

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	19-RPS-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Petition for Rulemaking re: Public Utilities Code, section 399.30(c)(4)
<b>TN #:</b>	228410
<b>Document Title:</b>	Steve Uhler Comments I look forward to attending the commission's rulemaking process for PUC 399.30 (c)(4)
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Steve Uhler
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Applicant
<b>Submission Date:</b>	5/29/2019 8:56:14 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	5/30/2019

*Comment Received From: Steve Uhler*  
*Submitted On: 5/29/2019*  
*Docket Number: 19-RPS-01*

**I look forward to attending the commission's rulemaking process for PUC 399.30 (c)(4)**

Ms. Martin-Gallardo,

The proceeding you describe in your email is not a adjudicative proceeding, the 30 day period has lapsed for the commission's denial of my petition on its merits.

The only reason for me to attend a meeting of the commission as to my petition for rulemaking, would be to make my points at a adjudicative proceeding as I spoke of in <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=228365>

While I may attend commission meetings, I will not be attending on behalf of my petition for rulemaking passed the 30 day period allowed for commission denial my petition docketed as <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=228363>.

Consideration at the May 15, 2019 business meeting or any other meeting of the commission, as to my petition for rulemaking being denied after the 30 day period has passed as listed in GOV 11340.7, is not within the jurisdiction of the commission, the commission has no regulation allowing such a consideration of my petition notwithstanding GOV 11340.7(a).

I am not withdrawing my rulemaking petition.

I look forward to attending the commission's rulemaking process for PUC 399.30 (c)(4) pursuant to Article 5 (commencing with GOV Section 11346) as required by GOV 11340.7(a).

take care,

Steve Uhler  
[sau@wwmpd.com](mailto:sau@wwmpd.com)

On 2019-05-29 13:06, Martin-Gallardo, Jennifer@Energy wrote:

>

> Mr. Uhler,

>

>

>

> I am happy to answer your question regarding the status of your Petition for Rulemaking.

>

>

>

> In the Executive Director's April 13 letter certifying your petition as complete, Mr. Bohan

stated that the Commission will consider whether to deny or grant your petition at its next regularly scheduled business meeting, which was May 15. (TN 228364.) On April 24, you stated that "[d]ue to a schedule conflict, May 15, 2019 is unavailable for me. June 12, 2019 will work for me" (TN 228365.) To accommodate your request, your Petition was rescheduled the June 12, 2019 business meeting. Notice of the meeting and the agenda will go out at least 10 days prior to that meeting. (TN 228366.)

>  
>  
>

> As stated in my May 22, 2019 e-mail, the Commission regulations sections 1202 and 1203 will primarily govern the process for considering your Petition. Section 1202 allows you to make oral comments on the Petition during the business meeting and submit written comments in the manner specified by Commission regulation section 1208. (Section 1202(a), (b).) Section 1203 allows the Chair (or Vice Chair in the Chair's absence) to set additional requirements before or during the business meeting to manage the business meeting and consideration of your Petition. (Sections 1203, 1104(a).) (TN 228371.)

>  
>  
>

> Please let me know if you have any further questions.

>  
>  
>

> Sincerely,

>  
>  
>  
>  
>

> Jennifer Martin-Gallardo

>  
>  
>

> Acting Public Adviser

>  
>  
>

> California Energy Commission

>  
>  
>

> Jennifer.Martin-Gallardo@energy.ca.gov

>  
>  
>

> 916-654-4489

>  
>  
>

> www.energy.ca.gov

>  
>

> cid:image001.jpg@01D4DFC8.81807640