hug in addition to these virtual
- 9 hugs. But it has really been a joy for me to get to work
- 10 with you.
- 11 Your command of technical minutiae is really
- 12 impressive. And you also just do a really great job in
- 13 kind of bringing it back up to a higher level. And really
- 14 explaining it well in a way that someone who's not an
- 15 engineer like myself can understand, and that's a really
- 16 impressive skill set. Every meeting that I've been in with
- 17 you, you always contribute in a really meaningful way. I
- 18 appreciate the direction that Commissioner McAllister and
- 19 others have mentioned. And it's just really been a joy to
- 20 work with you. And I am so excited for you and your next
- 21 steps. So congratulations on what's next. And thank you
- 22 for all of your amazing work here at the Energy Commission.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Commissioner Douglas?
- 24 (No audible response.)
- 25 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: No.

- 1 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas, can you
- 2 hear us? You can hear us, but we can't hear you. Are you
- 3 unmuted on your screen? Okay.
- 4 MR. GOLDTHRITE: Hi, Chair. This is the
- 5 Secretary, sorry to interrupt. We have Siva Gunda and Dee
- 6 Anne Ross that would like to comment. They came in a
- 7 little late.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Yeah, let's get through
- 9 the Commissioners.
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: Okay, sure.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas we're
- 12 having trouble hearing you. Are you unmuted on your end?
- 13 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Why don't we let the
- 14 remaining staff have a chance and then we'll wrap it up,
- 15 the Commissioners. Does that sound good?
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, we'll come back. If IT
- 17 could assist Commissioner Douglas with that it would be
- 18 good.
- 19 Okay let's go back to, I heard Siva Gunda, and I
- 20 know Drew Bohan has comments as well.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Siva, Dee Anne, Drew.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Siva do you want to
- 23 start?
- MS. GUNDA: Yes. Chair, can you hear me?
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can hear you.

- 1 MS. GUNDA: Yeah, great. So I'm Siva Gunda for
- 2 the record and Deputy Director for the Energy Assessments
- 3 Division. And I want to make a brief remark on behalf of
- 4 the division.
- Just prior to joining CEC I've kind of known
- 6 Martha through my interactions with her on the
- 7 implementation of the Prop 39 program several years ago. I
- 8 came to present the methodology that UC Davis developed for
- 9 assessing investments and was so taken by her spirit of
- 10 collaboration, pointed questions, thoughtfulness and desire
- 11 to move the mission of the program forward in the best
- 12 possible analysis. And I'm so very grateful for that
- 13 spirit that Martha carries.
- 14 I cannot really add more to the excellent
- 15 resolution that Commissioner McAllister read indicating her
- 16 contribution to the CEC. But I do want to make a remark on
- 17 her enduring legacy, specifically with the EAD. When I
- 18 started my job a few years ago in the Assessments Division
- 19 I wanted to learn about a model that we use called the HELM
- 20 model, a developed hourly demand forecast. And I asked the
- 21 staff for a visual depiction of the process so I could
- 22 understand it easily, and so I wanted them to put it
- 23 together. And what I received was a true testament of her
- 24 enduring legacy in the division. It was actually an
- 25 original hand drawing prepared by Martha with a bunch of

- 1 tapes on it just to make sure it kind of doesn't fall
- 2 apart. But it was just that we were not able to create
- 3 another version of Martha's depiction. And it's just the
- 4 nature of the way she works. And people just have such
- 5 high regard for her work.
- 6 Personally I mean there's a number of comments
- 7 that Fritz and Bryan made in terms of her thoughtfulness
- 8 and her mentorship. But for me it was a very short
- 9 relationship with her, just a few years, but my
- 10 relationship has really seen her as a very thoughtful
- 11 colleague and the gentlest mentor. And helped me
- 12 personally to keep the spirit of progress always lit. And
- 13 she has an unwavering desire and energy to move the ball
- 14 forward. And she can do it in such a collaborative and
- 15 intentional spirit.
- And I want to just thank Martha from the bottom
- 17 of my heart for all the contributions she made to the state
- 18 as well as personally. And wish her all the success. And
- 19 also potentially to wish her a speedy return to CEC in
- 20 retirement if she wants to. Thank you. (Laughter.)
- 21 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, here, here.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Let's go to Dee
- 23 Anne Ross.
- MS. ROSS: Hi, can you hear me?
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can.

- 1 MS. ROSS: Okay, perfect. So I came back to the
- 2 Energy Commission to work with Martha. I actually didn't
- 3 know I was going to be working with Martha on her CBECC-Res
- 4 vision. It was just a happy surprise that I got to work
- 5 with her. And I can't speak to her job duties parts of her
- 6 personality, because most of the time it was way over my
- 7 head. She's so intelligent. But she was just a pleasure
- 8 to work with, she was a breath of fresh air. And I just
- 9 loved working with her. She was one of the -- times I
- 10 almost cried when she left to work for the Commissioner.
- 11 So anyway, it was just a joy working with her. And I love
- 12 seeing her every time I do. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you so much Dee Anne.
- Okay let's go back to Commissioner Douglas. Are
- 15 you able to speak now?
- 16 (No audible response.)
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Still no sound from
- 18 Commissioner Douglas. Commissioner Monahan, are you able
- 19 to speak?
- 20 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: That's a good question.
- 21 Am I able to speak? Can you hear me?
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can hear you.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yay.
- CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes we can hear you.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Oh yay, so exciting.

- 1 Well it's like I have tears in my eyes and I
- 2 don't even really know Martha, so it's just a testament to
- 3 how much goodwill you have engendered in the CEC. So I
- 4 wish you all the best and really happy that we're able to
- 5 give you accolades like this publicly. Even in this very
- 6 remote setting we were all trying to figure out how to make
- 7 this technology work to our advantage, so I wish you all
- 8 the best.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you. Well I --
- 10 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Did Drew want to?
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Oh, yes. Drew, yeah. Can you
- 12 hear us Drew? Drew we can't hear you if you're -- it's on
- 13 mute.
- MR. BOHAN: Can you hear me?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: There you go.
- MR. BOHAN: Hello. Thank you.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, yeah go ahead.
- 18 MR. BOHAN: Okay, yeah, just very briefly. I
- 19 don't have anything substantive to add to the great things
- 20 people said, but I just want to say thank you to Martha.
- 21 And the contributions she has made to this organization
- 22 during her tenure are up there with the best of this
- 23 organization. I'm so proud of her and thankful and
- 24 particularly grateful that I could always go to her and get
- 25 a candid answer to any number of things, so thank you.

- 1 Thank you, Martha. Thank you
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah. Well, thank you so much
- 3 everybody. Commissioner Douglas, last chance? You're
- 4 still on mute? Okay.
- 5 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: I want to check in and
- 6 then I want to finish up with some personal comments, not
- 7 too long.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Oh I think we will
- 9 channel Commissioner Douglas. And I know she's a big fan
- 10 of yours as well, Martha.
- 11 And just for me, personally I just want to say
- 12 you know I think you can be a total celebrity and not be
- 13 famous around the states in terms of the impact you're
- 14 having. And I have seen that. I've just seen you focus on
- 15 the whole concept of zero energy homes and the solar
- 16 mandate and all the things you contributed to in really
- 17 making efficiency standards best in class here. You know,
- 18 it has had an impact around the country and around the
- 19 world, so to me you're a celebrity in this space. And it's
- 20 just been an honor to work with you. And I hope after you
- 21 recharge your batteries --
- 22 (Interference and feedback interrupt.)
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister, did
- 24 you want to wrap things up?
- 25 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah. So I just wanted

- 1 to, on a personal note the resolution sums up a lot of the
- 2 big picture items over the 30 years that the Commission --
- 3 Martha. Well I hope your family is doing well. I know
- 4 lots of folks on there, so want to wish all of you the
- 5 best. A good professional comes from a good family in
- 6 terms of being able to focus on doing the heavy lifting
- 7 that you've done for so long, so thanks to them as well.
- 8 And then just I think the word "integrity" comes
- 9 to mind. You can be really opinionated and have strong
- 10 views of things and still be a complete team player if the
- 11 right mix of respect and integrity are there, and you are
- 12 just the personification of that. And I was honored to be
- 13 able to have you as an advisor in my office. I mean when
- 14 you consented to come over to my office I was so happy and
- 15 in a way surprised that you hadn't been on Commissioner row
- 16 before. But I think there's a certain revolutionary
- 17 quality that you have that really I think is part of your
- 18 constitution. And I have so much respect for that,
- 19 figuring out both how to have really an envelope pushing
- 20 attitude or profile. But then also figure out ways to get
- 21 things done in the real world with respect and teams. And
- 22 that's combination that's so rare. I mean, you brought so
- 23 much to the Commission and my office and the state so thank
- 24 you for that.
- 25 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: So Commissioner

- 1 McAllister, can you guys hear me now?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yes.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, we can hear you. Yeah.
- 4 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Good, I am audible. Well
- 5 third try.
- 6 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Oh, hey.
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: It's nice that everything
- 8 works. And you know, 20 minutes ahead of business meeting
- 9 time and then it stopped as soon as we went live.
- 10 (Laughter.)
- 11 Right. I won't take up too much additional time.
- 12 I just wanted to say that I've greatly enjoyed working with
- 13 Martha. I well remember the days when I was a brand-new
- 14 Commissioner trying to learn about energy efficiency and
- 15 Martha was so capable and competent and informed and
- 16 introducing me to that very complex and nuanced world. And
- 17 I really -- you know, Martha, when you retire we will miss
- 18 you a lot. I hope we are back in business in person that
- 19 we get to see you around at least a bit. But
- 20 congratulations for all of your hard work.
- 21 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: And the last thing I
- 22 want to throw in there is just I remembered, so when I
- 23 first interacted with Martha back I think in the '90s when
- 24 I was in -- at the end of the '90s maybe when I was in grad
- 25 school -- but back in the era of dot matrix printers and

- 1 when everything was printed and on shelves. When I was at
- 2 Berkeley Lab in the early '90s there were reports with
- 3 Martha's name on them then.
- 4 And then during the ARRA period she was one of
- 5 the faces of much of the funding that came through the
- 6 Commission. And that just had a huge, huge impact and
- 7 actually was part of the kind of set of circumstances that
- 8 put the idea in my head that the Commission would be a
- 9 really great place to be. And so in terms of just context
- 10 setting and showing competence it has so many effects that
- 11 we maybe don't even anticipate or understand at the moment.
- 12 And then coming to the Commission obviously, just
- 13 huge. Celebrity is a good word. I mean even in Europe and
- 14 across the world people in our sphere know Martha. And
- 15 Energy Efficiency wouldn't be what it is without your
- 16 contribution Martha. So anyway, certainly I'm going to be
- 17 part of the lobby to get you back as a retired annuitant,
- 18 but just thank you in the meantime and really enjoy your
- 19 well-deserved kudos, because they are unanimous I think.
- 20 So thank you.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: All right, thank you. Well,
- 22 thank you everybody. And congratulations, Martha, we're
- 23 really proud of you and wishing you well this next chapter.
- Before we move on to Item 3, can we go back to
- 25 Item 1?

- 1 Commissioner Monahan we held a vote open that's
- 2 for you on Item 1. How would you like to vote?
- 3 (No audible response.)
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan, can you
- 5 hear us again?
- 6 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yes, sorry.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes? Yeah.
- 8 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: I can, yes. Sorry, it
- 9 took me awhile to unmute. Aye, I voted aye.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Item 1 passes
- 11 unanimously.
- 12 Let's move on to Item 3. Let's welcome Sean
- 13 Steffensen to begin his presentation of Appliance
- 14 Efficiency Regulations Rulemaking for Replacement
- 15 Dedicated-Purpose Pool Pump Motors and Dedicated-Purpose
- 16 Pool Pumps.
- MR. STEFFENSEN: (Indiscernible.)
- 18 MR. GOLDTHRITE: Chair did -- sorry, this is the
- 19 Secretary, was there a vote an Item 2?
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister, was
- 21 that a voting item? I don't see that on my script here.
- 22 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: So I had a little
- 23 interaction this morning with the Public Advisor. I
- 24 believe that it was not a voting item.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay.

- 1 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: I think it's a
- 2 resolution. If we want to vote on it I think we can. But,
- 3 Noemi, did we decide it was a non-voting item?
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: I don't have it as a voting
- 5 item on my script. So unless it's --
- 6 MS. GALLARDO: Yeah. This is Noemi, the Public
- 7 Advisor. I spoke with Darcie, our Chief Counsel, and you
- 8 do not need to vote on that Item Number 2.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Okay, Sean --
- 10 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Let's do a -- David,
- 11 just a suggestion, let's do make sure we get that all
- 12 printed up and several copies and signed when we're back
- 13 in.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Oh, of course. Yeah, yeah.
- 15 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: And get that framed up
- 16 for Martha. Yeah.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, of course. Of course.
- 18 Sean, the floor is yours.
- MR. STEFFENSEN: Good morning, Chair and
- 20 Commissioners. My name is Sean Steffensen. I'm a
- 21 Mechanical Engineer in the Appliances Office in the
- 22 Efficiency Division. Lisa DeCarlo and Ralph Lee from the
- 23 Chief Counsel's Office are also available online, and
- 24 available for questions.
- 25 Today I will speak about a proposal that could

- 1 bring about over \$82 million in electricity savings to
- 2 California consumers.
- 3 Slide 2. Staff is proposing the adoption of a
- 4 resolution encompassing two items related to replacement
- 5 dedicated-purpose pool pump motors and dedicated-purpose
- 6 pool pumps. Staff proposes test methods and efficiency
- 7 standards for each. Item 1 is the Proposed Negative
- 8 Declaration under the California Environmental Quality Act
- 9 or "CEQA". Item 2 is the proposed regulatory language that
- 10 incorporates a minimum efficiency performance standard and
- 11 certification requirements for replacement dedicated-
- 12 purpose pool pump motors and dedicated-purpose pool pumps.
- 13 Slide 3. Staff evaluated this project under CEQA
- 14 and determined the proposed efficiency standards will not
- 15 have a significant adverse effect on the environment. The
- 16 new standards will not result in significant changes to the
- 17 way replacement dedicated-purpose pool pump motors and
- 18 dedicated-purpose pool pumps are manufactured or the
- 19 materials used to produce them. No comments were received
- 20 during the 30-day CEQA public-comment period.
- 21 Slide 4. Staff has worked with the Pool and Spa
- 22 Association, National Electrical Manufacturers Association,
- 23 pool pump and pool-pump motor manufacturers, pool
- 24 professionals, the California investor-owned utilities, the
- 25 Appliance Standards Awareness Project and the Natural

- 1 Resources Defense Council when developing these proposed
- 2 standards and certification requirements.
- 3 The CEC has also participated in activities to
- 4 set national standards for pool pumps at the U.S.
- 5 Department of Energy and also with the U.S. Environmental
- 6 Protection Agency and an update to the Energy Star pool-
- 7 pump specification.
- 8 The staff proposal increases the electrical
- 9 efficiency of the replacement dedicated-purpose pool pump
- 10 motors to a minimum motor efficiency and a prescriptive
- 11 variable standard. The minimum motor efficiency addresses
- 12 the issue of excessive electricity use by maintaining the
- 13 optimum water flow. Mandating a variable speed control
- 14 will provide the user the ability to set the motor to the
- 15 most energy-saving setting to maintain pool cleanliness.
- 16 The standard will be mandatory for all replacement
- 17 dedicated-purpose pool pump motors sold or offered for sale
- 18 in California.
- 19 The Commission recognizes that expanding the
- 20 scope to include pool pump motors regardless of intended
- 21 use will help to close loopholes and level the playing
- 22 field in the marketplace.
- The proposal updates the test method and sets a
- 24 minimum motor efficiency in place of the prescriptive motor
- 25 type prohibition.

- 1 Finally, staff proposes to incorporate the U.S.
- 2 DOE dedicated-purpose pool pump regulations into the
- 3 California Appliance Standards
- 4 Slide 5. The proposal is technically feasible.
- 5 Many models of replacement residential pool-pump motors are
- 6 found within the Energy Commission's modernized appliance
- 7 efficiency database. They will meet the proposed
- 8 standards.
- 9 The U.S. DOE found through its rulemaking that
- 10 the dedicated-purpose pool pump standard is technically
- 11 feasible. CEC staff closely participated in the U.S. DOE
- 12 rulemaking. The proposed CEC standard is also technically
- 13 feasible, since it is identical to the DOE standard.
- 14 Slide 6. The proposal is cost-effective with
- 15 payback periods well within the product lifetimes. And the
- 16 proposal is expected to deliver nearly \$82 million of cost-
- 17 effective savings to consumers to reduce electricity
- 18 utility charges.
- 19 Staff finds that the proposed standard is
- 20 technically feasible and cost-effective, thus meeting the
- 21 statutory requirements for appliance efficiency regulations
- 22 in the Warren-Alquist Act.
- 23 Staff request that the Commission adopt the
- 24 resolution to approve the Negative Declaration and to amend
- 25 the California Appliance Efficiency Regulations. I'm

- 1 available for any questions. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Thank you so much,
- 3 Sean.
- 4 At this time we'll go to public comment on this
- 5 item. And I want to ask all the speakers to restate your
- 6 name and affiliation and to actually spell your name, so
- 7 we'll have a clear record.
- I do have a list of speakers. Can we begin with
- 9 Chad Worth, Energy Solutions for the California IOUs on the
- 10 line?
- 11 MR. WORTH: I'll pass to Mary Anderson of PG&E.
- 12 I'm here to support if need be.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you, Chad. Mary,
- 14 can you hear us? Cody, is Mary unmuted?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary. Mary
- 16 Anderson is unmuted. I'm not sure what script you have.
- 17 We have five speakers and she's open.
- 18 MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Good morning, my name is
- 19 Mary Anderson, "M-a-r-y, Anderson, A-n-d-e-r-s-o-n" from
- 20 Pacific Gas & Electric speaking on behalf of the California
- 21 investor-owned utilities on the statewide Codes and
- 22 Standards Enhancement team, or the CASE team.
- The statewide CASE team strongly supports the
- 24 Energy Commission's proposed regulations for replacement
- 25 dedicated-purpose pool pump motors. The statewide CASE

- 1 team and the Energy Commission have a long history starting
- 2 in 2004 in working together to promote high-efficiency pool
- 3 pumps and motors in California, the largest pool market in
- 4 the country.
- 5 The CEC's proposed Replacement Motor Standard
- 6 builds upon California's existing Title 20 Standards and
- 7 will set efficiency requirements that will apply to
- 8 replacement motors and self-priming pool pumps, non-self-
- 9 priming pool pumps and pressure-cleaner booster pumps.
- 10 Notably it will also apply to replacement motors in the
- 11 small commercial-pool sector.
- 12 Without a standard for replacement motors there
- 13 is an increased likelihood of pool pumps being repaired
- 14 with inefficient, low-cost replacement motors. This would
- 15 put savings from national pool pump standards at risk,
- 16 while also California customers' investments and bill
- 17 savings and energy efficiency pool pumps.
- 18 Through numerous staff reporting, staff
- 19 workshops, the Energy Commission has honed a proposal that
- 20 is technically feasible, cost-effective and will lead to
- 21 significant statewide energy savings. The statewide CASE
- 22 team commends the energy Commission staff for their
- 23 thorough proposal and leadership in seeking to improve the
- 24 energy efficiency of replacement dedicated-purpose pool
- 25 pumps Motors in California.

- 1 To align with the U.S. DOE dedicated-purpose pool
- 2 pump standard effective date on July 19th, 2021, it is
- 3 imperative that California acts to implement updated
- 4 standards for replacement motors to protect consumer energy
- 5 and bill savings and provide regulatory certainty for the
- 6 largest pool market in the country. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Mary.
- 8 Can we go to Jennifer Hatfield, Pool & Hot Tub
- 9 Alliance?
- MS. HATFIELD: Hello, can you guys hear me?
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can.
- MS. HATFIELD: Okay, great. Good morning. My
- 13 name is Jennifer Hatfield. I'm the Government Affairs
- 14 Director for the Pool & Hot Tub Alliance. The alliance was
- 15 formed in 2019, combining the Association of Pool and Spa
- 16 Professionals with the National Swimming Pool Foundation.
- We represent more than 3,500 company members that
- 18 range from swimming pool, hot tub and spa manufacturers,
- 19 distributors, manufacture agents, designers, builders,
- 20 installers, suppliers, retailers and service professionals.
- 21 PHTA and their members have a long history of working with
- 22 the Commission on rulemaking relevant to our industry and
- 23 we appreciate the opportunity to continue a positive
- 24 collaboration to ensure the citizens of California and
- 25 those of the rest of the US are provided energy regulations

- 1 for pool pump Motors that balance energy savings and other
- 2 critical factors important to consumers and industry.
- 3 We have submitted comments with our friends at
- 4 NEMA and I know they'll also be commenting today. We
- 5 provided joint comments to CEC staff previously on concerns
- 6 we have with the proposal in front of you today. We
- 7 resubmitted our concerns since they were from October 21st,
- 8 2019, for consideration along with just highlighting them.
- 9 And those were reviewed yesterday.
- I just want to highlight a few of those points.
- 11 First, the DOE is still working on a federal rule for
- 12 replacement pump motors. We last met with them in early
- 13 February and they gave us no indication they were not
- 14 moving forward with a NOPA. We believe a nationwide
- 15 standard is a better approach.
- Second, the COVID-19 global pandemic has brought
- 17 a whole slew of uncertainty for our economy and what was
- 18 assumed before COVID cannot be assumed any longer. I
- 19 strongly believe a revised cost benefit analysis is
- 20 necessary due to COVID-19 and its effect on supply and
- 21 distribution lines, manufacturing either being closed or in
- 22 a reduced capacity and on California consumers. None of us
- 23 really know what a post-COVID world will look like. We
- 24 strongly believe these effects need to be considered before
- 25 moving forward.

- 1 We rest on our previously submitted comments.
- 2 And we just urge you to postpone adoption of this rule to
- 3 address the concerns presented today.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Ms. Hatfield.
- 5 Let's move on to Alex Bosenberg? (phonetic.) Am
- 6 I saying that right? National Electrical Manufacturers
- 7 Association.
- 8 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary. So Alex,
- 9 he's not on. We do have Chad Worth from Energy Solutions.
- 10 We have Noah Horowitz from NRDC and we have Joanna Mauer
- 11 from Appliance Standards Awareness Project.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, let's take those
- 13 speakers in that order if we could.
- 14 MR. WORTH: This is Chad. I have no comments at
- 15 this time.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you. Next.
- MR. HOROWITZ: Good morning. My name is Noah
- 18 Horowitz and I'm here today on behalf of the Natural
- 19 Resources Defense Council and its more than 3 million
- 20 members and electronic activists. We wish to extend our
- 21 strong support for the Commission's adoption of updated
- 22 minimum efficiency standards for replacement motors for
- 23 swimming pool pumps. This action is needed since the
- 24 state's current standards are due to be updated. And
- 25 there's no federal standard for these replacement motors,

- 1 nor is one likely to be set soon.
- 2 Via these updated standards pool owners around
- 3 the state including those in people's backyards, schools
- 4 and universities, hotels and town pools can all be assured
- 5 that the replacement motor they buy will be an efficient
- 6 one. These regulations fill a critical gap and will
- 7 benefit the environment and provide pool owners significant
- 8 savings on the utility bills. Thank you for your
- 9 consideration and for the Commission's ongoing leadership.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Next.
- MS. MAUER: Hi, this is Joanna Mauer, "J-o-a-n-n-
- 12 a M-a-u-e-r" with the Appliance Standards Awareness
- 13 Project. ASAP organizes and leads a coalition of
- 14 efficiency advocates to advance appliance standards at both
- 15 the national and state levels. We have a steering
- 16 committee that includes representatives of efficiency and
- 17 environmental groups, consumer group, utilities, state
- 18 government. We appreciate the collaborative effort among
- 19 manufacturers, the Energy Commission, the California IOUs,
- 20 and ASAP and other efficiency advocates to advance pool
- 21 pump and motor efficiency over the past several years.
- 22 This group of stakeholders negotiated that DOE pool pump
- 23 standards that will take effect in 2021.
- In 2018 we submitted a joint recommendation to
- 25 DOE proposing complementary standards for pool pump motors

- 1 that would close the replacement motor loophole in the pool
- 2 pump standards. The joint proposal would protect both the
- 3 energy savings from the pool pump standards and the
- 4 investments that manufacturers are making to meet those
- 5 standards. However, unfortunately DOE has yet to take any
- 6 action on the joint recommendation.
- 7 While we continue to hope that DOE will implement
- 8 the joint recommendation, in the absence of the DOE actions
- 9 states can provide leadership. We therefore support the
- 10 Energy Commission finalizing standards for pool pump
- 11 replacement motors. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you.
- 13 Let's go to our Public Advisor. Are there any
- 14 additional public comments you've received that you wanted
- 15 to relate on this item?
- MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, the Public
- 17 Advisor. No additional comments.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- 19 Let's go to Commissioner McAllister.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Great. Just to
- 21 confirm, is Alex Bosenberg not going to comment?
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: He is not on the call,
- 23 apparently.
- COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Okay. So yeah, thank
- 25 you very much. And I want to thank Sean and the staff for

- 1 their analysis and everyone who has participated in this
- 2 rulemaking. And basically the story is that we, with a
- 3 good faith effort, made every effort to stay part of this
- 4 national conversation and steer that conversation at the
- 5 Department of Energy, together with them and their
- 6 stakeholders to get to a good place. And it just didn't
- 7 happen and it has not happened and so we can't wait to do
- 8 what needs to be done. And so I think under sort of our MO
- 9 is to go ahead and do things. And certainly, pay attention
- 10 to the marketplace.
- 11 Some of Ms. Hatfield's concerns about
- 12 uncertainty, I think absolutely the world's a more
- 13 uncertain place than it was a few months ago. But that
- 14 cuts both ways actually. We're seeing increased
- 15 residential energy consumption. You know, pools and hot
- 16 tubs may this summer get used more as people spend more
- 17 time at home. And that makes better energy savings even
- 18 potentially more important rather than less. And there are
- 19 products out there that meet these standards.
- 20 But all that said, we will pay attention to the
- 21 marketplace to make sure that if there any unintended
- 22 consequences we know about them. We certainly invite you
- 23 to let us know if those happen and we can act accordingly
- 24 at that time. But I think this is the right move now.
- 25 It's eliminating the least efficient replacement motor

- 1 options from the marketplace in a cost-effective way. And
- 2 keeping net flexibility options open for consumers, so that
- 3 they can invest in the right ways and save themselves money
- 4 over time.
- 5 So anyway, I want to just commend staff again for
- 6 closing the booster-pump loophole and making sure that
- 7 there is consistency and clear leadership here, pushing
- 8 this market towards more efficiency. So really not seeing
- 9 any downside or any reason to delay, any downside, known
- 10 downside today from going ahead here. So I strongly
- 11 support this item
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Thank you very much,
- 13 Commissioner McAllister. And thanks for all of your
- 14 diligence and hard work on this over the years.
- 15 Before I entertain a motion let's just go through
- 16 and hear if there's any comments. I'll just call
- 17 individually. Vice Chair Scott, any comments or questions
- 18 before we take a motion?
- 19 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I do not have any.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Good. Commissioner Douglas?
- 21 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: No, no comments or
- 22 questions.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No comments or questions.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, let's entertain a

- 1 motion. Commissioner McAllister?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, I will move Item
- 3 3.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, is there a second?
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Second.
- 6 Vice Chair Scott? Oh sorry, Commissioner Douglas
- 7 seconds. So we'll vote one by one.
- 8 Commissioner McAllister?
- 9 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 11 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 13 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote aye. That motion
- 17 passes unanimously. Thank you.
- 18 Let's move on to Item 4.
- 19 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Thanks, everybody.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Local Ordinance Applications.
- 21 Again I want to remind presenters to restate your
- 22 name, affiliation and title. And then please spell your
- 23 name before beginning the presentation. So let's go to
- 24 Danuta Drozdowicz. I hope I'm pronouncing that correctly.
- MS. DROZDOWICZ: Good morning Chair and

- 1 Commissioners. Can you hear me?
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, we can.
- MS. DROZDOWICZ: Excellent. My name is Danuta
- 4 Drozdowicz. I am an Energy Specialist in the Efficiency
- 5 Divisions Building Standards Office and I'm here to present
- 6 local ordinances from jurisdictions that have applied to
- 7 the CEC for approval. Danuta is spelled D-a-n-u-t-a,
- 8 Drosdowicz is D-r-o-z-d-o-w-i-c-z.
- 9 In order for a local standard to be enforceable
- 10 the jurisdiction must file with the CEC its determination
- 11 that its standards are cost-effective. The CEC must then
- 12 find that the local standards will require a reduction of
- 13 energy consumption levels compared to the current statewide
- 14 energy code.
- 15 Seven jurisdictions have submitted applications
- 16 for Commission consideration at this business meeting.
- 17 They are the cities of Pacifica, San Rafael, Mill Valley,
- 18 Saratoga, Cupertino, the City and County of San Francisco,
- 19 and the County of Los Angeles. Among them one
- 20 jurisdiction, Cupertino, requires that all new construction
- 21 is all electric. Another, the City of Pacifica, requires
- 22 nonresidential and high-rise residential buildings to be
- 23 all electric, but allows single-family duplex and low-rise
- 24 residential buildings to use gas for cooking and
- 25 fireplaces.

- 1 Both jurisdictions allow essential services such
- 2 as fire and police stations and commercial kitchens to
- 3 apply for an exemption to use gas. In all cases though
- 4 pre-wiring for electric appliances is required where gas
- 5 appliances are installed.
- 6 Three jurisdictions, Mill Valley, Saratoga and
- 7 the City and County of San Francisco require new
- 8 construction to be electric-preferred with higher
- 9 efficiency requirements for mixed-fuel buildings as well as
- 10 prewiring for future replacements of gas equipment with
- 11 electric.
- 12 One jurisdiction, San Rafael, does not
- 13 specifically reference electric-preferred, but requires
- 14 that only buildings meet the 2019 California Green Building
- 15 Standards Code Tier 1 requirements irrespective of fuel
- 16 source.
- 17 The County of Los Angeles requires that all
- 18 buildings install light-colored cool roofs and specified
- 19 solar reflective index ratings for different roof types.
- 20 Exemptions are allowed for roof repairs, some replacements,
- 21 some additions, and on portions of the roof where
- 22 photovoltaics, also known as PV systems, or solar thermal
- 23 systems where vegetated roofs are installed.
- 24 Three jurisdictions, Pacifica, San Francisco and
- 25 Los Angeles County, require PV systems on buildings not

- 1 subject to the 2019 Energy Code PV requirements. San
- 2 Francisco and Los Angeles County allow solar thermal hot
- 3 water systems for vegetated roofs to be installed in place
- 4 of PV.
- 5 It should be noted that Pacifica and Saratoga
- 6 exempts accessory-dwelling units from the above code
- 7 measures. Cupertino exempts accessory-dwelling units, as
- 8 well as additions, tenant improvements and non-residential
- 9 factory, hazardous material and laboratory occupancy. San
- 10 Francisco exempts non-residential, factory, hazardous
- 11 material and laboratory occupancy. Also some of these
- 12 adopted local ordinances include provisions that are
- 13 energy-related, but not subject to CEC approval, which I
- 14 mention only for completeness
- San Rafael, Mill Valley, the City and County of
- 16 San Francisco, Saratoga, Cupertino and the County of Los
- 17 Angeles include provisions for electric vehicle charging
- 18 infrastructure.
- 19 Separately, and in addition, San Francisco and
- 20 Cupertino require either LEED or GreenPoint Rated system
- 21 certification.
- Staff has posted the complete applications
- 23 including the local ordinances and adopted cost-
- 24 effectiveness analysis on the CEC's website under Docket
- 25 19-BSTD-06 for the required public comment period. One

- 1 public comment not specific to any single ordinance was
- 2 received during the comment period. The Western Propane
- 3 Gas Association urged deployment of all clean energy
- 4 sources to meet the challenges of climate change and
- 5 decarbonization.
- 6 Staff reviewed the applications to determine if
- 7 each local ordinance standards will result in the reduction
- 8 of energy consumption levels permitted by the 2019 Energy
- 9 Code per the requirements of the Public Resources Code.
- 10 Staff finds that the standards will reduce the amounts of
- 11 energy consumed and will not lead to increases in energy
- 12 consumption inconsistent with state law.
- 13 Staff further confirms that each of jurisdictions
- 14 publicly adopted a finding of cost-effectiveness for the
- 15 standard. Because staff has found that the applications
- 16 meet all the requirements of the Public Resources Code
- 17 staff recommends approving enforcement of the ordinances..
- 18 This concludes my presentation. Staff is
- 19 available to answer any questions you may have.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Danuta, for that
- 21 very thorough presentation.
- 22 At this time we'll go to public comment. Again
- 23 speakers on the line, please restate your name and
- 24 affiliation, and spell your name. Is Paul Lavallee, a
- 25 Global Market Manager on the line?

- 1 MR. LAVALLEE: Yes, this is he.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Hi. Go ahead.
- 3 MR. LAVALLEE: Paul Lavallee here, can you hear
- 4 me?
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can.
- 6 MR. LAVELLE: Great. Thank you. Lavallee is
- 7 spelled L-a-v-a-l-l-e-e. And I work for Arkema, A-r-k-e-m-
- 8 a. And I'm the Global Market Manager for Kynar Coatings.
- 9 Arkema manufactures highly resinable(phonetic) polymers
- 10 that are used in highly reflective coatings. And I wanted
- 11 to state my support for Item 4g, the County of Los Angeles
- 12 Reflective Roofs Program. From our analysis this will be a
- 13 net-cost savings for the building owners, reduce the energy
- 14 and prolong the roof life. So I think it's a good common-
- 15 sense measure. Thank you. That's the end of my comments.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you.
- 17 Cody, do we have anyone else on the line wishing
- 18 to speak on this item?
- 19 MR. GOLDTHRITE: We have Lauren Cullum from
- 20 Sierra Club.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, go ahead.
- MS. CULLUM: Hi. Can you hear me?
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can.
- MS. CULLUM: Hi, this is Lauren Cullum, L-a-u-r-
- 25 e-n C-u-l-l-u-m, Policy Advocate with Sierra Club,

- 1 California representing 13 local chapters in California and
- 2 half a million members and supporters throughout the state.
- 3 I'm speaking to express our strong support for the Energy
- 4 Commission's approval of the local ordinances submitted,
- 5 namely those submitted by Pacifica and Mill Valley,
- 6 Saratoga, San Francisco and Cupertino today.
- 7 These reach codes are the result of a statewide
- 8 cost-effectiveness analysis and an extensive stakeholder
- 9 engagement process. Most if not all of the reach codes
- 10 before the Commission were unanimously approved by city
- 11 councils and were broadly supported by community members.
- 12 This local Democratic process is leading the way for the
- 13 state to implement decarbonization solutions that are
- 14 critical in the fight against climate change.
- In light of everything that is happening with the
- 16 COVID-19 Pandemic our work to improve air quality, making
- 17 it safer for everyone to breathe by transitioning our homes
- 18 and buildings away from using dirty fossil fuels has become
- 19 more important than ever. It is that much more important
- 20 that we reduce air pollution as it has been found that air
- 21 pollution makes individuals more vulnerable to the virus.
- These reach codes are not just a measure to
- 23 reduce our greenhouse gas emissions and help meet our
- 24 climate goals, they will also reduce indoor and outdoor air
- 25 pollution and their appreciated health and economic impact.

- 1 These cities are providing the bold leadership
- 2 that is necessary to combat climate change, clean the air
- 3 and improve housing and energy affordability. Again,
- 4 Sierra Club California supports the Commission's approval
- 5 of these reach codes and urges the Commission to build upon
- 6 its local leadership in the 2022 code cycle. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you for those comments.
- 8 Cody, is there anyone else you have on the line?
- 9 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary, we have
- 10 no one else on the line.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Madam Public Advisor,
- 12 do you have any written public comments to relay?
- MS. GALLARDO: No additional comments.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Let's go to questions
- 15 for staff and comments from the Commissioners. Let's start
- 16 with Commissioner McAllister.
- 17 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yes. So thank you,
- 18 Danuta, for that really great presentation. And I don't
- 19 have questions, but I do have just a couple of comments.
- 20 So, you know, this group is particularly
- 21 interesting because of the diversity of the approaches on
- 22 the various types of initiatives they're bringing to us.
- 23 Some of them, we're voting on the ones that actually
- 24 require us to as part of the Building Energy Standards in
- 25 exceeding -- we have to make sure that they exceed the

- 1 stringency and don't backslide in terms of energy
- 2 consumption.
- 3 And I want to just call out staff actually for
- 4 the work that they do, because each locale actually
- 5 requires a specific assessment based on its climate and
- 6 other factors to make sure that it actually does improve
- 7 the energy performance of the buildings that are covered by
- 8 it. But it's just great to see this diversity of
- 9 approaches, you know the locals know their context and can
- 10 really tailor to that effect.
- And as we get buildings that really are pushing
- 12 the envelope in terms of the technical potential and trying
- 13 to find the markets that are appropriate for each place the
- 14 locals are really best positioned to really figure out what
- 15 that innovation looks like. Then we all benefit from that
- 16 as we learn from their example.
- 17 And then I wanted to also just let you all know
- 18 and my colleagues know that for 2022 we're really working
- 19 hard to create the metrics for cost-effectiveness and align
- 20 further with decarbonization in terms of energy consumption
- 21 and fuel mix. That will establish a platform that helps
- 22 local governments do this I think more effectively focus on
- 23 what they are principally focused on, which is
- 24 decarbonization in their climate planning. With the broader
- 25 context of the Building Code updates in each place, these

- 1 stretch codes is a part of their overall decarbonization
- 2 efforts. And so we're working hard to create the tools
- 3 that support that going forward in the conversation for the
- 4 2022 Building Code update primarily.
- 5 And I want to encourage local governments to
- 6 include in their conversations, obviously focus on
- 7 electrification which is great, because we know that's a
- 8 primary pathway to decarbonization in the world we live in
- 9 today. I think as we electrify more loads, load
- 10 flexibility, demand response and being grid-enabled is
- 11 another piece of the toolbox that's underdeveloped. And I
- 12 want to encourage local governments going forward to
- 13 include those kinds of elements in their stretch codes,
- 14 because that is also key for decarbonization.
- 15 If you're going to electrify and certainly
- 16 support that as a strategy we want to make sure that it's
- 17 done in a way that's grid responsive so that the grid can
- 18 be optimally designed and built out going forward. And
- 19 that's going to be a lot of investment, so we need to
- 20 optimize that.
- 21 But I support these items. I want to just give
- 22 kudos to all the local governments who have been working
- 23 hard on this. and bringing their creativity to the table
- 24 and presenting it to us.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Commissioner

- 1 McAllister.
- 2 So I'll just go through again one by one for
- 3 questions for staff or comments on this item before we
- 4 entertain a motion. Madam Vice Chair, any comments or
- 5 questions on this item?
- 6 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: No comments or questions.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: No? Okay, thank you.
- 8 Commissioner Douglas?
- 9 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Just briefly I wanted to
- 10 say that I also appreciate the local government leadership
- 11 in this space and in many others. We're really seeing
- 12 local governments step up in a big way and so I'm going to
- 13 be pleased to support this.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great.
- 15 Commissioner Monahan?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No comments or questions.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. I guess the observation
- 18 I would make is what has happened in the last year with
- 19 respect to cities' leadership on these types of policies is
- 20 really noteworthy. So as of last week we have 30 cities in
- 21 California that have adopted electrification preferences in
- 22 new construction or an outright natural gas ban. And those
- 23 cities represent about 10 percent of the population of the
- 24 state today. And this is just in the last year. And the
- 25 point I'd make is if you look at the latest numbers, you

- 1 know with the electric grid we have received really, really
- 2 rapid decarbonization of the electric grid. We're really
- 3 knocking on the door at this point of having two-thirds of
- 4 the electricity produced in California being carbon-free.
- 5 And that number is increasing really every month.
- And so one of the things that I am excited about,
- 7 particularly with respect to heat-pump water heaters is the
- 8 ability -- which have some flexibility when they can
- 9 operate -- the ability to actually help with renewables
- 10 integration. And really buildings, we talk about batteries
- 11 and we've funded numerous battery projects around
- 12 (indiscernible) batteries around the state. But actually
- 13 buildings themselves, when you do this, actually become an
- 14 important battery themselves in a way to store surplus
- 15 renewables and assist with great integration. So I really
- 16 see the capacity of the building sector to help support
- 17 greater reliability and decarbonization grid improving as
- 18 we get more and more of these.
- 19 And I just want to thank and recognize all the
- 20 cities who have been working hard on this and congratulate
- 21 them. With that I'll entertain a motion on this item.
- 22 Commissioner McAllister?
- 23 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, I'll move Item 4.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, is there a second?
- 25 You'll wave your hand or -- Vice Chair Scott, do you want

- 1 to?
- 2 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes, I can.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay.
- 4 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I'm happy to second Item 4.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, seconded by Vice Chair
- 6 Scott. Okay, we'll the vote.
- 7 Commissioner McAllister?
- 8 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 10 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 12 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 14 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote aye as well. That
- 16 motion passes unanimously. Thank you everybody.
- 17 Let's move on to Item 5. Proposed Resolution
- 18 Approving ECAA Loans to the City of Los Angeles, the City
- 19 of Citrus Heights, Biola Community Services District, and
- 20 the City of Parlier. And again, presenters please restate
- 21 your name, affiliation and title and spell your name before
- 22 beginning your presentation. Let's turn it over to David
- 23 Michel.
- MR. MICHEL: Good morning Chair and
- 25 Commissioners, my name is David Michel, spelled D-a-v-i-d

- 1 M-i-c-h-e-l, an Energy Commission Specialist who is in the
- 2 Local Assistance and Financing Office within the Efficiency
- 3 Division. Today, I am here to request your approval for
- 4 four proposed resolutions for ECAA Conservation Assistance
- 5 Act loans.
- The first loan we are requesting \$3 million for
- 7 the City of Los Angeles for the upgrading of street
- 8 lighting throughout the city with lower-power LEDs. The
- 9 proposed project is estimated to save approximately two
- 10 gigawatt-hours of electricity and save \$361,000 annually.
- We are also requesting approximately \$612,000 for
- 12 the City of Citrus Heights for upgrading both the street
- 13 and landscape exterior lighting throughout the city with
- 14 LEDs. The proposed project is estimated to save
- 15 approximately 425 megawatt-hours of electricity and \$36,000
- 16 annually.
- We are further requesting approximately \$882,000
- 18 to the Biola Community Services District. The measures
- 19 will be installed at four district facilities and will
- 20 include installing solar photovoltaic arrays totaling 182
- 21 kilowatts; replacing a heating, ventilation and air-
- 22 conditioning system; and a cool roof retrofit. The
- 23 proposed project is estimated to save approximately 300
- 24 megawatt-hours of electricity and \$57,000 annually.
- We are finally requesting \$1.2 million for the

- 1 City of Parlier to retrofit lighting, replace heating,
- 2 ventilation and air conditioning, and install solar
- 3 photovoltaic systems in the city's municipal buildings.
- 4 The proposed project is estimated to save approximately 396
- 5 megawatt-hours of electricity and \$74,000 annually.
- 6 We request your approval of the four proposed
- 7 loans. and I am happy to answer any questions you may have.
- 8 Thank you. That concludes my presentation.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, David.
- 10 Let's go to public comments at this time. Again,
- 11 speakers please restate your name and affiliation and spell
- 12 your name. Is anyone on the phone wishing to comment on
- 13 Item 5?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: Chair, this is the Secretary.
- 15 And we have no one on the line for this item.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Madam Public Advisor,
- 17 do you have any written comments to relay?
- 18 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 19 Advisor. No additional comments.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- 21 Let's go to questions and comments from the
- 22 Commissioners, we'll start with Commissioner McAllister.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Thanks very much,
- 24 Chair. So again, I want to just take the opportunity to
- 25 give kudos to the staff. Thanks David for that great

- 1 presentation, another good bunch of projects. I like to
- 2 see the mix of technologies: HVAC, lighting, renewables,
- 3 generation, all with good payback and all solid projects.
- I did want to take the opportunity to just remind
- 5 everyone about the ECAA program, because it's sort of a
- 6 little bit gets taken for granted. I mean we all know what
- 7 a great program it is. But the numbers actually for the
- 8 lifetime in this program, ECAA has loaned out almost \$900
- 9 million since its inception in 1979; \$894 million to 450-
- $10\,$  plus entities since 1979 without a default. So I mean
- 11 that's an amazing statistic. And right now there's about
- 12 \$165 million in circulation out there in the program and we
- 13 get about \$13 million a year back in repayment that we can
- 14 then revolve back out to the marketplace.
- But if you look at the map of where all the
- 16 projects have been and complement that with ECAA-Ed, the
- 17 Prop 39 zero-interest loan program, the coverage is
- 18 universal across the state. And so it really is something
- 19 that spreads benefits everywhere. The impacts in Southern
- 20 California particularly are just massive. And so anyway I
- 21 just wanted to highlight that \$900 million over 40-plus
- 22 years without a default.
- 23 So I mean, that's a pretty -- so the reason I
- 24 bring this up now is that we've got this \$2 trillion
- 25 stimulus at the federal level. And we're going to see

- 1 funding flowing to the states and may have the opportunity
- 2 either with this package or the following packages to
- 3 channel some funds to good use. And I think ECAA and some
- 4 of our other programs, but particularly ECCA's loan program
- 5 would be obviously that's a demonstrated successful
- 6 approach. So we could crank a lot more funds to this
- 7 program successfully and it'd really benefit the state. So
- 8 I think it's relevant to have that at top of mind.
- 9 But I don't have any specific comments about
- 10 these projects. I certainly support this item.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Thank you.
- Madam Vice Chair?
- 13 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I don't have any questions or
- 14 comments.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 16 (No audible response.)
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Sorry Commissioner, are you
- 18 unmuted? Can you try to click that icon again? There you
- 19 go.
- 20 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Yeah.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, yeah.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Now I'm doubly unmuted.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes. Okay, there you go.
- 24 Yes.
- 25 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: All right. I am in strong

- 1 support of this item. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- 3 Commissioner Monahan?
- 4 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yeah, well I just want to
- 5 say that I appreciated Commissioner McAllister's comments.
- 6 And \$900 million, no default really is a great model. And
- 7 it's a win for the environment, it's a win for jobs, a win
- 8 for these local entities. So this program deserves our
- 9 wholehearted support.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Well thank you for
- 11 those comments. I have to say I spoke earlier in the
- 12 meeting when we were doing the resolution, the recognition
- 13 of Martha Brook. And I said, "You can be a celebrity
- 14 without necessarily being famous." And this is sort of how
- 15 I think about ECAA.
- 16 This program is a celebrity program. I mean, it
- 17 is an extraordinary record to be operating since 1979, as
- 18 Mr. McAllister pointed out, almost a billion dollars that
- 19 we have funded and not have a single default. And that is
- 20 a real tribute to diligence on the part of staff for
- 21 vetting projects well. And the kind of things we're doing
- 22 are long-lasting in their impact. And in addition to
- 23 reducing emissions they also save municipal governments'
- 24 money. And this is money that goes back into other things
- 25 that we want to see supported: parks and public educations

- 1 and all the things that make urban life and community life
- 2 better. So I just really want to recognize the diligence
- 3 here on the part of staff and Commissioner McAllister for
- 4 doing such a tremendous job overseeing this. And I'm
- 5 pleased to support it.
- 6 So I'll go one by one again. But first let's get
- 7 a motion. Commissioner McAllister, would you be willing to
- 8 move this item?
- 9 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yes. I will move Item
- 10 5.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Madam Vice Chair, would
- 12 you be willing to --
- 13 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: -- second?
- 15 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I'm happy to second Item 5.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, all in favor.
- 17 Commissioner McAllister?
- 18 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 19 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 20 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas? Just
- 22 put a thumbs-up if -- we can't hear you. Okay, you're --
- 23 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Am I not coming through
- 24 again?
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: No, no, no. Yes, yes.

- 1 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, aye.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Aye.
- 3 Okay, Commissioner Monahan?
- 4 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. That
- 6 item passes unanimously.
- 7 Let's move on to Item 6. Thank you everybody and
- 8 thank you to staff. Renewable Portfolio Standard 2011-2013
- 9 Verification Results Report. Again, a reminder to staff to
- 10 please restate your name and affiliation title and spell
- 11 your name. So with that let's go to Chris Metzker.
- MR. METZKER: Hello, team. Hello, can you hear
- 13 me?
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can hear you. Go
- 15 ahead, Chris.
- MR. METZKER: Okay, great. My name is Chris
- 17 Metzker, spelled C-h-r-i-s M-e-t-z-k-e-r. I am an Energy
- 18 Commission Specialist I from the Verification and
- 19 Compliance Unit in the Renewables Portfolio Standard
- 20 Program in the Renewable Energy Division. Today, staff is
- 21 requesting adoption of the RPS and Verification Results
- 22 Report for Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, or
- 23 LADWP for Compliance Period 1, which covers the years 2011-
- 24 2013 with one minor change I will note in my presentation
- 25 for you today.

- 1 Staff is pleased to present these RPS
- 2 verification results to the CEC as this is the last
- 3 verification report for all POUs and retail sellers for
- 4 Compliance Period 1. The RPS Verification Results Report
- 5 presented for your consideration today provides the
- 6 findings of the CEC's verification activities for LADWP
- 7 including the procurement target and portfolio balance
- 8 requirements, the amount of eligible renewable energy
- 9 retired and applies some by portfolio content category and
- 10 other classifications, any deficits in meeting the
- 11 procurement requirements, and any balances of historic
- 12 carryover and excess procurement that can be applied in
- 13 future compliance periods, and any optional compliance
- 14 measures being applied.
- 15 LADWP has reviewed and accepted staff's findings
- 16 as contained in this report. In preparing LADWP's RPS
- 17 Verification Results Report, staff followed the methods
- 18 described in the CEC's Renewables Portfolio Standard
- 19 Eligibility Guidebook and further detailed in the
- 20 Renewables Portfolio Standard Verification Methodology
- 21 Report.
- The staff draft report was posted for public
- 23 comment on March 17th. No public comments were received by
- 24 the noticed deadline. An updated report with minor, non-
- 25 substantive changes was posted and a second comment period

- 1 noticed on March 27th. No public comments were received.
- 2 Staff originally included a footnote, footnote 5,
- 3 in the report indicating that application of optional
- 4 compliance measures by LADWP is subject to review by the
- 5 Los Angeles City Council until the applicable review period
- 6 ends. Yesterday, the Los Angeles City Council waived this
- 7 review. Therefore, staff is requesting the report be
- 8 adopted today without footnote 5.
- 9 These verification results do not represent a
- 10 compliance determination. Following adoption of the RPS
- 11 Verification Results Report, staff will evaluate LADWP's
- 12 compliance with the RPS procurement requirements and will
- 13 provide a recommendation to the Executive Director for his
- 14 determination.
- 15 Staff recommends adoption of LADWP's RPS
- 16 Verification Results Report for Compliance Period 1. Thank
- 17 you for your consideration of this request. I want to note
- 18 that representatives of LADWP are on the line, and I am
- 19 happy to respond to any questions. This concludes my
- 20 presentation.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Thank you.
- Let me also thank Gabe Herrera and Mona Badie for
- 23 their work on this as well as yours, Chris. So they are
- 24 all on the line to answer any questions that come up.
- 25 So let's go to public comment. Speakers online,

- 1 again please state your name and affiliation, spell your
- 2 name. Is there anyone on the phone wishing to comment on
- 3 Item 6?
- 4 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary. And we
- 5 have nobody on the line and the phone is open if you have
- 6 any questions.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Madam Public Advisor,
- 8 do we have any written comments to relate on this?
- 9 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 10 Advisor. No comments.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. So let's go to
- 12 questions and comments from the Commissioners. Let's start
- 13 with Commissioner Douglas.
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: So this is Commissioner
- 15 Douglas. I hope I'm coming through. And I just wanted to
- 16 thank staff and thank Gabe Herrera for their work on this.
- 17 I think these results are ready for Commission adoption and
- 18 I support them. And I'll be prepared to make a motion when
- 19 we get to it.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- Vice Chair Scott, any comments or questions?
- VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yeah, the only other comment
- 23 that I would add is just a highlight of how important the
- 24 verification of the RPS results are. And so I would also
- 25 very much like to -- and of course you guys all know,

- 1 because that ties back to our clean energy standards and
- 2 being able to meet our greenhouse gas goals at making sure
- 3 that the renewables are at the levels that they need to be
- 4 at. And I appreciate the staff's excellent work in this
- 5 space as well.
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, thank you.
- 7 Commissioner McAllister?
- 8 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: No comments.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And Commissioner Monahan, any
- 10 questions or comments?
- 11 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No questions or comments.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thanks.
- 14 Commissioner Douglas would you be willing to make
- 15 a motion on this item?
- 16 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Yes. I move approval of
- 17 this item.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Vice Chair Scott, would
- 19 you be willing to second?
- VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes. I will second Item 6.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, all in favor, starting
- 22 with Commissioner Douglas.
- 23 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.

- 1 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 4 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And this is the Chair and I
- 6 vote aye. That motion passes unanimously. Let's move on
- 7 to Item 7, Electric Program Investment Charge Annual
- 8 Report. Again presenters, please restate your name,
- 9 affiliation, title and spell your name. Let's turn it over
- 10 to Laurie ten Hope.
- MS. TEN HOPE: Good morning Chair and
- 12 Commissioners. Can you hear me?
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: We can. Good morning.
- MS. TEN HOPE: Wonderful, wonderful. I'm Laurie
- 15 ten Hope, Deputy Director for the Commission's Research and
- 16 Development Division. My name is spelled L-a-u-r-i-e, last
- 17 name t-e-n H-o-p-e.
- 18 Today I'm asking for your approval of the EPIC
- 19 2019 Annual Report. If approved, this report will be
- 20 submitted to the Legislature by April 30th, per the
- 21 reporting requirements in the Public Resources Code. This
- 22 Report is responsive to Legislative requirements to
- 23 describe all the research projects started, completed and
- 24 active during 2019. As of 2019, we have competitively
- 25 invested \$720 million.

- 1 Today, I will share a sample of the report
- 2 highlights to illustrate how EPIC research is accelerating
- 3 solutions to high-priority energy and climate goals AND is
- 4 providing an economic stimulus by investing in innovation.
- 5 The first report theme highlights the robust
- 6 Entrepreneur Ecosystem created in EPIC. Under EPIC, the
- 7 CEC stood up a suite of interlocking programs to incubate
- 8 new technologies and nurture them from the seed of an idea
- 9 to the market. CalSEED is our small grant program,
- 10 intentionally set up with investment partners to enable
- 11 strong market connection. CalSEED is interconnected with
- 12 four Innovation Clusters that provide mentorship services
- 13 and is complimented by the BRIDGE program, which is a
- 14 streamlined funding mechanism to help entrepreneurs avoid
- 15 the valley of death while waiting for additional funding
- 16 and the RAMP program that provides assistance to set up
- 17 initial manufacturing capability.
- 18 We're seeing results in the numbers. EPIC
- 19 investments have accelerated follow-on funding for clean
- 20 energy startup companies. We sampled 22 startup companies
- 21 and found that private investment nearly tripled after
- 22 firms received an EPIC award, from an initial \$270 million
- 23 invested to more than \$740 million. These numbers
- 24 represent a significant multiplier effect in the
- 25 marketplace.

- 1 The second report theme is Resilience and Safety.
- 2 The value of resilience and safety was brought home to all
- 3 Californians during the past year's wildfires and public
- 4 service shut offs, commonly referred to as PSPS events.
- 5 EPIC-funded microgrids helped communities prepare for PSPS
- 6 events and provided electricity to microgrid connected
- 7 customers, while surrounding customers were in the dark.
- 8 There have been at least 20 news articles on EPIC funded
- 9 microgrids in 2019. Many were for the Blue Lake
- 10 Rancheria's ability to ride out the 2019 PSPS event. And
- 11 they were able to shelter 10,000 people at the Rancheria
- 12 during the October outage, including medically compromised
- 13 individuals that count on electricity for survival.
- 14 Another example of a deployed microgrid at a
- 15 critical facility is the Fremont Fire Station. Through the
- 16 course of the research, the Greenscape Project team
- 17 achieved a technology cost reduction of more than 30
- 18 percent from the first microgrid at Fire Station 11 to the
- 19 two remaining fire stations. And they stood ready to
- 20 remain fully operational if the grid was de-energized.
- 21 These technology successes stood on the
- 22 foundation of a decade of CEC supported microgrid research,
- 23 first as a response to the 2001 rolling blackouts, then as
- 24 a cost-saving strategy, and now also as an emergency-
- 25 preparedness strategy. Though readiness in the face of

- 1 PSPS events make the news, properly placed and designed
- 2 microgrids can save customers operating costs. Highlighted
- 3 in Microgrid Knowledge, Fremont Fire Department cut their
- 4 operating costs in half, saving the city a quarter of a
- 5 million dollars over 10 years. And now their designer,
- 6 Greenscape, is building 25 more microgrids.
- 7 More resilience technology solutions are on the
- 8 horizon. Storm and fire related electricity outages in
- 9 recent years revealed a high priority need to strengthen
- 10 the resilience of telecommunication infrastructure. To
- 11 help address this challenge, the CEC awarded an EPIC grant
- 12 to Caban Systems to scale up California's manufacturing of
- 13 its battery platform for critical infrastructure, such as
- 14 telecommunication towers.
- The third report theme is Building
- 16 Decarbonization. Within building decarbonization, one of
- 17 the projects described in the report is a profile of the
- 18 OhmConnect Project. EPIC helped grow the participation in
- 19 this load flexibility software service developed by
- 20 OhmConnect with innovative strategies for customer
- 21 engagement. OhmConnect continues to grow and benefit
- 22 ratepayers. For example, the local ABC news affiliate in
- 23 Fresno reported that Fresno citizens earned one million
- 24 dollars in payouts from the OhmConnect platform.
- The fourth report theme is Innovation for

- 1 Industrial, Agriculture, and Water Sectors. California's
- 2 promise and innovation stem from the rich and diverse
- 3 qualities and abilities of its people. Porifera is one of
- 4 the women-owned, EPIC-funded companies advancing energy and
- 5 water-saving technologies in California's food processing,
- 6 water treatment, and industrial sectors. Porifera has
- 7 demonstrated that its forward osmosis recycler can achieve
- 8 40 percent energy savings when targeting 90 percent water
- 9 recovery.
- 10 The fifth report theme is Grid Decarbonization
- 11 and Decentralization. More sophisticated tools and
- 12 technologies can ease integration of the large and growing
- 13 amount of variable renewable generation serving
- 14 California's electricity load. For example, one of the
- 15 EPIC projects by Clean Power Research improved day-ahead PV
- 16 energy forecasting. The new forecasting methods are being
- 17 used by the CAISO and are expected to save California \$176
- 18 million over the next 30 years.
- 19 The sixth and final theme is Transportation
- 20 Electrification. EPIC research projects advanced
- 21 technologies to lower the costs to integrate the growing
- 22 electricity load related to transportation. MyFleetBuy is
- 23 an app that facilitates smart-electric vehicle purchases by
- 24 optimizing the selection of vehicles that should be
- 25 replaced with EVs by analyzing the actual current vehicle

- 1 miles traveled and mapping the locations of EV chargers
- 2 along the routes. The app is being used by Alameda County,
- 3 Caltrans and the City of Fremont. Alameda County projects
- 4 the app will save the county \$1 million in operating costs
- 5 by converting a portion of their fleet to EVs.
- 6 In addition to the project highlights in each of
- 7 the six themes, the report includes our focus on advancing
- 8 equity and our overall public engagement in 2019.
- 9 The CEC, consistent with legislative and CPUC
- 10 direction, has prioritized energy equity in its research
- 11 programs to ensure that the most vulnerable communities
- 12 benefit from emerging clean energy technologies. Through
- 13 2019, the CEC has awarded 65 percent of EPIC demonstration
- 14 funds to projects in disadvantaged or low-income
- 15 communities; 29 percent were in disadvantaged communities
- 16 as defined by the CalEnviroScreen and an additional 36
- 17 percent were in low-income communities. This far exceeds
- 18 the requirements in AB 523.
- 19 One research project example is the Richmond
- 20 Advanced Energy Community that completed Phase 1 in 2019 to
- 21 design and rehab 20 homes from uninhabitable to all-
- 22 electric, zero-carbon homes. Phase 2, approved at last
- 23 month's business meeting will expand this to 100 homes as
- 24 well as several commercial and industrial facilities.
- 25 And finally, the report describes the Energy

- 1 Commission's public engagement in 2019, including over 50
- 2 public workshops and the development of a suite of EPIC-
- 3 funded online tools and resources. For example, more than
- 4 23,000 users are benefitting from the climate change data
- 5 and visualization tools available online from the Cal-Adapt
- 6 Web platform.
- 7 In conclusion, this year is important for the
- 8 EPIC program. CPUC is considering renewal of the EPIC
- 9 program beyond 2020. The CEC staff, under the Chair and
- 10 Vice Chair's guidance, looks forward to supporting the
- 11 proceeding to renew the EPIC program and build on the
- 12 ability of the program to shape California's clean energy
- 13 market, support economic stimulus through innovation, and
- 14 enable the state to more effectively and efficiently meet
- 15 our energy mandates and aspirations. If you adopt the
- 16 report today, the CEC will provide the report to the
- 17 Legislature on April 30th, with copies to the Natural
- 18 Resources Agency and the CPUC.
- 19 Before closing, I'd like to thank all the staff
- 20 contributors to the report and call out three individuals
- 21 specifically. Tiffany Solorio was our Project Manager.
- 22 Pamela Doughman was the primary author. And Erik Stokes
- 23 provided management guidance. This concludes my
- 24 presentation and I'm happy to answer any questions.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you so much, Laurie.

- 1 So let's go to public comment on this. Any
- 2 speakers on the line please again please restate your name
- 3 and spell it before giving comments. Cody, do we have
- 4 anyone on the line for this item?
- 5 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary. And we
- 6 have no one on the line.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Madam Public Advisor,
- 8 do we have any written public comments on this?
- 9 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 10 Advisor. No comments.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Let's go to Vice Chair
- 12 Scott. Thank you, Madam Vice Chair, for your incredible
- 13 stewardship of this program and for getting us to this
- 14 point. All yours.
- 15 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Well thank you. And this is
- 16 an excellent annual report. I hope that you have all had a
- 17 chance to at least flip through it if you haven't had a
- 18 chance to read it in detail. I do want to say it's been
- 19 such an honor and a privilege to get to oversee the EPIC
- 20 program. It's a very well-run program and it brings
- 21 results for the people of the state of California. I think
- 22 the EPIC program has a thoughtful and impactful investment
- 23 strategy and that helps us get technologies from an idea
- 24 that someone has to an actual marketable widget.
- 25 And then as you heard Laurie say in her

- 1 presentation as well then there's follow on investments
- 2 that come from the investments that we make through the
- 3 EPIC program. I think we also have as you heard in the
- 4 presentation meaningful engagement from low-income and
- 5 disadvantaged communities as defined by CalEnviroScreen.
- 6 And the team has worked really hard to make sure that
- 7 projects aren't just dropped on top of a community but that
- 8 communities really have an opportunity to weigh in and to
- 9 define what's most useful for them.
- 10 The resilience and the safety pieces as Laurie
- 11 highlighted with microgrids and other things just really
- 12 cannot be overstated. It's been really impressive the
- 13 investments that we have made in microgrids and the
- 14 different components of that technology to really help move
- 15 us towards this clean energy future.
- 16 And the program touches on key sectors across the
- 17 state where we need to see energy, water, greenhouse gas
- 18 reductions and I think we do a really good job at that.
- 19 And Laurie kind of highlighted the six different themes
- 20 that we're utilizing with those research investments.
- 21 And then it also produces usable science like
- 22 Cal-Adapt, right? So it's not just a paper study that goes
- 23 on a shelf somewhere but it's something that turns into
- 24 tools and databases and information that the state can use,
- 25 locals, cities, regions around the state can use as they're

- 1 trying to decide what they need to do to help adapt in the
- 2 face of climate change. And so I'm always impressed by the
- 3 results. I'm impressed by our investment strategy, I'm
- 4 impressed how we think through how to set up the program in
- 5 a way to really get meaningful and impact results. And I
- 6 think a lot of what you see in a lot of the technologies
- 7 that you heard Laurie highlight and that you will see in
- 8 the report are the building blocks that are going to assist
- 9 us in getting to that 100 percent clean energy standard
- 10 that's so important.
- 11 And I also just want to highlight what Laurie
- 12 mentioned as well, please take a look at that author's
- 13 page. I don't have a copy of it in front of me to hold up
- 14 for you, but there was a lot of folks who contributed to
- 15 make this such a great report. And there's a lot of folks
- 16 who contribute every day to make this such a great program
- 17 and I just wanted to say thank you to them as well. And I
- 18 heartily recommend this annual report to you all.
- 19 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Thank you.
- Other questions and comments, Commissioner
- 21 McAllister?
- 22 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: No, very supportive,
- 23 great job to the R&D Division.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Commissioner Douglas?
- 25 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Just again briefly, I

- 1 think it's a great program. I've probably paid most
- 2 attention to the work in the area of resilience. The
- 3 tribal outreach, the EPIC program has done very
- 4 effectively. Some of its environmental work, the Cal-Adapt
- 5 and related work, and I just across the board think it's a
- 6 very strong program. So anyway I definitely appreciate the
- 7 report and appreciate staff's hard work on this.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you.
- 9 Commissioner Monahan, any comments or questions?
- 10 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yes, I too dived deep into
- 11 this report over the weekend and really enjoyed it. I
- 12 learned a lot and appreciate the great work of the team on
- 13 EPIC and really mapping out how do we accelerate clean
- 14 energy in a way that benefits all electricity users? So it
- 15 was just really impressive kind of investments and yeah,
- 16 very impressive report.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Well yeah, I'll
- 18 just add my thanks as well. I think of this program
- 19 actually as a national model. I really feel the strategy,
- 20 the breadth, the diversity, the projects we're funding, the
- 21 focus on equity really is the model. And to keep in mind
- 22 that very often grants from the state of this nature are
- 23 the difference maker; the difference maker between whether
- 24 a company can make it or not.
- 25 And you think about go back 17 years to when

- 1 Tesla began, all of the early challenges right? The
- 2 government support early on was essential. It was
- 3 something that was pre-profitable and a long way from being
- 4 profitable and that risk was often too hard for investors
- 5 to take early, or many investors, just taking Tesla for
- 6 example. Tesla is now more valuable than every other
- 7 American automaker combined. And that's a good example of
- 8 the kind of affect that we can have.
- 9 Particularly, now there's a lot of dire
- 10 consequences of the economy on the clean tech sector that
- 11 we're doing our best to address. And these grants that
- 12 we're making this support on can make all the difference.
- 13 We know when we fund things that not everything, not
- 14 everybody makes it. And that's just the nature of the
- 15 inherent risk of being in an innovation field and
- 16 especially true now as we're dealing with the economic
- 17 impacts of COVID. But it just highlights for me the
- 18 important point, which is that this program is doing
- 19 exactly what we want it to. And to remember we will get
- 20 through this current recession, we'll get through this
- 21 crisis economically. When the economy comes out on the
- 22 other side of that we want to be cleaner and more
- 23 innovative and this program is really essential to that.
- 24 So with that let's entertain a motion. Vice
- 25 Chair Scott would you be willing to make a motion?

- 1 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes. And I was remiss in not
- 2 thanking Laurie ten Hope for her leadership on this
- 3 program, so I want to make sure to do that as well. And
- 4 then yes, I move approval of Item 7.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Commissioner Douglas
- 6 would you be willing to second that?
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Yes. Second.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Commissioner McAllister
- 9 --let's do the vote now.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 11 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 13 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 15 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 17 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. Thank
- 19 you all, thank you Laurie and team.
- 20 (END here at 2:07:04)
- 21
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: All right let's move on to
- 23 Item 8, Update on Site Selection and Outreach for the
- 24 Oakland EcoBlock Project Demonstration. Again folks
- 25 restate your name and affiliation and title and spell your

- 1 name. Let's go to Michael Ferreira to introduce the
- 2 (indiscernible).
- MR. FERREIRA: Hello, can you hear me?
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes we can.
- 5 MR. FERREIRA: Hello. Good morning Commissioners
- 6 and everyone on the line. My name is Michael Ferreira,
- 7 that's spelled M-i-c-h-a-e-l and I work in the Energy
- 8 Research and Development Division. I am here to introduce
- 9 Principal Investigator for the Oakland EcoBlock Project,
- 10 Sascha von Meier, who will be giving an update on the site
- 11 selection and outreach for the advanced energy community
- 12 demonstration.
- This agreement was approved at the June 2019
- 14 Business Meeting and comes out of a solicitation called the
- 15 EPIC challenge, accelerating the deployment of advanced
- 16 energy communities, which was a two-part solicitation
- 17 released in 2016. Phase I focused on the planning and
- 18 design while Phase II funds the buildout and demonstration.
- 19 This project is one of four advanced energy communities
- 20 selected for the state to build out. And with that I'll
- 21 turn it over to Sascha von Meier.
- MS. VON MEIER: Good morning, this is Sascha von
- 23 Meier. Can you hear me?
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes we can. Good morning.
- MS. VON MEIER: Thank you. The spelling is S-a-

- 1 s-c-h-a v-o-n M-e-i-e-r and I direct electric grid research
- 2 at the California Institute for Energy and Environment at
- 3 UC Berkeley. The Project Manager, Dr. Therese Peffer, is
- 4 also on the line for the EcoBlock as well as several
- 5 residents, I believe, who are calling in. Let's have the
- 6 next slide.
- 7 I'm very pleased to give you this update.
- 8 Quickly for those of you not familiar with the EcoBlock
- 9 Project in the audience, we have just begun Phase II of
- 10 this ambitious EPIC project. This is a unique microgrid
- 11 solution that is looking to retrofit older buildings into a
- 12 community microgrid in the City of Oakland, combining deep
- 13 energy and water efficiency with 100 percent solar PV. The
- 14 crux is not the technology per se, but the innovative legal
- 15 and financial structures to make it possible for a
- 16 community of residents on the block to really ultimately be
- 17 in charge of their own microgrid together. This project
- 18 also aims to make some of these advanced technologies,
- 19 solar batteries, EV charging, accessible to lower and
- 20 middle- income neighborhoods. And the key idea here is to
- 21 have a recipe that can be scaled up and replicated many
- 22 times. Next slide.
- 23 So the EcoBlock model proposes to be an
- 24 integrated solution to address many multiple policy
- 25 objectives in our state. Its resilience is access to

- 1 solar-powered, meeting our carbon goals. Also through
- 2 electrifying energy end-uses, transitioning from natural
- 3 gas over to clean electricity, advancing the adoption of
- 4 efficiency of electric vehicles. And ultimately coming up
- 5 with a model that will allow really rapid adoption and
- 6 scaling of these technologies. Next slide.
- Just so you have a picture to visualize this is a
- 8 conceptual drawing from Phase I of this project that was
- 9 really a design study. And so this shows the original
- 10 block that was studied. And show -- it illustrates how
- 11 there are rooftop PV arrays that are collective, feeding
- 12 into a microgrid that's underground, joining these multiple
- 13 homes with a central communal storage resource. The idea
- 14 and the hypothesis here really is that the scale of
- 15 several, tens of residential units, provide an economy of
- 16 scale that just makes it more affordable to have a resource
- 17 that can be resilient, that can operate as a power island
- 18 during an extended outage.
- 19 And there's a sharing economy here of having
- 20 electric vehicles that can be used by the members, the
- 21 participants on this block. And also arrange these
- 22 combined and distributed energy resources behind a single
- 23 point of common coupling with the electric utility, which
- 24 aims to ease some of the integration issues with
- 25 intermittent solar PV and EV charging to make it easier to

- 1 integrate these technologies in the distribution
- 2 infrastructure. Next slide.
- 3 So after Phase II was approved last year, even
- 4 before our kickoff meeting our team revisited the project
- 5 with the residents and property owners on the original
- 6 Phase I block. The project that they had spent years
- 7 talking about and now it was about to get real, we found
- 8 that at that time there was not a cohesive critical mass of
- 9 participants, of residents and homeowners, who really had
- 10 the momentum to proceed. Many of them had agreed early on
- 11 that they were interested. But when it came down to the
- 12 actual nuts and bolts of implementing this there were some
- 13 new concerns that had arisen.
- Really the biggest lesson learned I think was
- 15 that transparency in a project like this and trust are
- 16 essential, and trust for the project team and trust amongst
- 17 the neighborhoods, amongst each other I think is very
- 18 different to contemplate a kind of pilot project that's
- 19 really the first of its kind, that can't point to an easy
- 20 example where people have done something like this before
- 21 but that's really breaking new ground. That sort of takes
- 22 a different level of trust than doing something the second
- 23 or the tenth or hundredth time.
- 24 So while we work very hard to mitigate the risk
- 25 for the participants I think it's still fair to say that

- 1 there's a sense of risk of getting involved in something
- 2 that hasn't been done before. Because the co-op of the
- 3 neighborhood that's being -- that's formed here, the entity
- 4 that's going to be owning and operating this microgrid in
- 5 the future after the project end, really has to sort of
- 6 become a cohesive unit.
- 7 And there's of course lots of reasons in any
- 8 neighborhood for people to want or not want to work with
- 9 each other. There are social dynamics that are always
- 10 complicated that may have nothing to do with EcoBlock. And
- 11 so we realized that it was really important to work with a
- 12 block that's highly motivated and that has that social
- 13 cohesion.
- We also realized that while the first block that
- 15 was under study had a lot of wonderful things going for it,
- 16 we were not really in a position to answer the question,
- 17 "Why this block and why not other blocks?" because there
- 18 hasn't been a wide-net cast and a vetting process.
- 19 So on the next slide if we look at the timeline
- 20 we felt we did owe the Phase I block residents a full
- 21 effort to make their block the chosen EcoBlock, because
- 22 they had invested some time in talking with the project
- 23 team. And mulling over and really helping the research
- 24 team think through the design; in particular, the design of
- 25 the co-op. Let's go to the next slide.

- 1 So we worked with them. We had detailed follow-
- 2 up interviews. We had a town hall meeting that many
- 3 neighbors participated in. It culminated in a vote by the
- 4 block residents around October of last year that showed
- 5 that it would be prudent to proceed with a new block that
- 6 would have a critical mass. And where we would have the
- 7 opportunity then to cast a wide net and really get it
- 8 right. And our team certainly appreciates the CEC's
- 9 support in sort of going back and trying to do this over
- 10 again, with the lessons that we had learned. So we cast a
- 11 wide net with an opportunity notice. And down-selecting
- 12 from a from a large number of respondents by criteria in
- 13 the next slide.
- 14 We first had to define who would be eligible. We
- 15 released an opportunity notice for blocks to self-nominate.
- 16 There was extensive outreach. We hired a community
- 17 outreach expert, Ms. Kathy Leonard, (phonetic) who really
- 18 spoke to and reached out to all, a large number of relevant
- 19 organizations as well as the City Council members through
- 20 all the districts. And we then narrowed it down to four
- 21 finalists with whom -- finalist blocks, with whom we met.
- 22 And then discussed in detail what it would look like to
- 23 implement the EcoBlock idea at their blocks. Next slide.
- 24 So this is just a snapshot of the opportunity
- 25 notice. And you'll notice that really the top criteria are

- 1 the -- has to do with the social dynamic and the level of
- 2 commitment among the residents. And sort of making sure
- 3 that there aren't preexisting tensions that would preclude
- 4 people from working with each other in good faith and sort
- 5 of be willing to jump into this experiment. And then
- 6 there's any number of physical and technical criteria from
- 7 having space for solar panels, having parking available for
- 8 shared EVs, and having the homes be contiguous so they can
- 9 be connected. The key ultimately was the number of units
- 10 that would commit to participation and commit in writing.
- 11 Next slide.
- 12 This shows a list of the about three dozen
- 13 organizations that Kathy Leonard had got the word out to.
- 14 And it was really word-of-mouth of that I think was the
- 15 most effective in bringing us the finalists, the many self-
- 16 nominations. I'm not going to go through the details here.
- 17 This will be in the record. Next slide.
- 18 So we circled here in red the finalists. Those
- 19 were blocks that really demonstrated, they put -- and I
- 20 have to thank them all, because they put a lot of effort
- 21 into mobilizing, organizing their neighbors, saying why
- 22 they should be the EcoBlock.
- 23 You can see on the map that we did compare this
- 24 to the overlay here with the disadvantaged and lower-income
- 25 communities, because while that's not a necessary

- 1 requirement it certainly makes all the sense in the world
- 2 to spend these funds in areas that could use them the most.
- 3 The next slide we'll show you a couple of pictures of from
- 4 the finalist blocks.
- 5 So there were 11 self-nominations that included
- 6 really pretty comprehensive applications where they had
- 7 already gathered data. We then went and met with the block
- 8 leads and the neighbors on these different blocks. We
- 9 looked at the housing stock that was there and started to
- 10 pencil out really what the economics would look like for
- 11 each of these blocks. Also to make sure that these
- 12 residents would be able to save a good amount of money. It
- doesn't make sense to do a project like this with homes
- 14 that are already very energy efficient. Next slide.
- 15 So we had the Golden Gate neighborhood, the
- 16 Mosswood neighborhood. And again, we were interested in a
- 17 mixed single- family and multifamily dwellings. Next
- 18 slide. So the Fruitvale block is the one that ultimately
- 19 sort of topped all the criteria.
- If we go to the next slide, both in terms of the
- 21 community organization, the number of housing units that
- 22 were contiguous and were ready to commit in writing to
- 23 participate in this. There's opportunity for energy as
- 24 well as water, efficiency retrofits, and other measures
- 25 such as stormwater runoff mitigation here.

- 1 Finally, and I'm happy to --
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Sascha, can you -- Sascha, are
- 3 you about to wrap up? Do you have --
- 4 MS. VON MEIER: Yes.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thanks.
- 6 MS. VON MEIER: In fact, the last slide, if you
- 7 forward to the last slide there -- oops, there is one
- 8 missing. I think the slide that says, "Fruitvale block
- 9 selected."
- 10 Well, I will tell you -- there we go -- that an
- 11 interesting fact here was that we had also spoken with
- 12 folks at PG&E about where this project could have the
- 13 greatest impact. And so among the factors in favor of the
- 14 Fruitvale block was that they're on a weak, relatively low
- 15 voltage 4kV distribution feeder that would in the future
- 16 need upgrades or be constrained, where a microgrid makes a
- 17 lot of sense. And there is a possibility here, thinking
- 18 again forward into the future, of capping off a gas line as
- 19 we want to electrify a lot of those end-uses. So that's
- 20 very much strategically in line. I will stop here. And
- 21 just say --
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: -- we're very confident in
- 24 that selection.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Sascha.

- Okay, this is a non-voting item, so let's hear
- 2 comments and questions from the Commissioners. Let's go to
- 3 Vice Chair Scott first.
- 4 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Sure. And I want to say thank
- 5 you, can you guys hear me?
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes.
- 7 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes? Okay, just double-
- 8 checking.
- 9 I just want to say thank you to Sascha and the
- 10 team for taking another look at this. You all may recall
- 11 at the end of the year last year when we were looking at
- 12 this project originally, we had quite a few members of the
- 13 community call in with concerns. So we asked the team to
- 14 go back and take another look at this. And so this is the
- 15 update. And at that time we had also asked that they
- 16 provide an update about how it was going.
- 17 And I think that the lessons learned that were
- 18 highlighted are just really important here and a good
- 19 lesson, I think, for us to take as we're trying to push new
- 20 and innovative clean energy technologies out into existing
- 21 communities, right. And so how you work with the community,
- 22 how you get them engaged and excited and interested in
- 23 trying out these technologies, it's going to be really
- 24 important right, because obviously almost every building we
- 25 have is an existing building. And so if we need to go back

- 1 and get these technologies into not just new buildings, but
- 2 also existing buildings we need folks who are excited about
- 3 it, want to work with us, and know how to roll the
- 4 technology out.
- 5 So I appreciate the update on how this is going.
- 6 I'm excited that there's a new block that's really excited
- 7 to be part of the project. And I look forward to hearing
- 8 how it goes. But I just wanted to remind you all that it
- 9 was a few months ago when we had the first look at this,
- 10 and the community was really concerned. And that we had
- 11 asked these guys to please come back and present to us
- 12 again. And so that's what this is.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Other comments.
- 14 Commissioner Douglas? Not hearing Commissioner Douglas's
- 15 voice. Commissioner McAllister? Any comments or
- 16 questions?
- 17 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, I had a comment.
- 18 I just want to just thank Dr. von Meier and Peffer, great
- 19 work on revisiting this. And it just highlights the fact
- 20 that that there are lots of technology issues. But really
- 21 the primary issues for implementation certainly as
- 22 Commissioner Vice Chair Scott just said, are in the
- 23 existing buildings. You know, how to make things happen in
- 24 the world that we have and with actual people.
- 25 And so back when I was a Peace Corps volunteer

- 1 that's the kind of work that it took to get communities to
- 2 decide on how to do stuff. And that actually is the
- 3 biggest list of any project is to get the people involved,
- 4 excited and to maintain that enthusiasm throughout the
- 5 project to the end, which can be years or decades. And so
- 6 it really becomes a community organization challenge as
- 7 much as anything else; lots of layers. And so I think that
- 8 highlights that, the presentation really highlighted that,
- 9 that really you have to get buy-in from the community
- 10 leaders and the community members that are impacted and get
- 11 some enthusiasm there, along with all the other
- 12 (indiscernible) the grid situation that the selected
- 13 community is in well there is opportunities to align
- 14 investment across a whole bunch of different axis that are
- 15 going to benefit that community and the grid. So I'm
- 16 really excited about where this is going and looking
- 17 forward to seeing how it progresses.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Thanks.
- 19 Commissioner Monahan, any comments or questions?
- 20 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No comments or questions.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Thank you to everybody.
- 23 Thank you, Sascha. No further comments or questions from
- 24 me.
- Let's move on to Item 9, Advance to Next-

- 1 Generation Wind Energy Technology. Again staff, please
- 2 state your name and affiliation and title. And let's turn
- 3 it over to Sylvia Palma-Rojas to present on this item.
- 4 MS. PALMAS-ROJAS: Okay. Good morning, Chair and
- 5 Commissioners. Good morning everyone. My name is Sylvia
- 6 Palma-Rojas from the Research Division. My name is spelled
- 7 as Silvia, S-i-l-v-i-a Palma-Rojas, P-a-l-m-a-r-o-j-a-s.
- 8 Today I would like to request the approval of
- 9 five agreements that staff is recommending for funding
- 10 under our EPIC Next Wind solicitation.
- Next Wind Solicitation had three groups. One
- 12 group focused on the development of onsite manufacturing
- 13 approaches for land-based wind energy projects. The second
- 14 group aimed to help increase productivity and reduce the
- 15 levelized cost of energy and wildlife impacts of offshore
- 16 projects through real-time monitoring systems. And the
- 17 third group looked for studies that increase the
- 18 understanding of how offshore wind deployments may affect
- 19 sensitive species and habitats.
- The first recommended project is with RCAM
- 21 Technologies, a major subcontractor UC Irvine, and it was
- 22 selected under Group I. This project aims to manufacture,
- 23 demonstrate, and test wind tower sections using an onsite
- 24 3D concrete printing process and design. The project will
- 25 performance lab printing, pilot-testing and analysis of

- 1 tall tower sections, using as reference a 7.5 MW turbine.
- 2 And will also conduct an outdoor demonstration to validate
- 3 the manufacturing approach for wind tower application in
- 4 real conditions.
- If successful, this project will bring important
- 6 environmental and economic benefits to California,
- 7 including increase local wind energy manufacturing and
- 8 operation jobs, and reduce the capital costs of 140 meter
- 9 towers by 50 percent compared to a steel tower.
- 10 The next two projects were selected under Group
- 11 II of Next Wind Solicitation. The first project is with
- 12 Aker Solutions, a major subcontractor of Cognite and will
- 13 design and develop a holistic digital platform to perform
- 14 conditions and data monitoring that includes both
- 15 operational and wildlife data. The project will transfer a
- 16 technical solution previously developed for the oil and gas
- 17 field operations, to address challenges of offshore data
- 18 liberation, contextualization, and value outtake.
- 19 If successful, this digital platform will reduce
- 20 the current challenges of the offshore wind industry, of
- 21 using the massive amount of data to improve the business
- 22 model. And will increase also production efficiency by
- 23 reducing operational expenses by more than 21 percent. And
- 24 minimizing environmental impacts by making all relevant
- 25 data available for humans and machines to drive better

- 1 decisions.
- The second project under Group II is with
- 3 Berkeley National Lab, a major subcontractor UC Berkeley.
- 4 The project will develop a novel fiber optical sensing
- 5 system for real-time and continuous monitoring of offshore
- 6 wind technologies and wildlife. The primary goal for this
- 7 project is to take the experience gained from applications
- 8 of fiber optic sensing in civil engineering and large-scale
- 9 manufacturing projects, to measure and monitor strains,
- 10 loads and other parameters in real time.
- 11 The team will evaluate the technical feasibility
- 12 of fiber optical sensing to monitor gearboxes and wind
- 13 towers and also marine animal life activities near offshore
- 14 wind platforms.
- The last two projects were selected under Group
- 16 III of next wind solicitation. The first project is with
- 17 Integral Consulting. This project will investigate the
- 18 potential impacts of large-scale offshore wind farms on
- 19 wind stress reduction, changes in coastal upwelling, and
- 20 ecosystem dynamics off the California Coast. This
- 21 agreement will result in environmental benefits by
- 22 facilitating offshore wind policy development and
- 23 permitting, evaluating turbine siting and identifying data
- 24 gaps to prioritize research.
- The last project is with Humboldt State

- 1 University, a major subcontractor H. T. Harvey &
- 2 Associates. And will develop a three-dimensional model of
- 3 seabird occurrences off the California Coast. This model
- 4 will evaluate the relative impacts of seabirds from
- 5 different wind farm locations, array layouts, and turbine
- 6 dimensions. This project will help improve the
- 7 understanding of potential seabird and wind farm
- 8 interactions in waters offshore California to support
- 9 decision-making for project siting and environmental
- 10 permitting.
- 11 With this I conclude my presentation. And I will
- 12 be happy to answer any question you might have. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you so much, Silvia.
- 14 Let's go to public comment. Cody, do we have
- 15 anyone on the line?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: Yes. We have Mo Li from UCI and
- 17 Jonah Margulis from Aker Solutions.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you so much, Silvia.
- 19 Let's go to public comment. Cody, do we have anyone on the
- 20 line?
- 21 MR. GOLDTHRITE: Yes. We have Mo Li from UCI.
- 22 And Jonah Margulis from Aker Solutions.
- CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Let's start with Mo Li.
- MS. LI: Good morning this is Mo Li, spelling is
- 25 M-o L-i. I am a Professor at the University of California,

- 1 Irvine. And I'm also the Co-principal Investigator of the
- 2 project they awarded to RCAM Technologies and UCI.
- I want to thank California Energy Commission for
- 4 this funding opportunity for my research team at UCI to
- 5 collaborate with RCAM Technologies to develop this
- 6 innovative concrete 3D printing technology for Next
- 7 Generation Wind. This will be the first time that the
- 8 technology will be tested at a structural laboratory at
- 9 this very large scale. And also the first time it will be
- 10 demonstrated in field conditions in California. So through
- 11 this project we can answer the key research questions in
- 12 order to bring out the technology readiness level for
- 13 commercialization in California for land base and also
- 14 offshore wind.
- 15 And this project will also allow us as a
- 16 California public university to educate our graduate and
- 17 undergraduate students and post-op research fellows. And
- 18 train the next-generation workforce in California who can
- 19 specialize in concrete data manufacturing for Next
- 20 Generation Wind. And these are all very, very exciting.
- 21 And we're so very grateful. And thank you all very much.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Ms. Li.
- Let's go to Jonah.
- MR. MARGULIS: Hi, can you hear me?
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can.

- 1 MR. MARGULIS: Okay, very good. Hi, this is
- 2 Jonah Margulis with Aker Solutions. I'm the U.S. Country
- 3 Manager responsible for all operations within the U.S.
- 4 Name is J-o-n-a-h M-a-r-q-u-l-i-s.
- 5 So on behalf of Aker Solutions and our partners,
- 6 Cognite, H.T. Harvey, Principle Power, MarineSitu and EDPR,
- 7 we would really like to express our gratitude for the
- 8 Commission engaging the industry in the Next Wind grant
- 9 opportunity. And our sincere appreciation for being
- 10 selected for the grant. It's quite an honor.
- 11 Aker Solutions has, together with our partners
- 12 RCEA, EDPR and Principle Power, been pioneering the
- 13 offshore wind development in California through our
- 14 engagement in the Redwood Coast Offshore Wind Project for
- 15 about three years since 2017, which is a proposed 100 to
- 16 150 megawatt floating offshore wind project off the coast
- 17 of Humboldt Bay in Northern California. We hope to see
- 18 federal offshore leasing for in the proposed bond call
- 19 areas really as soon as possible.
- We see offshore wind as being a major part of the
- 21 solution for the SB 100 clean energy goals of California by
- 22 providing affordable renewable electricity to the state's
- 23 ratepayers at scale.
- 24 And we are confident that Next Wind real-time
- 25 conditioning monitoring project will be an important part

- 1 of enabling this. The project aims to take forward a
- 2 digital solution and associated work processes that will
- 3 enable remotely monitoring in real-time the integrity of
- 4 the offshore wind farm as well as to significantly reduced
- 5 operational costs. At the same time monitoring
- 6 environmental and wildlife impact in real time to much
- 7 better increase our understanding of, and mitigate these
- 8 impacts, which can be shared with all relevant stakeholders
- 9 such as academia, state, stakeholders, (indiscernible) and
- 10 environmental interest groups.
- I just wanted to take a second, because we
- 12 really look forward -- the team is really excited to get
- 13 going on this and it's really an important piece of work.
- 14 And we are honored to be trusted with the important task
- 15 that the Commission has bestowed upon us. So with that I
- 16 just want to also say be safe and well in these times.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you so much, Jonah.
- 18 Appreciate those comments.
- 19 Madam Public Advisor, do we have any additional
- 20 written public comments to relate?
- 21 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 22 Advisor. No comments.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thanks. Let's go to
- 24 questions and discussion from Commissioners, starting with
- 25 Vice Chair Scott.

- 1 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes, so I think as you all
- 2 have heard this is an excellent well-thought through set of
- 3 projects that we're proposing to fund. I am as always with
- 4 the EPIC program I'm always looking forward to getting the
- 5 results right away. I think that as we're trying to get
- 6 more experience with offshore wind and some of the
- 7 questions around offshore wind, both technology and also as
- 8 you heard, sort of environmental. And with ocean life and
- 9 bird life and things like that I think having this set of,
- 10 this suite of studies together will provide quite a bit of
- 11 useful information for us here in the state as we go
- 12 forward with the work that we're doing on renewables and
- 13 offshore wind. So I highly recommend this set of projects
- 14 to you all.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Thank you.
- 16 Commissioner Douglas?
- 17 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Hi. I just wanted to say
- 18 briefly that these projects provide a lot of benefit to
- 19 help us understand offshore wind. They better complement
- 20 other investments being made by the Ocean Protection
- 21 Council, and the Office of Planning and Research at the
- 22 state level as well as some federal research investments
- 23 through the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management to improve
- 24 our understanding of floating offshore wind. And I look
- 25 for the state's effort in really moving that initiative

- 1 forward in a way that's very informed and very able to
- 2 inform others and benefit from the best science out there.
- 3 And so I think it's very important that as we
- 4 develop this information we continue to incorporate it into
- 5 the spatial data applications that the CEC is continuously
- 6 developing and refining and sharing with the public, so
- 7 that it can contribute to future decisions at multiple
- 8 levels of government. And I will be closely following
- 9 progress on these projects. I'm excited to see them in a
- $10\,$  position to move forward. And I think we have a lot to
- 11 learn here from this research and from done the projects
- 12 that are currently in place in different parts of the
- 13 world. So I'm excited to see this move forward.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah. So let me just again
- 15 acknowledge Commissioner Douglas's focus on this topic area
- 16 and bring your considerable people skills and background
- 17 and permitting and intergovernmental deliberations to this
- 18 topic has been tremendous. So thank you for all your work
- 19 on that.
- 20 Commissioner McAllister, any comments or
- 21 questions on this item?
- 22 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: No. It looks like a
- 23 great group of good projects, so happy to support this.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you.
- 25 Commissioner Monahan?

- 1 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No comments. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Well, I'll just say I
- 3 continue to be very optimistic long-term about the state's
- 4 prospects of making offshore wind happen in California.
- 5 It's funny there's these things that you don't think of as
- 6 resources. I mean we didn't think of sunlight as a
- 7 resource for electric generation a generation ago, as we do
- 8 today, and it is where onshore wind today as it is.
- 9 And offshore wind actually is a different
- 10 resource. It has different characteristics, actually
- 11 superior characteristics to onshore wind. You know,
- 12 approaching 50 percent capacity factors in some parts of
- 13 the state, and a very, very highly complementary generation
- 14 profile to solar. And so given that we have an 800-mile
- 15 coastline and that offshore wind technology has advanced
- 16 considerably in the last few years I'm really optimistic.
- 17 And I think all these, the diversity of these projects
- 18 we're funding today, help set the stage for that. And I
- 19 think the future is very bright long-term for this market
- 20 segment. So thank you to everyone who worked on this.
- 21 With that I'll entertain a motion. Vice Chair
- 22 Scott, would you be willing to move this item?
- 23 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes. I move approval of Item
- 24 9.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And Commissioner Douglas would

- 1 you be willing to second?
- 2 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: I second this item.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, let's take a vote.
- 4 Vice Chair Scott?
- 5 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 9 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 11 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. That
- 13 item passes unanimously.
- 14 Let's move on to Item 10, Storage Monitoring,
- 15 Smart Shutoff and 3D Mapping Technologies for Safer Natural
- 16 Gas Infrastructure. Again, staff please restate your name
- 17 and title and spell your name. Let's turn it over to Yahui
- 18 Yang to present this item.
- 19 MR. YANG: Good morning, Chair and the
- 20 Commissioners. Can you hear me?
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can. Good morning.
- MR. YANG: Great. My name is Yahui Yang, spelled
- 23 as Y-a-h-u-i Y-a-n-g. I am a Mechanical Engineer with the
- 24 Energy Systems Research Office.
- 25 I'm requesting your approval today for three

- 1 agreements. They are resulted from a solicitation intended
- 2 to improve the safety of natural gas infrastructure in
- 3 California, including continuous monitoring of natural gas
- 4 storage facility conditions and smart safety shutoff of gas
- 5 system in residential and commercial buildings.
- 6 The first agreement is with Paulsson
- 7 Incorporation. The recipient will develop and apply cost-
- 8 effective gas storage surveying and monitoring technology
- 9 to make gas storage safe and efficient. The technology
- 10 uses fiber optic sensor array to measure downhole pressure,
- 11 acoustic, strain and temperature data in real time. The
- 12 sensor system, once developed and tested in the lab, will
- 13 be deployed to a gas storage well at a depth of 5,400 feet
- 14 at the McDonald Island gas storage facility near Stockton,
- 15 California.
- The research team will collect the monitoring
- 17 data remotely for at least six months during the injection
- 18 and withdrawal of natural gas.
- 19 In the second agreement, Lawrence Berkeley
- 20 National Lab will develop and field demonstrate a natural
- 21 gas wellbore integrity monitoring system by combining
- 22 electromagnetic and optical sensor technologies. The
- 23 electromagnetic signal of the monitoring system provides
- 24 corrosion and stress information on borehole damages. The
- 25 optical signal provides strain and temperature information

- 1 related to borehole operation, deformation or leakage
- 2 events. The combination of these two technologies enables
- 3 comprehensive diagnostic signatures of boreholes with
- 4 continuous and high-resolution data.
- 5 The research team will prove the technical
- 6 feasibilities and validate the performance through lab
- 7 tests and field demonstration.
- 8 In the third agreement, Gas Technology Institute
- 9 or GTI will develop, test and demonstrate a smart gas
- 10 shutoff system which protects gas customers during
- 11 hazardous events, such as fire, explosion, or natural gas
- 12 leaks. The recipient will perform customer market research
- 13 to promote customer adoption of such a smart shutoff
- 14 system. The shutoff system will integrate hazard detecting
- 15 sensors, remote shutoff features, and valve status
- 16 monitoring. The system performance will be validated
- 17 through scenario-based tests.
- 18 The team will conduct pilot demonstration in
- 19 residential and commercial buildings for half a year or
- 20 longer to mature the technology and facilitate its
- 21 deployment. If successful, these agreements will improve
- 22 gas supply reliability, increase gas customer safety, and
- 23 reduce GHG emissions.
- Therefore, staff recommends approval of these
- 25 three agreements. This concludes my presentation. And I'm

- 1 happy to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you very much, Yahui.
- 3 Let's go to public comment. Do we have any
- 4 speakers on the line, Cody?
- 5 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary and we
- 6 have no speakers on the line.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Madam Public Advisor any
- 8 comments in writing on this item?
- 9 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 10 Advisor. No comments.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thanks. Let's go to
- 12 questions and comments from the Commissioners, starting
- 13 with Vice Chair Scott.
- 14 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Okay. Well so I don't have
- 15 too much more to add to the excellent presentation on this
- 16 one. I don't think I need to tell you all or really anyone
- 17 how important having the monitoring, the smart shutoff, the
- 18 3D mapping technologies all to try to figure out how we
- 19 continue to make a safer and safer natural gas
- 20 infrastructure, especially as that infrastructure around
- 21 the state is aging, how important these types of projects
- 22 are.
- 23 And it's also I think really important to have
- 24 this kind of information in the public sphere, right? So
- 25 that's the importance of having public interest research.

- 1 These are third parties, it's unbiased, it's a lot of
- 2 really good information for decision makers like us to be
- 3 able to utilize once we get this type of information back
- 4 as well, so just those two additional thoughts.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, thank you.
- 6 Commissioner Douglas?
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Well I appreciate the
- 8 proactive nature of these projects. And I do think that as
- 9 they help us with early detection of equipment fatigue or
- 10 identifying other vulnerabilities in the natural gas
- 11 system, and enhancing the resiliency of the natural gas
- 12 infrastructure, this will benefit, really all of us. I'm
- 13 looking forward to learning the results of these
- 14 agreements. And I'm in support of these agreements moving
- 15 forward.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, thank you.
- 17 Commissioner McAllister?
- 18 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, just briefly. I
- 19 totally agree with Vice Chair Scott and Commissioner
- 20 Douglas's comments.
- 21 And certainly the challenge going forward is to
- 22 modulate investments and put it in the right place that
- 23 affect ratepayers. And make sure that our infrastructure
- 24 is performing as it should in terms of safety in every
- 25 other way. And I think this public area of research, to

- 1 echo Commissioner Scott's comment, it helps inform all the
- 2 discussions across the state, in the Legislature, at the
- 3 Public Utilities Commission and amongst stakeholders, so we
- 4 can have the best ideas come forward as the ones that gain
- 5 some traction and get funded.
- 6 So this is really key to building that platform
- 7 for good decision-making. And I applaud these projects.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, thank you.
- 9 Commissioner Monahan?
- 10 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yeah. No comments. These
- 11 are really great investments. And I'm looking forward to
- 12 voting on it.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, thank you.
- I will entertain a motion at this time. Vice
- 15 Chair Scott, are you willing to make the motion?
- VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes. I will move approval of
- 17 Item 10.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Commissioner McAllister
- 19 would you second?
- 20 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, I'll second.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: All right, all in favor, Vice
- 22 Chair Scott?
- VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 25 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.

- 1 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 2 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 4 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. That
- 6 item passes unanimously.
- 7 Let's move on to Item 11, Food Production
- 8 Investment Program. Let's have Cyrus Ghandi present on
- 9 this. And please state your name.
- MR. GHANDI: Hi, can you hear me?
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can. Yeah.
- MR. GHANDI: Hi. Hi good morning Chair, Vice
- 13 Chair and Commissioners. My name is Cyrus Gandhi. The
- 14 spelling of my name is C-y-r-u-s, and last name G-h-a-n-d-
- 15 i. I am an Energy Commission Specialist I with the Energy
- 16 Efficiency Research Office in the Energy Research and
- 17 Development Division.
- 18 Today staff is seeking approval of four out of
- 19 sixteen projects from the latest Food Production Investment
- 20 Program's competitive grant solicitation for a total amount
- 21 of \$23.2 million. Eight projects from the same grant
- 22 solicitation were approved at the March business meeting.
- 23 And the remaining eight projects will be recommended at a
- 24 later business meeting.
- The purpose of the Food Production Investment

- 1 Program, also known as FPIP, is to fund drop-in and
- 2 emerging energy efficiency technology, renewable energy,
- 3 and fuel-searching technologies to reduce energy use and
- 4 greenhouse gas emissions at California food processing
- 5 facilities.
- 6 Previously we have administered two funding
- 7 opportunities under the FPIP. And to date the CEC has
- 8 awarded a combined \$50 million across 25 projects. In
- 9 addition, back in January 2020 staff issued a fourth and
- 10 final round of funding with \$25 million in available funds
- 11 with applications that were due on February 28, 2020 at the
- 12 Notice of Proposed Awards. It is expected for release
- 13 later this month. Any resulting projects will be
- 14 recommended at a future business meeting this year.
- 15 FPIP is funded through California Climate
- 16 Investments, a statewide initiative that uses Cap and Trade
- 17 dollars to help reduce greenhouse gas emissions, strengthen
- 18 the economy, and improve public health and the environment,
- 19 especially in the disadvantaged and low-income communities
- 20 in California. All four projects will result in reduced
- 21 greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption.
- 22 Staff recommends approval of the following four
- 23 projects. The first project is with Aemetis Advanced Fuels
- 24 Steam. This project will install a mechanical vapor
- 25 recompression system, which will significantly reduce

- 1 natural gas use and greenhouse gas emissions at the
- 2 recipient's biofuels and animal food production facility.
- 3 The system takes steam which would otherwise be wasted and
- 4 recompress it for reuse throughout the facility and will
- 5 significantly reduce the amount of steam and natural gas
- 6 required. This project will demonstrate the potential for
- 7 significantly reducing greenhouse gas emissions at food
- 8 processing and other industrial facilities with large
- 9 evaporation and distillation systems.
- 10 The second project is Gallo Cattle Company, also
- 11 known as Joseph Gallo Farms. Joseph Gallo Farms operates
- 12 an integrated cheese production facility and dairy farm in
- 13 Atwater, California. This project will replace the current
- 14 lagoon digester with a mixed plug flow digester. The
- 15 associated change from fresh manure to scrape manure will
- 16 save almost 100 million gallons of water. And the changes
- 17 to a mixed plug flow digester will generate more biogas,
- 18 which will be burned to generate renewable electricity.
- 19 These systems have been implemented in small dairies in
- 20 Wyoming and Idaho, but this will be the first installation
- 21 of its kind in the California site.
- 22 The third project is with Anheuser-Busch. This
- 23 project will design, install and operate a microgrid system
- 24 and will significantly reduce the grid electricity
- 25 consumption and greenhouse gas emissions at the recipient's

- 1 Southern California brewery. The microgrid system consists
- 2 of 4 megawatts of roof-mounted solar photovoltaic system on
- 3 5 buildings, and a 1.8-megawatt lithium ion battery energy
- 4 storage system. And also a microgrid controller system
- 5 that will enable the facility to operate in both grid-
- 6 connected and island mode as needed.
- 7 And finally the fourth project is with Neil Jones
- 8 Food Company. This project will design, install and
- 9 operate a microgrid system that will significantly reduce
- 10 the grid electricity consumption and greenhouse gas
- 11 emissions at the recipient's tomato processing facility in
- 12 Firebaugh. The microgrid system consists of a 6.5-megawatt
- 13 upper roof mounted solar photovoltaic arrays on three
- 14 existing buildings and integrated 1.6-megawatt battery
- 15 energy storage system and microgrid controllers. The
- 16 battery storage system and the microgrid controllers will
- 17 enable the facility to operate essential components in case
- 18 of a power outage for at least four hours.
- 19 Before closing I would like to take this
- 20 opportunity to extend my sincere appreciation to all the
- 21 food processors that the CEC staff are working with on a
- 22 day-to-day basis. And applaud them for their diligence and
- 23 hard work, especially during these challenging times as
- 24 they serve Californians and other parts of the nation with
- 25 essential, critical services.

- 1 Staff recommends approval of these four projects.
- 2 And this concludes my presentation. And I'm available to
- 3 answer any questions you may have.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you very much, Cyrus,
- 5 for that.
- 6 Let's go to public comment. Is Raghuveer
- 7 Karipineni on the line?
- 8 MR. GHANDI: Unfortunately he was earlier on the
- 9 line, but he had to go. He had some operations that needed
- 10 him to be present, so yeah. As we know food processors are
- 11 very busy at this time and it's understandable.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Is there anyone else on
- 13 the line, Cody, that you have?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary and there
- 15 is nobody on the line.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- 17 Madam Public Advisor, any other written comments
- 18 on this?
- 19 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi, Public Advisor. No
- 20 comments.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Let's go to
- 22 Commissioner discussion and questions, starting with Vice
- 23 Chair Scott.
- 24 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: So we had an excellent
- 25 discussion I think last month of the FPIP program. We had

- 1 about eight projects as Cyrus mentioned come through last
- 2 month. And we heard from some of the awardees. I'm sorry
- 3 we that we missed the one today. Thank him for calling
- 4 please, and sorry we didn't get to the item while he still
- 5 had time to chat with us.
- 6 But this is just a really great program. I think
- 7 it's a good set of projects that the team has identified
- 8 and pulled forward. They will bring greenhouse gas
- 9 emissions to the state, and therein as you all know, the
- 10 food processing industry is incredibly important for the
- 11 economic driver for the state of California. And keeping
- 12 it as such while we're driving down greenhouse gas
- 13 emissions is just incredibly important. I think the team
- 14 has done a great job with this. I think outreaching to
- 15 food processors, as I mentioned last time, is a different
- 16 area. These folks before may not have been used to working
- 17 with the Energy Commission, but now they are. And I think
- 18 our team and the food processors have done a great job
- 19 working together to make this program as effective as it
- 20 possibly can be. So I highly recommend these four projects
- 21 to you. And we have a few more that will be coming in the
- 22 next couple months as well. And I think Cyrus mentioned
- 23 that in his presentation too.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- Commissioner Douglas, any comments or questions?

- 1 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: I spoke to this at the
- 2 last business meeting. I'm excited to see these projects.
- 3 And I'm really happy to see this partnership and this
- 4 program move forward.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah. Commissioner
- 6 McAllister?
- 7 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, likewise and just
- 8 also looking for opportunities as we can build on the
- 9 success of this model and broaden it to more industrial
- 10 sectors and broaden its coverage across the state. Success
- 11 breeds success, and we need their emissions across the
- 12 board. And this is a great, great sector to be starting
- 13 this effort in.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great, thank you.
- 15 Commissioner Monahan?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yeah, I agree with those
- 17 comments that have already been said. And especially want
- 18 to reiterate something Commissioner McAllister said, which
- 19 is that we want these grants to be able to spur deeper
- 20 investments across the state and to encourage businesses to
- 21 implement cost-effective measures on their own since we're
- 22 spreading the lessons learned from this project. These
- 23 investments I think will be really important for helping to
- 24 have solutions that migrate across the entire state.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.

- 1 Let's entertain a motion on this item. I guess
- 2 the final thing I would say is just it's great to get money
- 3 out the door right now. The economy desperately needs
- 4 that. And I really want to thank staff for pushing this
- 5 out the door. I know this is much needed in this moment.
- 6 So with that, I'll entertain a motion. Vice
- 7 Chair Scott, would you willing to move this item?
- 8 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yes.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Commissioner Douglas?
- 10 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I will move approval of Item
- 11 11.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Commissioner Douglas
- 13 would you be willing to second?
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Second.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Let's take the vote.
- Vice Chair Scott?
- 17 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 19 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 21 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 23 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. Thank
- 25 you.

- 1 Let's move on to Item 12, Low Carbon Fuel
- 2 Production Program. And we'll go to Taiying Zhang. Again,
- 3 please spell your name and give your name and title there.
- 4 MS. ZHANG: Good afternoon, Commissioners. This
- 5 is Taiving Zhang to present Item 12. My name is spelled T-
- 6 a-i-y-i-n-q, Last Name Z-h-a-n-q. I'm an Air Pollution
- 7 Specialist from the Advanced Fuels and Vehicle Technologies
- 8 Office in the Fuels and Transportation Division. Today I'm
- 9 seeking approval of three Low Carbon Fuel Production
- 10 Program grant agreements.
- On August 28, 2019, the California Energy
- 12 Commission released a grant solicitation to fund ultra-low-
- 13 carbon transportation fuel production at new and existing
- 14 facilities. The solicitation announced the availability of
- 15 up to \$12.5 million in Greenhouse Gas Reduction Funds. On
- 16 January 21st of this year, four projects were proposed for
- 17 award. Today, we are seeking approval for three of these
- 18 proposed awards.
- 19 The first project is with Merced Pipeline LLC for
- 20 about 2.5 million to design, build, and commission a
- 21 biomethane conditioning facility in Merced County. The
- 22 project will collect biogas captured from a cluster of nine
- 23 local dairy digesters and transport the gas via a private,
- 24 low-pressure pipeline to an upgrading facility. The
- 25 finished fuel will then be injected into the PG&E utility

- 1 pipeline for transport to new and existing CNG refueling
- 2 stations in the California Central Valley.
- 3 The second project is with Lakeside Pipeline for
- 4 about \$800,000 to design, build, and commission a biogas
- 5 conditioning facility in Kings County. The project will
- 6 also collect biogas captured from a cluster of nine local
- 7 dairy digesters and transport the gas via a private, low-
- 8 pressure pipeline to an upgrading facility. The finished
- 9 fuel will then be injected into the SoCalGas utility
- 10 pipeline for transport to new and existing CNG stations in
- 11 the Central Valley.
- 12 The third project is with Rialto Bioenergy
- 13 facility for 5 million to construct a biogas upgrading
- 14 facility in Rialto. This new expansion will process an
- 15 additional 300 tons of food and organic waste and inject
- 16 the biomethane into the SoCalGas utility pipeline for
- 17 transportation use.
- 18 Overall, these three agreements will produce 7.8
- 19 million DGE of biomethane which could fuel 1,000
- 20 (indiscernible) trucks. It will support the state's short-
- 21 lived climate pollution strategy and methane reduction
- 22 goals under SB 1383. Dairy-related methane is responsible
- 23 for more than half of in-state methane emissions. These
- 24 projects could annually reduce greenhouse gas emissions by
- 25 3.7 M MTCO2e per year which equals the removal of about .8

- 1 million cars from the road. These projects will also
- 2 reduce NOx emissions by 2.1 million pounds and diesel PM by
- 3 31,000 pounds. Two of the projects are located in
- 4 (indiscernible) and all three projects will directly
- 5 benefit priority populations.
- 6 This concludes my presentation. Thanks for your
- 7 consideration. Mr. Stephen Hatley from Maas Energy Works
- 8 for Merced and the Lakeside Pipeline Project, Andrew Dale
- 9 from Rialto Bioenergy Facility are on the phone to answer
- 10 questions. Thank you.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you very much, Taiying.
- 12 Let's go to public comment. Are there speakers
- 13 on the line, Cody?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: Yeah, we have Stephen Hatley,
- 15 CFO from Maas Energy Works and Dr. Andrew Dale from Rialto
- 16 Bioenergy LLC on the line.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Let's take them in that
- 18 order. Dr. Hatley?
- DR. HATLEY: Yeah. Can you hear me?
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes, we can. Yes.
- DR. HATLEY: Great, thank you. Yeah, again, my
- 22 name is Stephen Hatley. That spelled "S-t-e-p-h-e-n H-a-t-
- 23 l-e-y." I'm the Director of Finance for Merced Pipeline
- 24 LLC and Lakeside Pipeline LLC. We are very excited about
- 25 the Lakeside and Merced cluster projects in the hope to

- 1 benefit these projects we'll provide to the state, to our
- 2 local communities, and to our 19 dairy partners. As
- 3 Taiying presented, these projects will provide a new source
- 4 of carbon-negative vehicle fuels that will be delivered to
- 5 fleets in the California Central Valley, leading to
- 6 substantial greenhouse gas emission reductions that are
- 7 consistent with the state's environmental goals.
- 8 Additionally the project will provide
- 9 environmental benefits, jobs and economic support to our
- 10 partner communities in Merced, Kings and Tulare counties as
- 11 well as surrounding counties, many of which are AB 1550 and
- 12 SB 535 communities.
- 13 Finally, these projects will provide a new source
- 14 of income to our participating dairy partners, which will
- 15 support their economic sustainability and the much-needed
- 16 jobs they provide to these local communities.
- We're incredibly grateful to the CEC's
- 18 partnership with our companies on these projects. The CEC
- 19 staff has been very supportive and helpful so far. And the
- 20 financial support provided by these awards is key to our
- 21 projects' ability to move forward with confidence,
- 22 especially during these uncertain economic times. I thank
- 23 you again. And please let me know if I can answer any
- 24 specific questions about the projects.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you very much.

- 1 Let's go to Dr. Dale.
- 2 DR. DALE: Hello this is Andrew Dale from Rialto
- 3 Bioenergy Facility. And we would just like to thank the
- 4 CEC and staff for the continued support of our project in
- 5 Rialto. With this expansion we'll be able to fully, fully
- 6 utilize all of the digestion capacity of the plant and
- 7 produce over a million BTU per year of renewable natural
- 8 gas for injections into the SoCalGas pipeline.
- 9 So this is a very exciting project and we're
- 10 excited to actually come online with the first two phases
- 11 of the project this summer. And the third phase will be on
- 12 early next year. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great. Thank you.
- 14 Any additional comments from the Public Advisor?
- MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 16 Advisor. No comments.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Let's go to comments
- 18 and questions from the Commissioners, starting with
- 19 Commissioner Monahan.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yeah. Well, first I just
- 21 want to thank Taiying, Elizabeth, John and all those folks
- 22 in the Fuels and Transportation Division for pulling these
- 23 proposed awards together. This is almost the end of the
- 24 GGRF funding for biofuels, I'm sad to say. And these
- 25 projects in particular where we capture methane and we use

- 1 it for transportation, we would put this in the win-win-win
- 2 category. So the first win is they help the state better
- 3 manage a major source of greenhouse gases, methane
- 4 emission. The second win is we're replacing diesel with a
- 5 cleaner and lower carbon fuel. And finally, we're
- 6 increasing the state's capacity to produce renewable
- 7 natural gas instead of having to import it.
- 8 And let me just talk for a minute about the
- 9 importance of methane, so methane is what, the second
- 10 biggest source of greenhouse gas emissions as a pollutant
- 11 in the state of California. And it counts for about 9
- 12 percent of greenhouse gas emissions. It's a short-lived
- 13 climate pollutant, which -- and it's very potent, so it's
- 14 particularly important to be able to capture these
- 15 emissions. And to be able to use it for transportation is
- 16 just a great use of the fuel.
- We're currently using about 100 million gallons,
- 18 and that's in diesel gallon equivalents, of renewable
- 19 natural gas. And most of this is from out of state. So
- 20 making sure that California companies are benefiting and
- 21 that we're using that fuel in the State of California field
- 22 is just a nice closed loop fuel production.
- 23 So one last thing and then I'm done with my
- 24 comments is that staff look at how much diesel will be
- 25 displaced by these three projects. And combined, the three

- 1 projects will produce about 8 million diesel-gallon
- 2 equivalents of renewable natural gas. So that's if we're
- 3 currently using 100, 8 million more is pretty significant.
- 4 And if all of the fuel produced was used for garbage
- 5 trucks, that's enough fuel for 1,000 PNG refuse trucks
- 6 every year. So it's a significant amount of fuel and I
- 7 just want to give my strong support for these projects.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Great thank you so much.
- 9 Vice Chair Scott, any comments or questions?
- 10 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I do not have any additional
- 11 comments or questions.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay.
- 13 Commissioner Douglas?
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: No comments.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: No. No comments.
- 17 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Commissioner Monahan,
- 18 would you make a motion?
- 19 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yes. I move to approve
- 20 Item 12a, b and c.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Commissioner McAllister
- 22 would you second?
- 23 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Absolutely. Second.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. All in favor, let's --
- 25 Commissioner Monahan.

- 1 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 3 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 7 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. That
- 9 item passes unanimously. Let's move on to the Minutes.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: And by the way this is
- 11 Andrew --
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: If it's okay with everyone,
- 13 we're 12:50 now. My inclination is just to power through
- 14 if that's all right, but is that okay with everyone? Or do
- 15 people want to take a short break?
- 16 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: It works for me.
- 17 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Let's just power through.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, okay. Let's take up
- 19 Item 13, minutes.
- 20 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: I'll move the minutes.
- 21 This is Andrew.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Well, any public
- 23 comment on the minutes first?
- 24 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Oh, sorry.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Cody?

- 1 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary. We have
- 2 no public comment on the phone.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Noemi?
- 4 MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, Public
- 5 Advisor. No comments.
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Unless there's any
- 7 comments from the Commissioners let's take a --
- 8 Commissioner McAllister would you make a motion?
- 9 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: I'll move Item 13.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Commissioner Douglas
- 11 would you second?
- 12 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: This is Vice Chair Scott, I'll
- 13 second. Oh, sorry, either way.
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott? That's
- 15 fine, that's fine. Vice Chair Scott seconds. Let's take a
- 16 vote. Commissioner McAllister.
- 17 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott.
- 19 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Douglas?
- 21 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan.
- 23 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. That
- 25 passes unanimously.

- 1 Let's move on to Item 14, Lead Commissioner or
- 2 Presiding Member Reports. Why don't we start with Vice
- 3 Chair Scott?
- 4 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Oh okay, great. So I don't
- 5 have too much to report here. I just wanted to take a
- 6 minute and I'm sure the Chair will do this as well, and he
- 7 did at the beginning of the meeting. But I really wanted
- 8 to thank all of the staff at the Energy Commission. I
- 9 think that it has been a lot of flexibility and nimbleness
- 10 that I've seen people bring to these unprecedented times.
- 11 A lot of CEC can-do spirit is what I'm calling it. And we
- 12 have gone from having a few people who were able to
- 13 telework sometimes to having everybody pretty much be able
- 14 to telework all of the time. That's a huge list by our IT
- 15 team. Our Executive Office has really helped a lot to put
- 16 all of this together.
- 17 And then with all of the folks that we work with:
- 18 the Contracts, Grants and Loans; our Chief Counsel's
- 19 Office; the Public Advisor's team, really thinking through
- 20 what do we need to put in place to streamline the work that
- 21 we do at the Energy Commission that still has the same
- 22 level of due diligence, the same level of robustness, but a
- 23 way that we can do it in a much more streamlined fashion
- 24 recognizing that our staff is mostly teleworking. And that
- 25 the folks that we work with in the local communities and

- 1 cities and regions around the state, folks who are our
- 2 project proponents and who are carrying out the projects
- 3 for us also are teleworking and trying to figure out how to
- 4 home school and taking care of parents and all kinds of
- 5 things like that. And so putting in this kind of
- 6 flexibility and nimbleness so that we can continue to carry
- 7 out the important and meaningful mission of the Energy
- 8 Commission, but with sort of this flexibility and
- 9 nimbleness in these unprecedented times.
- 10 And so I just really do want to say thank you to
- 11 all of the staff, but especially to our Executive Office,
- 12 to the IT team, to our Contracts, Grants and Loans team,
- 13 the Chief Counsel's Office, the Public Advisor's Office,
- 14 my team for helping support me in all of this. And to the
- 15 Chair for his excellent leadership as we continue forward.
- 16 So that is I just want to say thanks to everybody. That's
- 17 all I would like to report on today.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you for those comments.
- 19 Commissioner Douglas?
- 20 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Well, I certainly forward
- 21 and join in Commissioner Scott's comments here. I think
- 22 the Energy Commission as a whole has done a really good job
- 23 of moving into online work. And that's been facilitated
- 24 with your leadership, Chair Hochschild. And also the great
- 25 work of the management of the Energy Commission and the IT

- 1 Department among many others.
- 2 And I'll just say in terms of the areas where I
- 3 have a policy lead role, so the Staff Division and
- 4 Renewables I've been in contact, and in general with pretty
- 5 frequent contact with management in those divisions. And
- 6 they are proceeding to do their work. And we've kind of
- 7 had some fairly detailed conversations about timelines and
- 8 so on. And I'm happy to say that it looks like we're on
- 9 track. So that's I think all I need to report on today.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: That's great.
- 11 Commissioner Monahan.
- 12 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yeah. I agree
- 13 wholeheartedly with the accolades from the IT team and
- 14 Jason and Courtney Smith. I mean it was like a whole set
- 15 of a SWAT team of folks engaged in figuring out how we
- 16 could be -- work remotely and still be effective. And to
- 17 roll out so many different tools for online and remote
- 18 working simultaneously, SharePoint and time, and some of us
- 19 were on Zoom. And I mean it was really just super-
- 20 impressive. So I mean I was blown away at actually how
- 21 quickly we could move. And so I think we're all trying to
- 22 figure out how do we do public engagement in a remote
- 23 setting. And we've learned I think. So there are some
- 24 lessons learned from this business meeting about what works
- 25 and what doesn't work. And how do we continue to

- 1 incorporate lessons learned so that we can do more
- 2 effective public engagement, even as we are working
- 3 remotely.
- 4 And it's something, Commissioner Scott and
- 5 Commissioner Douglas, I'm thinking about how we could
- 6 engage with ACAB (phonetic) potentially in helping us take
- 7 this through. We're trying to figure out for the Clean
- 8 Transportation Program how to do this more effectively.
- 9 And I think that we all really will need to work together
- 10 to ensure that as we move to online platforms we do it in a
- 11 way that is the most effective at engaging communities that
- 12 are distracted by maybe they don't have Wi-Fi, they don't
- 13 have computers, they don't have access in the way that we
- 14 need to in order for a remote public engagement to work
- 15 most effectively. So I just think that there's a lot of
- 16 work we can all do together and with some of our partner
- 17 advisors on integrating tools and lessons learned for
- 18 remote work.
- 19 And I wanted to share that I did do the week
- 20 after we got the shelter in place, I was on a CALSTART
- 21 Panel, a Zoom meeting, and it had I think close to between
- 22 150 and 200 participants, so on a single Zoom meeting 250
- 23 participants. And the panel that I was on included
- 24 Assemblymember Reyes, Cliff Rechtschaffen, Tyson Eckerle and
- 25 Carla Peterman. And we were all just like our little faces

- 1 were up there, and it actually created a weirdly intimate
- 2 setting. Because you didn't see the audience you only saw
- 3 these other people. And nobody used titles, which was kind
- 4 of funny. Everybody used first names, which doesn't really
- 5 happen in these big meetings, because I think it was this
- 6 weirdly personal experience. So it gave me hope that there
- 7 are ways to use technology to maybe not give you that same
- 8 experience as an in-person meeting, but at least an
- 9 effective experience.
- I wanted to also share that I've been holding
- 11 pretty much daily Zoom meetings with my little team. And
- 12 that's been really an effective way to kind of stay in
- 13 touch with each other about what's going on in terms of our
- 14 workload. And how are we managing it with small kids, with
- 15 some people running around or for people worried about
- 16 their parents. And I think there's just so many issues
- 17 that we are trying to foster this environment where
- 18 everybody feels supported, everybody is able to work at
- 19 their edge.
- 20 And we recognize that the conditions are going to
- 21 vary widely across the agency. And we need to be cognizant
- 22 of that. So one of the messages I've been getting out to
- 23 the Fuels and Transportation Division is like let's
- 24 prioritize as David said in getting money out the door.
- 25 And let's think about reviving timelines for reports that

- 1 maybe aren't so time sensitive. And actually with the
- 2 COVID-19 crisis we may need a little more time to figure
- 3 out what our messages are anyway. So this could include
- 4 2127 and the IEPR. Just trying to think through well how
- 5 much flexibility do we really have on timing? How do we
- 6 make sure that this is a workload that is sustainable? And
- 7 how do we make sure that as we do public engagements for
- 8 these products that we do it in a way that's most
- 9 effective.
- 10 And then the last I'm going to say, sorry, but
- 11 this is nice to be able to talk to you guys directly in
- 12 this. It's the first time we've all been able to talk
- 13 since shelter in place. So I heard a lot of great things
- 14 about the Town Hall that the Chair and others I guess put
- 15 together. And especially around how the Chair's wife
- 16 talking about the medical issues was really well-received
- 17 from the staff that I talked to.
- 18 So I'm planning on holding a meeting with the
- 19 Fuels and Transportation Division next week that is
- 20 including Lizzie Williamson, who is Deputy Secretary for
- 21 External Affairs and our own Lena Ludisma (phonetic) -- I'm
- 22 sorry if I'm mispronouncing her last name. And our goal is
- 23 to really communicate future priorities, but also support
- 24 the staff and help just keep continuing to specialize the
- 25 tools that are out there to support staff both with their

- 1 professional and their personal challenges that many are
- 2 facing through this pandemic.
- 3 So I'm excited to have that. And maybe at our
- 4 next business meeting I'll let you guys know how it all
- 5 goes. But as I'm trying to think through like well I feel
- 6 very connected to my little team through our daily Zoom
- 7 meetings where we see each other, and we see each other's
- 8 houses and we see each other's kids. And I want to be able
- 9 to support STD and have that kind of same level of
- 10 communication intimacy with the broad STD, trying to use
- 11 Zoom as a platform for that. Whew, that was a lot.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Good. Thank you for those
- 13 comments. Yeah, when Commissioner McAllister and I did the
- 14 Town Hall and it was really, really fruitful.
- Commissioner McAllister?
- 16 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yes. Let me see here,
- 17 hold on. Here we go. So thanks a lot. Yeah, I've had no
- 18 technology problems at this meeting, so fingers crossed. I
- 19 quess I'll knock on wood. Hope I didn't just jinx it.
- But I wanted to just pile on with a thanks to the
- 21 Executive Office and IT and the Public Advisor and all the
- 22 staff for this amazing and seamless pivot to doing
- 23 telework. I wanted to include Lindsey in that as well. And
- 24 the training with Jason, certainly the training that has
- 25 been cranked out is quality and solving problems in real

- 1 time. And how do you do X-Y-Z function. And they're just
- 2 really nimble on their feet in providing a lot of great
- 3 sort of coaching and feedback on staff, including the
- 4 Commissioners. But up and down the whole agency, so has
- 5 really been impressive. And my own staff in my office has
- 6 helped me tremendously. We've all kind of been trying to
- 7 self-support on this. And I just want kudos to the whole
- 8 Commission. I mean, everyone has their challenges right?
- 9 And overall the level of professionalism has just been
- 10 amazing.
- And there's something that Commissioner Monahan
- 12 just said, the balance, the work-life balance is shifting
- 13 around as the people's lives and their personal and
- 14 professional lives kind of are having this forced merging.
- 15 But it's been really impressive to see people's
- 16 intelligence and maturity and professionalism sort of surf
- 17 on top of the conditions as they change. And so that's
- 18 been really great to see. And I think we all know what a
- 19 wonderful agency we are part of and we're very fortunate.
- I wanted to just highlight a couple of things.
- 21 We have a leadership role out there in the world. And I'm
- 22 thinking as the lead policy person on buildings and
- 23 Efficiency Code and electricity and natural gas, in
- 24 particular anything that touches buildings is out there
- 25 with local governments and building departments. And there

- 1 is a very close interface with home and business owners and
- 2 residents across the state, millions of people. And so our
- 3 people are looking to us to help guide what happens in that
- 4 interaction in the project environment out there in the
- 5 state. And so we've been working on that. I want to thank
- 6 Mike Sokol and Maria White (phonetic) and her team for
- 7 their effort there. And it's a sort of shifting situation,
- 8 so we're trying to be responsive the building apartments
- 9 across the state.
- 10 The other thing I wanted to bring up was the U.S.
- 11 Energy and Employment Report. It rolled out officially I
- 12 believe since our last meeting, I think it was on the cusp
- 13 of our last meeting. But NASEO, the National Association
- 14 of State Energy Officials, and the Energy Futures
- 15 Institute, EFI, have put this thing together for the
- 16 nation, which is now public. And we worked with the PUC
- 17 actually to joint-fund a California specific add-on effort
- 18 to that report. So that is in review and going back and
- 19 forth a little bit. But in a matter of a short few weeks
- 20 that will also be available.
- 21 But the highlights are that across the nation
- 22 energy efficiency is responsible for 2.4 million jobs,
- 23 roughly the same as the whole transmission distribution and
- 24 storage industry in the electric sector. And so those are
- 25 by far the largest sectors, and those are the largest

- 1 sectors of the energy economy. And on the generation solar
- 2 and wind remain the fastest growing sectors and currently
- 3 have about 360,000 jobs and pushing half of those are in
- 4 California. So coal is much smaller in terms of job
- 5 numbers and it's on an actual decline. It's not where the
- 6 jobs will be going forward.
- 7 Motor vehicles overall are at 2.5 million roughly
- 8 and within that clean fuel and transportation jobs are
- 9 about 20 percent, so California obviously is leading there
- 10 and is very well positioned. So the California numbers are
- 11 I'd say relatively even more impressive just because we do
- 12 -- our economy tilts more towards clean energy. And so
- 13 efficiency is more than our population weighted average or
- 14 amount in terms of jobs in the state. So about 320,000-
- 15 plus jobs are in the energy efficiency realm. A lot of
- 16 those are construction. They look like construction but
- 17 they're not, and not entirely. There's a lot of
- 18 sophisticated technology and manufacturing design and many,
- 19 many elements of the efficiency economy. Solar, about 120
- 20 plus 1,000, alternative fuel vehicles about 40,000, and
- 21 that includes 24,000 in EV manufacturing.
- 22 So the Energy and Employment Report really
- 23 provides us with a solid basis for understanding where we
- 24 were in 2019. Now that's a big caveat right now is that
- 25 all the survey work that was done for that report was done

- 1 in 2019 when we had this different economy than we have
- 2 right now. But I think the upside of having these numbers
- 3 is that it lets us look at the structural developments of
- 4 the job market and it lets us think about how we want to
- 5 emerge from this recession.
- I think Chair you said something earlier about
- 7 this where we really want to emerge from this recession
- 8 with a game plan in funding the right areas and looking to
- 9 the future to where our economy is really going to land in
- 10 the most robust way and on the most solid platform and
- 11 foundation. And so we can take heart from the picture of
- 12 where the clean energy economy is. And where we want the
- 13 post-COVID economy to unfold and lay the groundwork for
- 14 that.
- 15 So that California version should be out in a
- 16 couple or a few weeks, but the U.S. Energy and Employment
- 17 Report is already out. There was a big rollout a few weeks
- 18 ago and that's a really great document. And I think that
- 19 we ought to be using bits and pieces of it in our outreach,
- 20 in our interfacing with other stakeholders.
- 21 The last thing I want to say is just remind
- 22 everyone that the Speaker Series continues. And on the
- 23 15th next week we have the Cooperatives are going to come
- 24 speak to us about the co-op business model and its presence
- 25 in California. It's kind of under-appreciated I think.

- 1 It's relatively small but the co-ops are a big presence in
- 2 much of the U.S. and have a really august history in terms
- 3 of electrifying the rural areas of the U.S. But really
- 4 modernizing and innovating along the way providing those
- 5 essential services. And they are consumer-owned. And so
- 6 as a model it might have an opening for more traction in
- 7 California going forward. I wanted to make sure that they
- 8 had a chance to show, to explain more broadly to folks who
- 9 might not understand or be as familiar with the cooperative
- 10 model that it is a business model that works. And it keeps
- 11 rates low and has a lot of advantages of autonomy across a
- 12 big state like ours. So with that I will wrap up.
- 13 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Commissioner for
- 14 those comments. And you're absolutely right about
- 15 continuing the Speaker Series. I think for a little while
- 16 a lot of things got cancelled sort of across the state.
- 17 Now we're really just trying to proceed remotely in all
- 18 these things including quest speakers. We also have Moe
- 19 Beggin, (phonetic) formerly Deputy Secretary of the Navy is
- 20 going to give a talk on national security and climate,
- 21 Lieutenant Governor and some others. I think it's a great
- 22 opportunity for staff to continue to deepen their
- 23 knowledge. I look forward to hearing the Co-op speaker as
- 24 well.
- 25 So I think in a crisis the most important thing

- 1 we can do every day is prioritize, because priorities do
- 2 change. And then to communicate well. And as I mentioned
- 3 at the outset my goal for us is to do as best as we
- 4 possibly can to recession proof the innovation economy.
- 5 And get our money out the door and be as supportive as we
- 6 possibly can. I want to specially recognize Jen, Martin
- 7 Gallardo, Noemi's husband has been doing a spectacular job
- 8 on a whole bunch of (indiscernible) busting including
- 9 advocating for electronic fund transfers. Right now the
- 10 checks are being sent from the State Controller's Office
- 11 directly to people in offices, which are empty. We're
- 12 trying to see if we can get that, do direct deposit, and
- 13 move to DocuSign on various things and so a bunch of
- 14 repairs and upgrades there.
- 15 You know a lot of us will have -- I think the
- 16 world and the state will not be the same after this. I've
- 17 been thinking a lot about what does it look like after. I
- 18 think things like working remotely are going to be
- 19 enhanced. Certainly all of my colleagues and Legislators
- 20 I'm in touch with in L.A. said the air quality has never
- 21 been better, in L.A. especially. And I think this is kind
- 22 of giving people a taste of what's possible if we take all
- 23 the actions that we need to on climate. And so I'm
- 24 interested to see how things unfold, particularly the work
- 25 from home element of that. But I think some of these

- 1 practices. And we're going to continue to try and get
- 2 better here and then evaluate every possible system we can
- 3 to better support remote work.
- I do want to say we got a new program last week
- 5 from the Public Utilities Commission. We've been working
- 6 closely with them, Commissioner McAllister and Commissioner
- 7 Randolph especially. It's an \$80 million program to
- 8 support building electrification incentives for low-income
- 9 communities, and another great program that we're going to
- 10 be scaling up and doing our best to get the money out the
- 11 door as quickly as possible. And so the journey continues.
- 12 And we'll just keep at it.
- 13 Let's go on to Item 15 Executive Director's
- 14 Report.
- Drew, are you on mute? Drew we can't hear you.
- 16 Let's go on to Item 15 Executive Director's
- 17 Report. Drew, are you on mute? Drew we can't hear you.
- 18 MR. BOHAN: Can anyone hear me? Hello?
- 19 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah, yeah I can hear
- 20 you I think.
- 21 MR. BOHAN: Can you hear me now?
- 22 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Yeah.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, we can hear you. Yes,
- 24 go ahead. Yes.
- MR. BOHAN: Okay. Well just off the back and

- 1 forth. I just had a couple of quick messages. Thank you.
- 2 The first is to the public and that is we're open for
- 3 business. And we've been open for business the whole time.
- 4 This meeting has had its fits and starts, but I think it's
- 5 an illustration of how we're trying our level best to serve
- 6 the public. And I'm pleased with the job we're doing
- 7 overall.
- 8 The second message is to staff and we've talked
- 9 about this internally. And I'm grateful for the comments
- 10 you have made Commissioners at this meeting, but I also
- 11 want to just reach out and extend my thanks and gratitude
- 12 to our staff for a remarkable job of getting us rolling
- 13 very quickly. And everybody regardless of their role is
- 14 figuring out how to adapt. And so I'm really pleased.
- 15 Definitely want to point to IT in particular, but in
- 16 addition to IT really staff of the organization.
- 17 And then finally to you five I want to thank you
- 18 for your support. And want to acknowledge that we did have
- 19 some struggles today with the platform. We have a bit of a
- 20 social experiment going on with staff trying all manner of
- 21 tools that are out there. The lexicon has changed in the
- 22 last two weeks with regard to how we talk to one another.
- 23 And I expect our May business meeting to likely be on the
- 24 Zoom platform. We'll give that a try. It's been working
- 25 very well for us. We're going to give that a very hard

- 1 look. We've got a team looking at all those questions. So
- 2 thank you for your indulgence and patience. And that ends
- 3 my report.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thanks. Let's move on
- 5 to Item 16, Public Advisor's Report.
- 6 MS. GALLARDO: Hello, this is Noemi Gallardo, the
- 7 Public Advisor. I have a quick report. One, I want to
- 8 echo Drew's comments that 16.we are definitely here for the
- 9 public. My office in particular is still taking calls and
- 10 emails, any type of public correspondence we get. So I
- 11 welcome the public to continue reaching out to us.
- 12 And then second, aside from all the other teams
- 13 that have been praised for their tremendous work in pulling
- 14 off this 100 percent virtual meeting I also want to give
- 15 thanks to the Chief Counsel's Office who I hadn't heard yet
- 16 been praised. And in particular, Cody Goldthrite, our
- 17 Secretary has done a ton of work and I really appreciate
- 18 him. Lisa Lopez as well who has been in charge of moving
- 19 our material on the screen and Darcie Houck for providing
- 20 me in particular quidance and input on all of this. So
- 21 thank you to them. That concludes my report.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Yeah, let me
- 23 second that thanks to Cody and to Darcie.
- Okay, let's go to Item 17, Public Comment. Do we
- 25 have any public comment on the line? Noemi, do you have

- 1 any additional public comment?
- MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi. I do have one
- 3 clarification to make. We do have an additional item,
- 4 Number 18 that is listed on the agenda after the general
- 5 public comment period. And the Commission will hear public
- 6 comments specifically on that item, Number 18, after the
- 7 parties have presented argument when that argument is
- 8 called. However, if the public would like to comment now
- 9 on Item 18 they are welcome to do so as long as the
- 10 comments aren't from a party addressing the motion and are
- 11 not specific to the party's substantive position on Item
- 12 18. So thank you for allowing me to make that
- 13 clarification.
- 14 And I believe there are at least one person on
- 15 the line to make public comments.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, go ahead. Who's on the
- 17 line?
- MR. GOLDTHRITE: We have Diego Morales from
- 19 Brightline Defense on the line.
- 20 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Hi Diego, go ahead.
- 21 MR. MORALES: Good afternoon Commissioners. My
- 22 name is Diego Morales, D-i-e-g-o M-o-r-a-l-e-s, and I
- 23 represent Brightline Defense, an environmental justice
- 24 nonprofit based in San Francisco.
- 25 Throughout the past month Brightline Defense has

- 1 spoken of our work on analyzing how the workforce
- 2 transitioning to teleworking shifts the costs of daytime
- 3 energy consumption from businesses to individual
- 4 households. On March 18th we submitted a letter to
- 5 Governor Newsom, the Assembly, Utilities and Energy
- 6 Committee, the Senate Committee on Energy, Utilities and
- 7 Communications, the California Public Utilities Commission
- 8 and the California Energy Commission to advocate for
- 9 adjusting energy rates, so that Californians are not
- 10 shocked by substantially higher utility bills. These
- 11 increased energy costs will be especially detrimental for
- 12 those also struggling with the sudden economic downturn.
- 13 As of April 6th the state has received a
- 14 staggering 1.9 million unemployment claims since March 13th
- 15 as businesses shut down. And this trend has only projected
- 16 to increase as social distancing directives are extended.
- 17 Unemployed workers are more likely to be at home. And
- 18 shelter in place mandates have increased energy usage,
- 19 driving up utility bills further impacting those who lost
- 20 their jobs or got their hours cut.
- 21 Additionally, the education burden of keeping up
- 22 with lost instruction will disproportionately affect low-
- 23 income households that rely on public education.
- 24 The California Public Utilities Commission has
- 25 already taken a commendable first step in addressing this

- 1 issue by using funds from the Cap-and-Trade Program to
- 2 offset rising utility bills. More steps however must be
- 3 taken to address the long-term economic fallout expected
- 4 for many months to include adjusting the baseline energy
- 5 allotment of residential tiered energy rate plans would
- 6 work towards lasting and stable economic security during
- 7 times of great uncertainty for many Californians.
- 8 California's energy system has surely been
- 9 important for climate and behavior change by limiting
- 10 energy consumption. Nonetheless, the urgency of this
- 11 pandemic calls for drastic shifts to support families who
- 12 have to work, teach their children and shelter in place
- 13 from home. In these are extraordinary times requiring
- 14 extraordinary leadership I urge you to keep California's
- 15 most vulnerable population at the core of the Energy
- 16 Commission's responses to and recovery plan for COVID-19.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Diego.
- 19 And anything else? Anyone else, Cody, or that
- 20 was it?
- 21 MR. GOLDTHRITE: Sorry, this is the Secretary.
- 22 There's no one else on the line.
- 23 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, so let's move on to Item
- 24 18. This is the Small Power Plant Exemption for the
- 25 Laurelwood Data Center. And for this item, the Commission

- 1 will consider the petition to reconsideration filed by the
- 2 Intervenor Robert Sarvey who filed a motion to strike
- 3 Applicant's opposition for the motion for reconsideration
- 4 on March 26th. He moved to withdraw that motion to strike
- 5 on April 6th, therefore we need not address the withdraw
- 6 motion to strike today.
- 7 I'm now going to explain our process for
- 8 addressing the motion. First, I will ask our Chief Counsel
- 9 Darcie Houck, to summarize the standard for review as to
- 10 the motion.
- 11 After that we'll hear from the parties as
- 12 follows: Mr. Sarvey is the moving party and will present
- 13 his argument first followed by the Project Applicant and
- 14 then Commission staff. After all parties have presented
- 15 their argument, Mr. Sarvey may present a reply to the other
- 16 parties' arguments. Each party may have up to ten minutes
- 17 for their initial arguments and Mr. Sarvey may have up to
- 18 five minutes for each reply argument.
- 19 The Commissioners may ask questions during or
- 20 after the arguments, however given we are conducting this
- 21 hearing remotely and we want to ensure a clear record,
- 22 Commissioners will hold their questions until after each
- 23 party has presented their argument. I will then ask
- 24 Commissioners if they have questions after each party has
- 25 had an opportunity to present their argument as well as

- 1 after Mr. Sarvey presents his reply. After Mr. Sarvey's
- 2 reply to argument, Commissioners may address the questions
- 3 to any party.
- 4 Once the parties' arguments have
- 5 concluded, then we'll take public comments on this
- 6 item. After public comments, the Commissioners may
- 7 go into closed session as specified on the Agenda
- 8 Item 18, which provides notice of a possible closed
- 9 session for deliberation pursuant to Government
- 10 Code Section 11126(c)(3). We are not required to
- 11 go into closed session and we will make that
- 12 determination after the arguments have been
- 13 presented.
- 14 If any Commissioner wishes to proceed to
- 15 closed session they will have an opportunity to say
- 16 so at that time.
- I now ask the Chief Counsel to provide a
- 18 brief overview of the Standard of Review and
- 19 summary of this motion before the Commission.
- MS. HOUCK: Thank you, Chairman. This is the
- 21 Chief Counsel Darcie Houck. That's D-a-r-c-i-e H-o-u-c-k.
- The item is a Petition for Reconsideration of the
- 23 Commission's decision adopted on February 4, 2020, to grant
- 24 an application for a small power plant exemption for the
- 25 Laurelwood Data Center. Mr. Sarvey had filed a motion to

- 1 strike Applicant's opposition as being untimely. Mr.
- 2 Sarvey filed a withdrawal of that motion yesterday. So the
- 3 petition before you is for the motion to reconsider only at
- 4 this time.
- 5 The petition requires the Commission to consider
- 6 the following matters. The first issue is timeliness.
- 7 Parties have raised an issue regarding whether Mr. Sarvey
- 8 filed his petition in a timely manner as the deadline for
- 9 filing petitions was March 5th, 2020. But the docket shows
- 10 the motion was filed at 6:18 p.m. The Laurelwood SPPE
- 11 Committee's General Order regarding motions electronic
- 12 filing service of documents, and other matters for the
- 13 proceeding provides that all filings received after 5:00
- 14 p.m. on a given day shall be deemed filed the following
- 15 business day.
- 16 You'll need to decide whether the failure to
- 17 formally meet that filing deadline of March 5th, 2020, at
- 18 5:00 p.m. obviates the need to address Mr. Sarvey's
- 19 petition. You'll hear arguments from the parties on this
- 20 issue. And Hearing Officer Cochran will speak to this when
- 21 she presents her Proposed Order after parties have had an
- 22 opportunity to present their arguments.
- 23 If you determine that the petition is timely the
- 24 second issue is the Standard of Review, which you will also
- 25 hear argument from the parties on as to what standard the

- 1 Commission should use in addressing Mr. Sarvey's petition.
- The governing statute, Public Resources Code
- 3 Section 25530 states that, "A decision may be reconsidered
- 4 by the Commission on the basis of all pertinent portions of
- 5 the record together with such argument as the Commission
- 6 may permit, or the Commission may hold a further hearing,
- 7 after notice to all interested persons."
- 8 This statute provides no specific guidance about
- 9 the exact Standard of Review however Section 1720 the
- 10 Commission Siting Regulations in Title 20 does provide
- 11 specific guidance on the Standard of Review for a Petition
- 12 for Reconsideration of a decision granting a Notice of
- 13 Intent or Application for Certification. Using that
- 14 Standard of Review identified in that section is guidance
- 15 in considering a petition.
- 16 The Commission would need to find that Mr. Sarvey
- 17 specifically set forth either 1) new evidence that despite
- 18 the diligence of the Moving Party could not have been
- 19 provided during evidentiary hearings on the case. Or 2) an
- 20 error in fact or change or error of law is within the
- 21 Commission's discretion to apply this standard. This is a
- 22 general standard that is not uncommon. And the burden
- 23 would be on Mr. Sarvey to show that there was new
- 24 information that could not have been considered at the time
- 25 the petition was originally filed.

- 1 Again, you will be hearing argument from the
- 2 parties on these issues. And after the conclusion of that
- 3 argument Hearing Officer Cochran will address the specific
- 4 allegations contained in the Sarvey petition. And she will
- 5 present the Hearing Officer's Proposed Order to the
- 6 Commission after which time the Commission can address the
- 7 Proposed Order and either move forward with a motion or go
- 8 to closed session to have further discussion.
- 9 That concludes my comments at this time. I am
- 10 available on the phone. And I will turn this back over to
- 11 the Chair. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. Thank you, Darcie.
- MS. GALLARDO: Chair?
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yes.
- MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, the Public
- 16 Advisor, apologies for interrupting.
- Darcie, could you please repeat the timing that
- 18 you want for the parties to speak?
- MS. HOUCK: The Chair is going to move forward
- 20 and address that. He's got further procedural directions
- 21 for the parties. We've looked at limiting the initial
- 22 arguments to ten minutes. And then Mr. Sarvey would have
- 23 an additional five minutes after staff and Applicant have
- 24 presented their case to submit any reply to their argument.
- MS. GALLARDO: Thank you, Darcie.

- 1 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you.
- I want to remind the parties that this item is
- 3 part of the official record of the proceeding and of the
- 4 business meeting, so we're recording and transcribing this
- 5 hearing. In order to ensure that we have an accurate
- 6 record the parties are asked to speak slowly and clearly.
- 7 This proceeding is being conducted remotely as we
- 8 known and all parties will be unmuted for the duration of
- 9 the public portion of this item.
- 10 Parties are not to interrupt each other. Only
- 11 one party is to speak at a time when called upon by me.
- 12 Before you speak, each time make sure to slowly and clearly
- 13 state your name. Before the arguments begin each of the
- 14 parties is to state their first and last name for the
- 15 record, starting with Mr. Sarvey, then the Applicant and
- 16 finally staff. Do not give your argument at the time,
- 17 solely your name.
- 18 So we're now ready to hear Mr. Sarvey's initial
- 19 argument. If you're on the line, please proceed.
- MR. SARVEY: Good afternoon, Commissioners. The
- 21 GHG -- oh, this is Robert Sarvey.
- The GHG emissions from the Laurelwood Data Center
- 23 are estimated to be 171,770 metric tons of CO2 per year.
- 24 The analysis contained in your decision does not
- 25 demonstrate that the increase in indirect GHG emissions

- 1 from just this project, much less the other seven data
- 2 centers you are permitting, will be compatible with the GHG
- 3 reduction goals required in the local and state GHG
- 4 reduction programs. I've testified to this.
- 5 And recently The Bay Area Air Quality Management
- 6 District has sent letters advising you of this fact as
- 7 well. Air District comments in two of the like-situated
- 8 proceedings, the Sequoia and Walsh Data Center
- 9 applications, have caused a pause in permitting of the two
- 10 projects to address this issue and you should pause this
- 11 approval to address it as well.
- 12 I've encouraged the Committee in this proceeding
- 13 to require higher efficiency from this data center. The
- 14 evidence I've submitted in the record of this proceeding
- 15 demonstrates other data centers, even one across the street
- 16 from the proposed LDC, are achieving much lower PUEs.
- 17 BAAQMD agrees with this in a recent letter and has provided
- 18 two other letters, one on the Sequoia Data Center, and one
- 19 on the Walsh Avenue Data Center recommending a PUE lower
- 20 than 1.25. I've included both letters to you as exhibits
- 21 for this reconsideration request.
- 22 BAAQMD notes in its comments that the Google Data
- 23 Center in Santa Clara is achieving a PUE of 1.06.
- 24 The evidence shows that the particulate matter
- 25 impact from the testing of just one generator at a time at

- 1 the Laurelwood Data Center is estimated to be 2.2
- 2 micrograms per cubic meter of diesel particulate, in an
- 3 area already exceeding the 24-hour and annual state
- 4 standards for particulate matter. In every proceeding I've
- 5 been in this would be considered a significant impact.
- 6 According to the decision, Condition PD-3 is
- 7 proposed because of the importance of the 21-hour limit in
- 8 our analysis of the project's potential to emit criteria
- 9 pollutants. So therefore we impose Condition of Exemption
- $10 \, \text{PD-3}.$
- 11 SB 1099 is a bill that's moving through the State
- 12 Senate, which will make Condition PD-3 unenforceable. SB
- 13 1099 states, "This bill consistent with federal law would
- 14 require air districts to adopt a rule or revise its
- 15 existing laws to allow critical facilities with a permitted
- 16 emergency backup generator to use that emergency backup
- 17 generator during a PSPS event or other loss of power. And
- 18 to test and maintain that backup emergency generator as
- 19 specified, without having that usage testing or maintenance
- 20 count towards the emergency backup generators time
- 21 limitation on actual usage and routine testing and
- 22 maintenance."
- 23 The passage of this bill would basically
- 24 eviscerate Condition of Exemption PD-3, which allows the
- 25 granting of the small power point exemption to the

- 1 Laurelwood Data Center.
- I also want to draw your attention to another
- 3 bill that is moving to through the State Senate that will
- 4 affect your deliberations in this proceeding and also the
- 5 six other data centers you may be reviewing, and that is SB
- 6 858.
- 7 SB 858 is a specific bill, which removes CEC
- 8 jurisdiction over backup diesel generators at data centers.
- 9 Apparently the data center industry is not too fond of our
- 10 processes. They have been lobbying the State Senate to
- 11 remove your jurisdiction. I have trouble understanding why
- 12 these data centers would even file an SPPE application. If
- 13 they filed one application for certification they could
- 14 utilize the one-stop shop the CEC offers, and not be
- 15 exposed to litigation at the Air District level and the
- 16 City of Santa Clara level. I don't understand why they're
- 17 filing SPPE applications.
- 18 Another issue that deserves your attention is the
- 19 statement on Page 11 of the Final Decision. There the
- 20 Final Decision states, "The uncontested evidence shows that
- 21 the backup generators constitute a thermal power plant with
- 22 a generating capacity in excess of 50 megawatts. And none
- 23 are or used urban generators." This makes Section 2003
- 24 inapplicable according to the decision.
- 25 At your adoption hearing, I explained that the

- 1 Commission has many times before utilized Section 2003 to
- 2 determine the generating capacity of projects that utilize
- 3 IC engines, including Quail Brush Project, the Eastshore
- 4 Energy Project, the Humboldt Generating Station Project and
- 5 also the Santa Clara Data Center.
- The Hearing Officer stated at the adoption
- 7 hearing, "I have no knowledge of Eastshore, Quail Brush or
- 8 Humboldt that Mr. Sarvey discussed. Perhaps others with a
- 9 longer institutional memory than I have can provide that."
- 10 Well the Eastshore Energy Center Decision TN
- 11 48664 states on Page 23, "The EEC will consist of 14
- 12 Wartsila natural gas-fired reciprocating engine generators
- 13 sets, and associated exhaust stacks as well mechanical and
- 14 auxiliary equipment and a new switch yard. Total site
- 15 generating capacity is approximately 118 megawatts gross or
- 16 115 megawatts net. Each generator set will have a gross
- 17 capacity of 8.4 megawatts based on the design ambient
- 18 temperature."
- 19 The CEC's Quail Brush Project page describes the
- 20 Quail Brush Project and states, "The proposed Quail Brush
- 21 Generation Project is a nominal 100-megawatt
- 22 intermediate/peaking load electrical generating facility.
- 23 It would consist of a set of 11 natural gas-fired
- 24 reciprocating Wartsila engines."
- 25 The CEC's final decision on page 9 for the

- 1 Humboldt Generating Station states, "The HBRP would consist
- 2 of 10 dual-fuel Wartsila 16.3-megawatt reciprocating
- 3 engine-generator sets and the associated equipment with a
- 4 combined nominal generating capacity of 163 megawatts."
- 5 In the Santa Clara Data Center proceeding, the
- 6 Applicant argued that the load of the data center was
- 7 estimated to be 49.9 megawatts which should exempt the
- 8 project from CEC review, because it was under 50 megawatts.
- 9 Not so, says the decision on Page 16 for the
- 10 Santa Clara Data Center. "The current review by the Energy
- 11 Commission considers the entire data center project Phases
- 12 1 and 2, with the Phase 2 project as the trigger for
- 13 analysis as it adds 16 additional backup generators,
- 14 totaling 32 generators capable of 2.25 megawatts each,
- 15 bringing total generation capacity of the backup generating
- 16 system to 72 megawatts of installed capacity."
- 17 So that's all I have to say right now. And
- 18 thanks for listening.
- 19 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Mr. Sarvey.
- 20 Let's move on to Commissioner questions starting
- 21 with Commissioner Douglas.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: I was fumbling with my
- 23 mute button. I'm going to hold all questions until I've
- 24 heard from all the parties.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thanks.

- 1 Commissioner Monahan any questions?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No, no questions.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 4 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I will also hold questions
- 5 till we've heard from all the parties.
- 6 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Commissioner
- 7 McAllister?
- 8 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Likewise here.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And likewise for myself.
- 10 Mr. Harris, you may now present your argument.
- MR. HARRIS: Good afternoon, Jeff Harris on
- 12 behalf of Laurelwood Data Center. I appreciate the
- 13 opportunity to respond.
- 14 A couple of things that we want to highlight, and
- 15 I will go rather quickly, at the end of the day there's
- 16 nothing new here and I think that's the key. We're at a
- 17 very limited proceeding right now. This is a Petition for
- 18 Reconsideration. It is not a re-litigation of the entire
- 19 case. And under that correct standard there's a very
- 20 narrow set of issues that could be raised and there are
- 21 responses to each one of those issues. So let me go
- 22 through them I think rather quickly. And then we'll make
- 23 ourselves available for questions obviously.
- In terms of the first question that the General
- 25 Counsel raised on timeliness, we believe that the argument

- 1 staff set forth in our opposition is correct. That the
- 2 application is not timely and that substantial compliance
- 3 is not compliance. So it's pretty much straightforward a
- 4 late filing.
- 5 So having said that we would definitely want you
- 6 to also decide the second issue as well. We would like to
- 7 have the merits then decided as well. And I think that you
- 8 should make your decision in the alternative, and first
- 9 find that petition is untimely and on that basis deny it.
- 10 And in addition, to say even assuming if it was not
- 11 untimely, assuming it was timely filed, it would be
- 12 rejected on the merits. And so we would ask for at the end
- 13 of the day that your consideration to find any alternative,
- 14 both untimely and then on the substance, that the substance
- 15 is not there.
- 16 The General Counsel had set forth very clearly
- 17 the standard, and I think it's the correct standard for
- 18 determining whether reconsideration is availant (phonetic).
- 19 And that's whether there is new evidence that could not
- 20 have been produced despite the diligence of the Moving
- 21 Party, which is the first prong.
- 22 And the second prong is an error of fact or law.
- 23 And in both cases the petition fails to satisfy either one
- 24 of those criteria for this limited analysis you have in
- 25 front of you.

- 1 There has been no new evidence brought forward
- 2 that could not have been brought forward during this
- 3 proceeding. Mr. Sarvey has provided you with copies of the
- 4 2011 General Plan. That obviously was in existence. And
- 5 keep in mind as well that most of the arguments Mr. Sarvey
- 6 has made today he made in front of the Commission, both in
- 7 front of the Committee during evidentiary hearings and also
- 8 in his comments on the proposed decision. So there's
- 9 clearly nothing new under the sun here as we move forward.
- 10 So that is the inability to meet that standard.
- 11 The references to the Bay Area Air Quality
- 12 Management Districts are what they are, and they should be
- 13 understood to be what they are. Basically, they're an
- 14 attempt to get around this prong to create the appearance
- 15 that there's something new that could not have been
- 16 produced during the hearings. Well that's a false
- 17 argument. These are comments made by the Bay Area District
- 18 in other proceedings. They're not comments made in the
- 19 Laurelwood proceeding. They are no more relevant to your
- 20 consideration here than comments made on any other project
- 21 considered by the Bay Area District after your decision in
- 22 Laurelwood.
- 23 So understand what those Bay Area District
- 24 letters are. They are simply an attempt to say, "Well
- 25 here's something I could not have produced, because the

- 1 date is after the date of your decision." And that again
- 2 is the wrong standard.
- 3 Substantively if you look at those letters, and
- 4 we did closely, on issues of environmental review of the
- 5 CEQA questions before you, the Bay Area District says that
- 6 the project meets those requirements. They do not find any
- 7 inadequate environmental review in any respect.
- 8 They do go on however to add certain policy
- 9 recommendations. They make a recommendation that rooftop
- 10 solar be added to the project. That's a fine policy
- 11 consideration. It's something that this Commission
- 12 obviously supports that kind of policy. It is not an issue
- 13 though for our consideration of the environmental review of
- 14 this project. All of the environmental issues were
- 15 carefully considered.
- So be aware of both those things. That the Bay
- 17 Area District letters are after the fact simply to create
- 18 the illusion that there's something new and substantively
- 19 those letters actually support Laurelwood on the CEQA
- 20 issues. And then in addition they make some additional
- 21 policy recommendations. So see those for what they are
- 22 going forward as well, so that has to deal with the legal
- 23 standards.
- In terms of errors or fact or law what you're
- 25 seeing basically is re-litigation of the issues that have

- 1 been considered by the Commission and decided. It is not
- 2 error for you to look at the record and say, "Substantial
- 3 evidence supports this." This is not error for there to be
- 4 evidence to the contrary. That's the whole idea of the
- 5 environmental review process is to give additional
- 6 information into the record. But at the end of the day the
- 7 claims of error of fact or law are really just re-
- 8 litigation of how you should weigh this evidence. And I
- 9 think the decision correctly weighs the evidence and it
- 10 correctly makes the decision based upon the record that is
- 11 before you.
- 12 So that is I think a pretty good summary of where
- 13 things are. You have a very narrow question before you on
- 14 reconsiderations. You have the proper standard before you.
- 15 And you have a very robust record before you that supports
- 16 that decision. And on that basis we would ask that you
- 17 reject the petition.
- 18 And again on both basis's we think you should
- 19 both find it untimely -- untimely -- number one, untimely.
- 20 And number two, even assuming it was timely, on the merits
- 21 there isn't sufficient evidence for you to reconsider this.
- 22 And per the regulation this petition should be dismissed
- 23 for lack of a motion and a second as required by the
- 24 regulations.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you, Mr. Harris.

- 1 MR. HARRIS: So I'm going to go ahead and stop
- 2 there. And thank you for your time. And make ourselves
- 3 available.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you. What I suggest we
- 5 do, let's hear from staff, from Mr. Oliver. And then we'll
- 6 take questions for you or staff if other Commissioners have
- 7 them.
- 8 So Mr. Oliver?
- 9 MR. OLIVER: Good afternoon, Chair and
- 10 Commissioners. This is Nick Oliver, spelled N-i-c-k O-l-i-
- 11 v-e-r. I'm the Energy Commission Staff Counsel assigned to
- 12 the Laurelwood Data Center Project. And with me on the
- 13 line if needed are Kerry Willis, Assistant Chief Counsel
- 14 for Siting, and Matt Layton who is the Supervising
- 15 Mechanical Engineer and is available to answer any
- 16 questions that the Commission and Chair may have related to
- 17 generating capacity, air quality, and even staff
- 18 commission.
- 19 The Energy Commission staff would like to thank
- 20 the Committee for its consideration of staff opposition to
- 21 Mr. Sarvey's petition. And for its thoughtful and reasoned
- 22 analysis of these issues in its Proposed Order on the
- 23 Petition for Reconsideration, which was docketed on April
- 24 6th.
- 25 Staff submitted its own opposition to Mr.

- 1 Sarvey's Petition for Reconsideration on March 20th. We
- 2 would just like to read, state or note some of the points
- 3 from that here. First of all staff fully agrees with
- 4 points raised by Mr. Harris and the Applicant about the
- 5 narrowness of the scope of a reconsideration hearing. And
- 6 also the failure of Mr. Sarvey's petition to meet the
- 7 relevant standards by simply rearguing the substantive
- 8 points that he raised previously in the proceeding.
- 9 As far as the timeliness issues staff does
- 10 disagree that Mr. Sarvey even substantially complied with
- 11 the 30-day deadline in Public Resources Code 25530.
- 12 However, staff is willing to withdraw this objection if the
- 13 Proposed Order is adopted.
- One other aspect of Section 1720 of the CEC
- 15 Regulation that wasn't mentioned earlier is that it states,
- 16 "That a Petition for Reconsideration must fully explain why
- 17 the matter is set forth could not have been considered
- 18 during the evidentiary hearing and their effects on the
- 19 substantive elements of this decision." So I think that's
- 20 the key issue here, that Mr. Sarvey has failed to meet the
- 21 standard on any of these issues.
- 22 Finally, one other point to note just on the
- 23 docketed Bay Area Air Quality Management District comments
- 24 from different proceedings. Those comments were not made
- 25 in this proceeding and I agree with the Applicant that

- 1 they're just simply not relevant here. And they don't
- 2 serve to bolster Mr. Sarvey's petition in any way.
- For these reasons, and the reasons previously
- 4 stated by the applicant, staff supports and recommends the
- 5 adoption of the Proposed Order denying the Petition for
- 6 Reconsideration. And as mentioned before, Mr. Layton is
- 7 available on the line to answer any technical question.
- 8 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 Let's go to Commissioners for questions, starting
- 10 with Commissioner Douglas.
- 11 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: All right. I don't have
- 12 questions for the parties. I think if this is the right
- 13 moment I do have a few brief comments. Does that work?
- 14 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Actually what I suggest is
- 15 we're going to give Mr. Sarvey the opportunity to reply.
- 16 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Oh you're right, got it.
- 17 Okay.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: So why don't -- I just want to
- 19 see if anyone else has questions at this time. If not,
- 20 we'll move to Mr. Sarvey and give him an opportunity to
- 21 reply. And then we'll take comments.
- 22 So Commissioner Monahan, any questions?
- 23 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: No, I don't have
- 24 questions.
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?

- 1 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I do not have any questions.
- 2 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 3 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Not at this moment.
- 4 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. And none from me
- 5 either, so Mr. Sarvey you may now present in your reply you
- 6 have to the arguments presented by the other parties.
- 7 MR. SARVEY: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner.
- I believe as far as the timeliness issue goes I
- 9 believe that the actual petition was due on the 6th, not
- 10 the 5th. But you guys can figure that out with your
- 11 calculators that the first day of any decision doesn't
- 12 count. It's the 5th when you start counting the days from
- 13 when that thing was filed, because that's when you filed it
- 14 with the State Clearinghouse. There's 29 days in February,
- 15 so that's 24 days and then the 6th day of April would be
- 16 the due date in my opinion.
- 17 But even if it wasn't I attempted to file it
- 18 early as I always do. I had some issues with the Service
- 19 Lists. I had some issues with the Internet. And you can
- 20 check with the Public Advisor, I was in communication with
- 21 her office over these issues for the afternoon. I did
- 22 serve the Chief Counsel at 2:00 o'clock on the 5th. I also
- 23 served the Project Manager on the 5th before 5:00 o'clock.
- 24 At about 2:30 I served them, so I don't think the timing of
- 25 this issue is really a big deal. And I believe that the

- 1 actual petition was due on the 6th not the 5th.
- 2 As far as the new information I did include a
- 3 couple of letters from the Bay Area Air Quality Management.
- 4 And I hear they're arguing that they're not pertinent to
- 5 this particular proceeding, which is total -- well I can't
- 6 say that word over the phone -- but these data centers are
- 7 situated almost exactly the same as the LDC. And I believe
- 8 that the Bay Area has been pretty clear.
- 9 The Greenhouse Gas Analysis in both these
- 10 proceedings, and it would apply to Laurelwood as well, is
- 11 not proving that the emissions from this project or the
- 12 other projects that you guys are now reviewing will meet
- 13 the GHG reduction goals from the plants that the Governor
- 14 and the state and the local agencies have set out for GHG
- 15 reductions. So I believe that you really should do an EIR
- 16 on this project. I've encouraged it throughout. And
- 17 that's about all I have to say. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thank you Mr. Sarvey.
- Okay, so questions from the Commissioners for Mr.
- 20 Sarvey or any other party at this time, starting with
- 21 Commissioner Douglas.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Okay, so I think at this
- 23 time I still don't have questions. I'll just make a few
- 24 brief comments if that's all right?
- 25 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, yes.

- 1 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: So I was a Presiding
- 2 Member of the Committee that oversaw this SPPE application
- 3 proceeding and that submitted the Committee-proposed
- 4 decision, finding that an exemption from the Commission's
- 5 certification process is warranted. The Commission
- 6 concurred and approved the Committee-proposed decision.
- 7 I've reviewed the parties' pleadings related to
- 8 Mr. Sarvey's request for reconsideration. And I've
- 9 listened closely to the arguments made today. And on that
- 10 basis I recommend that the Commission deny the request for
- 11 reconsideration.
- I do recognize Mr. Sarvey's efforts to comply
- 13 with the filing deadline. And I am at the point of
- 14 agreeing that Mr. Sarvey substantially complied with the
- 15 filing deadline. But he did not satisfy the guidance
- 16 provided in Commission Regulation 1720. Specifically in my
- 17 view, Mr. Sarvey has not made a showing of new facts,
- 18 evidence or change circumstances that would warrant
- 19 reconsideration of the order.
- 20 So unless my fellow Commissioners have additional
- 21 comments or questions, or at the point at which those
- 22 comments or questions have been made, I would be prepared
- 23 to make a motion to deny the request for reconsideration.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, let's first go through,
- 25 thank you, other Commissioners. I myself have no other

- 1 comments or questions at this time, but Commissioner
- 2 Monahan?
- 3 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Yeah, I just want to agree
- 4 with what Commissioner Douglas said. And I think the Bay
- 5 Area Air Quality Management District letters were very
- 6 specific to Sequoia and Walsh. And so this is a very
- 7 different case and I don't see that Mr. Sarvey has met the
- 8 criteria for presenting new facts for evidence or changed
- 9 circumstances. So I agree with Commissioner Douglas.
- 10 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thanks.
- 11 Vice Chair Scott?
- 12 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Yeah. I would also note that
- 13 I reviewed all of the documents very carefully and listened
- 14 closely today as folks were speaking with us. And I am
- 15 also in agreement with Commissioner Douglas.
- 16 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Thanks.
- 17 Commissioner McAllister?
- 18 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: I'll just agree with
- 19 Commissioner Douglas as well. I don't have any additional
- 20 comments to make.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. So a Proposed Order was
- 22 prepared by the Hearing Officer and docketed in the
- 23 proceedings. Do any of the parties have any comments on
- 24 the Proposed Order?
- 25 (No audible response.)

- 1 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Hearing none, we'll be
- 2 -- yes?
- 3 MS. HOUCK: Chair, this is Darcie Houck. I think
- 4 Hearing Officer Cochran had two non-substantive edits or
- 5 Errata to the Proposed Order that she would like to just
- 6 present for the record briefly before there's a vote.
- 7 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, let's go ahead with
- 8 that. And what are those?
- 9 MS. COCHRAN: Good morning, thank you. This is
- 10 Susan Cochran, S-u-s-a-n C-o-c-h-r-a-n. I'm the Hearing
- 11 Officer on the Laurelwood Data Center.
- 12 As you indicated there is a current Proposed
- 13 Order which has Transaction No. 232678. Here are the
- 14 changes I would propose. On the top of page 2 in the first
- 15 paragraph the sentence begins, "At the conclusion of the
- 16 public hearing the CEC made and it currently set some
- 17 additional," strike "some additional," and insert the
- 18 words, "minor non-substantive changes to the Committee-
- 19 proposed decision."
- 20 Also on page 2 under Heading A, "Timeliness of
- 21 Applicant's Opposition," strike the sentence that begins
- 22 "Because our notice," and ends "of the hearing."
- 23 And then finally on page 3 in the paragraph that
- 24 starts "Both staff and Applicant," the last sentence that
- 25 begins, "Thus because Mr. Sarvey did not submit the

- 1 petition, "instead of "under," the word should be "until."
- 2 And with that if you are looking for a motion, I
- 3 would propose that you adopt the Proposed Order as modified
- 4 by the Errata I just read.
- 5 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, thank you.
- 6 We'll turn now to public comment for Item 18.
- 7 Are there any additional public comments on this item?
- 8 Cody, do we have anyone else on the line?
- 9 MR. GOLDTHRITE: This is the Secretary. We have
- 10 no one on the line.
- 11 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay, Madam Public Advisor, do
- 12 we have any written comments?
- MS. GALLARDO: This is Noemi Gallardo, the Public
- 14 Advisor. No comments.
- 15 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. If Commissioners would
- 16 like to go into closed session we can do that. I'll just
- 17 do a roll call on that, whether any Commissioner would like
- 18 to request a closed session on this matter, starting with
- 19 Commissioner Douglas.
- 20 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: I don't see a need for a
- 21 closed session.
- 22 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
- 23 COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: I don't see a need.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- 25 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: I also do not see a need.

- 1 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
- 2 COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Neither do I.
- 3 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And neither do I, so at this
- 4 time can we have the Hearing Officer present the Proposed
- 5 Order? I mean, I guess you sort of did that with the
- 6 amendments. Is there anything else?
- 7 MS. COCHRAN: No. That would be the conclusion
- 8 of my comments unless you wanted anything else.
- 9 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Yeah, just with those
- 10 amendments.
- Okay, so is there a motion for the Proposed
- 12 Order, including the amendments that Hearing Officer
- 13 Cochran just added?
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Yes. This is Commissioner
- 15 Douglas. I move to deny the Motion for Reconsideration and
- 16 approve the Proposed Order with the changes described by
- 17 the Hearing Officer, Susan Cochran.
- 18 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. And Vice Chair Scott
- 19 would you like to second?
- 20 VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Second.
- 21 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay we'll now take the vote.
- 22 Commissioner Douglas?
- 23 COMMISSIONER DOUGLAS: Aye.
- 24 CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Vice Chair Scott?
- VICE CHAIR SCOTT: Aye.

1	CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner McAllister?
2	COMMISSIONER MCALLISTER: Aye.
3	CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Commissioner Monahan?
4	COMMISSIONER MONAHAN: Aye.
5	CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: And I vote age as well. That
6	motion passes unanimously. Thank you, everybody.
7	At this point we will go to Item 19, Chief
8	Counsel's Report.
9	MS. HOUCK: This is Darcie Houck, "H-o-u-c-k."
10	do not have a report today. Thank you.
11	CHAIR HOCHSCHILD: Okay. Thank you everybody.
12	We are adjourned.
13	ALL: Thank you. Thanks everyone. Thank you.
14	Bye.
15	(The Business Meeting adjourned at 1:56 p.m.)
16	00
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21sh day of April, 2020.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT\*\*367

Martha L. Nelson

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21st day of April, 2020.

1

Myra Severtson Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET\*\*D-852