

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	19-BSTD-08
Project Title:	Community Shared System Applications
TN #:	230318
Document Title:	Matthew Smith Comments - 19-BSTD-08 SMUD APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY SHARED SOLAR SYSTEM
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Matthew Smith
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/23/2019 8:58:41 AM
Docketed Date:	10/23/2019

Comment Received From: Matthew Smith
Submitted On: 10/23/2019
Docket Number: 19-BSTD-08

**19-BSTD-08 SMUD APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY
SHARED SOLAR SYSTEM**

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: mailagent@thesoftedge.com on behalf of mgsca33@gmail.com
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: 19-BSTD-08 SMUD APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY SHARED SOLAR SYSTEM
Date: Tuesday, October 22, 2019 6:06:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Matthew Smith and I work in the solar industry for Q CELLS North America in Oakland, CA. Although eliminating Title 24 won't eliminate my company/my job, etc, I still think removing the mandate is bad public policy and bad for California. Homebuilders who find the right financing packages, and take the time to explain the advantages of rooftop PV to the customer, will continue to win business. And the homebuilders who don't want to evolve? They'll be left behind, as homeowners flock to homes that produce clean energy, and (paired with the right home battery) will be better insulated against future utility company power shutoffs (due to natural disasters, red flag conditions, etc).

I am submitting these comments in opposition to SMUD's Community Shared Solar Electric Generation System ("SolarShares") application for the following reasons:

- 1) The SolarShares program does not encourage new solar in Sacramento neighborhoods. Rather, the solar shares will come from SMUD's generation capacity on existing and future solar farms, some of which are miles from Sacramento such as a project near Fresno.
- 2) The SolarShares program offers customers poor financial benefits compared to the benefits they would receive with a NEM-eligible system. The typical customer enrolled in SolarShares is only guaranteed to benefit \$10-\$20 annually, depending on system size.
- 3) The SolarShares program prevents homeowners from building solar into their mortgage, which is the cheapest way to finance rooftop solar.

The SolarShares proposal is a threat to the solar mandate not only in Sacramento, but across the state. If the Commission approves the program, we can expect other utilities to submit similar proposals, threatening the underlying vision and promise of the new home solar mandate. For these reasons, I am urging that the Commission disapprove SMUD's application.

I can be reached at mgsca33@gmail.com. Thank you for the opportunity submit comments.

Sincerely,

Matthew Smith