DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	19-ERDD-01
Project Title:	Research Idea Exchange
TN #:	226362
Document Title:	Pedro Tavares Comments EPIC Challenge Comments
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Pedro Tavares
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	1/23/2019 5:03:08 PM
Docketed Date:	1/24/2019

Comment Received From: Pedro Tavares

Submitted On: 1/23/2019 Docket Number: 19-ERDD-01

## **EPIC Challenge Comments**

Investor-Owned Utility Territory is vast in California.

The EPIC challenge should rule out greenfield sites and award a strong preference towards infill sites

The EPIC challenge should have a preference towards TOD (Transit Oriented Development)

The EPIC challenge should consider removing language regarding encompassing  $\hat{a} \in \text{ceroughly}$  one city block  $\hat{a} \in \text{ceroughly}$ 

Is the requirement 50% of total units in the project designated affordable or 50% of the 130 targeted residential units (65 units)?

How will Low-Income and Disadvantaged Communities be defined?