

DOCKETED

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Docket Number: | 19-RPS-01 |
| Project Title: | Petition for Rulemaking re: Public Utilities Code, section 399.30(c)(4) |
| TN #: | 228367 |
| Document Title: | Uhler Comments 5-8-19 |
| Description: | N/A |
| Filer: | Maria Norbeck |
| Organization: | California Energy Commission |
| Submitter Role: | Public Adviser |
| Submission Date: | 5/22/2019 1:22:33 PM |
| Docketed Date: | 5/22/2019 |

Martin-Gallardo, Jennifer@Energy

From: Steve Uhler <sau@wwmpd.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2019 9:25 AM
To: Martin-Gallardo, Jennifer@Energy
Cc: Energy - Public Adviser's Office; Energy - Docket Optical System; Bohan, Drew@Energy
Subject: Re: Response to Comments
Attachments: Uhler Cert Ltr 4-23-19.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Ms. Martin-Gallardo,

Perhaps you have overlooked 20 CCR § 1208. Filing of Documents, re Documents that are not filed will not be deemed part of a proceeding's record?

Where may I find the attached "Uhler Cert Ltr 4-23-19.pdf" docketed?

I believe "Uhler Cert Ltr 4-23-19.pdf" should be docketed as <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=227340> is docketed, but in another docket.

The commission's responses to "Uhler Cert Ltr 4-23-19.pdf" should be docketed in <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=18-RPS-02> and <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=16-OIR-05> as the petition effects both Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) and Power Source Disclosure (PSD).

Interested parties of RPS and PSD may want to comment on the petition and commission's responses as well as rule making for RPS and PSD.

Please identify the rule or regulation that lead you to say "Because your petition is being considered at an upcoming Business Meeting, your documents are properly located in the docket in which they were submitted. A separate docket is not made for each item that is to be considered."

How will you ensure that interested parties of RPS and PSD will be notified?

Take care,

Steve Uhler
sau@wwmpd.com

On 2019-05-03 14:06, Martin-Gallardo, Jennifer@Energy wrote:

Good afternoon, Mr. Uhler –

Thank you for your recent comments to Docket 19-BUSMTG-02 "Public Comment on California Energy Commission Business Meetings." Your comments were addressed to Executive Director Drew Bohan, and he has requested that I, as Acting Public Adviser, reply to your comments.

As you know, the Executive Director certified your Petition Requesting Rulemaking Hearings Implementing PUC 399.30(C)(4). This means that the Energy Commission will consider whether to deny

or grant your petition at an upcoming Business Meeting. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Title 20, section 1221(c), petitions are to be heard by the Energy Commission within 30 days of being certified. However, you have requested that your Petition be considered at the June 12, 2019 Business Meeting due to a schedule conflict you have on May 15. The Executive Director has agreed to include your petition on the June 12, 2019 Business Meeting Agenda. The Notice and Agenda of that Business Meeting will go out at least 10 days prior to that meeting.

Because your petition is being considered at an upcoming Business Meeting, your documents are properly located in the docket in which they were submitted. A separate docket is not made for each item that is to be considered.

Please let me know if you have any further questions about how to participate at the Business Meeting or any other proceedings at the Energy Commission.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Martin-Gallardo
Senior Attorney and Acting Public Adviser
California Energy Commission
publicadviser@energy.ca.gov
www.energy.ca.gov

