DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	18-MISC-01
Project Title:	Food Production Investment Program
TN #:	228386
Document Title:	Pacific Gas & Electric Comments PG&E Comments on Food Production Investment Program Solicitation
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Pacific Gas & Electric
Submitter Role:	Other Interested Person
Submission Date:	5/24/2019 4:54:29 PM
Docketed Date:	5/28/2019

Comment Received From: Pacific Gas & Electric Submitted On: 5/24/2019 Docket Number: 18-MISC-01

PG&E Comments on Food Production Investment Program Solicitation

Additional submitted attachment is included below.



Anna Smidebush Sr. Program Manager Energy Efficiency Programs

245 Market Street, 656C San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 973-3575 Anna.Smidebush@pge.com

POSTED ELECTRONICALLY TO DOCKET 18-MISC-01

May 24, 2019

California Energy Commission Dockets Office, MS-4 Docket No. 18-MISC-01 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Docket No. 18-MISC-01, Food Production Investment Program Solicitation

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the California Energy Commission's (CEC) Food Production Investment Program (FPIP) Solicitation, for which a public workshop was conducted on May 9, 2019. PG&E values the CEC's efforts to create programs aimed to help California meet its GHG reduction goals.

PG&E has found the formatting and content requirements of the match funds commitment letter are a barrier in committing match funds for FPIP applicants who are concurrently participating in existing PG&E energy efficiency programs. During the first rounds of FPIP funding, PG&E received inquiries about using PG&E energy efficiency rebates as qualifying match dollars for FPIP applications. Unfortunately, there were prohibitive challenges in producing a commitment letter that satisfied FPIP's format and content requirements as well as PG&E's legal and policy requirements, and in the end no letter could be issued.

PG&E offers the suggestion that FPIP requirements for commitment letters of matching funds be updated to allow more variety in the format and content of the letters, so that existing templates (such a PG&E rebate application approval, or a signed loan agreement for On-Bill Financing of an energy efficiency project) can be used to satisfy the requirement. Examples of these documents can be provided to CEC upon request.

Sincerely,

Anna Smidebush