DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	19-IEPR-03
Project Title:	Electricity and Natural Gas Demand Forecast
TN #:	229400
Document Title:	Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency AAEE Process
Description:	Presentation by Ingrid Neumann, California Energy Commission
Filer:	Raquel Kravitz
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	8/13/2019 3:25:46 PM
Docketed Date:	8/13/2019

IEPR Commissioner Workshop 2019 Preliminary California Energy Demand Electricity and Natural Gas Demand Forecast

Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Process



Ingrid.Neumann@energy.ca.gov August 15, 2019 California Energy Commission

SB 350 vs. AAEE

Element	SB 350 Projections	AAEE Projections
Purpose	Identify whether the potential of programmatic targets achieve the doubling goal	Create EE projections incremental to baseline demand forecast to serve resource planning and procurement needs

SB 350 vs. AAEE

Element	SB 350 Projections	AAEE Projections
Purpose	Identify whether the potential of programmatic targets achieve the doubling goal	Create EE projections incremental to baseline demand forecast to serve resource planning and procurement needs
Accounting framework	Fixed 2015 base year	Rolling base year relative each IEPR cycle
Treatment of Uncertainty	Used a single reference case in 2017 but for 2019 a limited scenario capability exists.	Elaborate scenario design to condense uncertainty of specific elements into scenarios ranging from conservative to optimistic

SB 350 vs. AAEE

Element	SB 350 Projections	AAEE Projections
Purpose	Identify whether the potential of programmatic targets achieve the doubling goal	Create EE projections incremental to baseline demand forecast to serve resource planning and procurement needs
Accounting framework	Fixed 2015 base year	Rolling base year relative each IEPR cycle
Treatment of Uncertainty	Used a single reference case in 2017 but for 2019 a limited scenario capability exists.	Elaborate scenario design to condense uncertainty of specific elements into scenarios ranging from conservative to optimistic
Use by Other Agencies	Some agencies use SB 350 as a proxy for a very high efficiency scenario.	Explicit agreements to use specific AAEE scenarios in various resource planning and transmission planning studies
Implications of Targets Falling Short of Goals	CEC searches for additional efforts that might close the gap	NA



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Improvements from 2017 to 2019 IEPR Cycle

- Improved analysis of decay and re-participation
 - Using cumulative results from IOU P&G Study
 - Using POU model cumulative results from work on potential savings
 - expansion of the number of POU AAEE element scenarios from the one case that is submitted in the CMUA report
- Update and expand the Beyond Utility Program workbooks originally developed in the last SB 350 cycle
 - Workbooks are embedded in a tool that assigns end use level decay based on EUL
 - total of 20 workbooks including:
 - Fuel Substitution
 - Conservation Voltage Reduction
 - Agricultural and Industrial Sectors
- Improved attribution to sector/end-use
- development of an hourly tool utilizing updated load shapes to generate 8760 hourly projections from annual AAEE savings for the ten year forecast period
- Improving natural gas demand analysis
 - building decarbonization is an emerging policy emphasis



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) 2019 Process Flow Overview



* In development



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Lever	Scenario 1	Scenario 2 (CPUC Adopted)	Scenario 3	Scenario 4	Scenario 4
Global	Building Stock	2016 IEPR Mid-Case				
Inputs	Retail Prices	2016 IEPR Mid-Case				
	Res/Com ETs		100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs		Reference			
	Incentive Levels	capped at 50% of	capped at 75% of			
	Incentive Levels	incremental cost				
	C-E Measure Screening Threshold		0.85			
Jen	ET C-E Threshold		0.5			
Equipn	Cost-Effectiveness (C-E) Test	TRC using 2016 Avoided Costs	TRC using 2016 Avoided Costs + IOU proposed GHG Adder	TRC using 2016 Avoided Costs + CPUC Staff proposed GHG Adder	PAC using 2016 Avoided Costs	PAC using 2016 Avoided Costs
	Marketing & Outreach	Default calibrated value	Default calibrated value	Default calibrated value	Default calibrated value	Increased marketing strength
	Financing Programs	No modeled impacts	No modeled impacts	No modeled impacts	No modeled impacts	IOU financing programs broadly available to Res and Com customers
BROs	BROs Program Assumptions	Continued offering of existing BROs and sanctioned additions	Additional BROs interventions that show promise although verified data is limited			
Low Income	Low Income	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	st Time + Retreatment First Time + Retreatment First		First Time + Retreatment
<u>v</u>	Scope of Impacts	IOU Attributable				
ard	Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
Stand	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements				
is and	Title 24	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A				
bde	Title 20	2018-2024 T20				
Ŭ	Federal Standards	2018-2024 On-the-books				

Scenarios quantified in the Final 2018 CPUC P&G Study



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast



Scenario 2	
(CPUC Adopted)	
2016 IEPR Mid-Case	
2016 IEPR Mid-Case	
100% of model results	
Reference	
capped at 50% of	
incremental cost	
0.85	
0.5	
TRC using 2016 Avoided	
Costs + IOU proposed GHG	
Adder	
Default calibrated value	
No modeled impacts	
Continued offering of	
existing BROs and	
sanctioned additions	
First Time + Retreatment	
IOU Attributable	Ī
No Compliance Reduction	
No Compliance	
Enhancements	<u> </u>
2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R	
A&A	

2018-2024 T20

2018-2024 On-the-books

- Scenarios quantified in the Final 2018 CPUC P&G Study
- Scenario 2 adopted by the CPUC



IOU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Building Stock			Mid Demand Case			
Retail Prices			Mid Demand Case			
Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
AIMS ETs			Reference			
Incentive Levels			Reference			
C-E Measure Screening						
Threshold			0.85			
ET C-E Threshold			0.5			
Cost-Effectiveness Test			mTRC(GHG Adder #1)			
Marketing & Outreach			Reference			
Financing Programs			Reference			
BROs Program			Poforonoo			
Assumptions			Kelefence			
Low Income			First Time + Retreatment			
Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
Title 24			2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A			
Title 20			2018-2024 T20			
Federal Standards			On-the-books			

 Design IOU AAEE Scenarios around the base case chosen by the CPUC from the IOU P&G Study Scenarios



IOU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Retail Prices	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Res/Com ETs	50% of model Results	50% of model Results	100% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results
	AIMS ETs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Incentive Levels	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	C-E Measure Screening						
Post-process P&G	Threshold	1	1	0.85	0.75	0.75	0.75
results to eliminate	ET C-E Threshold	0.85	0.85	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
duplication with	Cost-Effectiveness Test	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	PAC
baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	Financing Programs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	BROs Program Assumptions	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Low Income	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + 150% Retreatment
Use P&G C&S model results directly for IOUs and allocate "statewide" shares to each POU or POU	Compliance Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
	Title 24	No additional Codes	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A
	Title 20	2018 T20	2018 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20
grouping	Federal Standards	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books

- Design IOU AAEE Scenarios around the base case chosen by the CPUC from the IOU P&G Study Scenarios
 - eliminate duplication with baseline forecast



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast





Code and Standards Savings Contributions Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Retail Prices	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Res/Com ETs	50% of model Results	50% of model Results	100% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results
	AIMS ETs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Incentive Levels	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	C-E Measure Screening						
Post-process P&G	Threshold	1	1	0.85	0.75	0.75	0.75
results to eliminate	ET C-E Threshold	0.85	0.85	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
duplication with	Cost-Effectiveness Test	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	PAC
baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	Financing Programs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	BROs Program Assumptions	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Low Income	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + 150% Retreatment
Use P&G C&S model results directly for IOUs and allocate "statewide" shares to	Compliance Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
	Title 24	No additional Codes	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A
each POU or POU	Title 20	2018 T20	2018 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20
grouping	Federal Standards	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books

• Use CPUC P&G C&S model results for IOU territory C&S savings

- Total savings not just attributable
- Scale up to "statewide savings" and allocate shares to each POU or POU grouping
 - Essential for the small POU's inside CAISO planning area



POU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Retail Prices	High Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Mid Demand Case	Low Demand Case	Mid Demand Case
	Res/Com ETs	50% of model Results	50% of model Results	100% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results	150% of model results
	AIMS ETs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Incentive Levels	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	C-E Measure Screening						
Post-process P&G	Threshold	1	1	0.85	0.75	0.75	0.75
results to eliminate	ET C-E Threshold	0.85	0.85	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
duplication with	Cost-Effectiveness Test	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	mTRC(GHG Adder #1)	PAC
baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	Financing Programs	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive	Aggressive	Aggressive
	BROs Program Assumptions	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Aggressive
	Low Income	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + 50% Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + Retreatment	First Time + 150% Retreatment
Use P&G C&S model	Compliance Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	20% Compliance Rate Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction	No Compliance Reduction
and allocate	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
"statewide" shares to	Title 24	No additional Codes	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A	2019 T24 NC (R/NR) + R A&A
each POU or POU	Title 20	2018 T20	2018 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20	2018-2024 T20
grouping	Federal Standards	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books	On-the-books
create cumulative savings from first year	POU Programs	Reference					

use the one POU AAEE Scenario submitted in CMUA Potential Study



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Compliance Reduction			Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction
Extract Results from	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
Workbook based					2019 T24 NR A&A	2019 T24 NR A&A	2019 T24 NR A&A
"statewide" shares to	Title 24			2019 T24 NR A&A	plus T24 NC ratchets	plus T24 NC ratchets	plus T24 NC ratchets
each utility	Title 20				SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 scaled down
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Federal Standards				SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed scaled down
Scale and Extend workbook based Analyses of Beyond Utility Programs Using Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then allocate "statewide" shares to each utility	Savings from additional SB 350 programs that are not utility programs or standards that are considered likely	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39, Local Government Ordinances, Local Government Challenge, GGRF: Low Income and GGRF: Water-Energy Grant, DGS Energy Retrofits, ECAA, PACE, Benchmarking, and BROs

• allocated "statewide" C&S shares to utility from workbook based analysis

 Considered C&S future ratchets by Building Sector as well as New vs. A&A not previously considered in IOU P&G Study



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast

	Compliance Reduction			Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction	Compliance Rate Reduction
Extract Results from	Standards Compliance	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	No Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements	Compliance Enhancements
Modeling and allocate	714-04				2019 T24 NR A&A	2019 T24 NR A&A	2019 T24 NR A&A
"statewide" shares to	litte 24			2019 124 NR A&A	plus 124 NC ratchets	plus 124 NC ratchets	plus 124 NC ratchets
each utility	Title 20				SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 < 2025 start	SB 350 T20 scaled down
-	Federal Standards				SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed < 2025 start	SB 350 Fed scaled down
Scale and Extend workbook based Analyses of Beyond Utility Programs Using Energy Scaling Factor Approach and then allocate "statewide" shares to each utility	Savings from additional SB 350 programs that are not utility programs or standards that are considered likely	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Prop 39	Ргор 39	Prop 39, Local Government Ordinances, Local Government Challenge, GGRF: Low Income and GGRF: Water-Energy Grant, DGS Energy Retrofits, ECAA, PACE, Benchmarking, and BROs

- allocated "statewide" C&S shares to utility from workbook based analysis
 - Considered C&S future ratchets by Building Sector as well as New vs. A&A not previously considered in IOU P&G Study
- scaled and extended Beyond Utility programs and allocated "statewide" share to each utility



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Scenario Design - for CED 2017 Revised Forecast



 eliminate any other duplication between savings streams

 eliminate duplication with baseline forecast



Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incentive Levels			capped at 50% of incremental			
	Incentive Levels			cost			
	C-E Measure Screening			1			
	Threshold			Ť			
	ET C-E Threshold			1			
Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test			TRC using 2019 Avoided Costs			
duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program			Poforonco			
	Assumptions			Nererence			
	Low Income			Reference			

 Design IOU AAEE Scenarios around the base case chosen by the CPUC from the IOU P&G Study Scenarios



Code and Standards Savings Contributions Scenario Design - for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incentive Levels			capped at 50% of incremental			
				cost			
	C-E Measure Screening			1			
	Threshold			-			
	ET C-E Threshold			1			
Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test			TRC using 2019 Avoided Costs			
duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program Assumptions			Reference			
	Low Income			Reference			
	Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
	Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
Use P&G C&S model				2019 (NR/R x NC/A&A) + 2022			
results directly for	Title 24			(NR x NC/A&A)			
"statewide" shares to each POU or POU grouping				Through 2019 + Selected Stds.			
	Title 20			Through 2022			
				Through 2023 (excluding 2020			
				GSL Std) + 2026 Water Source			
	Federal Standards			Heat Pump			

- Use CPUC P&G C&S model results for IOU territory C&S savings
 - Total savings not just attributable
- Scale up to "statewide savings" and allocate shares to each POU or POU grouping
 - Essential for the small POU's inside CAISO planning area



POU AAEE Scenario Design - for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incontivo Lovalo			capped at 50% of incremental			
	incentive Levels			cost			
	C-E Measure Screening			1			
	Threshold			Ĩ			
	ET C-E Threshold			1			
Post-process P&G results to eliminate	Cost-Effectiveness Test			TRC using 2019 Avoided Costs			
duplication with baseline forecast	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program			5.6			
	Assumptions			Reference			
	Low Income			Reference			
	Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
	Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
Use P&G C&S model				2019 (NR/R x NC/A&A) + 2022			
IOUs and allocate	Title 24			(NR x NC/A&A)			
"statewide" shares to				Through 2019 + Selected Stds.			
each POU or POU	Title 20			Through 2022			
grouping				Through 2023 (excluding 2020			
				GSL Std) + 2026 Water Source			
Post-process CMUA	Expand Measure List			Reference			
P&G Study Results to yield scenario	Incentive Level			Reference			
	Expenditures			Reference			
variations built around	Behavioral Programs			Reference			
potential savings	Early Retirement			Deference			
,	Programs			Reierence			

Design POU AAEE Scenarios around the one scenario of potential saving submitted by the CMUA



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design – for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards						
Scale and Extend							
workbook based	Execise various options						
Analyses of Beyond	within individual						
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide						
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or						
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with						
shares to each utility	override assumptions						

Large contractual effort this cycle to update and expand the Beyond Utility Program workbooks

- Inputs are loaded to the maximum savings potential to measure progress towards SB 350 savings goals
- Even for the high plus scenario developed in 2017 the Beyond Utility Program Savings were scaled down from this maximum savings potential
- Workbooks vary in level of sophistication but all have various savings parameters that can be adjusted
 - staff is able to design scenarios using low, mid, and high 2017 IEPR econ/demo drivers
 - Conservative, reference, and aggressive savings estimates defined for each program in the individual workbooks
 - individual weights are assigned for each of the BU programs included



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design – for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards						
Scale and Extend	Exociso various militare						
Analyses of Beyond	within individual						
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide		Beyond Utility Pr	ogram Workbooks			
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or				-		
allocate "statewide" shares to each utility	override assumptions		liti	le 24	-		
silares to each durity			Titl	le 20			
			Fed Ap	opliance			
			Local Governm	nent Ordinances			
			Air Quality Man	agement District			
			Local Governr	ment Challenge			
			Pro	op 39			
			GGRF: Low Incom	ne Weatherization			
			GGRF: Water	r Energy Grant			
			DGS Ener	gy Retrofit			
			ECAA F	inancing			
			PACE F	inancing			
			Benchmarking an	d Public Disclosure			
			Behavioral, Retrocommiss	ioning, Operational Saving	s		
			Energy As	sset Rating			
			Smart Meter	Data Analytics			
			Fuel Sub	bstitution			
			Agric	ultural			
			Indu	ustrial			
			Conservation V	oltage Reduction			



Beyond Utility AAEE Scenario Design – for CED 2019 Forecast

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards						
Scale and Extend							
workbook based	Exectse various options						
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide		Beyond Utility Pr	ogram Workbooks			
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or			-	_		
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with		Titl	e 24			
shares to each utility	override assumptions		Titl	e 20		<u> </u>	l
			Fed Ar	onliance	1		
					-		
			Local Governm	ient Ordinances	4		
			Air Quality Man	agement District			
			Local Governr	nent Challenge			
			Pro	op 39			
			GGRF: Low Incon	ne Weatherization	1		
			GGRF: Wate	r Energy Grant			
			DGS Ener	gy Retrofit			
			ECAA F	inancing			
			PACE F	inancing			
			Benchmarking an	d Public Disclosure			
			Behavioral, Retrocommiss	ioning, Operational Saving	s		
			Energy As	sset Rating			
			Smart Meter	Data Analytics			
			Fuel Sub	ostitution			
			Agric	ultural			
			Indu	Istrial			
			Conservation V	oltage Reduction			



Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
Scale and Extend	Federal Standards						
workbook based	Execise various options						
Analyses of Beyond	within individual				_		
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to		Powerd Litility D	rogram \A(orkhooka			
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide		Beyond Othry P				
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with		Tit	le 74			
shares to each utility	override assumptions			10.24			
			Fed A	ppliance			
			Local Governr	nent Ordinances			
			Air Quality Ma	nagement District			
			Local Govern	ment Challenge			
			Pro	op 39			
			GGRF: Low Incor	me Weatherization			
			GGRF: Wate	er Energy Grant			
			DGS Ene	rgy Retrofit			
			ECAA F	inancing			
			PACE F	inancing			
			Benchmarking ar	nd Public Disclosure			
			Behavioral, Retrocommiss	sioning, Operational Saving	is in the second s		
			Energy A	sset Rating			
			Smart Meter	[•] Data Analytics			
			Fuel Su	bstitution			
			Agric	cultural			
			Ind	ustrial			
			Conservation V	oltage Reduction			



Modeling Approach		Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards						
Scale and Extend							
workbook based	Execise various options						
Analyses of Beyond	within individual						
Utility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide						
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or						
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with						
shares to each utility	override assumptions						

	T24 Building Standa	Appliance Standard	s ratchet end years		
New Cor	nstruction	Additions 8	Alterations		
Residential Sector	Commercial Sector	Residential Sector	Residential Sector Commercial Sector		Federal

Modeling Approach	Lever	Low (Scenario 1)	Low (Scenario 2)	Mid (Scenario 3)	High (Scenario 4)	High (Scenario 5)	High Plus (Scenario 6)
	Building Stock			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Retail Prices			2017 IEPR Mid-Case			
	Res/Com ETs			100% of model results			
	AIMS ETs			Reference			
	Incentive Levels			capped at 50% of incremental cost			
	C-E Measure Screening						
	Threshold			1			
	ET C-E Threshold		Datar				
Post-process P&G results to eliminate duplication with baseline forecast	Cost-Effectiveness Test		Poter		ogran	1 Javi	ngs
	Marketing & Outreach			Default calibrated value			
	Financing Programs			No modeled impacts			
	BROs Program Assumptions			Reference			
	Low Income			Reference			
	Compliance Reduction			No Compliance Reduction			
	Standards Compliance			No Compliance Enhancements			
Use P&G C&S model				2019 (NR/R x NC/A&A) + 2022			
results directly for	Title 24			x NC/A&A)			
IOUs and allocate			<u>06 20/</u>	hroug, 219 Seien d ta	darde	Savin	AC
each POIL or POIL	Title 20	CUU	cs and	The bulk D	ualus	Javiii	U 3
grouping				Through 2023 (excluding 2020			
0				GSL Std) + 2026 Water Source			
	Federal Standards			Heat Pump			
	Expand Measure List			Reference			
Post-process CMUA	Incentive Level					-	
P&G Study Results to	Promotional						
yield scenario	Expenditures			Refere	roorar	\mathbf{n} Sav	nns
the submitted	Behavioral Programs			Reference	- y u		
potential savings	Early Retirement			Deferre			
potontian outringo	Programs			Keierence			
Extract Results from	Compliance Reduction						
workbook based	Standards Compliance						
Modeling and allocate	Title 24						
"statewide" shares to	Title 20						
each utility	Federal Standards				rodror	n Sau	nac
Scale and Extend	r ouorur oturituruo	DEVE					
workbook based	Execise various options	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		·····			
Analyses of Beyond	within individual			_			
Jtility Programs Using	program workbooks to						
Energy Scaling Factor	generate statewide						
Approach and then	savings scenarios and/or						
allocate "statewide"	scale projections with						
snares to each utility	override assumptions						

Δ



Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) 2019 Process Flow Overview



* In development

Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE) Hourly Tool Development

AAEE Hourly Tool * **Total 8760 Hourly AAEE Projections by year 2020-2030** by utility, sector, end use & scenario

- Have mapped 48 named end uses to new ADM load shape profiles and supplemented with Navigant load profiles used in 2017 CED Forecast as needed
- Input menu allows for selection of:
 - Forecast start and end years
 - Utility: IOU's, named POU's, North and South small POU groupings
 - Simple by Sector or Detailed by End Use
 - include or omit Transmission and Distribution Losses
- Outputs are 8760 hourly results for each scenario for each forecast year

Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE)

2019 Process Schedule is compact! We appreciate comments/feedback as soon as you are able to share!

Formal comments due 8/22

9/26 CEC presents proposed <u>AAEE Scenario Designs</u> at IEPR workshop

10/1 internal deadline for CEC EE team to provide draft <u>AAEE Hourly Projections</u> results to CEC forecast team

11/1 deadline for <u>final AAEE Hourly Projections</u> for use in revised 2019 Demand Forecast

Additional Achievable Energy Efficiency (AAEE)

2019 Process Schedule *is compact! We appreciate comments/feedback as soon as you are able to share!*

Formal comments due 8/22

9/26 CEC presents proposed <u>AAEE Scenario Designs</u> at IEPR workshop

10/1 internal deadline for CEC EE team to provide or aft AAEE Hourly Projections results a CEC forecast team

11/1 deadline for final A/CE Headly Projections for use in revised 2019 Dentrial orecast