DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	19-SPPE-03
Project Title:	Sequoia Data Center
TN #:	232496
Document Title:	C1 Reply Testimony Package
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Scott Galati
Organization:	DayZenLLC
Submitter Role:	Applicant Representative
Submission Date:	3/23/2020 8:45:21 AM
Docketed Date:	3/23/2020

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of:

Application For Small Power Plant Exemption for the SEQUOIA BACKUP GENERATING FACILITY

DOCKET NO. 19-SPPE-03

DECLARATION OF STEVEN BRANOFF

I, Steven Branoff, declare as follows:

- 1. I am presently employed as Principal with Ramboll.
- 2. A copy of my professional qualifications and experience is included with this Opening Testimony and is incorporated by reference in this Declaration.
- 3. I prepared the attached Reply Testimony relating to the contentions contained in the Testimony of Intervenor Robert Sarvey for the Application for Small Power Plant Exemption for the Sequoia Backup Generating Facility (California Energy Commission Docket Number 19-SPPE-03).
- 4. It is my professional opinion that the attached prepared testimony is valid and accurate with respect to issues that it addresses.
- 5. I am personally familiar with the facts and conclusions related in the attached prepared testimony and if called as a witness could testify competently thereto.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that this declaration was executed at Albany, California on March 20, 2020.

stan Brit

Steven Branoff

C1-SANTA CLARA, LLC SEQUOIA BACKUP GENERATING FACILITY ALL SUBJECTS REPLY TESTIMONY

- I. <u>Name</u>: Steven Branoff
- II. <u>Purpose</u>:

My testimony addresses all of the technical subjects identified in Intervenor Robert Sarvey's document docketed on February 28, 2020 (TN 232270) for the Sequoia Backup Generating Facility (SBGF) CEC Docket 19-SPPE-3. It appears that although the document was filed on the last day comments on the Staff's Proposed IS/MND and prior to when Opening Testimony was due, Mr. Sarvey intended it to be Opening Testimony since it is supported by his Declaration and is entitled "Testimony".

III. <u>Qualifications</u>:

Steven Branoff: I am presently employed as a Principal at Ramboll and have been for the past 19 years. I have a Master of Science Degree in Environmental Engineering from the University of California at Berkeley and I have 24 years of experience in conducting air quality and public health analyses within California and other western states.

I have been engaged by C1-Santa Clara, LLC (C1) to prepare the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Authority to Construct applications and the air quality and public health analyses for development of the SBGF. I prepared or caused to be prepared the Air Quality section of the Application For SPPE and Air Quality Technical Reports, as well as the post-filing information, data responses, and supplemental filings.

A detailed description of my qualifications is presented in my resume which was included in Attachment A to C1's Opening Testimony package (TN 232420).

To the best of my knowledge all referenced documents and all of the facts contained in this testimony are true and correct. To the extent this testimony contains opinions, such opinions are my own. I make these statements and provide these opinions freely and under oath for the purpose of constituting sworn testimony in this proceeding.

IV. <u>Exhibits</u>

In addition to this written testimony, I will be sponsoring the exhibits listed on C1's Proposed Exhibit List which will be attached to its PreHearing Conference Statement.

V. Opinion and Conclusions

I have reviewed Intervenor Robert Sarvey Testimony (TN 232270) docketed on February 28, 2020 and have reviewed the responses to that Testimony prepared by Staff (TN 232338) and docketed March 9, 2020 and I agree with the Staff's findings, analysis and conclusions provided to as responses to each of Intervenor Robert Sarvey's contention. I also agree that many of these issues have been appropriately resolved in the Laruelwood and McLaren proceedings.