

| DOCKETED | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Docket Number: | 19-SB-100 |
| Project Title: | SB 100 Joint Agency Report: Charting a path to a 100% Clean Energy Future |
| TN #: | 229653 |
| Document Title: | INDEX to CGNP's Filings in 19SB100 |
| Description: | The process for creating docket entries tends to obscure the document titles. CGNP prepared a spreadsheet with overview statements and a tabulation of the ten (10) docket titles with summaries as an aid to locating specific information within our 431 pages of filings. |
| Filer: | Gene Nelson, Ph.D. |
| Organization: | Californians for Green Nuclear Power, Inc. |
| Submitter Role: | Intervenor |
| Submission Date: | 9/5/2019 1:36:55 AM |
| Docketed Date: | 9/5/2019 |

| Background: In A.16-08-006, CGNP strongly advocated for the continued safe operation of Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) beyond 2025 | | | |
|--|--------------|---|--|
| Some of CGNP's strongest evidence was provided in PG&E's 2010 A.10-01-022 arguments for relicensing DCPP . | | | |
| While CPUC Intervenor CGNP was overruled in A.16-08-006, CGNP continues its pro-DCPP advocacy in many other venues. | | | |
| For TN 229635-TN 229645 | | | |
| Documents Introduction: CPUC Intervenor Californians for Green Nuclear Power, Inc. (CGNP) submits this CPUC filing in R.16-02-007 as | | | |
| one of nine exhibits supporting the continued safe operation of Diablo Canyon Power Plant (DCPP) beyond 2025 as an essential component | | | |
| of California's Path to a 100% Clean Energy Future. Diablo Canyon's pair of safe, reliable, cost-effective, and zero-emissions power | | | |
| reactors are California's largest generation plant by far, producing about 9% of California's in-state generation - the equivalent of | | | |
| more than 5 (five) Hoover Dams annually. In 2010, the California Energy Commission (CEC) commissioned the California Science and | | | |
| Technology Commission (CSTC) to prepare a pair of reports regarding the path to a 100% Clean Energy Future. The CSTC's report | | | |
| conclusions were clear. The safe and cost-effective solution was a dramatic expansion beyond the four commercial nuclear power reactors | | | |
| then in operation. The eminent CSTC scientists and engineers concluded California would require about 30 such reactors | | | |
| For TN 229646 | | | |
| Michael Shellenberger, the president of the Berkeley, CA-based nonprofit, Environmental Progress published an article at the Forbes | | | |
| website on Tuesday, September 3, 2019 titled, "Why California May Go Nuclear." His concise article has been viewed almost 25,000 times | | | |
| in one day. He cites relevant political reasons to support maintenance and expansion of California's nuclear power generators, | | | |
| including Diablo Canyon. Please see: https://www.forbes.com/sites/michaelshellenberger/2019/09/03/why-california-may-go-nuclear/ | | | |
| This document is being provided by nonprofit CGNP under the "Fair Use" provisions of U.S. copyright law for educational purposes | | | |
| without any expectation of material gain. | | | |
| Californians for Green Nuclear Power, Inc. and Environmental Progress are the co-advocates for passage of ACA 18 (Cunningham) | | | |
| TN | Pages | Title | Summary |
| 229646 | 4 | Why California May Go Nuclear: Michael Shellenberger 09/03/19 | Political, environmental, and economic reasons for widespread adoption of nuclear power in California |
| 229645 | 12 | CGNP on R1602007 Reliability Issues 07/27/19 | PG&E's Public Safety Power Shutoffs (PSPS) are another reason for operating Diablo Canyon beyond 2025. |
| 229644 | 13 | CGNP on CPUC Decommissioning Cost Proceedings 07/25/19 | Labor is only 44% of DCPP Decommissioning Cost Projection - Not 100% found in UC Berkeley "study." |
| 229643 | 248 | CGNP R1602007 Reply Comments Appendix 04/15/19 | Supporting materials including NTSB San Bruno Pipeline Accident Report and CGNP's Appeal of D1801022 |
| 229642 | 5 | CGNP R1602007 Reply Comments 04/15/19 | DCPP supports California public safety. Critics rebuttals answered. Benefits of operating DCPP. |
| 229641 | 62 | CGNP R1602007 Opening Comments Appendix 2 04/02/19 | Supporting materials - PG&E's Bloated Lobbying Expenditures. U.S. nuclear plants very safe. |
| 229640 | 48 | CGNP R1602007 Opening Comments Appendix 1 04/02/19 | Supporting Materials - 2010 CCST Nuclear Reports. Turns out wind and solar have a secret friend: Natural Gas |
| 229639 | 21 | CGNP R1602007 Opening Comments Appendix 03/29/19 | Supporting Materials - Key sources in CGNP 04/02/19 pair of Appendices above |
| 229636 | 1 | CGNP R1602007 Comments at CPUC All-parties Meet 04/04/19 | CGNP's prepared comments rebutting numerous false claims of DCPP opponents |
| 229635 | 17 | CGNP R1602007 Opening Comments 03/29/19 | The plan to replace DCPP is to substitute inefficiently-dispatched natural gas generation for nuclear. |
| Total | 431 | | |